Robust Perception from Optical Sensors for Reactive Behaviors in Autonomous Robotic Vehicles

Alexander Schaub

Robust Perception from Optical Sensors for Reactive Behaviors in Autonomous Robotic Vehicles

Springer Vieweg

Alexander Schaub
Fürstenfeldbruck, Deutschland

Dissertation Technische Universität München, 2017

ISBN 978-3-658-19086-6 ISBN 978-3-658-19087-3 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-658-19087-3

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017948760

Springer Vieweg
© Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH 2018
This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.
The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.
The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer Vieweg imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden GmbH
The registered company address is: Abraham-Lincoln-Str. 46, 65189 Wiesbaden, Germany

Acknowledgements

First of all, I want to express my gratitude to Prof. Darius Burschka who closely supervised this thesis from the very beginning. He was a very committed supervisor, always having an ear for my questions and concerns. I was looking forward to each meeting with him, as the discussion were fruitful but also entertaining and I always left the meetings with new ideas and great motivation.

Moreover, I want to thank Prof. Martin Otter for his willingness to co-supervise this work and for his valuable remarks that helped to improve this thesis.

I could have never accomplished this work without many invaluable colleagues who co-authored my publications or advised and supported me during the years. First, I thank Dr. Ricardo de Castro for being the most accurate reviewer I can imagine and a rich source of valuable suggestions that helped to improve this thesis but also the publications. Next, I want to thank Dr. Tilman Bünte, as I could always learn from his long experience as a researcher. Moreover, I thank Lok Man Ho for being a great office mate, for all the valuable discussions, and especially for reviewing my written English. A further colleague, without whom it would not be half as fun in office as it is, is Daniel Baumgartner, who did also a great job constructing the soft-crash obstacles for the experiments and, together with Clemens Satzger, keeps the ROboMObil alive. Without their commitment, the ROboMObil would now be a resting platform.

At this point, I want to express my gratitude to Prof. Gerd Hirzinger whose vision and expertise enabled the entire ROboMObil project in 2009. Moreover, I want to thank Dr. Johann Bals for giving me the chance to work on the ROboMObil project, first in his department and later in his new Institute of System Dynamics and Control.

This leads me to the ROboMObil team, (internally) also known as the 'ROMO Racing Team'. Here, I want to thank first the project leader Jonathan Brembeck, who is a 'fellow combatant' of the first hour. Moreover, I thank Juan Carlos Ramirez de la Cruz and Michael Panzirsch, who form the enclave of the ROMO Team in the Robotics and Mechatronics Institute, Michael Fleps-Deszasse and Sascha Liebhart for being great test pilots (at least in the simulator), and Peter Ritzer and Christoph Winter who did a magnificent job implementing the ROboMObil HIL setup.

I was extremely lucky to have great colleagues also outside of the ROMO Racing Team. Here, I would like to thank first Dr. Klaus Strobl for his expertise

on and help with camera calibration. Furthermore, I thank Frans van der Linden for being a great office mate and dedicated critic when it comes to presentations, Dr. Tobias Bellmann for his help with SimVis, and Dr. Dirk Zimmer and Dr. Jakub Tobolar for their Modelica support. Moreover, the vision part of the ROboMObil would not have been possible without Dr. Tim Bodenmüller helping with SensorNet (not to be confused with SkyNet) and the valuable support from Dr. Heiko Hirschmüller regarding the Semi-Global Matching.

Over the years I had the pleasure to supervise different students during their internships and Bachelor or Master thesis. Among them, I want to point out Matthias Hellerer, who did a great job with the AIA simulation environment and Markus Maier, who competently designed the camera carrier of the ROboMObil. Moreover, I would also like to thank Marc Zimmert, Bashar Al-Ani and Matthias Löckler.

A very special thanks goes also to institute secretary Monika Klauer for taking care of 'business' and also the system administrators Stefan von Dombrowski, Stefan Engelhardt and Dr. Hans-Jörg Maurer, who were always very helpful and committed when an IT problem had to be solved on an evening right before a ROMO demonstration.

Finally, I want to thank my beloved girlfriend Smaranda for her unconditional support as well as I thank my dearest family - my father Dr. Gerhard Schaub, who was always a great role model for me, my mother Ute for all her endless encouragement, and my sisters Marisa and Annalisa.

Contents

List of Figures **XI**

List of Tables **XV**

1 Motivation **1**
- 1.1 Why Mobile Robots Should Have an Artificial Instinct . . . 3
- 1.2 Ground-based Autonomous Mobile Robots . . . 4
- 1.3 The Ideal Sensor . . . 6
- 1.4 Intuition as Part of the Artificial Intelligence . . . 8
- 1.5 Outline - Toward Reactive Planning for Auto-nomous Vehicles . . . 10

2 Related Work **13**
- 2.1 Review of Autonomous Driving . . . 13
  - 2.1.1 The Origin of Autonomous Driving and the First Steps (1969-1987) 13
  - 2.1.2 Autonomous Driving on Highways (1987-2003) . . . 18
  - 2.1.3 The DARPA Challenges (2004-2007) . . . 19
  - 2.1.4 Commercial Autonomous Driving (2008-present) . . . 24
- 2.2 Sensors for Autonomous Vehicle . . . 28
  - 2.2.1 Overview of Sensor Classes . . . 29
  - 2.2.2 Usage of Sensors . . . 30
- 2.3 Types of Planning . . . 32
  - 2.3.1 Reactive Obstacle Avoidance . . . 34
    - 2.3.1.1 Vision-Based Obstacle Avoidance . . . 35
    - 2.3.1.2 Obstacle Avoidance based on Optical Flow . . . 36
    - 2.3.1.3 Dynamic Obstacles . . . 38
  - 2.3.2 Vision-Based Control and Navigation . . . 39
    - 2.3.2.1 Reactive Navigation with Fuzzy Logic . . . 40
    - 2.3.2.2 Visual Servoing . . . 41
    - 2.3.2.3 Homography-based Techniques . . . 43
  - 2.3.3 Vision-Based Platooning . . . 44
  - 2.3.4 Concurrent Reactive Obstacle Avoidance and Navigation . . . 44
- 2.4 Contributions . . . 45

3 Vision-Based Reactive Controllers 47
3.1 Camera-based Perception . . . 47
  3.1.1 Reasons for Use of Cameras . . . 47
  3.1.2 Basic Image Processing Principles . . . 48
  3.1.3 Optical Flow . . . 52
  3.1.4 Two View Geometry . . . 53
    3.1.4.1 Essential Matrix . . . 54
    3.1.4.2 Homography Principle . . . 56
3.2 Single Point Time-to-Collision . . . 58
  3.2.1 STTC for Planar Motions . . . 58
  3.2.2 STTC for Non-Planar Motions . . . 61
3.3 Representations for Coupling Sensors with Actuators . . . 65
  3.3.1 Control-Interface to Autonomous Vehicle . . . 65
  3.3.2 Optical Flow Clusters for Collision Avoidance . . . 67
    3.3.2.1 Epipolar Geometry-Based Clustering . . . 67
    3.3.2.2 Expansion-Based Time-To-Collision Estimation . . . 68
    3.3.2.3 Two-Stage Clustering . . . 73
    3.3.2.4 Coupling Epipole Motions with Velocities . . . 79
  3.3.3 Direct Homography Control for Positioning and Navigation Tasks . 80
    3.3.3.1 Direct Homography Control for Static Targets . . . 81
    3.3.3.2 Position Estimation without Decomposition . . . 83
    3.3.3.3 Direct Homography Control for Platooning . . . 86
  3.3.4 Single Point Time-To-Collision Map . . . 89
    3.3.4.1 Derivation of the STTC-Map . . . 89
    3.3.4.2 Static STTC Objects . . . 93
    3.3.4.3 Dynamic STTC Objects . . . 96
    3.3.4.4 Velocity Estimation using the STTC Principle . . . 102
    3.3.4.5 Single Point Velocity Estimation (SPVE) . . . 104
    3.3.4.6 Comparison of the Velocity Estimation from SPVE to Stereo 107
3.4 Planning with the Representations . . . 113
  3.4.1 Obstacle Evasion by Optimal Calculation of the Motion Correction 114
    3.4.1.1 Deriving a Cost-Function for Epipole Shifting . . . 114
    3.4.1.2 Choice of the Optimizer . . . 116
  3.4.2 Navigation . . . 118
    3.4.2.1 Direct Homography Control for the Static Case . . . 118
    3.4.2.2 Platooning . . . 122
  3.4.3 STTC Map-based planning . . . 126
    3.4.3.1 Nullspace STTC Calculation . . . 126
    3.4.3.2 Optimization-based planning . . . 129

4 The ROboMObil 133
4.1 The Research Platform . . . 133
4.2 Architecture for Autonomous Driving . . . 135
4.3 Simulation Aided Development . . . 137

4.3.1 Simulation of Artificial Intelligence Agents 137
4.3.2 The ROMO Hardware-In-the-Loop Test Setup 138
4.4 The Perception System 139
4.4.1 Placement of the Cameras 140
4.4.2 Sensor Attention Management 140
4.5 Actuation of the ROboMObil 142
4.5.1 Vehicle Dynamics Controller Interface 143
4.5.2 Exemplary Application: Autonomous Parking 145

5 Results 149
5.1 Results - Perception 149
5.1.1 STTC Calculation 149
5.1.1.1 Evaluation of the Sensitivity of the STTC Calculation to Non-Planar Motions 149
5.1.1.2 The 3 Points Method for Changing Velocities 150
5.1.2 Configuration of the Sensor System 156
5.2 Results - Representations 159
5.2.1 Control-Interface Autonomous Vehicle 159
5.2.2 Evaluation of the Optical-Flow Clustering Representation 160
5.2.2.1 The Epipolar Geometry-Based Clustering 160
5.2.2.2 The Two-Step Clustering 163
5.2.3 Navigation / Homography Presentation 167
5.2.3.1 Estimation of the Orientation Deviation 167
5.2.3.2 Position Estimation using a Projection Model 170
5.2.3.3 Position Estimation using Artificial Images 171
5.2.3.4 Velocity Estimation for the Target Vehicle 175
5.2.4 STTC Map 175
5.2.4.1 Comparison of Different STTC Representations 175
5.2.4.2 Velocity Estimation 179
5.3 Results of the Planning Methods 190
5.3.1 Evaluation of the Obstacle Evasion based on Optical Flow Clusters 190
5.3.1.1 Evaluation of the Optimization 190
5.3.1.2 Evaluation of the Obstacle Evasion Approach in HIL Tests 195
5.3.1.3 The Obstacle Evasion in Real World Tests 199
5.3.2 The Direct Homography Control for Navigation Tasks 204
5.3.2.1 Static DHC 204
5.3.2.2 Results of the Platooning 209
5.3.3 STTC Map-Based Planning 214
5.3.3.1 Nullspace Calculation for STTC Map Objects 214
5.3.3.2 Optimization-based Planner 217

6 Conclusion 219
6.1 Discussion 219
6.2 Contributions 221

6.3 Future Work . . . 222
6.3.1 Future Work regarding the Three Representations . . . 222
6.3.2 Possible Transfers and Further Extensions . . . 223

Publications **225**

References **227**

A Appendix **255**
A.1 Applicability to a Conventional Vehicle . . . 255
A.2 Utilization of the Essential Matrix . . . 256
A.3 Car-2-X-Aided Visual Platooning . . . 256
A.4 Tentacle Tree - Hybrid Motion Planning for the ROMO . . . 258

Symbols and Mathematical Notation **261**

List of Abbreviations **265**

List of Figures

1.1 Perception to Action Cycle [254] . . . 3
1.2 Ground-Based (Autonomous) Mobile Robots . . . 5
1.3 Architectures for Autonomous Mobile Robots . . . 9

2.1 Shakey the Robot . . . 14
2.2 The Stanford Cart . . . 15
2.3 The CMU Rover . . . 16
2.4 The Navlab I Van . . . 16
2.5 The VaMoRs Van . . . 17
2.6 The VaMoRs-P . . . 19
2.7 The Lancia Thema 2000 from the ARGO Project . . . 19
2.8 The Winner of the DARPA Grand Challenge 2005 . . . 20
2.9 The Winner of the DARPA Urban Challenge . . . 22
2.10 Team AnnieWAY, TerraMax and MuCAR3 . . . 22
2.11 Googles Prius and Lexus . . . 24
2.12 The Google Car . . . 25
2.13 Audi's RS7 "Jack" . . . 26
2.14 Freightliner Inspiration Truck . . . 27
2.15 Mercedes F-015 . . . 28
2.16 Classification of Planning Algorithms . . . 33
2.17 Overview Planning Algorithms . . . 34

3.1 Pinhole Camera Model . . . 49
3.2 The Image Plane . . . 50
3.3 Stereo Principle . . . 51
3.4 Optical Flow Principle . . . 53
3.5 Optical Flow Scene . . . 54
3.6 Epipolar Geometry Principle . . . 55
3.7 Homography Principle . . . 56
3.8 STTC Flow Vectors . . . 58
3.9 Side-view STTC Principle . . . 59
3.10 Sensor and Actuator Coordinate Systems . . . 66
3.11 Exemplary Optical Flow Object . . . 67
3.12 The Epipole's Dependency on Relative Velocities . . . 69
3.13 Estimation of the TTC via Flow Expansion . . . 70

3.14 Sideview: TTC Estimation . . . 70
3.15 Angle to the Epipole . . . 72
3.16 Geometry of Colliding Objects . . . 72
3.17 Three Different Dynamic Objects with Different Velocities . . . 74
3.18 Output of the Two-Stage Clustering . . . 78
3.19 Optional Check for Large Clusters . . . 78
3.20 Relative Error Approximation DHC . . . 85
3.21 Platooning Setup using the Direct Homography Control . . . 87
3.22 Basic STTC Principle . . . 90
3.23 STTC Map Principle . . . 91
3.24 STTC Map β . . . 93
3.25 Static Object in the STTC Map . . . 94
3.26 Impact of Δv_Y for Static Objects . . . 95
3.27 Impact of Rotation $R_{(-\Delta\psi)}$ for Static Objects . . . 96
3.28 STTC Representation for Dynamic Objects . . . 98
3.29 Impact of Δv_Y on Dynamic Objects . . . 99
3.30 Impact of $\Delta\psi$ on Dynamic Objects . . . 101
3.31 Pricinple of Single Point Velocity Estimation . . . 105
3.32 SPVE in Image Space . . . 109
3.33 Classification of the Proposed Approaches . . . 113
3.34 Plot of ω_{TTC} over TTC. . . . 114
3.35 The Shaping Parameter k. . . . 115
3.36 ALIS Working Principle . . . 118
3.37 DHC Control Structure . . . 119
3.38 Visibility Restriction . . . 123
3.39 Extended Controller Scheme . . . 123
3.40 Robustness Evaluation with Parameter Space Approach. . . . 127
3.41 STTC Map Weighted Regions . . . 130

4.1 The ROboMObil . . . 134
4.2 A Wheel-Robot . . . 134
4.3 ROMO Operational Modes . . . 135
4.4 ROMO Autonomy Architecture . . . 136
4.5 AIA Simulation Scheme . . . 137
4.6 AIA HIL Scheme . . . 139
4.7 Coverage of the Cameras . . . 140
4.8 Simulation of the Cameras . . . 141
4.9 Sensor Attention Management . . . 141
4.10 The VDC Architecture . . . 143
4.11 Feasible Regiosn of the ROMO's ICR . . . 144
4.12 Planning Scheme of the Parking Maneuver . . . 146
4.13 Blob Segmentation for Parking Space Identification . . . 147
4.14 Parking Experiment . . . 148

5.1 Impact of v_{Y_C} on STTC Calculation . . . 150

5.2 Impact of v_{Y_C} on STTC Calculation for Different Set Points 151
5.3 Impact of Δv on STTC Calculation 152
5.4 Impact of Δv on STTC Calculation for Different Set Points 153
5.5 Impact of v_{Z_C} and Z_C on STTC Calculation 154
5.6 Impact of v_{Z_C} and Z_C on STTC Calculation for Different Set Points 155
5.7 Impact of Δv on STTC Calculation 156
5.8 Epipolar Geometry-Based Clustering Scene 161
5.9 Detected Collision Candidate 161
5.10 Detection of Dynamic Clusters 162
5.11 Motion Cluster Closeup 162
5.12 Expansion-Based TTC Calculation Exemplary for One Feature. 163
5.13 Two-Stage Clustering in Simulation. 165
5.14 Determining the Angular State 168
5.15 Cost-function for Determination of α 169
5.16 DHC Simulation Scenario 170
5.17 RMS Errors for the Homography-Based Position Estimation. 172
5.18 Position Estimation Evaluation with Artificially Rendered Images. 173
5.19 Error Evaluation DHC-PE vs. Decomposition. 174
5.20 DHC PV Velocity Estimation 176
5.21 Examplary Representations 178
5.22 Exemplary STTC Map 179
5.23 Stereo-Based vs. Flow-Based Velocity Estimation 180
5.24 Observability SPVE 181
5.25 Error Propagation SPVE vs. SSVE 185
5.26 Velocity Estimation Simulated Scenarios Images 186
5.27 Comparison Velocity Estimation Methods 187
5.28 Velocity Estimation Real Images 188
5.29 The Optical Flow-Based Obstacle Evasion 190
5.30 Optical Flow Objects Simulation Scenario. 191
5.31 Cost-Function of the Simulated Scenario. 192
5.32 Cost-Function for Different k Values. 193
5.33 Obstacle Evasion - Simulated Scenario. 196
5.34 Plot of the Velocities for the Evasion 197
5.35 Aggressive Obstacle Avoidance 198
5.36 Real-World Test: Evasion with Incorrect k Value. 200
5.37 Real-World Test: Evasion with Incorrect Start-Value Settings. 202
5.38 Real-World Test: Evasion with a Static Obstacle. 203
5.39 Real-World Test: Evasion with a Dynamic Obstacle. 205
5.40 Real-World Test: Evasion with a Static and a Dynamic Obstacle. 206
5.41 DHC Principle 207
5.42 DHC Simulation Scenario 207
5.43 DHC: State Variables for a Simulated Run. 210
5.44 Simulated Platooning Experiment 211
5.45 DHC Platooning: Simulation Plots 212

5.46 DHC Platooning: The ROboMObil's Measured Motion Vector. 213
5.47 DHC Platooning: Homography Matrix Elements. 214
5.48 STTC Map-Based Planning Principle 215
5.49 Evaluation Nullspace Method 216
5.50 Velocity States STTC Map Planning 218
5.51 Scenario STTC Map Planning 218

A.1 The Tentacle Tree 259

List of Tables

2.1 Sensor Overview 30
2.2 Sensor Equipment Autonomous Vehicles 31

5.1 Clustering Results: Static Obstacle 165
5.2 Clustering Results: Dynamic Obstacle 166
5.3 Comparison AKAZE and BRISK 166
5.4 Pose Estimation Scenarios 174
5.5 STTC Map Example 177
5.6 Scenarios for SPVE vs. SSVE Comparison 183
5.7 Comparison SPVE vs. SSVE 184
5.8 Comparison of $\hat{\alpha} = 0$ and $\hat{\alpha} = \alpha$ 208
5.9 Competition Results of C_{DHC} vs. C_D 209
5.10 Evalation STTC Nullspace Approach: In-plane 215
5.11 Evaluation STTC Nullspace Approach: non-planar 216

A.1 Symbols and Notation I 261
A.2 Symbols and Notation II 262
A.3 Symbols and Notation III 263
A.4 Abbreviations I 265
A.5 Abbreviations II 266
A.6 Abbreviations II 267