

ANALYTICAL PHILOSOPHY IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE

SYNTHESE LIBRARY

STUDIES IN EPISTEMOLOGY,
LOGIC, METHODOLOGY, AND PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE

Managing Editor:

JAAKKO HINTIKKA, *Florida State University, Tallahassee*

Editors:

DONALD DAVIDSON, *University of California, Berkeley*

GABRIËL NUCHELMANS, *University of Leyden*

WESLEY C. SALMON, *University of Pittsburgh*

VOLUME 178

ANALYTICAL PHILOSOPHY IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE

Exploratory Essays in Current Theories and
Classical Indian Theories of Meaning and Reference

Edited by

BIMAL KRISHNA MATILAL

All Souls College, Oxford

and

JAYSANKAR LAL SHAW

*Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
and University of Hawaii, Honolulu*

D. REIDEL PUBLISHING COMPANY

A MEMBER OF THE KLUWER



ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS GROUP

DORDRECHT / BOSTON / LANCASTER

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data



Main entry under title:

Analytical philosophy in comparative perspective.

(Synthese library ; v. 178)

Includes index.

1. Analysis (Philosophy)-Addresses, essays, lectures. I. Matilal, Bimal Krishna, II. Shaw, J. L., 1939-
B808.5.A533 1984 149'.943 84-20259
ISBN-13: 978-94-009-6501-0 e-ISBN-13: 978-94-009-6499-0
DOI: 10.1007/978-94-009-6499-0

Published by D. Reidel Publishing Company,
P.O. Box 17, 3300 AA Dordrecht, Holland.

Sold and distributed in the U.S.A. and Canada
by Kluwer Academic Publishers,
190 Old Derby Street, Hingham, MA 02043, U.S.A.

In all other countries, sold and distributed
by Kluwer Academic Publishers Group,
P.O. Box 322, 3300 AH Dordrecht, Holland.

All Rights Reserved

© 1985 by D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland
No part of the material protected by this copyright notice may be reproduced or
utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical
including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and
retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| Preface | ix |
| Analytical Philosophy in Comparative Perspective: An Introduction Bimal K. Matilal | 1 |
| We Are All Children of God M. J. Cresswell | 39 |
| The Syncategorematic Treatment of Predicates Paul Gochet | 61 |
| The Paradox of Naming William Lycan | 81 |
| Substance and Kind: Reflections on the New Theory of Reference Steven E. Boër | 103 |
| The Easy Examination Paradox Frank Jackson | 151 |
| Models for Actions Krister Segerberg | 161 |
| Some Problems Concerning Meaning Kalidas Bhattacharya | 173 |
| Abstraction, Analysis and Universals: The Navya-Nyāya Theory Sibajiban Bhattacharya | 189 |
| Psychologism in Indian Logical Theory J. N. Mohanty | 203 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| A Speech-Act Model for Understanding Navya-Nyāya Epistemology Karl H. Potter | 213 |
| Some Epistemologically Misleading Expressions: "Inference", and " <u>Anumāna</u> ", "Perception" and " <u>Pratyaksa</u> " Douglas D. Daye | 231 |
| The Prābhākara Mimāṃsā Theory of Related Designation Mark Siderits | 253 |
| Plato's Indian Barbers Arindam Chakrabarti | 299 |
| Proper Names: Contemporary Philosophy and the Nyāya J. L. Shaw | 327 |
| Awareness and Meaning in Navya-Nyāya Bimal K. Matilal | 373 |
| Index | 393 |

We dedicate this volume to
the memory of

Professor Kalidas Bhattacharya

who died suddenly
when the volume was in preparation

PREFACE

We are grateful to the authors who wrote papers specially for this volume and kindly gave their permission for printing them together. None of these papers appeared anywhere before.

Our special thanks are due to the first six authors who kindly responded to our request and agreed to join this new venture which we are calling 'comparative perspective' in analytical philosophy. In the introductory essay certain salient points from each paper have been noted only to show how 'comparative perspective' may add to, and be integrated with, modern philosophical discussion in the analytic tradition. Needless to say, any mistake, possible mis-attribution or misrepresentation of the views of the original authors of the papers (appearing in the said introductory essay) is entirely the responsibility of the author of that essay. The author apologizes if there has been such unintentional misrepresentation and insists that the readers should depend upon the original papers themselves for their own understanding. For typographical problems it has not always been possible to use the symbols originally used by the authors, but care has been taken to use the proper substitute for each of them.