

MORAL ACQUAINTANCES AND MORAL DECISIONS

Philosophy and Medicine

VOLUME 103

Founding Co-Editor

Stuart F. Spicker

Senior Editor

H. Tristram Engelhardt, Jr., *Department of Philosophy, Rice University,
and Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas*

Associate Editor

Lisa M. Rasmussen, *Department of Philosophy, University of North Carolina
at Charlotte, Charlotte, North Carolina*

Editorial Board

George J. Agich, *Department of Philosophy, Bowling Green State University,
Bowling Green, Ohio*

Nicholas Capaldi, *College of Business Administration, Loyola University,
New Orleans, New Orleans, Louisiana*

Edmund Erde, *University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Stratford,
New Jersey*

Christopher Tollefsen, *Department of Philosophy, University of South Carolina,
Columbia, South Carolina*

Kevin Wm. Wildes, S.J., *President Loyola University, New Orleans,
New Orleans, Louisiana*

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/6414>

MORAL ACQUAINTANCES AND MORAL DECISIONS

RESOLVING MORAL CONFLICTS IN MEDICAL ETHICS

by

STEPHEN S. HANSON

University of Louisville, KY, USA

 Springer

Stephen S. Hanson
Department of Philosophy
Louisville, Kentucky 40292
USA
stephen.hanson@louisville.edu

ISBN 978-90-481-2507-4 e-ISBN 978-90-481-2508-1
DOI 10.1007/978-90-481-2508-1
Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2009927031

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

This book is dedicated to all those who helped me along the way, in large and small ways. Special thanks are due to H. Tristram Engelhardt, Jr., whose continual support for this project helped lead to its completion. Finally, I give my love to Caroline, Camille, and Lena.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the original publishers of the following works for their permission to use portions of these works in this book:

Portions of chapter two were published in an earlier form as “Engelhardt and Children: The Failure of Libertarian Bioethics in Pediatric Interactions.” *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal* 15 (2) June 2005: 179-198. Copyright The Johns Hopkins University Press 2005.

Portions of chapter five were published in an earlier form as “Moral Acquaintances: Loewy, Wildes, and Beyond.” *HEC Forum* 19(3) 2007: 207-225. Copyright Springer 2007.

Portions of chapter five come from a paper entitled “Richard Zaner and ‘Standard’ Medical Ethics,” written for the festschrift for Richard Zaner, edited by Osbourne Wiggins, to appear in the same series as this volume.

Contents

1	Justifying Moral Claims in a Pluralistic Society	1
	Moral Disagreement in a Secular, Pluralistic Society	4
	Definitions	6
	The Problems of Concern in This Work	8
	Three Attempts to Resolve Moral Problems	8
	Is There Any Solution?	14
2	Engelhardt and the Content-Free (?) Principle of Permission	15
	Engelhardt's Content-Free Theory	16
	"Content-Free" Ethics	18
	"Conclusion-Free" Ethics? Infants, Ownership, and Unconscious Persons	23
	Case 2.1: Damned If You Do.	23
	Case 2.2: Problems with Ownership	26
	Case 2.3: "Semi-Persons"?	35
	The Limitations of Contracts	41
	The Second Argument—A More Minimal Ethical Grammar	46
	Wanted: Moral Analysis	47
	The Rejection of Force	50
	The Argument from Intellectual Authority	51
	The Argument from Praise and Blame	55
	Back to Nihilism?	62
3	The Four-Principles Approach: An Appeal to the Common Morality for Resolution and Justification	65
	Why These Principles?	69
	Two Versions of the Common Morality	70
	Conflict of Principles, Balancing, and Specification	74
	Specification of Principles	76
	The Objections of Clouser, Gert, and Green	77
	Specification and Reflective Equilibrium as a Response	78
	A Further Difficulty	85

Specification and (Lack of) Universal Agreement	86
Differing Specifications in a Pluralistic Society	89
Justification of Actions on the Principles Approach	92
4 Casuistry in a Pluralistic Society	101
How Casuistry Works	102
Problems with Analogical Reasoning: Maxims and the Common Morality .	103
The Existence of Commonly Held Paradigms and Their Limitations . . .	109
Further Troubles: The Problem of Hidden Assumptions and Values	113
A Possible Response: Medicine Provides the Maxims	116
5 Moral Acquaintanceships as a Means of Conflict Resolution	123
The (Limited) Usefulness of Principle-Based Theory	125
Principle-Based Resolutions in Pluralistic Settings	127
Where Do We Go from Here?	128
Moral Friends and Moral Acquaintances	130
Three Non-rival Versions of Moral Acquaintanceships	133
The Separation of the Moral and the Social	141
Moral Choices in a Social Context	143
Moral Community, Moral Friendship, and Moral Acquaintance	148
A Challenge	151
Moral Acquaintanceships and the Mini-Culture of Medical Cases	156
Conclusion	159
Bibliography	167
Index	173