
Mobile Computing

Herausgegeben von
Ch. Kittl, Graz, Österreich

Das Mediennutzungsverhalten der Menschen hat sich in den letzten Jahren stark intensiviert und gewandelt. Die Kombination aus der raschen Verbreitung mobiler Endgeräte und der Einführung neuer Dienste jenseits der reinen Sprachtelefonie führt zu einer Fülle neuer Möglichkeiten sowohl für Konsumenten als auch für Anbieter von Mobilfunkdiensten. Im Rahmen der Schriftenreihe werden herausragende Arbeiten aus dem breiten Forschungsfeld des Mobile Computing publiziert. Darin werden unter Einhaltung wissenschaftlicher Methoden Problemfelder aus der Praxis bearbeitet und Lösungsansätze vorgestellt. Das Hauptaugenmerk liegt neben der Entwicklung technologischer Artefakte auf der Ausgestaltung ökonomisch sinnvoller Geschäftsmodelle und der Nutzerakzeptanz solcher Systeme.

Herausgegeben von

DI Dr. Christian Kittl
evolaris next level GmbH,
Graz, Österreich

Gerhard Schall

Mobile Augmented Reality for Human Scale Interaction with Geo- spatial Models

The Benefit for Industrial Applications

Foreword by Professor Dr. Dieter Schmalstieg



Springer Gabler

RESEARCH

Gerhard Schall
Graz, Austria

Dissertation Graz University of Technology, Austria, 2011

ISBN 978-3-658-00196-4

ISBN 978-3-658-00197-1 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-3-658-00197-1

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2012949282

Springer Gabler

© Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law. The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer Gabler is a brand of Springer DE. Springer DE is part of Springer Science+Business Media.
www.springer-gabler.de

Foreword

Augmented Reality (AR) has recently become recognized as an emerging new medium. A broad audience today is interested in AR applications such as personal navigation, marketing or games. However, the history of AR applications in an industrial context goes further back. This field of application is currently reaching a turning point that marks the productive deployment of AR in the field.

You are holding the dissertation of Dr. Gerhard Schall in your hands, which deals with the use of AR systems for industrial applications in wide outdoor environments. Such an AR system requires at least three significant components: a model of the environment in which the system should be deployed, a real-time capable method for tracking the human user and an ergonomically acceptable mobile hardware setup. These three are the core topics of this book.

Maybe the most important of the three topics is the geospatial model that is suitable for AR applications. This implies that the model allows both localization from and visualization of the model data, which requires novel structures for the model data. Moreover, the model must be measured from scratch or converted from existing sources; both cases require appropriate processing method. Ultimately, the AR system must support the user in a better way than hitherto possible with conventional maps. A key achievement of the work described in this book is the systematic development of technology that addresses these requirements.

The first part of the book is concerned with two kinds of model creation. Models of large indoor environments are acquired using a mobile robot equipped with a computer vision system. Data from outdoor underground infrastructure databases is transcoded into 3D models.

The second part of the book describes the design and crafting of handheld AR systems relying on tablet computers. The AR device is held with both hands and has suitable ergonomic properties for outdoor work of engineers.

The third part of the book deals with outdoor 3D tracking. A hybrid tracking system is presented, which integrates GPS, compass and inertial sensor with a visual orientation tracker. Using sensor fusion, improved robustness and precision can be achieved.

Overall, this book points into new directions concerning outdoor AR for industrial applications. It can be assumed that AR is soon going to be an important medium for presenting geospatial information in-situ, i.e., directly at the task location where engineers work.

Prof. Dr. Dieter Schmalstieg
Head of the Institute for
Computer Graphics and Vision
Graz University of Technology

Acknowledgements

I gratefully thank my family for all the continuous support over all the years. Furthermore, my thank goes to current and former colleagues from the Institute for Computer Graphics and Vision at the University of Technology Graz and our industrial partners for their support, collaboration, help, comments and critique. I especially express my gratitude towards Dieter Schmalstieg, who introduced me into this research field and provided the necessary freedom to do my research, and Gerhard Reitmayr, who contributed in manifold ways with his ideas and insights. Joseph Newman and Erick Mendez deserve special mentioning for their long-time collaboration and doing many challenging live demos together. I also thank my second supervisor Tobias Höllerer from the University of California, Santa Barbara, for the support and guidance and last but not least Franz Leberl for his outstanding visions and leadership.

Why do I write about augmented reality topics? Probably the major reason is my intense interest in the potential of AR and the joy of exploring new technologies. Even more important, I hope that mobile and handheld augmented reality can be further enhanced and find adoption in real-world scenarios. I foresee new ways of interacting using augmented reality as a user interface as well as new and changing fields of application.

The topic of this thesis is tied to several fields of research thus it is highly interdisciplinary. Topics from wearable computing, pervasive and ubiquitous computing will be mixed with more specialized fields like virtual and augmented reality technology. There are simply too many topics related to the theme of this thesis – this makes it impossible to explore all possible topics fully. Hence, wherever possible, sources for further reading are provided to overcome possible gaps and to serve the reader when there is more interest in a specific topic.

Dr. Gerhard Schall
Institute for Computer Graphics and Vision
Graz University of Technology

Abstract

Augmented reality (AR) is intended to present new and more meaningful interfaces to users. At the same time there is a trend towards more realistic representation of real-world information as computing devices are becoming more pervasive than ever. Mobile AR has left the research lab and demonstrated its educational, social and economical potential. This thesis contributes to the research with several novel tracking approaches and a set of tools for creating content for AR applications.

One necessity for successful AR application is accurate and robust tracking of the user's position and orientation (pose). This thesis covers several approaches to achieving robust and accurate tracking for AR. A marker-based hybrid tracking system using ultra-wide-band and inertial sensors for indoor environments is described. Furthermore, the thesis presents a multi-sensor fusion approach for combining differential data from the global positioning system, inertial sensor data as well as pose estimations with a visual tracker.

Another integral part of an AR application is the computer graphic content. Only non-manual modeling approaches can fulfill the need for larger areas and more complex content. Data sources such as geospatial information systems can be exploited for the creation of content. Following this vision, this thesis presents a content modeling approach describing a transcoding pipeline which generates models for AR by taking advantage of the rich data stored in geospatial databases. The models contain visual and non-visual information for the dual purpose of visualization and tracking.

There is a close relation between the content and the applied tracking approach. In particular, the coordinate systems of both methods need to fit together to achieve properly registered overlays. The approaches developed are applied in several mobile AR applications, among them an industrial application for registered visualization of subsurface infrastructure. Various tools, for example a virtual redlining annotation feature, are described and expert interviews are provided. In addition, evaluations from real-world test sites are presented. The thesis concludes with a summary of and reflection on the status quo, including a road map of open issues for further research.

Kurzfassung

Augmented Reality (AR) ist ein User-Interface-Paradigma, das virtuelle und reale Informationen verschmelzen lässt. Die Überlagerung der realen Umgebung mit virtuellen Informationen mit interaktiven Frameraten wirft eine Reihe von Themen auf. Diese beinhalten unter anderem die Forschungsgebiete der Erzeugung von 3-D-Modellen für AR und der Positions- und Orientierungsbestimmung (auch Tracking genannt) des mobilen Benutzers.

Um diese Probleme zu behandeln, befasst sich diese Arbeit mit der hochgenauen, robusten und stabilen Positions- und Orientierungsbestimmung. Für den Einsatz im Inneren von Gebäuden sowie im Freien wird eine Reihe von hybriden Techniken vorgestellt, die unterschiedliche Sensoren, wie Inertialsensoren, Magnetometer, Ultrawideband, differentielle GPS-Empfänger und Kameras intelligent integrieren. Alle präsentierten Techniken sind geeignet, ihren jeweiligen Zweck erfolgreich zu erfüllen.

Weiters befasst sich diese Arbeit mit der Erzeugung von größeren und komplexen 3-D-Modellen für AR, die auch kontextuelle Informationen enthalten. In diesem Zusammenhang ist eine manuelle Erzeugung der Modelle nicht zielführend. Daher präsentiert diese Arbeit einen Modellierungsansatz basierend auf einem Transcoding-Verfahren, welches auf effiziente und automatische Weise prozedurale 3-D-Modelle aus Daten von geographischen Informationssystemen generieren kann. Die erzeugten Modelle enthalten neben der Geometrie auch kontextuelle Informationen, die für interaktive Visualisierungen als auch für die Positions- und Orientierungsbestimmung verwendet werden.

Zusätzlich müssen die Koordinatensysteme der 3-D-Modelle und Trackingsysteme zueinander registriert werden, um die virtuellen Modelle passend der realen Welt in 3-D überlagern zu können. Die vorgestellten Methoden wurden in mehreren AR-Hardware-Prototypen, welche eigens dafür experimentell entwickelt wurden, eingesetzt. Am Beispiel der AR-Visualisierung von unterirdischer Leitungsinfrastruktur im industriellen Umfeld werden mehrere Werkzeuge zur Visualisierung und mobilen Benutzer-Interaktion vorgestellt. Das Potential von mobiler AR wird in Interviews mit Experten und Außendienstmitarbeitern, die im Rahmen von Feldtests und Benutzerstudien die AR-Prototypen getestet haben, bestätigt.

Contents

Foreword	v
Acknowledgements	vii
Abstract	ix
Kurzfassung	xi
Contents	xiii
List of Figures	xvii
List of Tables.....	xxiii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Augmented reality	2
1.2 Ubiquitous computing	4
1.3 Problem statement.....	6
1.4 Hypotheses.....	7
1.5 Contribution	8
1.6 Collaboration statement.....	10
1.7 Organization.....	16
2. Background and related work	19
2.1 Augmented reality displays.....	19
2.2 Mobile augmented reality.....	20
2.3 Geospatial models	24
2.4 Pose tracking	28
2.5 Discussion.....	31
3. Requirements	33
3.1 Sensor reference frame	33
3.2 Data reference frame	34
3.3 Global reference frame.....	35
3.4 Augmented reality models.....	36
3.5 System design considerations	37
4. Interactive geospatial models for augmented reality	39
4.1 Manual surveying	39
4.2 Semi-automatic surveying.....	44

4.3	Example application	49
4.4	Transcoding pipeline.....	53
4.4.1	Transcoding process	53
4.4.2	Anatomy of the geospatial infrastructure	57
4.4.3	Transcoding trade-off analysis	62
4.4.4	Limitations.....	65
4.5	Discussion.....	65
5.	Hardware setups for augmented reality.....	67
5.1	Requirements	68
5.2	UMPC-based setups.....	69
5.3	Vesp´R setup	71
5.4	Evaluation of Vesp´R setup	72
5.4.1	Mobile computing developers	73
5.4.2	Mixed user group.....	75
5.4.3	Field worker interview	77
5.4.4	Management level feedback.....	79
5.4.5	Evaluation summary	79
5.5	POMAR-3D setup	80
5.6	Tablet PC-based setup	83
5.7	Discussion.....	84
6.	Pose tracking	85
6.1	Global pose estimation using multi-sensor fusion	85
6.2	Position tracking.....	87
6.3	Orientation tracking	89
6.3.1	Gyroscope measurement model	90
6.3.2	Magnetometer measurement model	91
6.3.3	Accelerometer measurement model.....	91
6.4	Visual tracking approach.....	92
6.5	Fusion of attitude with visual tracking	94
6.6	Position using differential GPS.....	97
6.7	Position using real-time kinematic GPS.....	100
6.8	Attitude Kalman filter	106
6.9	Visual tracking	110

6.10	Combination of attitude with visual tracking	112
6.11	North-centered orientation tracking	114
6.11.1	Orientation estimation and sensor fusion	115
6.11.2	Kalman filter setup	117
6.11.3	Results	118
6.12	Discussion	127
7.	Applications – AR visualization and interaction in civil engineering	129
7.1	Concept	130
7.1.1	Inspection toolset	133
7.1.2	Excavation tool	134
7.1.3	Metadata Querying tool	135
7.1.4	Filtering tool	136
7.2	Snapshot tool	136
7.3	Interactive redlining toolset	136
7.3.1	Annotating the geospatial model	137
7.3.2	Surveying in the geospatial model	138
7.3.3	Interactive validation of object placement	138
7.4	Verification toolset	139
7.4.1	Visualization of abstract information	139
7.4.2	Verification of abstract information	140
7.5	Evaluation results	140
7.5.1	Evaluation procedure	142
7.5.2	Digital terrain model	143
7.5.3	Virtual redlining	143
7.5.4	Verification of abstract information	146
7.6	Role of AR in field information systems	146
7.7	Discussion	149
8.	Conclusions	151
8.1	Reflection	151
8.2	Road map	154
	Appendix	155
	Bibliography	163

List of Figures

Figure 1: The reality-virtuality continuum of Milgram.	3
Figure 2: Milgram-Weiser diagram of (Newman et al., 2007).	6
Figure 3: The first head-mounted display by Ivan Sutherland.....	19
Figure 4: Evolution of mobile AR systems. Hardware setups range from backpack systems to handheld computers.	21
Figure 5: Mobile AR systems.	21
Figure 6: Going out. (Left) A user operating a handheld augmented reality unit tracked in an urban environment. (Middle) Live shot showing the unit tracking a building. (Right) Screenshot from a pose close to the left images with overlaid building outline.....	22
Figure 7: A user operating a smart phone using an AR application for visualizing labels registered on the environment.	23
Figure 8: Manually generated 3D model of a building floor. The model includes a corridor and few dozens rooms.....	25
Figure 9: 3D city model and building models in various detail based on CityGML.....	27
Figure 10: Table top visualization.....	29
Figure 11: Coordinate systems of reference frames.	34
Figure 12: Reference frames.	35
Figure 13: Manual surveying and modeling workflow.	41
Figure 14: Spatial relationships involved in the bridging of adjacent surveying areas (top). Graph of interrelationships (bottom).	43
Figure 15: Indoor building model.	44
Figure 16: Semi-automatic surveying.	48

Figure 17: Superimposed map of the markers reconstructed from the robot and the map of markers measured with the total station	48
Figure 18: Two-dimensional floor plan measured by the PeopleBot robot.	48
Figure 19: Indoor tracking setup.	51
Figure 20: Hybrid tracking approach for indoor environments.	51
Figure 21: Handheld AR user performing navigation task.	52
Figure 22: Navigating towards destination “corridor”.	52
Figure 23: 2D map vs. 3D model of urban area.....	53
Figure 24: Transcoding pipeline.	54
Figure 25: Transcoding output formats.	59
Figure 26: Curve geometry.....	59
Figure 27: Trench with different opening angles.	61
Figure 28: 3D models representing GIS symbols.....	61
Figure 29: 3D model of an urban area in Salzburg	63
Figure 30: 3D model of an urban area in Vienna.	64
Figure 31: 3D model of an urban area in Graz in Sandgasse/Inffeldgasse.	64
Figure 32: Unconventional indoor AR setup.	69
Figure 33: Indoor AR setup.....	70
Figure 34: Outdoor AR setup named Vesp’R.	71
Figure 35. A participant testing the Vidente application exhibited during the Ubicomp 2007 conference.	74
Figure 36: Evaluation results.....	76
Figure 37: Expert field worker.	77

Figure 38: Pomar-3D, outdoor AR setup.....	81
Figure 39: Pomar-3D, user holding outdoor AR setup.	81
Figure 40: Differential GPS tracking module.....	82
Figure 41: Tablet PC-based AR setup.....	83
Figure 42: Multi-sensor fusion system architecture.	86
Figure 43: State machine SM $\{\Sigma, S_0, S1, S2, S3, S4, \delta\}$	95
Figure 44: 3D model of the test site.....	96
Figure 45: GPS measurements.....	98
Figure 46: Position estimates along a path using the Position Kalman filter.	98
Figure 47: AR overlay.	99
Figure 48: Rooftop test scenario.	100
Figure 49: GPS accuracy measurements of (a) northing, (b) easting and (c) height using the L1/L2 differential GPS receiver.	101
Figure 50: Error distribution of the overall re-projection error.	102
Figure 51: AR view of a re-projected physical reference point on the pavement.....	103
Figure 52: Histogram of re-projection errors for easting direction.	104
Figure 53: Histogram of re-projection errors for northing direction.	104
Figure 54: AR view with superimposed enclosures and base point of the building corner and a capping registered in 3D.....	105
Figure 55: Attitude during situation (a): Rotation of user, no drift (up: inertial sensor, down: Kalman filter attitude).....	107
Figure 56: Attitude during situation (b): Rotation of user, transient drift (up: inertial sensor, down: Kalman filter attitude).....	108

Figure 57: Attitude during situation (c): Rotation of user, permanent drift (left: inertial sensor, right: Kalman filter attitude).....	109
Figure 58: Comparison of orientation estimates during start up phase and rotation of inertial sensor (yaw).	111
Figure 59: Screenshot showing the performance of inertial and visual tracking by visualizing building wireframe models.	111
Figure 60: Map of the outdoor environment created by the visual panorama tracker.	112
Figure 61: Comparison of yaw of the Kalman filtered inertial sensor with yaw of the visual panorama tracker under various conditions.....	113
Figure 62: Reference systems.....	116
Figure 63: Test area.	119
Figure 64: Test setup.....	120
Figure 65: Errors (in degrees) to the north for the sequences recorded on the tablet PC (top) and on the phone (bottom).	122
Figure 66: Error distribution from the tablet PC (top) and for the phone (bottom), for both the sensors and the hybrid tracker.....	123
Figure 67: Test sequence for the tablet PC.....	124
Figure 68: Test sequence for the phone.	124
Figure 69: Plot of heading, pitch and roll for a free-hand movement of the tablet PC between two reference points.	126
Figure 70: AR visualization of GIS data. (Left) User with mobile AR system. (Right) Users view of very simple visualization of geospatial data.	131
Figure 71: User with mobile outdoor prototypes.	131
Figure 72: Screenshot of a working outdoor prototype of the project Vidente.....	132
Figure 73: Smart Vidente system architecture.	132

Figure 74: Image-based ghostings.	134
Figure 75: AR view showing underground pipes well registered in 3D.....	135
Figure 76: Excavation tool and Metadata querying tool.	135
Figure 77: Snapshot of the augmented live video.....	136
Figure 78: Conventional redlining feature in 2D (left). Visualized in a conventional geographic information system (GE Smallworld™). (Right) AR redlining feature shown in 3D.	137
Figure 79: Noise protection barrier to be erected alongside a railroad track.....	139
Figure 80: Conventional exocentric view at land register and underground services data as available in two-dimensional GIS visualizations (Graz Geodatenserver) (left). (Right) Egocentric view at land register.	140
Figure 81: The workflow of an inspection task on the left follows the method used to identify an underground object (left) using a 2D map and (right) utilizing a 3-dimensional AR visualization.....	147
Figure 82: 2D map showing GIS features.	148
Figure 83: 3D AR visualization showing surveyed above ground features and subsurface features.	148
Figure 84: AR view with superimposed enclosures and base point of the building corner registered in 3D. The surface reference features are covered by winter snow.	150
Figure 85: Centimetre grid used for accuracy experiments.	159
Figure 86: Feature node in GeographyML format.....	160
Figure 87: Open Inventor node of a pipe feature containing the subnodes for properties and geometry.	160
Figure 88: Open Inventor node of a pipe feature containing the subnodes for properties and geometry.	161

List of Tables

Table 1: Transcoding results of three different urban areas.	65
Table 2: State transition table. Shows which conditions induce a transition to which state.	95
Table 3: GPS accuracy measurements using GPS and DGPS.	97
Table 4: GPS accuracy measurements of the L1/L2 differential GPS receiver.	97
Table 5: Error in the overall re-projection.	102
Table 6: Attitude during test of relative angular accuracy.	106
Table 7: Yaw measurements of visual tracker.	113
Table 8: Angle (in degrees) to magnetic and true north of reference points 1-8, as seen from the reference point RP.	119
Table 9: Mean and standard deviation (in degrees) for the error of the sensors, the vision tracker and the hybrid tracker from both the tablet PC and the phone measurements.	125