

# **Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences**

Volume 180

## **Series editors**

Manuel Cardona, Stuttgart, Germany

Klaus von Klitzing, Stuttgart, Germany

Roberto Merlin, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Hans-Joachim Queisser, Stuttgart, Germany

The Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences consists of fundamental scientific books prepared by leading researchers in the field. They strive to communicate, in a systematic and comprehensive way, the basic principles as well as new developments in theoretical and experimental solid-state physics.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/682>

Adolfo Avella · Ferdinando Mancini  
Editors

# Strongly Correlated Systems

Experimental Techniques

With 117 Figures

 Springer

*Editors*

Adolfo Avella  
Dipartimento di Fisica “E.R. Caianiello”  
Università degli Studi di Salerno  
Fisciano (SA)  
Italy

Ferdinando Mancini  
Dipartimento di Fisica “E.R. Caianiello”  
Università degli Studi di Salerno  
Fisciano (SA)  
Italy

ISSN 0171-1873

ISBN 978-3-662-44132-9

DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-44133-6

ISSN 2197-4179 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-662-44133-6 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013930016

Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media ([www.springer.com](http://www.springer.com))

# Preface

This volume “Experimental Techniques for Strongly Correlated Systems”, together with the set “Methods and Techniques for Strongly Correlated Systems” it belongs to, builds upon the long-standing experience we have acquired in organizing the “Trainings Course in the Physics of Strongly Correlated Systems” in Vietri sul Mare (Salerno, Italy) since 1996 and our scientific working experience in the field. Running a school for advanced graduate students and junior postdocs, we have realized that this field of condensed matter and solid-state physics lacked in adequate textbooks and that the whole strongly correlated systems community would benefit from a systematic exposition of the field. The present volume consists of a series of monographs on the most relevant experimental techniques currently used to tackle the hoary problem of correlations. The authors have been selected, the major experts in the field have been consulted, among the most world-wide famous scientists who have invented or greatly helped improve/spread the specific technique in the community. Each chapter presents the method in a pedagogical way and contains at least one case study where the method has proved to give a substantial leap forward in the knowledge and a very rich bibliography. The book is mainly intended for neophytes, who will find in one single volume all the pieces of information necessary to choose and start learning an experimental technique. Also more experienced researchers would benefit from this volume as they would gain a deeper understanding of what any single technique can really tell them and what cannot. Accordingly, the accent is more on the ideas behind (origins, pros/cons, perspectives, ...) than on the technical details, which are left to the comprehensive bibliography.

We wish to thank all the authors of this volume as they all joined this editorial project with enthusiasm and provided the whole community with what we hope will become a relevant resource for any researcher in the field as a comprehensive and extended reference.

Salerno, Italy  
June 2014

Adolfo Avella  
Ferdinando Mancini

# Contents

<b>Foreword</b> .....	xv
Hans R. Ott	
<b>1 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance as a Probe of Strongly Correlated Electron Systems</b> .....	1
Nicholas J. Curro	
1.1 Basics of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. ....	1
1.1.1 NMR Spectra .....	1
1.1.2 Density Matrix Solution .....	3
1.1.2.1 Statistical Ensemble. ....	4
1.1.2.2 The Rotating Frame. ....	5
1.1.2.3 Bloch Equations .....	8
1.1.3 Pulse Techniques .....	9
1.1.3.1 Spin Echoes .....	11
1.1.3.2 Spin Lattice Relaxation .....	13
1.2 Quadrupolar Nuclei .....	14
1.2.1 Quadrupolar Nuclei in Field .....	15
1.2.1.1 Nuclear Quadrupolar Resonance .....	18
1.2.1.2 Angular Dependence .....	18
1.3 Hyperfine Couplings .....	19
1.3.1 Knight Shift .....	20
1.3.2 Spin Lattice Relaxation. ....	21
1.4 Case Study: Field Induced Magnetism .....	23
References .....	28
<b>2 ARPES: A Probe of Electronic Correlations</b> .....	31
Riccardo Comin and Andrea Damascelli	
2.1 Introduction .....	31
2.2 The ARPES Technique. ....	36
2.3 Kinematics of Photoemission. ....	38
2.4 Three-Step Model and Sudden Approximation. ....	42
2.5 One-Particle Spectral Function. ....	45

2.6	Matrix Elements and Finite Resolution Effects. . . . .	49
2.7	State-of-the-Art Photoemission . . . . .	51
2.8	Physics of Correlations—The ARPES Perspective . . . . .	54
2.8.1	Origin of Correlations in Photoelectron Spectroscopy . . . . .	55
2.8.2	Electron-Phonon Correlations in Solids: The Polaron . . . . .	58
2.8.3	Doping-Controlled Coherence: The Cuprates. . . . .	60
2.8.4	Temperature-Controlled Coherence: The Manganites . . . . .	61
2.8.5	Probing Coherence with Polarization: The Cobaltates. . . . .	62
2.8.6	Correlated Relativistic Metals: Spin-Orbit Coupled 4 <i>d</i> -TMOs . . . . .	64
2.8.7	Mott Criterion and Spin-Orbit Coupling: 5 <i>d</i> TMOs . . . . .	65
2.8.8	Relativistic Mott Insulating Behavior: Na <sub>2</sub> IrO <sub>3</sub> . . . . .	66
	References . . . . .	68
<b>3</b>	<b>Spectroscopic Imaging STM: Atomic-Scale Visualization of Electronic Structure and Symmetry in Underdoped Cuprates . . . . .</b>	<b>73</b>
	Kazuhiro Fujita, Mohammad Hamidian, Inês Firmo, Sourin Mukhopadhyay, Chung Koo Kim, Hiroshi Eisaki, Shin-ichi Uchida and J.C. Davis	
3.1	Electronic Structure of Hole-doped Cuprates . . . . .	74
3.2	Bi <sub>2</sub> Sr <sub>2</sub> CaCu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> Crystals . . . . .	76
3.3	Spectroscopic Imaging Scanning Tunneling Microscopy . . . . .	78
3.4	Effect of Magnetic and Non-magnetic Impurity Atoms . . . . .	82
3.5	Nanoscale Electronic Disorder in Bi <sub>2</sub> Sr <sub>2</sub> CaCu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8+δ</sub> . . . . .	85
3.6	Bogoliubov Quasiparticle Interference Imaging . . . . .	88
3.7	Broken Spatial Symmetries of $E \sim \Delta_1$ Pseudogap States. . . . .	93
3.8	Interplay of Intra-unit-cell and Incommensurate Broken Symmetry States . . . . .	99
3.9	Conclusions . . . . .	104
	References . . . . .	105
<b>4</b>	<b>Point Contact Spectroscopy in Strongly Correlated Systems. . . . .</b>	<b>111</b>
	Guy Deutscher	
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	111
4.2	Experimental Realization of Point Contacts . . . . .	113
4.2.1	Resistance of a Sharvin Contact. . . . .	113
4.2.2	Scattering Effects. . . . .	114
4.2.3	Critical Velocity Effects . . . . .	115
4.2.4	Contact Size . . . . .	116
4.2.5	Practical Realization of Point Contacts . . . . .	117

4.3	Point Contact Spectroscopy of Conventional Superconductors . . .	117
4.4	Fitting the Symmetry of the Superconductor Order Parameter . . . . .	119
4.5	Theory of ASJ Reflections in the Presence of Retardation Effects. . . . .	122
4.6	Experimental Determination of the Mass Enhancement Factor: From Conventional Superconductors to Heavy Fermions. . . . .	124
4.6.1	A Conventional Superconductor: Nb . . . . .	124
4.6.2	MgB <sub>2</sub> : A Weakly Correlated High Temperature Superconductor . . . . .	125
4.6.3	High T <sub>c</sub> Cuprates. . . . .	126
4.6.4	An Example of Moderate Heavy Fermion: PuCoGa <sub>5</sub> . . .	127
4.6.5	Strong Heavy Fermions: UBe <sub>13</sub> and CeCoIn <sub>5</sub> . . . . .	129
4.6.6	Pnictides. . . . .	131
4.7	Spin Active Interfaces . . . . .	132
4.8	Conclusions . . . . .	133
	References . . . . .	134
<b>5</b>	<b>Quantum Oscillation Measurements Applied to Strongly Correlated Electron Systems. . . . .</b>	<b>137</b>
	Stephen R. Julian	
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	137
5.2	Theory of the de Haas-van Alphen Effect. . . . .	139
5.2.1	Landau Quantization and the Onsager Relation . . . . .	139
5.2.2	The Effect of Temperature and Scattering . . . . .	142
5.2.3	The Spin Damping Factor. . . . .	147
5.2.4	Three-Dimensional Fermi Surfaces. . . . .	150
5.2.5	The Full Lifshitz-Kosevich Equation . . . . .	152
5.3	Measuring the de Haas-van Alphen Effect. . . . .	153
5.3.1	The Field Modulation Technique . . . . .	153
5.3.2	Torque Magnetometry . . . . .	155
5.3.3	Transport and Other Measurements . . . . .	157
5.3.4	Pulsed Versus DC Field . . . . .	158
5.3.5	Analysis of the dHvA Signal. . . . .	159
5.4	Case Study: Sr <sub>2</sub> RuO <sub>4</sub> . . . . .	160
5.4.1	Summary of Normal State Properties . . . . .	161
5.4.2	Summary of Quantum Oscillation Results and Analyses. . . . .	161
5.4.3	Correspondence with Bulk Properties . . . . .	167
5.5	Conclusions . . . . .	169
	References . . . . .	169



<b>6</b>	<b>Pressure Probes</b> . . . . .	173
	Michael Nicklas	
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	173
6.2	Pressure Generation . . . . .	174
6.2.1	Pressure Cells . . . . .	174
6.2.2	Piston-Cylinder Type Pressure Cells . . . . .	175
6.2.3	Opposed-Anvil Type Pressure Cells . . . . .	176
6.2.4	Indenter-type Pressure Cells . . . . .	179
6.2.5	Other Types of Pressure Cells . . . . .	180
6.2.6	Electrical Connections . . . . .	180
6.3	Pressure Determination and Transmitting Media . . . . .	181
6.3.1	Pressure Determination . . . . .	181
6.3.2	Pressure Transmitting Media . . . . .	183
6.4	Physical Probes . . . . .	183
6.4.1	Electrical Transport . . . . .	183
6.4.2	Thermal Transport and Thermoelectric Power . . . . .	184
	6.4.2.1 Thermal Transport . . . . .	184
	6.4.2.2 Thermoelectric Power . . . . .	184
6.4.3	Heat Capacity . . . . .	185
6.4.4	Thermal Expansion and Magnetostriction . . . . .	186
6.4.5	Magnetic Susceptibility and Magnetization . . . . .	187
6.4.6	De Haas–van Alphen Oscillations . . . . .	189
6.4.7	Magnetic Resonance . . . . .	189
	6.4.7.1 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance . . . . .	190
	6.4.7.2 Electron-Spin Resonance . . . . .	190
	6.4.7.3 Muon-Spin Rotation/Resonance . . . . .	190
6.4.8	Neutron Scattering . . . . .	191
6.4.9	Mössbauer Spectroscopy . . . . .	191
6.4.10	Optical Spectroscopy and Related Techniques . . . . .	192
6.5	Pressure Tuning of Strongly Correlated Materials . . . . .	192
	References . . . . .	198
<b>7</b>	<b>Neutron Scattering and Its Application to Strongly Correlated Systems</b> . . . . .	205
	Igor A. Zaliznyak and John M. Tranquada	
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	205
7.2	Basic Properties of the Neutron and Its Interaction with Matter . . . . .	206
7.3	Neutron Sources . . . . .	207
7.4	Neutron Interactions and Scattering Lengths . . . . .	210
7.5	Cross-Section Measured in a Neutron Scattering Experiment . . . . .	211
7.6	Nuclear Scattering in Condensed Matter . . . . .	213
7.7	Nuclear Scattering in a Crystal: The Bragg Peaks and the Phonons . . . . .	215

7.8	Magnetic Scattering in a Crystal: Magnetic Form Factor and Spin Correlations . . . . .	218
7.8.1	The Detailed Balance Constraint and the FDT . . . . .	219
7.8.2	Elastic and Inelastic Scattering . . . . .	220
7.8.3	Magnetic Order and Magnetic Bragg Peaks . . . . .	220
7.8.4	Magnetic Form Factor and Spin Correlations . . . . .	222
7.8.5	Spin Waves . . . . .	224
7.8.6	Anisotropic Magnetic Form Factor and Covalency . . . . .	225
7.9	Application to Cuprate Superconductors . . . . .	228
	References . . . . .	234
<b>8</b>	<b>Muon Spin Relaxation Studies of Unconventional Superconductors: First-Order Behavior and Comparable Spin-Charge Energy Scales . . . . .</b>	<b>237</b>
	Yasutomo J. Uemura	
8.1	Brief History and Technical Overview of $\mu$ SR . . . . .	237
8.2	Magnetic Phase Diagrams of Unconventional Superconductors . . . . .	240
8.3	Search for Time-Reversal Symmetry Breaking in the Superconducting and Pseudogap States . . . . .	246
8.4	London Penetration Depth and Pairing Symmetry . . . . .	247
8.5	Correlation Between $T_c$ , Superfluid Density, and Superfluid Fermi Energy . . . . .	250
8.6	Comparisons of Charge and Spin Energy Scales and BEC-BCS Crossover Picture . . . . .	253
8.7	Phase Separation and Overlap of Superconducting and Magnetic States . . . . .	256
8.8	First Order Evolution, Roton-Analogue Resonance Mode, and Quantum Criticality . . . . .	257
8.9	Future Outlook . . . . .	259
	References . . . . .	260
<b>9</b>	<b>Optical Properties of Correlated Electrons . . . . .</b>	<b>269</b>
	Dirk van der Marel	
9.1	Reflection of Electromagnetic Waves . . . . .	270
9.2	Optical Conductivity, Current and Electric Field . . . . .	272
9.3	Transverse and Longitudinal Dielectric Function . . . . .	274
9.4	Quantum Electrodynamics of Electrons in a Lattice . . . . .	275
9.4.1	Coupling of Interacting Electrons in Solids to an Electromagnetic Field . . . . .	276
9.4.2	General Consideration About the Calculation of the Linear Response . . . . .	278

- 9.4.3 Expansion of the Current Operator in Powers  
of the Vector Potential . . . . . 279
- 9.4.4 Expansion of the Hamiltonian in Leading Order  
of the Vector Potential . . . . . 281
- 9.4.5 Current Response to an Applied Field . . . . . 282
- 9.4.6 Frequency and Temperature Dependent Optical  
Conductivity . . . . . 285
- 9.4.7 The Drude-Lorentz Expression . . . . . 288
- 9.5 Spectral Weight Sum Rules . . . . . 288
  - 9.5.1 K-Sum Rule . . . . . 288
  - 9.5.2 F-Sum Rule . . . . . 291
  - 9.5.3 Kinetic Energy Sum Rule . . . . . 291
  - 9.5.4 Regular Part of the Spectral Weight . . . . . 292
- References . . . . . 295
- Index . . . . . 297**

# Contributors

**Riccardo Comin** Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

**Nicholas J. Curro** Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

**Andrea Damascelli** Quantum Matter Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

**J. C. Davis** LASSP, Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; CMPMS Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA; Kavli Institute at Cornell for Nanoscience, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St. Andrews, Scotland, UK

**Guy Deutscher** School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv, Israel

**Hiroshi Eisaki** National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan

**Inês Firmo** LASSP, Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; CMPMS Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

**Kazuhiro Fujita** LASSP, Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; CMPMS Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

**Mohammad Hamidian** LASSP, Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; CMPMS Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

**Stephen R. Julian** Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

**Chung Koo Kim** CMPMS Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

**Sourin Mukhopadhyay** LASSP, Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA; Kavli Institute at Cornell for Nanoscience, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

**Michael Nicklas** Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Nöthnitzer Str. 40, Dresden, Germany

**Hans R. Ott** Laboratory for Solid State Physics, Department of Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

**John M. Tranquada** Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

**Shin-ichi Uchida** Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan

**Yasutomo J. Uemura** Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

**Dirk van der Marel** Département de Physique de la Matière Condensée, Université de Genève, Genève 4, Switzerland

**Igor A. Zaliznyak** Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

# Foreword

Hans R. Ott

## 1 Introduction

This volume is intended to give an overview of various important experimental methods and techniques for studying correlation and many-body effects in solids. Naturally, the content of the chapters concentrates on the modern, i.e., state-of-the-art situation of these aspects. In order to put these contributions in relation to the developments in studies of correlations in solids, the first section of this foreword aims at briefly reviewing the history of corresponding investigations, emphasizing the interplay between experiment and theory.

An early experimental or rather observational evidence for correlation effects among electrons in solids, but certainly not identified as such at the time, is the phenomenon of persistent magnetization in ferrous materials found in nature. A much more recent but in this context also early and then unexplained indication of the same was the discovery of superconductivity via experiments probing the electrical resistance of Mercury (Hg) at low temperatures in 1911 [1]. In 1933, simple experiments [2], again probing the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity  $\rho(T)$  of a metal, at this time Gold (Au), marked the beginning of a new direction in the slow development of understanding the role of electron correlations that was to bloom only much later. At these early times, electronic transport in metals was considered to be adequately described by the motion of free or quasifree electrons in the crystal lattice. Hence the observed increase of  $\rho(T)$  with decreasing  $T$  at low temperatures was registered as a not understood anomaly which, eventually but much later, was shown to be due to transition-metal (TM) impurities in common metals such as Cu and Au [3]. The first theory-based link between magnetism and electronic conduction in solids was provided by Bloch [4].

---

H.R. Ott (✉)

Laboratory for Solid State Physics, Department of Physics  
ETH Zurich Zurich, Switzerland  
e-mail: ott@phys.ethz.ch

Yet another experimental fact concerning the electronic conduction in solids, namely the insulating behavior of NiO, initiated new ideas on how correlation effects among electrons are decisive for solids to turn out to be either metals, i.e., electrical conductors, or insulators. Following conventional wisdom, NiO is expected to be a metal and not an insulator as verified experimentally. In 1949, based on a comparison of the Heitler-London approach [5] with Bloch's [6] approximation in dealing with electronic states in solids, Mott [7] argued that the NiO puzzle was a result of correlation effects. Already in this first discussion of his ideas, known data on the magnetic susceptibility and the specific heat of transition-metal elements were used to support the conjectures.

Subsequently, experimental and theoretical investigations on the stability of magnetic moments and the occurrence of magnetic order in metals, launched a development of activities that continue to be a major part of contemporary condensed matter physics research. The behavior of magnetic moments in, and their influence on a metallic environment was first treated by considering a single magnetic moment as an impurity in an itinerant-electron system by Friedel [8], Blandin [9] and others around 1956. Experiments employing the still young experimental technique of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) provided information on the polarization of conduction electrons by the spins of more localized electrons on atoms of d-transition-metal elements [10]. Accompanying theoretical investigations [11–13] finally provided a scheme for describing the onset of magnetic order induced by the interaction between itinerant and more localized core electrons, the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction.

Magnetization measurements probing the influence of magnetic moments on the normal state of metals, mainly by Matthias, Suhl, Clogston, and others [14, 15], revealed unexpected complications. This prompted Anderson to extend the then existing model by creating what is now known as the Anderson Hamiltonian [16], aiming at explaining why a magnetic moment due to localized electrons is stable in one metal but not in another. At the same time, with the same simple experiments, again Matthias and co-workers, noted detrimental effects of magnetic moments on the superconducting state of selected materials [17]. In this case it was Abrikosov and Gorkov [18] who provided an explanation by considering the particular correlated superconducting ground state put forward a few years earlier by Bardeen, Cooper, and Schrieffer (BCS) [19].

Not much later, in 1963, another model Hamiltonian, attempting to capture correlation effects among narrow-band d-electrons in transition metals (TM), was introduced and analyzed by Hubbard [20]. Although formally quite simple, rigorous solutions are difficult to obtain. The model and variations of it are, however, still very popular and, as mentioned below, often used in numerical investigations of correlated electron systems. Almost in parallel, an explanation of the above-mentioned experimental observation of the minimum in  $\rho(T)$  was given by Kondo [21]. He argued that a single localized magnetic moment embedded in a metallic environment is shielded by oppositely oriented spins in a cloud of conduction electrons

in the neighborhood of the impurity. The resulting partial rearrangement of the excitation spectrum of the conduction electrons is then responsible for the observed increase of  $\rho(T)$  with decreasing temperature below a material-specific temperature  $T_K$ , the Kondo temperature.

New aspects of electronic correlations in metals were soon discovered via experiments probing thermal, transport, and magnetic properties of rare-earth and actinide compounds. It was expected and confirmed that the corresponding 4f- and 5f-electrons are even more localized than the d-electrons in the above-mentioned d-transition metals and their compounds. As expected, Curie-Weiss type magnetic susceptibility data below room temperature indicated the presence of well localized, weakly interacting f-electron moments occupying regular lattice sites. A particularly intriguing result was the observation that a Curie-Weiss type magnetic susceptibility at elevated temperatures does not necessarily imply the onset of magnetic order at low temperatures [22]. In other cases, the established magnetic order was among moments, in magnitude much reduced below the values indicated by the Curie-Weiss effective moment at elevated temperatures [23]. A particular case in this respect is  $\text{CeAl}_3$  with  $\text{Ce}^{3+}$  ions carrying a significant localized magnetic moment and occupying a regular crystalline sublattice. Results of measurements of the temperature dependences of the specific heat, the electrical resistivity and the magnetic susceptibility were interpreted as being due to conduction electrons with extremely large effective masses [24] or, equivalently, very low Fermi velocities  $v_F$ . In a first approximation, these features obviously imply that the involved itinerant electrons occupy energy states in very narrow bands and may be regarded as forming a very strongly renormalized Fermi liquid, a situation that was discussed in detail by Landau 20 years earlier [25]. In some sense, the magnetic degrees of freedom appear to be transferred to the subsystem of the itinerant electrons at low temperatures. The resulting anomalously large effective masses mimic the tendency to localization and the local ionic moments are substantially reduced. An interesting toy model describing the situation of order among much reduced moments or eventually no order at all was put forward by Doniach [26]. He suggested that a competition between different correlations, those of Kondo type and those of RKKY type, might cause this anomalous electronic many-body state. Not surprisingly and early indicated by results of thermal expansion [24] and elastic-constant measurements [27], the properties of these narrow-band electron systems are easily influenced by the application of external pressure. Subsequently and as also mentioned below, some spectacular transitions from magnetic order to superconductivity were discovered in later studies [28].

In view of the above-mentioned previously observed detrimental effect of magnetic moments in superconducting materials, the discovery of superconductivity [29] in these materials with narrow bands and strong electronic correlations, was really unexpected. Experimental data, mainly invoking thermal (specific heat) and spectroscopic (NMR) properties, soon prompted first claims of the identification of unconventional superconductivity in metallic solids [30].



Research on electronic correlations in solids received an unprecedented boost by the again completely unexpected discovery of superconductivity in a particular class of copper-oxide compounds with critical temperatures  $T_c$  between 30 and 40 K [31]. Efforts to enhance the critical temperature  $T_c$  in the same and various other cuprate materials were soon successful in raising the onset of superconductivity to above the boiling point of liquid nitrogen and finally to temperatures up to 134 K [32]. Considering the onset of superconductivity in such materials at all and the magnitude of  $T_c$  seemed to violate conventional wisdom to an extent that conjectures of unconventional superconductivity also in these cases, were to be expected. Experimental efforts employing a variety of methods probing the phase of the superconducting order parameter indeed confirmed the occurrence of nodes in the  $k$ -dependent energy gap of the electronic excitation spectrum in the superconducting state [33, 34]. In another experimental approach to directly verify such gap nodes, a new experimental method with a rapid development in technical perfection, namely angular-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES), encountered its first real success [35]. The increasing importance of this method was naturally based on the growing availability of intense light sources in the form of large electron synchrotrons. The mapping of  $E(k)$  spectra of cuprate superconductors was widely used in many ARPES-based investigations, hoping that this type of information would help to understand the reasons for the instability of the electronic subsystem leading to superconductivity at unusually high temperatures in these compounds.

Similar progresses in instrumentations of this and other experimental techniques provided new ways for investigating the influence of electronic correlations in solids. With respect to access narrow bands of electronic excitations, the energy resolution in photoemission methods was greatly improved and finally resulted in commercially available detectors for this purpose [36]. A similar but less rigorous development took place in using elastic and inelastic neutron diffraction. In particular, the method of small-angle neutron scattering [37], primarily used for studies of macromolecules and polymers, gained in importance for studies of (H, T) phase diagrams of superconductors [38]. In parallel to these improvements of the instrumentation at large-scale facilities, the methods employing scanning-tunneling techniques such as based on electron-tunneling (STM) and atomic-force microscopy (AFM) provided new ways of probing electronic and magnetic properties at a local, i.e., atomically resolved level [39].

It turned out that the electronic conductivity in these materials is the result of specifically doping initially insulating and magnetically ordering compounds. The aims to provide a theoretical basis for explaining the observations concerning the normal state of these substances led to a revival of the above-mentioned models of Mott and Hubbard and extensions thereof [40]. Yet another model, earlier devised by Anderson by reviving Paulings resonant-valence-bond concept [41], was considered as a possible approach to solve the problem. An early account of strong correlation and superconductivity is given in [42]. Some of these models implied the formation of a ground state that would not be consistent with the Fermi liquid type description of the metallic, i.e.,  $\partial\rho/\partial T > 0$ , state and a number of the available experimental data seemed to support this view [43]. The anomalous electronic

properties of the normal state of cuprates encouraged detailed experiments investigating the electronic properties of TM oxides and related materials. An early review of the results of these efforts, concentrating on the phenomenon of externally controlled metal-insulator transitions was provided by Imada and co-workers [44].

Because of the very high upper critical fields  $H_{c2}$  of these cuprate superconductors, the low-temperature electronic structure of the normal state of these materials was, at the time, not accessible by employing the usual magneto-oscillatory effects on the resistivity and the magnetisation. The already mentioned progresses in ARPES experiments made it possible to investigate these features also at elevated temperatures above  $T_c$ , previously not accessible by conventional means [45]. Much more recently, improved sample quality and progress in the technical handling of high-field magnets and measuring devices made it possible to observe the long sought field-induced oscillatory features of transport coefficients [46]. New insights into the correlations in electronic subsystems, mainly in cuprates and other TM compounds close to metal-insulator transitions were achieved via measurements of optical properties and using advanced photoelectron spectroscopy techniques.

Inspired by earlier results and to some extent encouraged by the experimental results indicating the anomalous features of the normal state of the cuprate compounds and noting the perspectives of new aspects in the understanding of metallic conductivity, more detailed experimental investigations, mostly probing thermal, transport, and magnetic properties, were made on compounds featuring heavy electrons. Data from specific heat experiments at very low temperatures indicated significant deviations from the behavior expected for Fermi liquids [47]. Other studies revealed that the properties of this low-temperature electronic state can be significantly altered by changing externally controlled parameters, such as variations of the chemical composition, external pressure, or magnetic fields. Again, some of the results of the corresponding experiments indicated properties not compatible with Landau's original Fermi-liquid model; others suggested the occurrence of so-called quantum phase transitions (QPT) [48], a concept [49] with a bright future, as will be seen below.

Structural investigations of the cuprate superconductors, mostly by employing X-ray and neutron diffraction [50], revealed that the arrangement of atoms in the crystallographic unit cells may be viewed as a stacking of planes of atoms. It turned out that the essential physical properties of these compounds are determined by planes consisting of copper and oxygen atoms; additional planes, occupied by atoms of the other constituent chemical elements, are regarded as mostly inactive spacer blocks and charge reservoirs [51]. This insight triggered new and extensive efforts in synthesizing other similar oxide materials based on Cu and other TM elements with low-dimensional (low-D) structural subunits, such as planes, multileg ladders, and chains. These included both electrical conductors and insulators. Since the Cu and other TM ions, depending on their charge configuration, carry a definite electronic spin, the cited subunits may be regarded as spin chains, ladders, and planes. In special cases, the interactions between the low-D spin subunits in insulators turn out to be weak. For this reason, these particular materials were and

still are considered as assemblies of quasi-individual low-D objects, serving as model systems for testing rigorous theoretical predictions concerning the properties of ensembles of spins which accumulated over many years up to present.

With respect to theory, low-D spin arrangements have a long history, starting with Isings model of individual magnets on a chain in his contribution to the theory of ferromagnetism [52]. The more general approach of Heisenberg to consider the exchange phenomenon in the quantum-mechanical description of indistinguishable particles for the description of ferromagnetism was the next step [53]. It was taken up by Bloch who concluded that planar arrangements of magnetic moments would not support ferromagnetism [4]. Next it was Bethe who calculated the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of a linear chain of atoms, each with a single valence electron and its spin [54]. With this method he aimed at establishing the magnetic and transport properties of such systems, also in higher dimensions.

General aspects of phase transitions in low-D systems were first discussed by Onsager [55]. He considered a 2D model which exhibits an order–disorder transition. Later, Griffiths [56] as well as Mermin and Wagner [57] discussed the possibility of magnetic order in low-dimensional systems. Based on earlier work of Bloch [58], the features of low-D electronic systems were also studied, first by Tomonaga [59] and later by Luttinger [60]. From still later work by Haldane [61] and Affleck [62] it was concluded that the above-mentioned Fermi-liquid model, describing the electronic properties of common metals so well, was not applicable in treating 1D conductors. These authors saw a more suitable treatment with a concept that they termed Luttinger-liquid model, the 1D equivalent of the Fermi-liquid model. It turns out that quite generally the physical properties of low-D systems are characteristically different from those usually observed in common 3D objects. The 1D physics is strongly influenced by quantum effects, defects, and fluctuations which are usually masked in standard 3D materials. For unraveling these effects, systems with chains (1D) of spins with  $S = 1/2$  and antiferromagnetic couplings are the most favorable choice. The low-energy excitations were shown to be objects carrying a spin  $S = 1/2$  and the spectrum of these Fermions, termed spinons, turns out to be gapless [63]. A rather unexpected theoretical result was Haldanes conjecture that the excitation spectrum of the same type of chains but with  $S = 1$  ought to exhibit a gap [64]. Follow-up studies by Affleck and co-workers [65] demonstrated that the theoretical reasoning for explaining this difference is far from trivial. Later, a very fruitful development originated in interpreting the low-energy excitations of low-D spin systems as particles. To see this it is useful to note that in the considered spin systems, spin dimers with a singlet ground state and  $S = 1$  excitations to a triplet excited state may form. Apart from regarding the above-mentioned spinons as Fermions, an analogy between these  $S = 1$  excitations termed triplons and Bosons was established [66]. As a consequence, a Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of such excitations in the form of magnetic order was predicted to occur under the influence of an external magnetic field [67]. It also turned out that some of these systems are show cases for studying the properties of a Luttinger liquid [68].

First experiments for testing some of the theoretical predictions were made with TM bronzes and some organic compounds, both of which exhibit considerable anisotropies in electronic conduction. Early results are reviewed in [69]. Soon it was found that some of the low-D organic compounds exhibit a variety of ground states from insulating and magnetically ordered to metallic and superconducting; varying by applications of external pressure and/or magnetic field. An up-to-date review can be found in [70]. Later, the research interests began to focus on materials containing the mentioned weakly coupled low-D spin systems in an electrically insulating environment. The physics of these spin systems is often assumed to be captured by relatively simple Hamiltonians of the Heisenberg type; complications are to be expected from the unavoidable anisotropies and spin-orbit coupling. The interactions in each individual spin chain are based on the exchange phenomenon. Naturally, they depend on the bond strength and directions between the atomic sites. Therefore, they may be varied by applying external pressure and introducing selected defects. Likewise, the application of external magnetic fields which softens the above-mentioned triplon excitations is expected to induce phase transitions. This offers new opportunities for studies of the physical behavior of these systems if links between the theoretical concepts and really existing materials can be made. Many new compounds with spin-carrying cations (Cu, V, Ni) were synthesized. Based on first structural and magnetic characterisations, some of them were indeed identified to serve as model compounds for experimental tests under varying external conditions as outlined above. Both bulk and microscopic experimental probes, such as magnetization measurements as well as neutron-scattering and NMR techniques are being used to identify the magnetic properties of these systems. A good part of corresponding efforts and the achieved results up to 2003 are summarized in [71]. More recent results, mainly in connection with the BEC phenomenon in quantum magnets are presented and discussed in [72]. The model Hamiltonians offer a playground for analytical as well as numerical studies of the influence of correlations in solids; the current status of both these activities is documented in detail in two other, recently published volumes of this series [73, 74].

As mentioned at the beginning, the third volume of this series is devoted to reviewing current experimental methods and techniques that play an essential role in contemporary studies of correlation effects in solids. Below, brief summaries of the content of each chapter are given.

## **2 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance**

As mentioned in the introduction, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments were already employed in early studies of correlation effects in metals. A highlight was the experimental confirmation of the NMR-related coherence effect in superconductors as predicted by the BCS theory.

In the first section, Nicholas Curro covers the basic theoretical background of the method. It includes the density-matrix approach, the introduction of the concept of the rotating frame and Bloch's equations. The latter defines two important parameters representing the characteristic times of the decay of the transverse magnetization ( $T_2$ ) and of the recovery of the longitudinal equilibrium magnetization ( $T_1$ ). A section on pulse techniques explains the principles of the free induction decay and the spin-echo technique, including some practical technical details concerning the detection of the response signals and briefly recalling the role of spin-lattice relaxation.

Since many probed nuclei possess spins  $I_n > 1/2$ , quadrupolar effects due to the interaction between the nuclear quadrupole moments and the local electric potential at the site of the nucleus, complicate the response and hence the spectra. The corresponding section summarizes the essentials of these complications and the resulting spectra and their dependence on the angle between the magnetic field orientation and the principal axes of the crystalline lattice. The case where the external magnetic field is set to zero, i.e., the nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR), is also briefly addressed.

The usually dominating interaction in correlated electron systems is the hyperfine coupling between the spins of the probed nuclei and the spins of electrons in the local environment. In metals the latter includes conduction electrons and localized electrons on ions occupying neighboring lattice sites; in insulators the so-called transferred hyperfine interaction couples to spins of localized electrons. These interactions lead to resonance shifts which are summarized under the term Knight shifts. While simple and usually temperature independent in simple metals, these shifts are more complex in cases where different hyperfine couplings contribute to the total shift or correlation effects influence the local susceptibilities. These interactions not only influence the position of the corresponding resonance but also affect the dynamics of the above-mentioned spin-lattice relaxation process.

The last section is devoted to a case study of field-induced magnetism in superconducting  $\text{CeCoIn}_5$ , demonstrating the power of NMR-based methods in studies of the strongly correlated electron system in this compound. It is demonstrated that the available microscopic information may correct seemingly solid interpretations of data obtained from measurements of bulk thermal properties. The NMR data reveal a static antiferromagnetic order in the superconducting phase close to  $H_{c2}$  at  $T \ll T_c$ . Although coexistence of antiferromagnetic order and superconductivity has been observed before in several cases, the phenomenon in  $\text{CeCoIn}_5$  is most likely of different nature and still awaits a sound theoretical interpretation.

### 3 Angle-Resolved Photoemission

Before the authors, Riccardo Comin and Andrea Damascelli, address the technical aspects of angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) experiments, they offer an overview on correlation-dominated materials in the form of d-electron transition-

metal oxides (TMOs). In view of correlation effects the chosen classification scheme of these systems includes (i) Mott-Hubbard insulators, (ii) charge-transfer insulators and (iii) relativistic Mott insulators. As usual, this choice is based on the relative magnitudes of the on-site Coulomb repulsion  $U$ , the band width  $W$  and the spin-orbit interaction  $\lambda$ .

Angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy is the experimental dream tool for those interested in exploring the electronic structure of materials. Its application requires the availability of both intense photon sources which are provided by, e.g., electron synchrotrons, and detectors with sufficient energy and angular resolution for harvesting the escaping photoelectron as a function of their energy and momentum. A section of the chapter is devoted to discuss technical aspects of state-of-the-art photoemission with respect to both radiation sources and electron detectors and their current performance parameters. Also briefly addressed are specific aspects of the geometrical configuration of the incoming light beam and the detector in ARPES experiments.

Starting with the basics, the authors first explain the kinematics of photoemission and continue by summarizing the essentials of the three-step model and the sudden approximation which they use to model the photoemission process. As the interpretation of the collected photoelectron spectra requires solid theoretical guidelines, the authors discuss the routinely employed Green's function formalism to obtain the one-particle spectral function  $A(\omega, k)$  which is directly probed by ARPES. For extracting quantitative information from the spectra, matrix element effects and the influence of the unavoidable limited resolutions and the extrinsic background have to be considered.

The discussion of rather generic aspects of photoemission experiments is followed by a longer section emphasizing the physics of correlations probed by ARPES. It starts with describing spectral functions of small many-body systems such as molecules, in this case  $H_2$ . Considering solids, electron-phonon correlations and the corresponding quasiparticle, the polaron, are described first and illustrated with existing results. Naturally, the electronic structure of Cu oxides is a primary example for illustrating correlation effects in solids and corresponding work and results are summarized under the heading: doping controlled coherence. For temperature-controlled coherence manganese oxide (Mn-O) compounds are chosen as examples. A particular feature of the ARPES technique, namely to exploit the dependence of matrix elements that determine the spectral intensity on the light polarization, is exemplified in a discussion of relevant work on cobaltates where contributions of different electron bands to the spectra need to be unraveled. Further subsections deal with the influence of relativistic effects due to enhanced spin-orbit coupling in 4d and 5d electron TMO materials, including a novel type of insulating state due to correlations in an Ir oxide material, termed relativistic Mott insulator.

## 4 Spectroscopic Imaging Scanning-Tunneling Microscopy (SI-STM)

This chapter, co-authored by 8 contributors affiliated with various institutions, concentrates on efforts to visualize the electronic structure and its symmetry of a particular compound series of cuprate superconductors with scanning-tunneling microscopy in the spectroscopic imaging mode. First, a brief and rather general review of the low-temperature phase diagram and related electronic structures of hole-doped cuprate superconductors summarizes the principal features reported in the literature. The two distinct energy scales,  $\Delta_0$  and  $\Delta_1$ , related to two distinct phases, are introduced. While the first is clearly identified as being related to excitations across the gap that forms upon the onset of the superconducting state, the cause and significance of the second, characterizing the so-called pseudogap phase, is judged to be still rather obscure. The investigated system is based on the parent compound  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ . The doping parameter  $\delta$  is chosen such that the system is in the underdoped regime where both the superconducting and the pseudogap phase can be probed.

The technique is based on measuring the voltage dependence of the tunneling current  $\partial I/\partial T$  as a function of the tunneling site and the energy of the tunneling electrons. The authors prefer to describe the theoretical background of the method rather than its technical realization. For the latter, they refer to information existing in the literature. Probably led by previous experience, some of the most serious and in practice often overlooked systematic errors that may occur in such investigations are described and some guidance of how to avoid or at least minimize them is given. The next section is devoted to describing how magnetic and nonmagnetic impurity atoms can serve as indicators for the pairing mechanism and/or symmetry in superconductors. It is pointed out that the corresponding spectra change appreciably upon varying the degree of doping. The doping itself introduces electronic disorder at the nanoscale. The influence of these defects on spectra, especially related to the pseudogap phase are discussed. Information on quasiparticle scattering can be obtained from Bogoliubov-quasiparticle interference imaging. In particular, the evolution of the electronic structure in  $k$ -space in the form of Fermi arcs with varying degree of doping can be studied. This type of experiments is believed to clarify whether the pseudogap phase may be regarded as a state of incoherent d-wave superconductivity. In the strongly underdoped regime, the experimental results reveal ungapped Fermi arcs, a gap of a phase-incoherent superconductor and local symmetry-breaking excitations that are the same in the superconducting and pseudogap regime. The final two sections deal with observing symmetry-broken electronic states in the pseudogap regime. The simultaneous observation of two different broken symmetries in the form of nematic and smectic fluctuations allows to study their interactions. In spite of all this new information on local details of the electronic structure, the relation between the pseudogap phase and the superconducting phase are admitted to be not yet understood. There is no doubt, however, that the two phenomena are linked in some way. This type of

studies also reveals a characteristic difference between the electronic structures in the under and overdoped regime, respectively. The question of what is causing this transition is also still unanswered.

## 5 Point-Contact Spectroscopy

Although physically and technically quite different, point-contact spectroscopy (PCS) has at least one similarity with the above-mentioned STM technique. Both methods basically involve a transfer of electronic quasiparticles from one electrode to another. As it is explained in the introduction of this chapter, PCS is an extension of early experiments which employed point contacts for locally studying electron trajectories in a metal which is exposed to an external magnetic field.

In this chapter, Guy Deutscher concentrates on the use of PCS between a sharply pointed normal electrode and a laterally extended superconducting electrode. In the introduction he emphasizes the pros and cons of the method with respect to electron-tunneling methods, including scanning-tunneling techniques. Concerning the physical realization of PC, its concept invented by Sharvin and the parameters that govern the electronic transport through such a contact are discussed. The dominating factor is the Sharvin resistance which depends on the lateral extension  $d$  of the contact and the electron mean-free path in the electrode. Although simple in concept, various sources of complications in real contacts have to be considered. These include scattering effects if the mean-free path is smaller or similar in length as the contact diameter, which may lead to a local heating of the contact. Similar heating effects due to exceeding critical velocities determined by parameters of the probed superconductor may falsify the observed properties. At any rate, the most reliable results using PCS are obtained at low temperatures. An important parameter is the effective transparency coefficient of the contact, crucial in the determination of the contact size. A short review of practical realizations of PCs is presented in the next section. The controlled fabrication of a contact of desired quality is still a major problem. In so-called break junctions, both electrodes are of the same material, thus eliminating the usually unknown mismatch of the Fermi velocities between both sides of the junction.

The interpretation of PCS data on conventional superconductors is usually based on the theory of Blonder, Tinkham and Klapwijk (BTK) and a short overview on this approach is given in the next section and various aspects that have to be considered in practice are discussed. Next it is explained how extensions of the BTK theory in connection with the phase sensitivity can be used to establish the order parameter symmetry, an important aspect in connection with studies of unconventional superconductors for which the gap configuration exhibits nodes.

The well-known quasiparticle reflection effects at the contact interface, in particular the Andreev-Saint James reflections under special circumstances, are the topic of the next section. In it, the importance of retardation effects which open the possibility to evaluate the effective masses of quasiparticles in metals with very



strong correlations, is emphasized. In order to demonstrate how this approach can be used in the fitting procedure of conductance data, typical examples of superconductors with different causes of mass enhancement are discussed in detail. These include Nb, MgB<sub>2</sub>, high- $T_c$  Copper-oxide, and Fe-pnictide superconductors as well as intermetallics with moderate and strong enhancements of the quasiparticles' masses. It is concluded that effective masses due to strong correlations established in this way are in very good agreement with values that have been obtained from data that were acquired with other experimental techniques. Quite remarkably, the method also allows for a relatively easy access to determine the electron-phonon mass enhancement in conventional superconductors.

A brief account on some PCS data obtained with contacts between an inhomogeneously magnetic material and a conventional superconductor is given in the short final section.

## 6 Quantum Oscillation Measurements

Studies of the electronic structure of metals via measurements employing the de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) effect and other magneto-oscillatory techniques had their first high in the 1960s and early 1970s of the last century. With the growing interest in metals with strongly correlated electrons, the corresponding methods experienced a revival and new experimental data revealed detailed insights into the behavior of such systems which are not accessible by other means.

After describing some of the advantages but also limits of employing this type of probing the electronic structure in comparison with other experimental methods, Stephen Julian gives a brief but fairly complete account of the theoretical basis of the dHvA effect, starting from the Landau quantization and a derivation of the Onsager relation for a cylindrical Fermi surface (FS) which relates the frequencies of the observed quantum oscillations with, in this case only one, extremal cross-sections of the FS. What follows are descriptions of various aspects that have to be considered when interpreting the recorded data. First, the influence of temperature and scattering on the amplitude of the quantum oscillations is discussed. It is recalled that while band-structure calculations employing the local density approximation (LDA) are adequate to predict the topology of FS, they usually underestimate the correlation effects which, to a large extent, determine the effective masses of the electronic quasiparticles. Julian's discussion of the influence of many-body effects on the Landau levels is original and clear. The resulting amplitude damping factors due to nonzero temperature and quasiparticle scattering at impurities can be used to obtain information on the effective mass and the mean-free path of the quasiparticles. The spin damping factor arises from the magnetic field-induced splitting of the FS into a spin-up and a spin-down part. It turns out that probing these split parts in strongly correlated systems reveals different amplitudes for each part. This difference can be traced back to spin dependent effective masses reflecting the electron-electron interactions. Mapping 3D Fermi surfaces requires

measurements of the angular dependence of the oscillations by varying the angle between the crystal orientation with respect to the direction of the applied magnetic field. Julian's description of how this is done in principle, is followed by a brief presentation of the Lifshitz-Kosevitch equation which governs the oscillatory magnetisation of common metals. Julian ends this section with number of comments on how this basic equation needs to be modified if strong correlations have to be taken into account.

Very helpful for prospective users is the section in which it is explained how measurements of the dHvA effect can actually be done. It includes the description and possible realization of measurements involving either the field modulation technique or using torque magnetometry. Briefly mentioned are measurements of all the other physical properties that are affected by the Landau quantisation of the energy levels of the itinerant quasiparticles, and therefore exhibit magneto-oscillatory behaviour. The section ends with a comparison of DC- versus pulsed-field applications and a short discussion on the information that can be gained from a detailed analysis of the dHvA signals.

These preparatory parts are complemented with an extensive case study on the compound  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  whose electronic subsystem is clearly influenced by strong correlation effects and exhibits unconventional superconductivity. It is shown that the topology and size of the Fermi surface obtained from the dHvA data is in very good agreement with those predicted by band-structure calculations. It is pointed out, however, that the dHvA analysis provides much more details concerning the form of the different FS parts as well as, from the temperature dependence of the oscillation amplitudes, the effective masses and their anisotropies. Finally, it is shown how the parameters obtained from the dHvA investigations can be tested with respect to their compatibility with measured bulk properties. It turns out that the correct, often not straightforward, analyses of the data and their combination provide very satisfactory results in this respect. This confirms that experimental methods under the heading of this chapter are particularly well suited to enhance the understanding of correlation effects in metals.

## 7 Pressure Probes

External pressure, often relatively moderate in magnitude, has been shown to be one of the versatile control parameters for studies of physical properties of materials that are dominated by correlation effects. Many examples of pressure-induced quantum phase transitions (QPT) are described in the literature. It is therefore quite natural that one chapter in this series, authored by Michael Nicklas, is devoted to an overview of currently employed methods for pressure generation and of experimental techniques to perform measurements of different physical properties under impeding conditions, including very low temperatures.

Pressure generation is the first topic that is addressed. First, two types of pressure cells are considered and described in some detail; piston-cylinder type and opposed

anvil type. The performance of these cells with respect to achieved pressures depends on the choice of materials for the different components of the cells. In many cases, very low magnetic susceptibilities of the chosen materials are required, which usually limits their mechanical strength. With piston-cylinder cells, pressures of 3–4 GPa have been achieved. For higher pressures, anvil-type cells are required; the highest static pressures, up to 30 GPa are achieved with diamond anvils. Other forms of pressure cells, including the indenter-type configuration, and solutions for contacting samples inside the cell with electrical leads are briefly discussed at the end of the same section.

The distribution of pressure within the cell's sample volume, i.e., hydrostatic or anisotropic, depends on the choice of the pressure-transmitting medium. Once the pressure is generated, its value needs to be measured independently under the conditions of the primary measurement, often at low temperatures. These two aspects are discussed in a subsequent section.

Very informative is the next section where it is shown that a wealth of different physical properties can in principle be measured under external pressure, although often only with difficulties and by taking into account a number of complications. For obvious reasons, thermal properties, where measuring constant small temperature differences is an important part of the experiment, are notoriously difficult to determine with accuracies that are achieved under ambient conditions. Nevertheless, possible ways to resolve the experimental difficulties for measurements of thermal conductivity, thermopower, specific heat and thermal expansion are discussed. Equally difficult are experiments probing magnetostriction. More feasible are measurements where monitoring an induction signal with a simple coil is the essential part of the experiment. This is the case for measurements of magnetic susceptibilities, magnetizations and de Haas-van Alphen oscillations, as well as resonance experiments such as NMR and ESR. Also experiments involving particle beams at large facilities, such as muon spin rotation/resonance and neutron scattering are routinely done for probing samples under pressure. For optical experiments where access of visible light to and from the sample is required, the use of diamond anvil cells is inevitable.

The chapter ends with a presentation of examples where pressure tuning of metals with strong electron correlations leads to phase transitions of various kinds. Well documented are the examples of 122 Ce compounds such as  $\text{CeCu}_2\text{Si}_2$ ,  $\text{CeCu}_2\text{Ge}_2$  and other  $\text{CeTM}_2\text{Si}_2$  compounds as well as  $\text{CeIn}_3$ . For all of them, antiferromagnetic and superconducting phases are the competing ground states. More recent are the observations of pressure-induced phase changes in compounds of the type  $\text{CeTMIn}_5$ .

## 8 Neutron Scattering

As Igor Zaliznyak and John Tranquada point out, magnetic phenomena in solids are the result of correlation effects and different varieties of neutron-scattering techniques are the experimental tool of choice for investigating magnetic correlations in

condensed matter. In the introduction, they mention a few pros and cons for using these methods and they provide useful references to existing literature that cover the topic in more detail.

They continue with describing the basic properties of the neutron as a particle and briefly summarize the use of different energy scales for practical purposes. Using neutrons for scattering experiments of course requires that they are available in sufficient quantities and the authors offer a brief account on existing reactor-based and spallation-type neutron sources, including their performance characteristics.

Next they discuss the two fundamental scattering processes of neutrons in matter, namely the nuclear and the magnetic scattering which both are exploited in different scattering techniques. They then explain the notions of the scattering lengths and cross-sections relevant in neutron-scattering experiments. Subsequently, two subsections deal with nuclear scattering in more detail. The authors distinguish between nuclear scattering in condensed matter per se and in condensed matter in crystalline form. For the first case, they present the energy versus momentum dispersion curve of superfluid  $^4\text{He}$  as a prime example of the usefulness of neutrons for studying elementary excitations in quantum-dominated systems. In the section on nuclear scattering in crystalline matter, aspects of experimentally establishing atomic order and the energy-momentum relations of lattice excitations are briefly discussed.

The context of correlations in solids the magnetic interactions of neutrons with magnetic moments due to electron's spins and orbital motion are of primary interest. First the authors stress the importance of the detailed-balance constraint and the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in interpreting corresponding neutron-scattering experiments. Static magnetization can be accessed by elastic neutron scattering while information on its motion may be obtained from inelastic scattering. The subsequent sections deal with magnetic Bragg peaks indicating magnetic order, the magnetic form factor and spin correlations, spin waves and anisotropy effects.

The content of the sections explaining the theoretical background for performing and analyzing neutron-scattering experiments prepare for a brief review of results on structural and magnetic properties of cuprate superconductors obtained by employing neutron-scattering techniques in the concluding section of the chapter.

## 9 Muon Spin Relaxation ( $\mu\text{SR}$ ) Studies

In the first section of this chapter, Yasutomo Uemura briefly describes the particle-physics history of muons and their importance in the experimental verification of parity violation in weak interactions. He continues by explaining the very basic principles of  $\mu^+\text{SR}$  experiments, based on implanting positively charged muons  $\mu^+$  into a specimen and monitoring the time evolution of their spin polarization via observing the ejection direction of the positrons that result from the decay of the muons. Also mentioned are the most versatile external-field configurations that are

employed for extracting different informations on the internal field distributions at the sites adopted by the muons at the time of their decay into positrons.

In the second section, the role of  $\mu^+$ SR in investigations of magnetic phase diagrams of unconventional superconductors is described. Examples of the interplay between magnetic order and superconductivity are presented for different families of superconductors, such as heavy-fermion and Cu–O compounds, organic materials, and fullerides as well as Fe-based chalcogenides.

Since  $\mu^+$ SR is a very sensitive probe for detecting small internal magnetic fields, it has been employed in searches for time-reversal-symmetry (TRS)-breaking phases in unconventional superconductors. Following the early cases of corresponding evidence in U-based heavy-fermion superconductors, other materials were investigated in this respect. The phenomenon of TRS has been reported to occur in the superconducting state of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4$  and filled skutterudites. The corresponding evidence for TRS is much less convincing, however, in data obtained from studies of cuprate superconductors in the pseudogap regime.

Early experiments to determine the penetration depth  $\lambda$  of magnetic fields of cuprate superconductors were made by employing the  $\mu$ SR technique. Section IV is a summary of such efforts to provide evidence for unconventional pairing in the superconducting state of cuprate superconductors by measuring the temperature dependence of  $\lambda$  and comparing it with corresponding theoretical predictions. Based on the fact that the value of  $\lambda$  at low temperatures is related to the pair density  $n_s$ , it was established that the critical temperature  $T_c$  of cuprate superconductors is, quite generally, controlled by  $n_s$  such that  $T_c$  varies linearly with  $n_s$ . Section V describes how from  $n_s$ , an effective Fermi energy in the excitation spectrum can be extracted and be compared with trends in the variation of  $T_c$  in cuprate- and other types of superconductors.

The following sections VI, VII, and VIII cover a wide range of efforts, not necessarily based on  $\mu$ SR experiments, to establish correlations between different key parameters that might determine the critical temperature of superconductors. In particular, efforts to clarify the interrelation between antiferromagnetic order and superconductivity, often competing phases in unconventional superconductors, are described and illustrated. Phase boundaries and the physical properties in their vicinity are discussed. The last section is a brief outlook into the future with some ideas for future investigations of unconventional superconductors, including a comprehensive understanding of the driving mechanisms that induce these unusual condensates of charge carriers.

## 10 Optical Properties

This chapter, authored by Dirk van der Marel, offers a step-by-step introduction to the field of optical conductivity of correlated electrons. He focusses on the theoretical background for treating many-body effects influencing the optical

conductivity of interacting electrons, including the methodology of corresponding measurements and their analyses. For introductions to experimental optical techniques, he refers to the existing literature.

He starts with describing general aspects of the two commonly used experimental configurations for measuring optical parameters of matter, i.e., the reflection of electromagnetic waves at the interface between the vacuum and the probed substance, or the transmission through a sample under investigation. The discussion is based on Maxwell's equations and their solutions invoking macroscopic parameters of the investigated material. It focusses on the influence of the interface on the incoming and reflected radiation; the transmission process through the sample is not treated.

After introducing the basic relations between current and electric field, in this case the optical conductivity  $\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ , the terms longitudinal and transverse dielectric function are explained. Next, the quantum description of the electrodynamics of electrons in a crystal lattice is outlined and the relevant Hamilton operators are introduced. In order to calculate the linear response of the current density to the electric field, the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  needs to be invoked. Due to the noncommuting operators, the calculation is complicated and is explained in detail in three subsections. It is shown that the optical conductivity is given by two contributions. The diamagnetic part  $\sigma^d(q, \omega)$ , reflecting the response of a plasma of freely moving electrons, is complemented by the so-called regular part  $\sigma^l(q, \omega)$  which is the response of the interacting electrons confined in the potential exerted by the crystal lattice and is proportional to the current-current correlation function. In the subsequent section it is shown how to calculate the response for a system with defined many-body states. Explicit results are compared with experimental data for a series of Si-based compounds that exhibit correlation effects in their physical properties. At the end of this section, the Drude-Lorentz concept of ascribing the response to a combined action of independent oscillators which represent the principal optical transitions is introduced. In practice, this approach is successfully used to fit experimental data, even for multi-component materials such as high- $T_c$  cuprates.

In the final section, the significance of spectral weight sum rules in many-body physics is emphasized. Spectral weights are again divided into two parts, a diamagnetic part  $W^d$  and a regular part  $W^r$ . It turns out that after integration over frequencies,  $W^r(q) = 1$ . In addition, the delta functions in  $W^d$  and  $W^r$  cancel exactly for normal metals but not for superconductors. Of particular practical importance is the so-called F-sum rule which relates the integrated optical conductivity to the density of charged particles and the ratio of their charges and effective mass. In a rather detailed discussion of the regular part of  $\sigma(q)$ , the author concludes that new experimental techniques for probing  $\sigma(q \neq 0)$  can provide information on the integrated spectral weight at nonzero frequencies and hence the free-carrier optical-response intensity of correlated electrons.

## 11 Summary

It turns out that establishing the physical properties of materials which are dominated by correlation effects requires the application of many different experimental techniques and methods, often under extreme conditions such as very low temperatures as well as high magnetic fields or pressure. The content of this volume covers a major part of such endeavors. Prominently represented are spectroscopic techniques and local probes. Unfortunately, experiments probing thermal and transport properties are much less well represented. This is particularly regretful because many new aspects or phenomena are actually discovered by measurements probing the bulk of the material of interest.

## References

1. H. Kamerlingh-Onnes, Commun. Leiden 122b and 124c (1911)
2. W. de Haas, J. de Boer, G. van den Berg, Phys. **1**, 1115 (1933)
3. J. Franck, F. Manchester, D. Martin, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) **A263**, 494 (1961)
4. F. Bloch, Z. Phys. **57**, 545 (1929)
5. W. Heitler, F. London, Z. Phys. **44**, 455 (1927)
6. F. Bloch, Z. Phys. **52**, 553 (1928)
7. N. Mott, Proc. Phys. Soc. A **62**, 416 (1949)
8. J. Friedel, Can. J. Phys. **34**, 1190 (1956)
9. A. Blandin, J. Friedel, J. Phys. Radium **19**, 573 (1958)
10. N. Bloembergen, T. Rowland, Acta Metall. **1**, 731 (1953)
11. M. Rudermann, C. Kittel, Phys. Rev. **96**, 99 (1954)
12. T. Kasuya, Progr. Theoret. Phys. **16**, 58 (1956)
13. K. Yosida, Phys. Rev. **107**, 396 (1957)
14. B. Matthias et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **5**, 542 (1960)
15. A. Clogston et al., Phys. Rev. **125**, 541 (1962)
16. P. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **124**, 41 (1961)
17. B. Matthias, H. Suhl, E. Corenzwit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **1**, 92 (1958)
18. A. Abrikosov, L. Gorkov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **39**, 1781 (1960)
19. J. Bardeen, L. Cooper, J. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. **108**, 1175 (1957)
20. J. Hubbard, Proc Roy. Soc. A **276**, 238 (1963)
21. J. Kondo, Progr. Theoret. Phys. (Kyoto) **32**, 37 (1964)
22. D. Wohlleben, B. Coles, *Magnetism* (Academic Press, New York, 1973)
23. H.R. Ott, J. Kjems, F. Hulliger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 1378 (1979)
24. K. Andres, J. Graebner, H.R. Ott, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1779 (1975)
25. L. Landau, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **30**, 1058 (1956)
26. S. Doniach, Physica B **91**, 231 (1977)
27. R. Takke et al., Z. Phys. B **44**, 33 (1981)
28. N. Mathur et al., Nature **394**, 39 (1998)
29. F. Steglich et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1892 (1979)
30. H.R. Ott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1915 (1984)
31. J. Bednorz, K. Muller, Z. Phys. B **64**, 189 (1986)
32. A. Schilling et al., Nature (London) **363**, 56 (1993)
33. D.V. Harlingen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 515 (1995)
34. C. Tsuei, J. Kirtley, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 969 (2000)

35. J. Campuzano et al., *The Gap Symmetry and Fluctuations in high-Tc Superconductors*, *NATO-ASI, Series B*, vol. 371, (Plenum, New York, 1998), p.229
36. A. Damascelli, Z. Hussain, Z. Shen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 473 (2003)
37. G. Zaccari, B. Jacrot, *Ann. Rev. Biophys. Bioeng.* **12**, 139 (1983)
38. V. Geshkenbein, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998)
39. Ø. Fischer et al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 354 (2007)
40. T. Rice, in *The Los Alamos Symposium 1993*, ed. by K. Bedell, Z. Wang, D. Meltzer, A. Balatsky, E. Abrahams. *The Los Alamos Symposium 1993* (Addison-Wesley, Reading 1994, 1993), p. 494
41. P. Anderson, *Mat. Res. Bull* **8**, 153 (1973)
42. H. Fukuyamam, S. Maekawa, A. Malozemoff, *Strong Correlations and Superconductivity*, *Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences*, vol. 89 (Springer, Berlin, 1989)
43. P. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2092 (1991)
44. M. Imada, A. Fujimori, Y. Tokura, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 1039 (1998)
45. M. Norman et al., *Nature (London)* **392**, 157 (1998)
46. N. Doiron-Leyraud et al., *Nature* **447**, 565 (2007)
47. B. Andraka, A. Tsvelik, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2886 (1991)
48. H.V. Löhneysen et al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 1015 (2007)
49. S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1999)
50. A. Hewat, in *NATO ASI, Series E*, vol. 263, ed. by E. Kaldis (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994), p. 17
51. M. Marezio, C. Chaillout, *Materials and Crystallographic Aspects of HTc superconductivity*, *NATO ASI series 263* (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1994), p. 3
52. E. Ising, *Z. Phys.* **31**, 253 (1925)
53. W. Heisenberg, *Z. Phys.* **49**, 619 (1928)
54. H. Bethe, *Z. Phys.* **71**, 205 (1931)
55. L. Onsager, *Phys. Rev.* **65**, 117 (1944)
56. R. Griffith, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, 437 (1964)
57. N. Mermin, H. Wagner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **17**, 1133 (1966)
58. F. Bloch, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **7**, **385** (1934)
59. S. Tomonaga, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **5**, 544 (1950)
60. J. Luttinger, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 1154 (1963)
61. F. Haldane, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **14**, 2585 (1981)
62. I. Affleck, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **1**, 3047 (1989)
63. L. Fadeev, L. Takhtajan, *Phys. Lett. A* **85**, 375 (1981)
64. F. Haldane, *Phys. Lett. A* **93**, 464 (1983)
65. I. Affleck et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 799 (1987)
66. E. Batyev, L. Braginski, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **60**, 781 (1984)
67. T. Giamarchi, A. Tsvelik, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 11398 (1999)
68. K. Schönhammer, in *Strong Interactions in Low Dimensions*, vol. 25, ed. by D. Baeriswyl, L. Degiorgi (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004), p. 93
69. D. Jerome, H. Schulz, *Adv. Phys.* **31**, 299 (1982)
70. D. Jerome, in *Superconductivity in New Materials*, vol. 4, ed. by Z. Fisk, H. Ott (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2011), p. 149
71. T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Oxford University Press, 2003)
72. T. Giamarchi, C. Rüegg, O. Tchernyshyov, *Nat. phys.* **4**, 198 (2008)
73. A. Avella, F. Mancini, *Strongly Correlated Systems: Theoretical Methods*, *Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences*, vol. 171 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012). doi:10.1007/978-3-642-21831-6
74. A. Avella, F. Mancini, *Strongly Correlated Systems: Numerical Methods*, *Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences*, vol. 176 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013). doi:10.1007/978-3-642-35106-8