

**TOPIC-FOCUS ARTICULATION, TRIPARTITE STRUCTURES,
AND SEMANTIC CONTENT**

Studies in Linguistics and Philosophy

Volume 71

Managing Editors

GENNARO CHIERCHIA, *University of Milan*
PAULINE JACOBSON, *Brown University*
FRANCIS J. PELLETIER, *University of Alberta*

Editorial Board

JOHAN VAN BENTHEM, *University of Amsterdam*
GREGORY N. CARLSON, *University of Rochester*
DAVID DOWTY, *Ohio State University, Columbus*
GERALD GAZDAR, *University of Sussex, Brighton*
IRENE HEIM, *M.I.T., Cambridge*
EWAN KLEIN, *University of Edinburgh*
BILL LADUSAW, *University of California at Santa Cruz*
TERRENCE PARSONS, *University of California, Irvine*

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

TOPIC-FOCUS ARTICULATION, TRIPARTITE STRUCTURES, AND SEMANTIC CONTENT

edited by

EVA HAJIČOVÁ

*Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Charles University,
Prague, Czech Republic*

BARBARA H. PARTEE

*Department of Linguistics,
University of Massachusetts at Amherst,
Amherst, MA, U.S.A.*

and

PETR SGALL

*Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Charles University,
Prague, Czech Republic*



Springer-Science+Business Media, B.V.

A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-90-481-5116-5 ISBN 978-94-015-9012-9 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-94-015-9012-9

Printed on acid-free paper

02-0999-150 ts

All Rights Reserved

©1998 Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht
Originally published by Kluwer Academic Publishers in 1998.
Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 1998

No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	ix
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives	1
1.2 Background	2
1.3 Plan of the work	7
2. Towards an investigation of the relation between topic-focus articulation and tripartite structures	13
2.1 Tripartite structures: background	13
2.2 Tripartite structures and topic-focus structure	20
2.2.1 Background	20
2.2.2 Which constructions are focus-sensitive?	24
2.2.3 Connecting topic-focus structure and domain selection to anaphora, presupposition, and context-dependence	26
2.2.4 Topic-focus articulation and its significance in both pragmatic and dynamic semantic interpretation	29
2.2.5 Formalization of three basic examples	30
2.3 TFA, the anchoring of sentences in context, and semantics . . .	54
2.3.1 TFA, communicative dynamism, and contextual boundness	54
2.3.2 The semantic relevance of TFA	60
2.3.3 Systemic ordering of kinds of complementations	66
2.3.4 TFA, presupposition, and reference	70
2.3.5 Hierarchical CD and projectivity	72
2.3.6 Contrastive topic and left dislocation	74
2.3.7 Summary	75
3. Remarks on common background and shared assumptions . . .	79
3.1 Linguistic meaning	80
3.2 Compositionality	87
4. Obstacles to joint work	91
4.1 Initial view of obstacles from BHP's perspective	91
4.2 Initial obstacles from HS's perspective	93

4.3	The partial ordering of communicative dynamism vs. recursive branching structure	95
4.4	The attachment of <i>only</i> and other focalizers	98
4.4.1	HS's view	99
4.4.2	BHP's view	100
5.	Dialogue, progressing towards a common basis for discussion	103
5.1	Notions of topic and comment, background and focus, and scope	103
5.1.1	"Topic-comment" and "background-focus": two distinctions or one?	103
5.1.2	Focus, scope, and background	106
5.2	"Focus-sensitivity", "focalizers": views of what they are	114
5.2.1	What is focus-sensitivity?	114
5.2.2	Issues in the order of explanation and the hypothesizing of silent focus and/or abstract focalizers	118
5.3	The issue of universality/parochiality of TFA	120
6.	Some hypotheses proposed and examined	129
6.1	Initial hypotheses	130
6.2	Discussion of some problematic cases	134
6.2.1	Embedded focus and proxy focus	134
6.2.2	The focus of a focalizer	136
6.2.3	Taglicht's examples	138
6.2.4	Other specific cases	143
6.2.5	Focalizer within a noun group	146
6.2.6	Summary	147
6.3	Focalizers in the topic	149
6.3.1	Focus of a focalizer within topic	149
6.3.2	Local focus within topic may bear phrasal stress	155
6.3.3	Recursivity of TFA vs. reoccurring focus of a focalizer within topic	159
6.4	Focalizer as the only element of focus	161
6.5	Conclusions	161
6.5.1	Hypotheses reconsidered	163
6.5.2	With or without NP-attachment	166
6.5.3	Review of examples	167

TABLE OF CONTENTS

7. Future directions	171
7.1 Sentence structure and cognitive content	171
7.2 Recursivity of TFA	172
7.3 Communicative dynamism as a linear or partial ordering	173
7.4 The nature of focus-sensitivity	174
7.5 Dependency vs. constituency and categorial grammar	176
7.6 Other issues open to further discussion	176
References	179
List of abbreviations	197
Name index	199
Subject index	203

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

When preparing the final version of the manuscript, the authors benefitted greatly from the opportunity to employ and react to comments from several colleagues who read the first version. We would like especially to thank Emmon Bach, Christine Bartels, Elena Benedicto, Kai von Fintel, Roger Higgins, Joachim Jacobs, Hans Kamp, Eva Koktová, Alice ter Meulen, Jaroslav Peregrin, Elisabeth Selkirk, Mark Steedman, and Satoshi Tomioka, whose remarks have inspired us in many points, and, last but not least, to the anonymous reviewers of the manuscript, who have given us many valuable comments. We are grateful to Kathleen Adamczyk, Lynne Ballard, Libuše Brdičková and Květa Králíková for their help in production support and in the administration of various aspects of our project. Our special thanks go to Tomáš Hoskovec, who has devoted enormous efforts to formatting the final camera-ready copy.

Research for this book was supported in part by two grants from the International Research and Exchanges Board (IREX) to Partee to spend two semesters at Charles University engaged in this research collaboration; IREX administered these grants with funds provided by the National Endowment for the Humanities, the United States Information Agency, and the United States Department of State which administers the Title VIII Program. This publication is based also in part on work sponsored by the U.S.-Czechoslovak Science and Technology Joint Fund in cooperation with the U.S. National Science Foundation and the Czech Ministry of Education under Project Number 920-58, 1992-95, "Semantics of English and Czech Sentence Structure and Word Order: Contributions to a Theory of Formal Semantics and Information Structure", Eva Hajičová, Principal Investigator and Barbara Partee, U.S. Co-Partner. In the final stages of the work on the manuscript, Hajičová profited from her stay at the University of Leipzig, made possible by a research award from Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung.

Our discussions and joint work were made easier by many-sided support from the University of Massachusetts, especially for sabbatical leaves to Partee in 1989-90 and in the spring of 1995, and from Charles University, its Faculties of Mathematics and Physics and of Philosophy, with its Vilém Mathesius Center. None of the supporting organizations is responsible for the views expressed.