

Futures of Reproduction

INTERNATIONAL LIBRARY OF ETHICS, LAW,
AND THE NEW MEDICINE

Founding Editors

DAVID C. THOMASMA[†]

DAVID N. WEISSTUB, *Université de Montréal, Canada*

THOMASINE KIMBROUGH KUSHNER, *University of California, Berkeley,
U.S.A.*

Editor

DAVID N. WEISSTUB, *Université de Montréal, Canada*

Editorial Board

TERRY CARNEY, *University of Sydney, Australia*

MARCUS DÜWELL, *Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands*

SØREN HOLM, *University of Manchester, United Kingdom*

GERRIT K. KIMSMA, *Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands*

DAVID NOVAK, *University of Toronto, Canada*

EDMUND D. PELLEGRINO, *Georgetown University, Washington D.C., U.S.A.*

DOM RENZO PEGORARO, *Fondazione Lanza and University of Padua, Italy*

DANIEL P. SULMASY, *The University of Chicago, U.S.A.*

VOLUME 49

For other titles published in this series, go to
<http://www.springer.com/series/6224>

Catherine Mills

Futures of Reproduction

Bioethics and Biopolitics

 Springer

Catherine Mills
University of Sydney
Centre for Values,
Ethics and Law in Medicine and
Unit for History and Philosophy of Science
Camperdown, New South Wales, 2006
Australia
catherine.mills@sydney.edu.au

ISSN 1567-8008

ISBN 978-94-007-1426-7

e-ISBN 978-94-007-1427-4

DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-1427-4

Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011926359

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

For my mother

Acknowledgements

Undoubtedly, too much work for this book has been undertaken in solitude. Nevertheless, over the course of this project, many people have provided assistance in the form of inspiration, encouragement, comment and critique, for which I am very grateful. Particular thanks are due to: Robert Bernasconi, Morgan Brigg, Judith Butler, Penelope Deutscher, Rosalyn Diprose, Susan Dodds, Ian Kerridge, Paul Komesaroff, Fiona Jenkins, MaryBeth Mader, Paolo Marrati, Ann Murphy, Paul Patton, Niamh Stephenson, Catherine Waldby, and Elizabeth Wilson. I am also grateful for the comments from participants at the Feminist Theory Workshop at Duke University in 2010, and audience members at various seminars in Australia, Canada, Singapore, the United Kingdom and the United States of America. My partner, Robert Sparrow, has not only read and commented on almost all of the manuscript, but has also provided inestimable emotional support and intellectual inspiration. His assistance with areas of philosophy with which I am less familiar has been invaluable, and has substantially improved the book beyond my own efforts. Our daughter, Salena, has taught me more about the importance of keeping futures of reproduction open than I have learnt from any book.

During a period of research leave from University of New South Wales in 2006, I spent several months working on ideas for this book at the ESRC Centre for Economic and Social Aspects of Genomics (Cesagen) at Lancaster University and The Pembroke Centre for Teaching and Research on Women at Brown University. The Faculty of Arts at the University of New South Wales also awarded me a Teaching Release Fellowship to provide me with time to work on this manuscript for a semester in 2008. I am grateful for this institutional support, which makes it possible to write books.

Chapters 3, 5 and 6 of this book draw upon previously published articles. These are, respectively, Mills, C. 2011. Reproductive Autonomy as Self-making: Procreative Liberty and the Practice of Ethical Subjectivity. *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy* Forthcoming. Mills, C. 2008. Genetic screening and selfhood: Toward a bioethics of singularity. *Australian Feminist Studies* 23(55):43–55; and Mills, C. 2008. Images and emotion in abortion debates. *American Journal of Bioethics* 8(12):61–62.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Disability, Gender and Selective Termination	1
1.2 Liberal Eugenics	4
1.3 What is Biopolitics?	7
Bibliography	9
2 Normal Life: Liberal Eugenics, Value Pluralism and Normalisation	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Shaping People: Human Enhancement and Normality	12
2.3 What is Normalisation?	24
2.4 The Vitality of Social Norms	29
2.5 Conclusion	34
Bibliography	35
3 Reproductive Autonomy as Self-Making	37
3.1 Introduction	37
3.2 The Presumptive Priority of Reproductive Liberty	38
3.3 Enacting Freedom: The Ethical Practice of Reproductive Autonomy	47
3.4 Conclusion	54
Bibliography	55
4 The Limits of Reproductive Autonomy: Prenatal Testing, Harm and Disability	57
4.1 Disability, Harm and the Non-identity Problem	58
4.2 The Expressivist Critique of Prenatal Testing: A Defense	72
4.3 Conclusion	80
Bibliography	81
5 Reproducing Alterity: Ethical Subjectivity and Genetic Screening	85
5.1 Introduction	85
5.2 Genetic Selection and Ethical Self-Understanding	86
5.3 Natality, Corporeality, Singularity	91
5.4 Screening Singularity	96

5.5 Conclusion	98
Bibliography	99
6 Ultrasound, Embodiment and Abortion	101
6.1 Introduction	101
6.2 Ultrasound Images and the Sympathetic Imagination	103
6.3 The Social Production of Sympathy: Biopolitical Reproduction . .	110
6.4 The Ethical Demand of Embodied Appearance: Relationality and Responsibility	115
6.5 Conclusion	119
Bibliography	120
7 Final Remarks	123
Bibliography	127
Index	129