

Accounting, Finance, Sustainability, Governance & Fraud: Theory and Application

Series editor

Kiyem Tunca Caliyurt, İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi, Trakya University
Balkan Yerleşkesi, Edirne, Turkey

This series acts as a forum for book publications on current research arising from debates about key topics that have emerged from global economic crises during the past several years. The importance of governance and the will to deal with corruption, fraud, and bad practice, are themes featured in volumes published in the series. These topics are not only of concern to businesses and their investors, but also to governments and supranational organizations, such as the United Nations and the European Union. *Accounting, Finance, Sustainability, Governance & Fraud: Theory and Application* takes on a distinctive perspective to explore crucial issues that currently have little or no coverage. Thus the series integrates both theoretical developments and practical experiences to feature themes that are topical, or are deemed to become topical within a short time. The series welcomes interdisciplinary research covering the topics of accounting, auditing, governance, and fraud.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/13615>

Graham Gal · Orhan Akişik
William Wooldridge
Editors

Sustainability and Social Responsibility: Regulation and Reporting

 Springer

Editors

Graham Gal
Isenberg School of Management
University of Massachusetts Amherst
Amherst, MA
USA

William Wooldridge
Isenberg School of Management
University of Massachusetts Amherst
Amherst, MA
USA

Orhan Akişik
Isenberg School of Management
University of Massachusetts Amherst
Amherst, MA
USA

ISSN 2509-7873 ISSN 2509-7881 (electronic)
Accounting, Finance, Sustainability, Governance & Fraud: Theory and Application
ISBN 978-981-10-4501-1 ISBN 978-981-10-4502-8 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-981-10-4502-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017942971

© Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd.
The registered company address is: 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore

Contents

Part I Background of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

1	CSR—In Pursuit of Sustainable Growth and Economic Development	3
	Sanjay Bhāle and Sudeep Bhāle	
2	Social Responsibility as a Factor of Convergence in Corporate Governance	29
	Daniela M. Salvioni, Simona Franzoni and Francesca Gennari	
3	CSR, Corporate Governance, and the King Reports	55
	Henk Kloppers	
4	Problematising Sustainability Assurance Practice: Roles of Sustainability Assurance Providers	81
	Charika Channuntapipat	
5	State Auditing and Anticorruption Campaign: Evidence from China	117
	Guangyou Liu and Kaisong Gong	
6	Sustainability Ratings and Organizational Legitimacy: The Role of Compensating Tactics	141
	Jeffrey Gauthier and Bill Wooldridge	
7	Can Sustainability Be Budget for? Evidence from Iran	159
	Farzaneh Jalali and Graham Gal	

Part II Analytical Models

8	A Signaling Game Between a Manager and Investors for Financial Disclosure	181
	Chen-Wen Chen	

9	Converging the Shareholder and Stakeholder Theories	203
	Ertan Kucukyalcin	
10	Employee Perceptions of Corporate Social Responsibility Activities and Work-Related Attitudes: The Case of a Greek Management Services Organization	225
	Panagiotis Reklitis, Panagiotis Trivellas, Ioannis Mantzaris, Elisavet Mantzari and Dimitrios Reklitis	
11	The Impact of the Economic Crisis on the Corporate Social Responsibility Activities of Greek Companies	241
	Alexandros G. Sahinidis, Dimitra Daskalaki, Elisavet Mantzari and Ioannis Mantzaris	
Part III Market Firm Issues		
12	Is Socially Responsible Investing More Risky? Australian Evidence.	261
	Ewan Mackie, Imon Palit, Madhu Veeraraghavan and John Watson	
13	Corporate Social Disclosures by Banks: Between Legal Institution and Cultural Dimensions	307
	Ismail Adelopo, Musa Obalola and Ramiro Cea Moure	
14	Social Reporting in a Health Care Organization: A Case Study of a Regional Italian Hospital.	333
	Stefano Marasca, Lucia Montanini, Alberto Manelli, Alessia D'Andrea, Martina Vallesi, Vania Carignani and Paolo Galassi	
15	Iran's Privatization Policy Analysis Based on Good Corporate Governance Principles.	369
	Amin Naseri, Rahmatollah Gholipour and Bita Mashayekhi	
16	Role of Management, Corporate Governance, and Sarbanes-Oxley in Fraud: A Focus on the Precious Metals Industry	391
	Tomeika Williams	
17	Financial and Sustainability Reporting: An Empirical Investigation of Their Relationship in the Italian Context	411
	Marisa Agostini and Ericka Costa	
18	Corporate Social Responsibility, Investor Sentiment, and Stock Returns.	443
	Emrah Keleş and Ayten Çetin	
19	An Industry Perspective on Regulation and Reporting	463
	Julian Lustig-Gonzalez and Laura Harcourt	

20 CSR Disclosure Practices in the Zambia Mining Industry	471
Obby Phiri and Elisavet Mantzari	
Author Index	505
Subject Index	519

Editors and Contributors

About the Editors

Dr. Graham Gal is an Associate Professor of business administration at the Isenberg School of Management in the Department of Accounting. Dr. Gal member of the American Accounting Association's council and serves on the University's IT Curriculum and Policy committee. Currently, he is on the editorial board for the Journal of Information Systems and the International Journal of Auditing Technology. He served as editor for a special issue on continuous auditing and continuous reporting for the *Managerial Auditing Journal*. Previously, he served as the associate editor for design science for the Journal of Emerging Technologies. His research interests include business ontologies, specification of internal controls, continuous monitoring, continuous reporting, organizational security policies, corporate social responsibility impact on financial performance, and controls for sustainability reporting. Dr. Gal has recently been a keynote speaker at the International Conference on Governance, Fraud, Ethics, and Social Responsibility and at MODAV. He has presented his work as a visiting scholar at Chuo University in Tokyo, the University of Sao Paulo, and as a panelist on cybersecurity at the University of Waterloo's conference on information assurance. His work has been published in a number of journals including *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, *Decision Sciences*, *Expert Systems Review*, *Expert Systems*, *Journal of Information Systems*, *The Information Systems Control Journal*, *Advances in Accounting Information Systems*, *The International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, *the International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, and *the Journal of Management Control*.

Dr. Orhan Akişik is Senior Lecturer in accounting at the Isenberg School of Management. He holds a Ph.D. from the Stuttgart University in Germany. Dr. Akişik teaches financial reporting and cost accounting courses. He previously taught at Boston College, Boston (2001–2012). His research interests include accounting regulation, accounting standards, corporate governance, corporate social responsibility, financial development, foreign investments, economic growth, and earnings management. He has published in academic journals such as *Review of Accounting and Finance*, *Research in Accounting in Emerging Markets*, *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, *The International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, *Journal of Management Control*, *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*. He was granted the title "associate professor in accounting and finance" by Turkish Higher Education Council in 2006.

William Wooldridge is Managing Director of the Berthiaume Center for Entrepreneurship and Professor of management in the Isenberg School of Management at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Prof. Wooldridge received his Ph.D. in management from the Leeds

College of Business at University of Colorado, Boulder. His research focuses on business and strategy development, strategy process, and building sustainable business models in organizations. He is the co-author of three books on middle managers and their role in strategy making and corporate entrepreneurship. His research has been published in leading academic journals including *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, *Strategic Management Journal*, *Journal of Management*, and *Journal of Management Studies*. He has extensive experience teaching entrepreneurship and strategy at the undergraduate and graduate levels. Prof. Wooldridge has served, on two different occasions, as Chair of the Management Department at the Isenberg School, driving new curriculum initiatives related to entrepreneurship and sustainability.

As founding director of the Berthiaume Center for Entrepreneurship, Prof. Wooldridge has led the development of a multi-disciplinary entrepreneurship curriculum on campus, revitalized the campus' extra-curricular entrepreneurship activities, built strategic relationships across, and beyond, campus, and created a team-based mentorship program to serve campus-based start-ups. These accomplishments were recently recognized by the United States Association for Small Business and Entrepreneurship through their "Outstanding Emerging Entrepreneurship Program Award," presented to the Berthiaume Center, January 2016.

Contributors

Ismail Adelo University of the West Of England, Bristol, England, UK

Marisa Agostini Department of Management, Ca' Foscari University of Venice, Venice, Italy

Sanjay Bhāle Indira Institute of Management (affiliated to University of Pune), Pune, MH, India

V. Carignani Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria "Ospedali Riuniti" di Ancona, Direzione Generale, Ancona, AN, Italy

Ayten Çetin Department of Accounting and Finance, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Charika Channuntapipat Alliance Birmingham Business School, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Chen-Wen Chen Department of Business Management, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, ROC

Ericka Costa Department of Economics and Management, University of Trento, Trento, Italy

Dimitra Daskalaki Bank of Greece, Athens, Greece

A. D'Andrea Department of Management, Facoltà Di Economia "G.Fuà", Ancona, AN, Italy

Simona Franzoni Department of Economics and Management, University of Brescia (Italy), Brescia, Italy

Graham Gal Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts at Amherst, Amherst, MA, USA

P. Galassi Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria “Ospedali Riuniti” di Ancona, Ancona, AN, Italy

Jeffrey Gauthier School of Business and Economics, SUNY Plattsburgh, Plattsburgh, NY, USA

Francesca Gennari Department of Economics and Management, University of Brescia (Italy), Brescia, Italy

Dr. Rahmatollah Gholipour Department of Public Administration, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

Kaisong Gong Business School and Center for Accounting, Finance and Institutions, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, People’s Republic of China

Laura Harcourt Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Farzaneh Jalali Tehran University, Tehran, Iran

Emrah Keleş Department of Accounting and Finance, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Henk Kloppers North-West University (Potchefstroom Campus), Potchefstroom, South Africa

Ertan Kucukyalcin IIA-Turkey, Türkiye İç Denetim Enstitüsü, İstanbul, Gayrettepe, Turkey

Guangyou Liu Business School and Center for Accounting, Finance and Institutions, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, People’s Republic of China

Julian Lustig-Gonzalez Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA, USA

Ewan Mackie Quantitative Research, JP Morgan, London, UK

A. Manelli Department of Management, Facoltà Di Economia “G.Fuà”, Ancona, AN, Italy

Elisavet Mantzari University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

Ioannis Mantzaris Technological Education Institute of Central Macedonia, Serres, Greece

S. Marasca Department of Management, Facoltà Di Economia “G.Fuà”, Ancona, AN, Italy

Dr. Bita Mashayekhi Department of Accounting, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

L. Montanini Department of Management, Facoltà Di Economia “G.Fuà”, Ancona, AN, Italy

Ramiro Cea Moure University of Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Spain

Dr. Amin Naseri School of Management, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

Musa Obalola University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria

Imon Palit Department of Banking and Finance, Monash University, Caulfield East, Melbourne, Australia

Obby Phiri University of Westminster, London, UK

Dimitrios Reklitis Linnaeus University, Växjö, Sweden

Panagiotis Reklitis TEI of Central Greece, Lamia, Greece

Alexandros G. Sahinidis Department of Business Administration, Technological Education Institute of Athens, Athens, Greece

Daniela M. Salvioni Department of Economics and Management, University of Brescia (Italy), Brescia, Italy

Sudeep Bhāle UK Based Fortune-500 Global Conglomerate, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

Panagiotis Trivellas TEI of Central Greece, Lamia, Greece

M. Vallesi Department of Management, Facoltà Di Economia “G.Fuà”, Ancona, AN, Italy

Madhu Veeraraghavan T. A. Pai Management Institute, Manipal, Karnataka, India

John Watson Department of Banking and Finance, Monash University, Caulfield East, Melbourne, Australia

Tomeika Williams Online and Center for Graduate studies, Baker College, Flint, MI, USA

Bill Wooldridge Isenberg School of Management, Berthiaume Center for Entrepreneurship, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA, USA

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	World steel sustainability factors. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013	17
Fig. 1.2	MBE framework. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013.	18
Fig. 1.3	Stakeholderwise concerns received. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013	19
Fig. 1.4	Community engagement. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013.	19
Fig. 1.5	Stakeholder engagement—Displaced families. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013	20
Fig. 1.6	Creating value chain. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013.	21
Fig. 1.7	Customer engagement. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013.	21
Fig. 1.8	Generating economic value. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013.	22
Fig. 4.1	Roles of sustainability assurance providers	95
Fig. 5.1	Chronological description of state corruption audits and prosecutions	120
Fig. 6.1	Organizational response to negative ratings	147
Fig. 7.1	World Business Council for Sustainable Development’s three-pillar model.	164
Fig. 7.2	GRI framework for performance indicators (Lamberton 2005)	164
Fig. 7.3	Four-pillar sustainability model	165
Fig. 7.4	Sustainability codes	172
Fig. 7.5	Frequent social sustainability codes	172
Fig. 7.6	Categories of sustainability codes 2007–2016	174
Fig. 8.1	Model setting.	186
Fig. 8.2	Model resetting	190
Fig. 8.3	Factors to recognize manager’s type under the rule	192

Fig. 9.1	The three phases of the perceptions on the purpose of the firm	206
Fig. 9.2	Phase I: Profit maximization in accounting sense	216
Fig. 9.3	Phase II: Shareholder value maximization (economic profit maximization).	217
Fig. 9.4	Corporate objective function	219
Fig. 9.5	Phase III: Stakeholder value maximization	220
Fig. 11.1	Percentage of CSR activities reported between 2008 and 2014.	249
Fig. 12.1	Rolling 1-day Value-at-Risk at the 95% confidence level calculated with the Gaussian approach for each SRI fund (<i>blue</i>) and its matched conventional fund (<i>red-dashed</i>). We also give the differences (<i>orange</i>) with 95% confidence levels (<i>orange-dotted</i>) estimated via bootstrapping	284
Fig. 12.2	QQ plots for the AMP FLI-AMP Sustainable Future Australian Shares fund overlaid with a Gaussian distribution.	286
Fig. 14.1	AOUOORR stakeholder map.	349
Fig. 14.2	Social report oscar—2013	359
Fig. 15.1	Factors affecting the analysis of Iran’s privatization policies in terms of good corporate governance	374
Fig. 15.2	The relational network for the position of the principle of “legal framework for the activity of state enterprises” in the Iran’s privatization policies	382
Fig. 15.3	The relational network for the position of the principle of “clear responsibilities of the board of directors” in the Iran’s privatization policies	383
Fig. 15.4	The relational network for the position of the principle of “reliable relations with stakeholders” in the Iran’s privatization policies	385
Fig. 15.5	The relational network for the position of the principle of “state’s goal-oriented ownership” in the Iran’s privatization policies	386
Fig. 15.6	The relational network for the position of the principle of “equitable treatment of shareholders” in the Iran’s privatization policies	386
Fig. 15.7	The relational network for the position of the principle of “information disclosure and transparency” in the Iran’s privatization policies	387
Fig. 17.1	Total amount of disclosure about 11 environmental categories (identified by GRI) in two different accounting periods, i.e., both before and after the application of the 51/2003 European Directive in the Italian context.	423

Fig. 17.2	Total amounts of disclosure about 11 environmental categories (identified by GRI) in Italian sustainability and financial reporting.	424
Fig. 17.3	Total amount of disclosure about nine employee categories (identified by GRI) in two different accounting periods, i.e., both before and after the application of the 51/2003 European Directive in the Italian context.	432
Fig. 17.4	Amount of disclosure about nine employee categories (identified by GRI) in both Italian financial and sustainability reporting	433
Fig. 18.1	Correlation between sentiment proxies.	452
Fig. 19.1	Timeline of milestones in the regulatory environment for socially responsible investors.	468
Fig. 20.1	Zambian copper production 1963–2014. <i>Source</i> International Council on Mining and Metals (2013); Zambia Chamber of Mines (2014).	476

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Social organization of Tata Steel. <i>Source</i> Tata Steel 13th CSR report 2012–2013.	23
Table 2.1	The companies analysed: countries, sectors and corporate governance systems	38
Table 2.2	Type of social reporting	39
Table 2.3	The motivation for adopting sustainability reporting	41
Table 2.4	Declared values (mission/vision/strategy overview)	46
Table 2.5	CSR disclosure standards	48
Table 4.1	Problematisation of sustainability assurance practice	87
Table 5.1	Description of CNAO corruption audits in this study.	125
Table 5.2	Summary of studied variables.	126
Table 5.3	Descriptive statistics.	127
Table 5.4	Results of tests on two-phase succession per audit year.	129
Table 5.5	Results of tests on two-phase succession per trial year.	131
Table 5.6	Results of tests on three-phase succession per audit year.	133
Table 5.7	Results of tests on three-phase succession per trial year.	135
Table 7.1	Budget circulars investigated for research.	170
Table 7.2	Descriptive statistics for budget circulars	170
Table 7.3	Frequency of sustainability codes in budget circulars.	171
Table 8.1	Notation for Fig. 8.1.	187
Table 8.2	Notation for Fig. 8.2.	190
Table 8.3	Notation for Fig. 8.3.	191
Table 8.4	Temporary outcome of the game	195
Table 9.1	Wealth creation and allocation under the two theories, ($\beta > 0$).	210
Table 10.1	Descriptive statistics and reliability analysis.	234
Table 10.2	Multiple regression analyses results	234
Table 11.1	The CSR categories	251
Table 11.2	CSR activities reported by companies	251
Table 12.1	Descriptive statistics for SRI and conventional funds.	275
Table 12.2	Summary statistics for EGARCH residuals.	278

Table 12.3	Estimated VaR of SRI and conventional funds.	280
Table 12.4	Estimated ES of SRI and conventional funds.	282
Table 12.5	Violation ratios for SRI and conventional funds.	287
Table 12.6	Monthly risk performance metrics for SRI and conventional funds.	288
Table 12.7	Some of the key SRI studies dating back to 2000.	291
Table 13.1	a Panel A: Legal Origin dimension of Institution. b Panel B: Cultural dimension	314
Table 13.2	Descriptive statistics for all the variables in the model.	320
Table 13.3	ANOVA: Legal institutional factors on CSR disclosures by banks.	321
Table 13.4	Regression results on CSR disclosures and legal institutional factors	322
Table 13.5	Cultural dimensions and CSR disclosures by banks.	324
Table 13.6	Disaggregated CSR disclosure and UAI.	324
Table 14.1	Structure of the annual economic budget and three-year economic budget	340
Table 14.2	Structure of Annual Report year “n”	342
Table 14.3	Stakeholder engagement activities—Interviewed subjects and analyzed topics	350
Table 14.4	Stakeholder engagement activities—Questionnaire recipients and analyzed topics	352
Table 14.5	Stakeholder engagement activities—Subjects and principal topics related to patients.	353
Table 14.6	Example of social performance indicators	354
Table 14.7	Methodology and reading guide—principal content and examples (quoted extracts in italics).	355
Table 14.8	Identity—principal content and examples (quoted extracts in italics)	356
Table 14.9	Social section—principal content and examples	357
Table 15.1	The factors affecting corporate governance based on the previous studies	373
Table 15.2	The coding scheme for the qualitative content analysis of the enforcement law of the policies of Article 44, extracted from (OECD 2005) by the authors	378
Table 15.3	The results of the quantitative analysis for the principles of good corporate governance in “documents of the enforcement law of Article 44” (The figures indicate the number of words in the analysis units of the mentioned documents).	381
Table 15.4	The results of analyzing the enforcement law of Article 44, in terms of existence of the evidence for the principles of good corporate governance in the public sector	381

Table 15.5	The evidences existing in the law for the code of “equal competitive conditions for the private and public sector’s firms”	384
Table 16.1	Overview of corporations, ratio of board members, and counts of violations	403
Table 16.2	Summary of hypothesis testing results	404
Table 16.3	Ratio table—by group	405
Table 17.1	Sampled entities listed on the Italian stock exchange drawing up both consolidated annual and social–environmental reports in both 2005 and 2010	418
Table 17.2	Total (sum) and average (mean) amount of environmental disclosure in Italian sustainability reporting (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and financial reporting (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1)	422
Table 17.3	Total (sum) and average (mean) amount of environmental voluntary disclosure (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and mandatory disclosure (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the 51/2003 European Directive in the Italian context	422
Table 17.4	Descriptive analysis of the completeness of environmental disclosure through three different variables (<i>environmental mention, description, and evaluation</i>) in both social reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and consolidated reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) prepared for the two accounting periods, i.e., both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the regulation examined	426
Table 17.5	Descriptive analysis of the willingness to reveal good and bad news about environmental matters in both social reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and consolidated reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) prepared for the two accounting periods, i.e., both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the examined regulation	427
Table 17.6	Descriptive analysis about the type of environmental information disclosed which can refer to the past, the present, or the future in both social reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and consolidated reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) prepared for the two accounting periods, i.e., both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the regulation examined	428

Table 17.7	Coefficients of the regression models considering as dependent the variables related to the completeness of environmental disclosure (<i>environmental mention, description, and evaluation</i>), the variable related to the willingness to reveal favorable environmental matters, and the variable related to the disclosure of environmental news about past actions	429
Table 17.8	Total (sum) and average (mean) amount of employee disclosure in Italian sustainability reporting (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and financial reporting (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1)	430
Table 17.9	Total (sum) and average (mean) amount of voluntary employee disclosure (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and mandatory disclosure (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the 51/2003 European Directive in the Italian context	431
Table 17.10	Descriptive analysis of the completeness of employee disclosure through three different variables (<i>mention, description, and evaluation</i>) in both social reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and consolidated reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) in the two different accounting periods, i.e., both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the regulation examined	434
Table 17.11	Descriptive analysis of the willingness to reveal good and bad news about employee matters in both social reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and consolidated reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) in the two different accounting periods, i.e., both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the regulation examined	435
Table 17.12	Descriptive analysis about the type of employee information disclosed which can refer to the past, the present, or the future in both social reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 0) and consolidated reports (the variable <i>discltype</i> equals 1) prepared for the two accounting periods, i.e., both before (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 0) and after (the variable <i>regulation</i> equals 1) the application of the regulation examined	436

Table 17.13	Coefficients of the regression models, considering as dependent the variables related to the completeness of employee disclosure (i.e., <i>description</i> and <i>evaluation</i>), the variables related to the willingness to reveal <i>neutral</i> and <i>favorable</i> employee matters, and the variables related to the disclosure of employee news about <i>past</i> , <i>present</i> , and <i>future</i> actions	437
Table 18.1	Descriptive statistics	451
Table 18.2	Regression results	453
Table 18.3	ASSET4 pillars and categories	455
Table 18.4	Direct sentiment surveys.	457
Table 18.5	Components of the Baker and Wurgler (2006) composite sentiment index	458
Table 18.6	Panel regression tests	459
Table 19.1	Profiles of companies interviewed	468
Table 19.2	Current ecosystem of regulatory initiatives and influencers	469
Table 20.1	Major mining operations in Zambia	476
Table 20.2	Annual reports accessed and analysed	483
Table 20.3	Other CSR disclosure media.	483
Table 20.4	Analysis of CSR disclosure in the Zambia mining industry	494

Introduction

This book has as its goal to present works that look at the issues related to social responsibility and sustainability from the perspective of the trade-off between reporting versus regulation. This trade-off can be viewed as a continuum with one endpoint anchored on a complete regulatory approach to ensuring corporations and government organizations adhere to established principles of social responsibility and sustainability. At the other end of the spectrum would be a complete laissez-faire approach to meeting these principles with stakeholders making decisions about whether or not to support firms based on their public disclosures. We see nations spread out along this continuum without examples operating at either endpoint. However, it does seem important at least to frame the debate. This debate can be seen as arguing the point at which governments should operate, either establishing both social responsibility and sustainability targets along with enforcing regulations to achieve these targets or allowing markets to create incentives to achieve these targets. Readers of the book should consider not only the information provided directly, but also how it may inform the debate over the role of regulation versus reporting in meeting the goals of social responsibility and sustainability. For it is these goals that are important at no more critical time than now.

At the core of the social responsibility and sustainability movement is a desire to change the direction of national economies, and with that the global direction toward more sustainable outcomes. There is a belief that the current path of progress will result in a world that will not sufficiently accommodate the global population. The related question concerns how to efficiently and to effectively make this change in direction; are governments the answer or should markets lead the charge? The chapters in this book should be interesting to readers as they consider this question.

In Chap. 1, Sanjay Bhāle and Sudeep Bhāle present vital aspects of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) to establish its relationship with pragmatic business ethos in the pursuit of sustainable growth and economic development. They present evidence, which suggests that only a few organizations have recognized the importance of CSR as an integral part of corporate culture with consistently increasing contribution to the GDP of the nation. This paper includes a conceptual

method to validate the issue with the help of a live case substantiating that CSR is the decisive determinant of sustainable socioeconomic development. This paper also endeavors to support a structural model of business growth based on data gathered during the research.

In Chap. 2, Daniela M. Salvioni, Simona Franzoni, and Francesca Gennari look at the way in which corporate governance decisions are connected to disclosure activities. Sometimes, there are significant differences related to the characteristics of the stock markets and the composition of the corporate ownership. They argue that the emergence of the concepts of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Stakeholder Relations Management involves modifications in the corporate governance approach in accordance with the philosophy of sustainable development as critical element for long-term success in global markets. Their aim is to make some considerations when the CSR adoption and the disclosure represent a factor of convergence between insider and outsider corporate governance systems.

In Chap. 3, Henk Kloppers looks at CSR in the context of companies in South Africa. In the last decade or so, there have been significant leaps in incorporating CSR information in disclosures of South African companies. This is mainly due to the release of the authoritative reports of the King Commission on Corporate Governance and specifically the King II and King III Reports. This chapter examines the CSR content in these reports in order to provide guidance to businesses on the issue of CSR.

In Chap. 4, Charika Channuntapipat looks at the critical issue of providing assurance to sustainability disclosures. The assurance of CSR disclosures has been criticized as a part of greenwashing activities of some organizations. Unlike financial audit practice, sustainability assurance is largely unregulated. Thus, the roles of sustainability assurance providers are not clear whether they serve as a watchdog for stakeholders or business consultants of reporting organizations. This chapter employs a qualitative research approach—actor–network theory, using textual sources as the main data collection method. The chapter focuses on how sustainability assurance providers negotiate their roles and identities through their problematization of the assurance practice.

In Chap. 5, Guangyou Liu and Kaisong Gong examine another critical issue that must be addressed if governments are to regulate firm's socially responsible and sustainable practices; how to avoid corruption that could thwart the government's efforts. The authors investigate the role of state auditing in the anticorruption campaign throughout the Chinese Central Government Succession substantiated in 2012. The chapter reveals that the anticorruption campaign launched by the new Central Government of China concentrates on the political path for the country's healthy and steady socioeconomic development instead of the political purge stereotypes imposed upon it. Furthermore, the chapter shows that CNAO, which performs the state audit, follows the political directions of the renewed anticorruption campaign.

In Chap. 6, Jeffrey Gauthier and Bill Wooldridge develop a theory concerning how ratings of firms' business practices are likely to affect firm behavior. More specifically, the chapter draws from established theory on cognitive choice models

to posit that sustainability ratings systems may be more likely to promote improved social and environmental performance in non-core practices than in core practices. This improved performance constitutes a form of compensating tactics, as ratings agencies' analysts may raise their ratings of firms in which poor sustainability performance in core practices remains.

In Chap. 7, Farzaneh Jalali and Graham Gal examine an issue that can be particularly relevant when governments take a role in a country's sustainable development—how can they direct their actions toward a sustainable future? The chapter examines the role of sustainability and its requirements as well as the consequences in macroplanning and budgeting in Iran. The chapter examines the documents related to budgeting which contain information about sustainability and the way in which sustainability has grown in Iran's budget preparation. Budget circulars for the 10 years from 2007 to 2016 are reviewed with particular attention to the level of sustainability considered. Finally, a picture from budgeting and macroplanning in Iran with the advent of sustainability issue is presented. Sustainability issues as well as sustainable development and environmental issues have gradually evolved to consider economic, social, and environmental impacts.

In Chap. 8, Chen-Wen Chen presents a model of the process by which managers provide signals of the corporate actions to investors. As noted in previous chapters, social responsible and sustainability disclosures are voluntary. This chapter models the reaction of a manager to voluntary disclosures and the strategic decisions within social contexts concerning financial disclosure. Extensions of the base model examine the interaction of financial disclosure, investor relations, and managerial incentive to disclose, such as demonstrating a signaling game between a manager and investors for financial disclosure. With the reaction of investors to voluntary disclosure after information, this chapter analyzes why the disclosure of information has regulated the results as noise for investment decisions.

In Chap. 9, Ertan Kucukyalcin discusses the problem of different stakeholder groups having greater supremacy over the objectives of the corporation. A competition exists between shareholders and other stakeholders for the firm's direction. Proponents of both theories also have agreements on many areas. For example, they agree that corporations should create wealth and consider their stakeholders' concerns in making decisions. However, disagreements remain with important implications; what should be the corporate objective function? This chapter considers both the shareholder and stakeholder theories to construct an explicit corporate objective function with the aim at identifying the conditions under which the two theories converge. This also sheds light on how each theory advises management to act differently in similar business conditions.

In Chap. 10, Panagiotis Reklitis, Panagiotis Trivellas, Mantzaris Ioannis, Elisavet Mantzari, and Dimitrios Reklitis examine the effect of employees' perceptions of CSR activities of their organization on work-related attitudes. Building on the argument that employees' perceptions of CSR activities may be significantly related to workplace attitudes, behaviors, and performance, this chapter examines two CSR aspects (social and environmental) and several work-related attitudes (job performance, employee satisfaction, organizational commitment, OCBO, OCBI).

Their findings highlight that different CSR aspects exert selective direct effects on specific employees' attitudes.

In Chap. 11, Alexandros G. Sahinidis, Dimitra Daskalaki, Elisavet Mantzari, and Ioannis Mantzaris's objective is to investigate the effect of the economic crisis in Greece on companies' CSR budgets. One of the major concerns of firms is that the costs of CSR and sustainability actions make them hard to continue when their financial success is in question. The companies examined, reprioritized their CSR interests, doubling their society-related activities and reducing nearly by half those addressing the company personnel. The focus of the chapter is on a period of recession and shows how companies adapt to pressures during a period of adverse economic conditions and the way they learn to redeploy their resources allocated to social causes.

In Chap. 12 Ewan Mackie, Imon Palit, Madhu Veeraraghavan, and John R. Watson examine the riskiness of investing in socially responsible firms. The chapter identifies a lack of research that includes certain measures of risk when evaluating the return of socially responsible firms. The chapter investigates whether daily returns of Australian socially responsible equity funds have different tail risk exposure in the return distribution compared to matched conventional equity funds. The Australian funds management industry provides a natural setting within which to study the risk exposure of socially responsible investment funds. This is because Australia is the first country to introduce regulations that requires issuers of financial products and financial advisors to disclose and advise on ethical, social, and governance (ESG) considerations.

In Chap. 13, Ismail Adelopo, Musa Obalola, and Ramiro Cea Moure look at the CSR disclosures of Western European Banks. The chapter examines the impact of legal origin and culture on CSR disclosures. The examination is based on the CSR disclosure and other firm-specific information in the sustainability and annual reports for 2005 and 2008. The authors conclude that banks in Civil Law origin countries make more employee and shareholder social disclosures than banks in both Common Law and Scandinavian countries.

In Chap. 14, Stefano Marasca, Lucia Montanini, Alberto Manelli, Alessia D'Andrea, Martina Vallesi, Vania Carignani, and Paolo Galassi investigate the Italian Health System. As the Italian Health System is publicly financed, the individual health units present difficulties in defining and measuring healthcare output and, at the same time, in the communication process to stakeholders about the clinical and ethical impacts of the use of economic resources. The chapter presents a reporting model based on a triple bottom-line approach (social, environmental, and economic) that could offer a system of multi-dimensional analysis, reducing information asymmetries between the health unit and its stakeholders by increasing external communication.

In Chap. 15, Amin Naseri, Rahmatollah Gholipour, and Bita Mashayekhi investigate the issue that governments need to address when they privatize industries which were previously state-owned. Article 44 of Iran's constitution sets out policies, which are the most important legal reference for the economic activities of the public sector's enterprises in Iran. The chapter examines the strengths and

weaknesses of the law in terms of good corporate governance rules. The chapter concludes that the most important weaknesses of Iran's privatization policies are as follows: (a) lack of a clear ownership policy for the state-owned enterprises; (b) insufficient consideration of the private and cooperative sectors and minority shareholders' concerns when privatizing large state-owned enterprises; (c) lack of an appropriate mechanism for a balanced relationship with all stakeholders.

In Chap. 16, Tomeika Williams examines issues related to fraud in the precious metals industry. The "tone of the top" sets an example of ethical behavior within an organization and is a responsibility of the board and executive management. The chapter reviews board composition characteristics of publicly traded corporations in the precious metals industry in 11 fraud cases from the year 2012. Several theories are presented to find a possible linkage between internal and independent corporate board members that may be in violation due to lack of strong corporate culture.

In Chap. 17, Marisa Agostini and Ericka Costa discuss the importance and relevancy of integrated reporting as investors have been requiring more information about how sustainability issues and initiatives are expected to contribute to the long-term growth. Both financial and non-financial reporting together provide stakeholders with a comprehensive view of the position and the performance of companies. This integrated reporting has also been encouraged by some European regulatory bodies. Despite this interest, social and environmental information is still disclosed differently in consolidated annual reports and in social-environmental reports.

In Chap. 18, Emrah Keleş and Ayten Çetin show that CSR increases volatility since it creates noise in financial markets. The chapter uses ESG research data from a large number of US firms and places them into high, medium, and low groups along with their social and environmental scores. The chapter then predicts the returns of these groups using investor's sentiment, which has the tendency to act based on cognitive biases rather than all the information at hand.

In Chap. 19, Julian Lustig Gonzalez and Laura Harcourt report on differences and similarities between various managers in creating socially responsible portfolios. This chapter examines criteria used by investment firms as a basis for standardized selection of socially responsible firms.

In Chap. 20, Obby Phiri and Elisavet Mantzari examine the mining industry in Zambia and their CSR practices. Using CSR disclosures and interviews of mining managers the authors explore motives for these disclosures and the prospects for future reporting.