

Studies in Systems, Decision and Control

Volume 148

Series editor

Janusz Kacprzyk, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland
e-mail: kacprzyk@ibspan.waw.pl

The series “Studies in Systems, Decision and Control” (SSDC) covers both new developments and advances, as well as the state of the art, in the various areas of broadly perceived systems, decision making and control- quickly, up to date and with a high quality. The intent is to cover the theory, applications, and perspectives on the state of the art and future developments relevant to systems, decision making, control, complex processes and related areas, as embedded in the fields of engineering, computer science, physics, economics, social and life sciences, as well as the paradigms and methodologies behind them. The series contains monographs, textbooks, lecture notes and edited volumes in systems, decision making and control spanning the areas of Cyber-Physical Systems, Autonomous Systems, Sensor Networks, Control Systems, Energy Systems, Automotive Systems, Biological Systems, Vehicular Networking and Connected Vehicles, Aerospace Systems, Automation, Manufacturing, Smart Grids, Nonlinear Systems, Power Systems, Robotics, Social Systems, Economic Systems and other. Of particular value to both the contributors and the readership are the short publication timeframe and the world-wide distribution and exposure which enable both a wide and rapid dissemination of research output.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13304>

Anthony Tri Tran C. · Quang Ha

A Quadratic Constraint Approach to Model Predictive Control of Interconnected Systems

 Springer

Anthony Tri Tran C.
Cambridge CARES
Singapore
Singapore

Quang Ha
University of Technology Sydney
Sydney, NSW
Australia

ISSN 2198-4182 ISSN 2198-4190 (electronic)
Studies in Systems, Decision and Control
ISBN 978-981-10-8407-2 ISBN 978-981-10-8409-6 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-8409-6>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018932517

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.
The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

Preface

The attraction of achieving higher efficiency and reliability for industrial plants and networked systems has created new research opportunities in the control and optimisation field. Among different design methods, the model predictive control (MPC) strategies, first developed for the petroleum refining industry, have proved to be effective in many applications. Originally found a widespread use in the stand-alone sites, the non-centralised adaption such as distributed and decentralised MPCs have been progressing towards more heterogeneous architectures that are able to cope with system complexities and variations in application domains.

This book presents a stabilising method for the control of interconnected systems having mixed connection configurations with distributed and decentralised model predictive control schemes. The novel notions of asymptotically positive realness constraint (APRC) and quadratic dissipativity constraint (QDC) are introduced as a fundamentally constituent part of this book. In both constraints, the function of inputs and outputs in the form of a supply rate, or a ‘supply power’, is quadratic. From the communication and information perspective, the quadratic constraint packs two pieces of information, the control and state vectors, into one variable, before carrying to different locations, and then unpacks them for use with the local control algorithm. The employment of quadratic constraints in two distinct approaches, segregation from and integration into the control algorithms, for the constrained stabilisation of interconnected systems is another contribution of this book.

Solutions for linear systems are given in distributed and decentralised strategies whereby the communication between subsystems is either fully connected, partially connected or totally disconnected. The interconnected systems and their distributed computerised-control platforms are considered within the realm of a cyber-physical system consisting of the physical connections between subsystems and the communication links between local computing processors. Within the auspice of the integrated construction method, the distributed and decentralised MPC strategies deal with the communication links from the cyber-connection side—the subsystems are wholly or partially connected in a distributed MPC scheme while being totally disconnected in a decentralised MPC.

By having the control inputs entirely or partially decoupled between subsystems, and no additional constraints imposed on the interactive variables, rather than the coupling constraint itself, the proposed approaches outreach various types of networked systems and applications. The effects of coupling delays and device networks are also resolved in part of the development. For parallelised connections that emulate parallel redundant structures and have unknown splitting ratios, a fully decentralised control strategy is developed as an alternative to the hybrid system approach. For the semi-automatic control systems, involved with both closed-loop and human-in-the-loop regulatory controls, the stability-guaranteed method of decentralised stabilising agents, that are interoperable with different control algorithms, is germinated and implemented for each single subsystem.

For nonlinear input-affine systems, the extended output vector including the vector field and the state vector are introduced such that the dissipativity criterion can be rendered in linear matrix inequalities. The compound vector can be viewed as manifest variables in the behavioural framework for dynamical systems. From the perspective of the dissipative system theory, both the storage function and supply rate with the extended output vectors are parameterised to avoid any conservativeness that may incur to the stabilisation of nonlinear systems.

In this book, MPC is formulated with state-space models having a standardised cost function. The stability constraint here is a constraint imposed on the current-time control vector, independently to the MPC objective function. For interconnected systems, the terminal constraint computations are formidable when dealing with subsystems having dissimilar dynamics whose settling times are heterogeneous. The quadratic constraint approach resolves this difficulty by having a constraint on the current-time control vector. The state constraint and recursive feasibility are, nevertheless, not included in this book.

An extension to new applications with the Internet of Things (IoT) is also presented with some dependable control schemes in which multiple controllers and sensors are cross-connected via the IoT communication network to ensure the duty-standby architecture for achieving quantitatively higher reliability of cyber-physical systems.

A broad range of applications in the process and manufacturing industries, networked robotics, networked control systems and network-centric systems such as power systems, telecommunication networks and chemical processes will benefit from the approaches in this book. Illustrative examples of networked interconnected systems are provided with numerical simulations in MATLAB environment. Specifically, a power system having four control areas, a dependable controller for cyber-physical systems and some other numerical examples are implemented with the distributed and decentralised MPC strategies employing the quadratic constraint approach to demonstrate the theoretical appraisals.

The developments are presented in seven chapters. This book starts with an introduction to the quadratic constraint in the time domain with a different perspective, as stated in Chap. 1. Here, the differences between this closed-loop perspective on the dissipation-based constraint and the other open-loop dissipative system approaches in the well-known interconnection stability conditions with passivity and

small-gain theorems will be highlighted. A brief review on the MPC applications and the stabilising methods for the previously developed distributed MPC strategies is also given in the first chapter. Chapter 2 is dedicated to the quadratic constraints and their applications to the decentralised MPC of interconnected systems as the enforced attractivity constraints. In the next chapter, the attractivity conditions for the complex interconnected systems that have parallelised connections with unknown splitting ratios are presented, Chap. 3. An alternative constructive method of stabilising agents with the QDC is then delineated following in Chap. 4. Chapter 5 outlines a deterministic approach to the data lost processes with the presented dissipation-based quadratic constraints. A virtual perturbed cooperative-state feedback (PSF) strategy will be presented in the second part of this chapter. The available communication network in a cyber-physical system is capitalised on for improving the control performance with the PSF strategy. The developments for interconnected systems having a coupling delay element with the accumulative quadratic constraints are subsequently provided in Chap. 6. Chapter 7 is dedicated to the QDC application to the dependable control systems.

The general dissipativity constraint (GDC) method for the control design and synthesis of multi-variable systems in the discrete-time domain is presented in Appendix A. APRC and QDC with quadratic supply functions are the two special cases of the GDC. The dissipation-based constraints with a general supply function and the stability with a relaxed non-monotonic Lyapunov function and the input-to-power-and-state stabilisability (IpSS) are presented in this appendix. The GDC method for stabilising the interconnected systems with distributed, decentralised and dependable control architectures is well suited to the modern cyber-physical systems incorporating scalable and flexible communication networks. With emerging technologies in the Internet of Things (IoT) and cloud computing, the new architecture and algorithms will provide the tractability for implementations in a connected and ‘smart’ environment, yet help achieve the required reliability and continuity of the operational systems. The well-known MPC algorithms that employ plant models in the future state prediction for computing the control moves with convex optimisations have been found agile for deploying with cyber-physical systems.

During the course of preparation of this monograph, there were a series of invaluable discussions with Profs. Jan Maciejowski, Hung T. Nguyen and Tuan D. Hoang, to whom the authors are much indebted. In particular, the first author would like to gratefully acknowledge support obtained from the Singapore National Research Foundation (NRF) under its Campus for Research Excellence And Technological Enterprise (CREATE) programme and the Cambridge Centre for Advanced Research and Education in Singapore (Cambridge CARES), C4T project. Support received from various internal grant schemes at the Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology and the University of Technology Sydney, Australia, is also acknowledged.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Quadratic Constraint—a Time-Domain Perspective	5
1.2.1	Positive Supply Power	6
1.2.2	Energy-Dissipative Motion	9
1.2.3	Predictive PID Based on Energy-Dissipativity	11
1.3	General Dissipativity Constraint	13
1.3.1	System Model	16
1.3.2	Supply Rates with Compound Vectors	17
1.3.3	Stability	20
1.3.4	Passivity and Small-Gain Theorems	22
1.3.5	Nucleus Contributions	28
2	Quadratic Constraint for Decentralised Model Predictive Control	31
2.1	Control and System Models	31
2.2	Asymptotic Attractivity Condition	33
2.2.1	Quadratic Constraint	33
2.2.2	Attractivity Constraint and Its Qualification	35
2.2.3	Attractivity Condition for Unconstrained Systems	37
2.3	Decentralised Model Predictive Control and Quadratic Constraint	42
2.3.1	Decentralised Model Predictive Control	42
2.3.2	Centralised Moving Horizon State Estimation	44
2.3.3	Attractivity Condition for Control-Constrained Systems	46
2.4	Decentralised MPC with Quadratic Constraint Algorithm	47
2.4.1	Procedure	47
2.4.2	Determination of the QDC Coefficient Matrices	47

2.5	Numerical Simulation	49
2.5.1	Illustrative Example 1	49
2.5.2	Illustrative Example 2	51
2.5.3	Illustrative Example 3	54
2.6	Concluding Remarks	57
3	Quadratic Constraint for Parallel Splitting Systems	59
3.1	System and Control Model	59
3.1.1	Serial Connection	60
3.1.2	Parallelised Connection	60
3.1.3	Global System	61
3.2	Parallel Splitting System with a Matrix Annihilation	61
3.2.1	Asymptotically Surely Positive Realness Constraint and Attractability Condition	62
3.2.2	Decentralised MPC for Parallel Splitting Systems	65
3.3	Parallelised Masking Dissipativity Criterion	66
3.3.1	Subsystem Control Model	66
3.3.2	Unit Control Model	67
3.3.3	Global System Control Model	68
3.3.4	Subsystem Stand-Alone Control Model	69
3.3.5	Dissipative and Attractive Conditions	70
3.4	Numerical Examples	72
3.4.1	Decentralised MPC Without Control Constraint	75
3.4.2	Decentralised MPC with Control Constraint	75
3.4.3	Decentralised MPC with Control Constraint and ASPRC	77
3.5	Concluding Remarks	78
4	Quadratic Constraint for Semi-automatic Control	81
4.1	Semi-automatic Control	81
4.2	Stabilising Agent Operation	83
4.3	Constructive Procedure for Stabilising Agents	85
4.3.1	Stabilising Agent Procedure	85
4.3.2	Graphical Presentation	86
4.4	Stabilising Agent with Output Tracking	88
4.4.1	Steady-State-Independent Quadratic Constraint	89
4.4.2	Convergence Condition with Output Tracking	91
4.4.3	Stabilising Agent with Output-Tracking Algorithm	93
4.4.4	Control Algorithm	93
4.5	Illustrative Examples	94
4.5.1	Illustrative Example 1—Power Systems	94
4.5.2	Illustrative Example 2—Network Process System	99
4.6	Concluding Remarks	104

- 5 Quadratic Constraint with Data Losses** 107
 - 5.1 Introduction 107
 - 5.2 System and Networked Control Models 110
 - 5.2.1 System Model 110
 - 5.2.2 Deterministic Data-Lost Process 111
 - 5.3 Dissipative Condition for Networked Control Systems 112
 - 5.4 Stability Condition for Networked Control Systems 113
 - 5.5 Perturbed Cooperative-State Feedback Strategy for Interconnected Systems 116
 - 5.5.1 Subsystem Model 117
 - 5.5.2 Network Graph 117
 - 5.5.3 Perturbed Cooperative-State Feedback 118
 - 5.5.4 Adjacent Matrices 119
 - 5.5.5 Global System Model 120
 - 5.5.6 Deterministic Data Loss Process 120
 - 5.5.7 Quadratic Constraint and Partially Decentralised MPC 121
 - 5.5.8 Computation for Quadratic Constraint 123
 - 5.5.9 Decentralised MPC Problem Description 124
 - 5.5.10 Stabilisability Condition and Control Algorithm 124
 - 5.6 Numerical Simulation 135
 - 5.6.1 Network Process for Bauxite Ore Treatment 135
 - 5.6.2 Automatic Generation Control of a Power System 143
 - 5.7 Concluding Remarks 150
- 6 Accumulative Quadratic Constraint** 151
 - 6.1 Coupling Delay 151
 - 6.2 Control System Models 152
 - 6.3 Dissipative Criteria with Accumulative Quadratic Constraints 154
 - 6.3.1 Accumulatively Quadratic Dissipativity 154
 - 6.3.2 Delay-Robust Quadratic Dissipativity 156
 - 6.4 Accumulative Constraints and Stabilisability Conditions 159
 - 6.4.1 Positive Realness Constraint and Summability with Time Delay 159
 - 6.4.2 Accumulative Quadratic Constraint 160
 - 6.4.3 Input-to-Power-and-State Stabilisation 160
 - 6.4.4 AAQC-Based Stabilisability Condition 161
 - 6.4.5 PAQC-Based Stabilisability Condition 162
 - 6.5 Decentralised Model Predictive Control 166
 - 6.5.1 Objective Function 166
 - 6.5.2 Constraint on Decision Variables 167
 - 6.5.3 Semi-definite Programming 168

6.5.4	AAQC Attractivity Constraint	168
6.5.5	PAQC Attractivity Constraint	169
6.6	Illustrative Examples	173
6.6.1	DeMPC with AAQC	173
6.6.2	DeMPC with PAQC	176
6.7	Concluding Remarks	178
7	Dependable Control Systems with Internet of Things	179
7.1	Introduction	179
7.1.1	Industrial Computerised Control System	180
7.1.2	Internet of Things as Another Step in the Advances of Process Automation	181
7.1.3	Industrial Standards	183
7.1.4	Research in IoT Security	183
7.2	Dependable Control Systems	184
7.2.1	Operational Description	185
7.2.2	Selection of Controller in Duty Mode	186
7.2.3	Backup Management for Fault-Tolerant Operation	186
7.2.4	IoT-Enabled Dependable Self-recovery Control System	187
7.3	State Feedback Synthesis for Dependable Control Systems	189
7.3.1	Control System Model and Quadratic Constraint	190
7.3.2	Problem Description	191
7.3.3	Switching-over Activity and Information	192
7.4	Attractivity Conditions and State Feedback	192
7.4.1	Pre-computing the Feedback Gain	194
7.4.2	Re-computing the Transition Feedback Gain	195
7.5	DepCS Design and Implementation Examples	197
7.5.1	Isolated Wind–Diesel Power System	197
7.5.2	Solar Tracking Control System for PV Panel	200
7.6	Concluding Remarks	207
	Appendix A: General Dissipativity Constraint	209
	References	229

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Mixed connection configuration of an interconnected system.	2
Fig. 1.2	An interconnected system under the cyber-physical system auspice	2
Fig. 1.3	A feedback control system	5
Fig. 1.4	The meaning of $-y^T u \geq 0$ in a feedback control system	6
Fig. 1.5	An absolute energy-passive (AP) motion	7
Fig. 1.6	A perfect one-overshoot stable (POS) motion.	7
Fig. 1.7	POS and energy-passive, but not AP	8
Fig. 1.8	Energy-passive and stabilised controlled system—an example of input and output trajectories	8
Fig. 1.9	Abstracted energy from an energy-dissipative behaviour	9
Fig. 1.10	High-gain PID control	12
Fig. 1.11	Illustrative predictive PID based on energy-dissipativity.	12
Fig. 1.12	Illustrative predictive PID—coarse adjustments	13
Fig. 1.13	Feedback interconnection type 1 [17]	22
Fig. 1.14	One port system—a block diagram [139]	24
Fig. 1.15	Feedback interconnection type 2 [139]	25
Fig. 1.16	Feedback interconnection type 3.	26
Fig. 2.1	Control trajectory and QDC-based attractivity constraints.	35
Fig. 2.2	Illustrations for the repeatedly energy-dissipative constraint (REDC)	44
Fig. 2.3	Centralised MPC with quadratic attractivity constraint. From left to right, the trajectories of $u(k)$, $\ x(k)\ $ and $-\zeta(u(k), x(k))$	50
Fig. 2.4	Quadratic constraint tuning regions— N is the MPC predictive horizon and T_s is the sampling rate	50
Fig. 2.5	Centralised MPC with REDC-based quadratic constraint. From top to bottom, the trajectories of $u(k)$, $x_i(k)$ ($i = 1, 2$), $-\zeta(u(k), x(k))$ and $V(x(k))$	51

Fig. 2.6	Decentralised MPCs without both APRC stability and control constraints	52
Fig. 2.7	Decentralised MPCs without APRC stability constraints	53
Fig. 2.8	Decentralised MPCs with APRCs and re-computed coefficient matrices	53
Fig. 2.9	Decentralised MPCs without APRC stability constraint	55
Fig. 2.10	Decentralised MPCs with APRCs and re-computed coefficient matrices	56
Fig. 2.11	Decentralised MPCs with APRCs and re-computed coefficient matrices—smaller initial states	57
Fig. 3.1	Mixed connection structure with parallelised subsystems of an interconnected system	60
Fig. 3.2	Parallel splitting (or parallelised) and parallel connections	60
Fig. 3.3	Parallel splitting of a unit \mathcal{G}_j having three subsystems	66
Fig. 3.4	Block diagram of an interconnected system Σ_Δ on the basis of units \mathcal{G}_j	66
Fig. 3.5	Decentralised MPC without any constraints	74
Fig. 3.6	Parallel splitting systems—decentralised MPC without ASPRCs and control constraints	75
Fig. 3.7	Parallel splitting systems—decentralised MPC without ASPRCs	76
Fig. 3.8	Mixed connections—decentralised MPC without ASPRCs and unknown splitting ratios—simulation 1	76
Fig. 3.9	Mixed connections—decentralised MPC without ASPRCs and unknown splitting ratios—simulation 2	77
Fig. 3.10	Mixed connections—decentralised MPC with ASPRCs—equal splitting ratio	78
Fig. 3.11	Mixed connections—decentralised MPC with ASPRCs and unknown parallel splitting ratios	78
Fig. 4.1	Semi-automatic control with human operator	82
Fig. 4.2	Semi-automatic control with stabilising agent. Among the control vectors in this figure, \hat{u} is the planned control vector while \bar{u} is the stabilisable control vector	82
Fig. 4.3	Decentralised stabilising agents for an interconnected system.	83
Fig. 4.4	Evolution of stabilising bound and adjustment of a stabilising agent in two consecutive time steps	84
Fig. 4.5	Control plane plot with ellipsoids of quadratic constraints and the stabilising bound calculations.	87
Fig. 4.6	Stabilising agent with output tracking.	88
Fig. 4.7	An example of a control area (subsystem)	95
Fig. 4.8	Control areas are serially connected via tie-lines [127, 167].	95
Fig. 4.9	Permanent load disturbance—centralised MPC without any additional constraints, $N = 4$	98

Fig. 4.10 Permanent load disturbance—decentralised MPC with APRCO-based stabilising agent, $N = 4$. The steady-state values are from the centralised LQR design 98

Fig. 4.11 Permanent load disturbance—decentralised MPC with APRCO-based attractivity constraint, $N = 4$. The steady-state values are from the centralised LQR design 99

Fig. 4.12 Washing circuit process flow diagram 100

Fig. 4.13 Decentralised MPCs without stabilising agents 101

Fig. 4.14 Decentralised stabilising agents with off-line-computed coefficient matrices 102

Fig. 4.15 Decentralised stabilising agents with online updated coefficient matrices 103

Fig. 4.16 Decentralised stabilising agents with a nonzero output set point and input disturbances 104

Fig. 5.1 Conceptual block diagram of the system architecture 108

Fig. 5.2 Networked control system—a single loop 111

Fig. 5.3 Decentralised networked control interconnected system 120

Fig. 5.4 Required information data for shaping the quadratic constraint with the PSF strategy 122

Fig. 5.5 Computational activities for forming the quadratic constraint and the MPC 123

Fig. 5.6 Pre-desilication process 136

Fig. 5.7 Decentralised MPC without dQDC for NCS with $MATI = 6$ 137

Fig. 5.8 Decentralised MPC with dQDC and PSF, $H_c = H_s$, for NCS with $MATI = 6$ 138

Fig. 5.9 Decentralised MPC with dQDC and $H_c \neq H_s$, ($H_{c[2]} = [0 \ 0 \ 0]$) for NCS with $MATI = 6$ 139

Fig. 5.10 Decentralised MPC with dQDC and $H_c = 0$ for NCS with $MATI = 6$ 140

Fig. 5.11 Decentralised MPC with dQDC in a perfect data environment 141

Fig. 5.12 Decentralised MPC with dQDC for NCS 142

Fig. 5.13 Centralised MPC without the quadratic constraint 144

Fig. 5.14 Decentralised MPC with quadratic constraint, shorter predictive horizon $N = 4$. The control performance is comparable to that of the centralised MPC with $N = 12$ in Fig. 5.13a 145

Fig. 5.15 Partially decentralised MPC with PSF and quadratic constraint, shorter predictive horizon $N = 4$ —two case studies 146

Fig. 5.16 Case 3A—Full cooperative-state feedback and intermittent data losses, $\mu = 7$. Partially decentralised MPC with PSF and quadratic constraint, $N = 4$ 147

Fig. 5.17	Case 3B—Full cooperative-state feedback and intermittent data losses, $\mu = 7$. Partially decentralised MPC with PSF and quadratic constraint, $N = 4$	148
Fig. 5.18	Case 3C—Full cooperative-state feedback and intermittent data losses, $\mu = 7$. Partially decentralised MPC with PSF and quadratic constraint, $N = 4$, with online updating coefficient matrices for the quadratic constraint	149
Fig. 6.1	Coupling delay in an interconnected system whose subsystems are dynamically coupled - a block diagram	152
Fig. 6.2	Decentralised MPCs without stability constraints	174
Fig. 6.3	Decentralised MPCs with AAQC using delay-dependent criteria, local input disturbance $\ d_i(k)\ \leq 1$	175
Fig. 6.4	The average optimising cost trend. The coupling delay time $\tau_{\max} = 7$ steps.	176
Fig. 6.5	Decentralised MPCs with PAQC using delay-dependent criteria, local input disturbance $\ d_i(k)\ \leq 1$	177
Fig. 7.1	A dependable control system (DepCS) with four controllers.	180
Fig. 7.2	A typical three level DCS structure	181
Fig. 7.3	Loop connections in a DCS with junction boxes, marshalling and system cabinets, as well as central control room.	182
Fig. 7.4	Industrial IoT as another step in the advances of process automation	182
Fig. 7.5	Loop connections in a DSC system enabled by IIoT	183
Fig. 7.6	A classical reliable control system (RCS).	185
Fig. 7.7	Active and inactive connections in DepCS	186
Fig. 7.8	A typical DCS architecture, extracted from [22].	187
Fig. 7.9	A DepCS and IoT-based architecture. The controllers are integrated into smart sensors and actuators	188
Fig. 7.10	An IoT-enabling distributed computerised control system.	189
Fig. 7.11	A typical isolated wind–diesel power system	197
Fig. 7.12	DSC system with self-recovery constraint.	198
Fig. 7.13	Self-recovery constraint evolution.	200
Fig. 7.14	DSC system—different transition time intervals	201
Fig. 7.15	DSC system—different transition time intervals	202
Fig. 7.16	Self-recovery constraint versus LQR. The performance with LQR depends on the choices of weighting matrices	202
Fig. 7.17	Overview of the solar energy management in a microgrid	203
Fig. 7.18	Solar tracker	204
Fig. 7.19	Structure of the implemented dependable control system	204
Fig. 7.20	Embedded computers and IoT boards.	205

Fig. 7.21	Time response of the solar tracker with the azimuth and elevation set to 45°	205
Fig. 7.22	Network latency during the control process	206
Fig. 7.23	Solar energy generated from 17/04/2017 to 20/04/2017	207