

Advances in Mathematics Education

Editors:

Prof. Dr. Gabriele Kaiser, University of Hamburg

Prof. Dr. Bharath Sriraman, The University of Montana

For other titles published in this series, go to
www.springer.com/series/8392

Jinfa Cai • Eric Knuth

Editors

Early Algebraization

A Global Dialogue
from Multiple Perspectives



Springer

Prof. Jinfa Cai
Dept. Mathematical Sciences
University of Delaware
Ewing Hall 501
Newark, DE 19716
USA
jcai@math.udel.edu

Prof. Eric Knuth
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Teacher Education Building,
225 N. Mills Street
Madison, WI 53706
USA
knuth@education.wisc.edu

ISSN 1869-4918
ISBN 978-3-642-17734-7
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-17735-4
Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

e-ISSN 1869-4926
e-ISBN 978-3-642-17735-4

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011922614

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2011

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: deblik

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Foreword

Early Algebraization: A Global Dialogue from Multiple Perspectives is the second monograph in the Advances in Mathematics Education (AiME) series launched by Springer in 2009. The book follows in the tradition of *Theories of Mathematics Education* (Sriraman and English, monograph 1), stemming from a previous ZDM issues on early algebraic thinking (vol. 37, no. 1, 2005 and vol. 40, no. 1, 2008). That is, although it uses the previous issues as a basis for the current monograph, the monograph itself goes beyond simply revisiting the past. It conveys the present state of the art on existing research on early algebraization since 2005. The eight previous articles (five from vol. 37 and 3 from vol. 40) have been reworked and updated in addition to 18 new chapters from researchers involved in early algebraization research projects in different parts of the world, which include 4 commentaries on the scope of the research.

The book editors Jinfa Cai and Eric Knuth have compiled the book in three substantial parts between the bookends of a general introduction and an overall commentary addressing perspectives for research and teaching in this domain of inquiry. These three parts of the book examine curricular, cognitive and instructional components of early algebraization. Unlike the ZDM issue which was predominantly articles from researchers based in North America, this book contains ongoing research from different parts of the world, and initiates a global conversation on where the community stands in its research findings.

AiME is distinct from other mathematics education series because it attempts to draw the reader into a conversation, and be dialogic in its presentation. This is the purpose of soliciting commentaries from those that are able to synthesize ideas, expose them in a larger light of what is known, and directions in which they can be further pushed. This book continues in this tradition and attempts to draw us into the issues of understanding, implementing and assessing early algebraization in projects and curricula in different parts of the world. We hope this monograph is of value to the research community of mathematics educators interested in the role and significance of early algebraic thinking within the current research architecture. We

appreciate the efforts of the authors that are a part of this book and thank the book editors (Cai & Knuth) for this superb book.

Gabriele Kaiser
Bharath Sriraman

Introduction

A Global Dialogue About Early Algebraization from Multiple Perspectives

Kilpatrick and Izsák (2008) quoted an anonymous editorial writer to start their chapter in the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics' 70th Yearbook: "If there is a heaven for school subjects, algebra will never go there. It is the one subject in the curriculum that has kept children from finishing high school, from developing their special interests and from enjoying much of their home study work. It has caused more family rows, more tears, more heartaches, and more sleepless nights than any other school subject." (p. 3) Even though there has been a dramatic change for the world 70 years ago when the editorial was written to nowadays, the status of algebra as a school subject has not changed much—algebra is important but many students experience difficulties (Kieran 2007; Loveless 2008; National Mathematics Advisory Panel [NMAP] 2008). In fact, algebra has been characterized as the most important "gatekeeper" in school mathematics.

Given its gatekeeper role as well as growing concern about students' inadequate understandings and preparation in algebra, algebra curricula and instruction have become focal points for policy makers and mathematics education researchers around the world (e.g., Bednarz et al. 1996; Lacampagne et al. 1995; RAND Mathematics Study Panel 2003; Stacey et al. 2004). An important emphasis, common around the globe, is the development of students' algebraic thinking in earlier grades. The development of students' algebraic thinking in earlier grades is not a new idea; in China and Russia, for example, algebraic concepts were introduced to elementary school students in the 50s and 60s. In other countries (e.g., Europe, North America), the discussion of integrating algebraic ideas into mathematics curricula in the earlier grades started in the 70s. In the past decade, however, there has been an increased emphasis on and wider acceptance for developing students' algebraic ideas and thinking in earlier grades, reflected in a number of influential policy documents. For example, in the United States, the NCTM proposed algebra as a content strand for all grade levels (NCTM 2000). In fact, it is widely accepted that to achieve the goal of "algebra for all", students in elementary and middle school must have experiences that better prepare them for more formal study of algebra in

the later grades. Yet, only recently have researchers started to explore issues related to early algebraization.

Although a chapter on research on school algebra appeared in the *Handbook of the Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (Grouws 1992), its focus was primarily on algebra at the secondary school level. In the *Second Handbook of the Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning* (Lester 2007), there is again a chapter on algebra at the secondary school level, however, the volume now also includes a chapter on early algebra learning. In fact, this is the only chapter with such a focus in mathematics education research handbooks published in the past two decades. A similar trend can also be seen in publications directed toward teachers: In 1993, NCTM published a volume focused on research ideas for the elementary school classroom that did not include any chapters focused on early algebra learning; in contrast, NCTM recently published a similar volume (Lambdin and Lester 2010) that does include a chapter on early algebra learning. On one hand, such changes suggest that the field has known enough about early algebraization to synthesize research findings in the area. On the other hand, as Carraher and Schliemann (2007) recently pointed out: “Although there is some agreement that algebra has a place in the elementary school curriculum, the research basis needed for integrating algebra into the early mathematics curriculum is still emerging, little known, and far from consolidated.” (p. 671) In fact, curriculum developers, educational researchers, teachers, and policy makers are just beginning to think about and explore the kinds of mathematical experiences and knowledge students in early grades need to be successfully prepared for the formal study of algebra in the later grades. This monograph is part of such an effort.

Early Algebraization

Traditionally, most school mathematics curricula separate the study of arithmetic and algebra—arithmetic being the primary focus of elementary school mathematics and algebra the primary focus of middle and high school mathematics. There is a growing consensus, however, that this separation makes it more difficult for students to learn algebra in the later grades (Kieran 2007). Moreover, based on recent research on learning, there are many obvious and widely accepted reasons for developing algebraic ideas in the earlier grades (Cai and Knuth 2005). The field has gradually reached consensus that students can learn and should be exposed to algebraic ideas as they develop the computational proficiency emphasized in arithmetic. In addition, it is agreed that the means for developing algebraic ideas in earlier grades is not to simply push the traditional secondary school algebra curriculum down into the elementary school mathematics curriculum. Rather, developing algebraic ideas in the earlier grades requires fundamentally reforming how arithmetic should be viewed and taught as well as a better understanding of the various factors that make the transition from arithmetic to algebra difficult for students.

The transition from arithmetic to algebra is difficult for many students, even for those students who are quite proficient in arithmetic, as it often requires them to

think in very different ways (Kieran 2007; Kilpatrick et al. 2001). Kieran, for example, suggested the following shifts from thinking arithmetically to thinking algebraically: (1) A focus on relations and not merely on the calculation of a numerical answer; (2) A focus on operations as well as their inverses, and on the related idea of doing/undoing; (3) A focus on both representing and solving a problem rather than on merely solving it; (4) A focus on both numbers and letters, rather than on numbers alone; and (5) A refocusing of the meaning of the equal sign from a signifier to calculate to a symbol that denotes an equivalence relationship between quantities. These five shifts certainly fall within the domain of arithmetic, yet, they also represent a movement toward developing ideas fundamental to the study of algebra. Thus, in this view, the boundary between arithmetic and algebra is not as distinct as often is believed to be the case.

What is algebraic thinking in earlier grades then? Algebraic thinking in earlier grades should go beyond mastery of arithmetic and computational fluency to attend to the deeper underlying structure of mathematics. The development of algebraic thinking in the earlier grades requires the development of particular ways of thinking, including analyzing relationships between quantities, noticing structure, studying change, generalizing, problem solving, modeling, justifying, proving, and predicting. That is, early algebra learning develops not only new tools to understand mathematical relationships, but also new habits of mind. In this volume, we focus on the development of algebraic ideas in both elementary and middle schools.

Multiple Perspectives

In this volume, the authors address the issues of early algebraization from curricular, cognitive, and instructional perspectives. The inclusion of middle grades is desirable because of the critical transition from elementary to the middle grades, particularly related to algebra learning. The inclusion of issues related to curriculum, cognition, and instruction is based on the consideration that they are the three most fundamental perspectives for mathematics education. Curricula have a significant influence on what students learn (NCTM 2000) and have been found to contribute to mathematical performance differences in cross-national studies (Schmidt et al. 1996). Accordingly, the examination of curricula from various nations can provide a broader point of view regarding curricular approaches to integrating algebraic ideas into earlier grades as well as providing insights regarding the development of students' algebraic thinking.

Although curricula can provide elementary and middle school students with opportunities to develop their algebraic thinking, teachers are arguably the most important influence on what students actually learn. Thus, the success of efforts to develop students' algebraic thinking rests largely with the ability of teachers to foster such thinking.

The design of curricula and professional development programs as well as the enactment of instructional practices intended to support the development of students'

algebraic thinking are all dependent, to a great extent, on what we know about students' algebraic thinking and its development. Thus it is critical to examine issues related to students' cognition in algebra learning.

As we look across this set of articles in this volume, with their variety of foci and perspectives, two cross-cutting themes surfaced. First is the importance of better integrating into current school mathematics practices opportunities for students to develop their algebraic thinking. These opportunities include both the design of curricula, at the elementary school level in particular, that pays explicit attention to making connections between arithmetic and algebra, and the recognition of opportunities to strengthen these connections as students progress through middle school. The second theme to emerge is the importance of supporting teachers' efforts to implement practices that foster the development of students' algebraic thinking. If future generations of students are to become better prepared for more formal study of algebra in the later grades, then likewise teachers must also be better prepared. The articles in this volume provide guidance and suggestions for continued work in the area of early algebra research regarding teachers' instructional practices and professional development.

One of the important features of this volume is its international in nature, which promotes a global dialogue on the topic. Research is presented from many parts of the world, including Australia, Canada, China, France, India, Italy, Japan, New Zealand, Russia, Singapore, South Korea, the United Kingdom, and the United States of America. Such a global dialogue will help us address issues related to early algebra learning and, ultimately, better prepare greater numbers of students for success in algebra.

Acknowledgements We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the National Science Foundation for its support of our research during the past decade. We also thank the Springer editorial team and the series' editorial board for their support, including the sustained support of Clemens Heine, Gabriele Kaiser, and Bharath Sriraman in the process of preparing this volume during the past three years. We particularly acknowledge the helpful reviews for some of the chapters by Miriam Amit, Rongjin Huang, Jane Jane Lo, and Manya Sundstrom. Finally, we thank all of the contributing authors for their quality work and patience.

Jinfa Cai
Eric Knuth

References

- Bednarz, N., Kieran, C., & Lee, L. (Eds.) (1996). *Approaches to Algebra: Perspectives for Research and Teaching*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Cai, J., & Knuth, E. (Eds.) (2005). Developing algebraic thinking: Multiple perspectives. *Zentralblatt fuer Didaktik der Mathematik (International Journal on Mathematics Education)*, 37(1). Special Issue.
- Carraher, D. W., & Schliemann, A. D. (2007). Early algebra and algebraic reasoning. In F. K. Lester Jr. (Ed.), *Second Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning: A Project of the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics* (pp. 669–706). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing; Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

- Chick, E., Stacey, K., Vincent, J., & Vincent, J. (Eds.) (2001). *The Future of the Teaching and Learning of Algebra: Proceedings of 12th ICMI Study*. University Melbourne, Australia.
- Grouws, D. A. (Ed.) (1992). *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning*. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Kieran, C. (2007). Learning and teaching algebra at the middle school through college levels. In F.K. Lester Jr. (Ed.), *Second Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning*. Charlotte, NC: New Age Publishing; Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Kilpatrick, J., & Izsák, A. (2008). A history of algebra in the school curriculum. In C. E. Greenes & R. Rubenstein (Eds.), *Algebra and Algebraic Thinking in School Mathematics. 70th Yearbook of the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics* (pp. 3–18). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Kilpatrick, J., Swafford, J., & Findell, B. (Eds.) (2001). *Adding it up*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Lacampagne, C., Blair, W., & Kaput, J. (1995). *The Algebra Initiative Colloquium*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education & Office of Educational Research and Improvement.
- Lambdin, D. V., & Lester, F. K. Jr. (Eds.) (2010). *Teaching and Learning Mathematics: Translating Research for Elementary School Teachers*. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Lester, F. K. Jr. (Ed.) (2007). *Second Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning*. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing; Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Loveless, T. (2008). *The misplaced math student: lost in eight-grade algebra*. The Brown Center Report on American Education.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000). *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*. Reston, VA: Author.
- National Mathematics Advisory Panel (2008). *Foundations for Success: The Final Report of the National Mathematics Advisory Panel*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- RAND Mathematics Study Panel (2003). *Mathematical proficiency for all students: Towards a strategic development program in mathematics education*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation MR-1643-OERI.
- Schmidt, W. H., McKnight, C. C., & Raizen, S. A. (1996). *A Splintered Vision: An Investigation of U.S. Science and Mathematics Education*. Boston: Kluwer.
- Stacey, K., Chick, H., & Kendal, M. (Eds.) (2004). *The Future of the Teaching and Learning of Algebra: The 12th ICMI Study*. Kluwer: Dordrecht.

Contents

Part I: Curricular Perspective

Preface to Part I	3
Jinfa Cai and Eric Knuth	
Functional Thinking as a Route Into Algebra in the Elementary Grades	5
Maria L. Blanton and James J. Kaput	
Introduction	6
The Challenge of Curriculum and Instruction	6
Functional Thinking as a Route to Algebraic Thinking	7
Functional Thinking in the Elementary Grades	8
Children’s Capacity for Functional Thinking	9
Integrating Functional Thinking into Curriculum and Instruction	16
Transforming Teachers’ Resource Base to Support Students’ Functional Thinking	17
Using Children’s Functional Thinking to Leverage Teacher Learning	19
Creating Classroom Culture and Practice to Support Functional Thinking	20
Conclusion	20
References	21
Developing Students’ Algebraic Thinking in Earlier Grades: Lessons from China and Singapore	25
Jinfa Cai, Swee Fong Ng, and John C. Moyer	
Introduction	26
Features of the Chinese and Singaporean Curricula	27
Algebra Emphases in the Chinese and Singaporean Curricula	27
The Chinese Curriculum	28
The Singaporean Curriculum	32

Lessons from Chinese and Singaporean School Mathematics	34
Why Should Curricula Expect Students in Early Grades to Think Algebraically?	35
Are Young Children Capable of Thinking Algebraically?	36
How Can We Help Students to Think Arithmetically and Algebraically?	37
Are Authentic Applications Necessary for Students in Early Grades?	38
Conclusion	39
References	40
Developing Algebraic Thinking in the Context of Arithmetic	43
Susan Jo Russell, Deborah Schifter, and Virginia Bastable	
Understanding the Behavior of the Operations	45
Generalizing and Justifying	51
1. Articulating General Claims	51
2. Developing a Mathematical Argument to Justify a General Claim	53
3. Representation-Based Proof: Tools for Proving in the Elementary Grades	56
Extending the Number System	59
Using Notation with Meaning	63
Connecting Arithmetic and Algebra	67
References	68
The Role of Theoretical Analysis in Developing Algebraic Thinking: A Vygotskian Perspective	71
Jean Schmittau	
Introduction	71
Orienting Children to Theoretical Concepts	74
Role of Psychological Tools	76
The Part-Whole Relation	76
Concluding Remarks	84
References	85
The Arithmetic-Algebra Connection: A Historical-Pedagogical Perspective	87
K. Subramaniam and Rakhi Banerjee	
Introduction	87
Arithmetic and Algebra in the Indian Mathematical Tradition	91
Building on Students' Understanding of Arithmetic	95
The Arithmetic Algebra Connection—A Framework	98
References	105
<i>Shiki</i>: A Critical Foundation for School Algebra in Japanese Elementary School Mathematics	109
Tad Watanabe	
School Algebra and Algebra in Early Grades	110

Methodology	111
Algebra in Japanese Curriculum	112
Mathematical Expressions in Japanese Curriculum	114
Mathematical Expressions in Japanese Textbooks	114
Discussion	121
References	123
Commentary on Part I	125
Jeremy Kilpatrick	
Algebra First	126
A Curriculum Topic	127
Numerical Patterns	128
Word Problems	128
Multiple Perspectives	129
References	129

Part II: Cognitive Perspective

Preface to Part II	135
Eric Knuth and Jinfa Cai	

Algebraic Thinking with and without Algebraic Representation: A Pathway for Learning	137
Murray S. Britt and Kathryn C. Irwin	
Introduction	138
Children’s Understanding of Generalities for Operations Before Schooling	139
Algebraic Thinking and the New Zealand Numeracy Project	140
Students’ Algebraic Thinking in the Last Year of Intermediate School (Age 11–12)	146
The Growth of Algebraic Thinking from Numbers to Symbols: A Longitudinal Study	147
Discussion	152
A Pathway for Algebraic Thinking	153
References	157

Examining Students’ Algebraic Thinking in a Curricular Context: A Longitudinal Study	161
Jinfa Cai, John C. Moyer, Ning Wang, and Bikai Nie	
Standards-Based and Traditional Curricula in the United States	162
LieCal Project	163
Highlights of the Differences between CMP and Non-CMP Curricula	164
Defining Variables	165
Defining Equations	165
Introducing Equation Solving	166
Using Mathematical Problems	168

Highlights of the Differences between CMP and Non-CMP

- Classroom Instruction 169
- Conceptual and Procedural Emphases 170
- Instructional Tasks 171

Students’ Development of Algebraic Thinking: Methodological

- Considerations 172
- The Focus of Algebraic Thinking 173
- Tasks and Data Analysis 174

Findings about the Development of Students’ Algebraic Thinking . 174

- Representing Situations 175
- Solving Equations 177
- Making Generalizations 178

Conclusions and Instructional Implications 180

References 183

Years 2 to 6 Students’ Ability to Generalise: Models, Representations and Theory for Teaching and Learning 187

Tom J. Cooper and Elizabeth Warren

- Perspectives on the Mathematics of Early Algebra 188
- Representation and Generalisation 190
 - Models and Representations 191
 - Generalisation 191
 - Focus of EATP 193
 - Focus of Chapter 194
 - Design of EATP 194
- Findings and Discussion 196
 - Patterns 197
 - Change and Functions 198
 - Equations and Equivalence 201
 - Generalising Principles and Abstract Representations 204
- Conclusions and Implications 206
 - Models and Representations 206
 - Generalisation 207
 - Theoretical Framework 209
- References 211

Algebra in the Middle School: Developing Functional Relationships Through Quantitative Reasoning 215

Amy B. Ellis

- What Is Quantitative Reasoning? 216
- The Importance of (and Difficulties with) Functional Thinking . . . 218
- An Alternative Approach to Function: Quantities and Covariation . 222
- A Flexible Understanding of Functions 226
 - Coordinating Covariation and Correspondence Approaches . . 226
 - Flexibility Across Forms 230

Fostering a Focus on Quantities	234
References	235
Representational Competence and Algebraic Modeling	239
Andrew Izsák	
Early Results on Students’ Understandings of Standard Representations in Algebra	241
Theoretical Accounts of Reasoning with External Representations	241
Students’ Capacities to Reason with External Representations	243
First Result: Criteria for Evaluating External Representations	244
Second Result: Adaptive Interpretation	249
Conclusion	253
References	256
Middle School Students’ Understanding of Core Algebraic Concepts:	
Equivalence & Variable	259
Eric J. Knuth, Martha W. Alibali, Nicole M. McNeil, Aaron Weinberg, and Ana C. Stephens	
Introduction	260
Student Understanding of Equivalence & Variable	261
Equivalence	261
Variable	262
Method	262
Participants	262
Data Collection	263
Coding	264
Results	266
Interpretation of the Equal Sign	266
Performance on the Equivalent Equations Problem	267
Interpretation of a Literal Symbol	270
Performance on the which Is Larger Problem	271
Discussion	273
Equivalence Results	273
Variable Results	274
Concluding Remarks	275
References	275
An Approach to Geometric and Numeric Patterning that Fosters Second Grade Students’ Reasoning and Generalizing about Functions and Co-variation	277
Joan Moss and Susan London McNab	
Introduction	277
Our Project	279
Our Approach: Theoretical	279
Instructional Sequence	281
Visual Representation: Geometric Growing Patterns	281

Numeric Representations: Function Machine	282
Integration Activities: Pattern Sidewalk	283
Role of the Teacher	284
Procedures and Measures: Grade 2 Interventions	285
Results	285
Finding Rules for Patterns and Generating Patterns Based on Given Rules	286
Constructing a Pattern from a Rule: “A ‘number times two, plus one’ pattern?”	286
Finding a Rule for a Given Pattern: “Position number times three, plus one”	287
Students’ Invention of Multiplication	288
Deconstructing Multiplication: “Double the position, plus the position”	289
Using a Structural Understanding of Multiplication to Predict Far Positions: “It’s 40 up, and 3 to the side”	289
The Discovery of Zero	291
Zero as a Coefficient: “Zero groups of 4 million is zero”	291
Zero as a Position Number: “the zero-th position”	292
Transfer of Structure	293
Circumventing Whole Object Reasoning	293
Informal Algebraic Expressions of Rules in the Sparky Problem	294
Discussion	295
The Curriculum with Its Focus on Integration	296
Prioritizing Visual Representations of Pattern	297
Pedagogy and Student Inventions	297
Concluding Thoughts	298
References	298
Grade 2 Students’ Non-Symbolic Algebraic Thinking	303
Luis Radford	
Introduction	303
Extending Sequences	305
Abstraction	307
The Boundaries of Arithmetic and Algebraic Thinking	308
Layers of Generality	311
Beyond Intuited Indeterminacy	312
A General Overview	316
Synthesis and Concluding Remarks	317
References	320
Formation of Pattern Generalization Involving Linear Figural Patterns	
Among Middle School Students: Results of a Three-Year Study	323
F.D. Rivera and Joanne Rossi Becker	
Anticipating What Is to Come: Initial Reflections on Our Three-Year Data from the Clinical Interviews	327

Cognitive Issues Surrounding Pattern Generalization: What We Know from Various Theoretical Perspectives and Empirical Studies	329
Clarifying the Definition of Pattern Generalization	329
Types of Algebraic Generalization Involving Figural Patterns	330
Methodology	331
Classroom Contexts from Years 1 to 3 of the Study	331
Nature and Content of Classroom Teaching Experiments in Years 1 and 2	332
Nature and Content of Classroom Teaching Experiments in Year 3	334
Nature and Content of Clinical Interview Tasks from Years 1 to 3	335
Data Collection and Analysis and Relevant Study Protocols	335
Findings and Discussion Part 1: Accounting for Constructive and Deconstructive Generalizations	338
Findings and Discussion Part 2: Understanding the Operations Needed in Developing a Pattern Generalization	342
Findings and Discussion Part 3: Factors Affecting Students' Ability to Develop CGs	344
Findings and Discussion Part 4: A Three-Year Account of Classroom Mathematical Practices that Encouraged the Formation of Generalization Among Our Middle School Students	347
Year 1 Classroom Practices: From Figurally- to Numerically-Driven CSGs	348
Year 2 Practice: Continued Use of Numerically-Driven CSGs and a Refinement in the Case of Decreasing Linear Patterns	351
Year 3 Practices: A Third Shift Back to Figural-based Generalization and the Consequent Occurrence of CSGs, CNGs, and DGs	352
Findings and Discussion Part 5: Middle School Students' Capability in Justifying CSGs	354
Findings and Discussion Part 6: Middle School Students' Capability in Constructing and Justifying CNGs and DGs	357
Conclusion	362
References	363
Commentary on Part II	367
Bharath Sriraman and Kyeong-Hwa Lee	
Introductory Remarks	367
Early Algebraization Versus Meaningful Arithmetic	368
Generalized Arithmetic, Generalizing, Generalization	369
From Haeckel to Lamarck to Early Algebraization	370
References	372

Part III: Instructional Perspective

Preface to Part III	377
Eric Knuth and Jinfa Cai	
Prospective Middle-School Mathematics Teachers' Knowledge of Equations and Inequalities	379
Nerida F. Ellerton and M.A. (Ken) Clements	
The Context	379
Mathematical Considerations Relating to the Teaching and Learning of Equations and Inequalities	380
Student Misconceptions in Regard to Quadratic Equations	383
Student Misconceptions with Regard to Linear Inequalities	384
The Pre-Service Teachers Involved, and Tasks Used, in the Present Study	386
“Clever” Tasks	387
Developing the Pencil-and-Paper Instruments	389
The Eight Equation/Algebraic Inequality Pairs	389
Study Design, and Results	395
Population and Sample Considerations	395
Results	396
Conclusions in Relation to the Prospective Teachers' Knowledge of Algebraic Inequalities	399
Prospective Teachers' Knowledge in Relation to Quadratic Equations	401
Bad News, Good News and Some Concluding Comments	402
Bad News	402
Good News	403
Student Confidence Considerations	406
Concluding Comments	406
References	407
The Algebraic Nature of Fractions: Developing Relational Thinking in Elementary School	409
Susan B. Empson, Linda Levi, and Thomas P. Carpenter	
What Is Relational Thinking?	411
Use of Relational Thinking in Learning Fractions	413
Understanding Fractional Quantities Through Relational Thinking	413
Use of Relational Thinking to Make Sense of Operations Involving Fractions	416
Discussion of Cases	422
A Conjecture Concerning Relational Thinking as a Tool in Learning New Number Content	423

Conclusion 425
 References 426

Professional Development to Support Students’ Algebraic Reasoning:

An Example from the Problem-Solving Cycle Model 429

Karen Koellner, Jennifer Jacobs, Hilda Borko, Sarah Roberts, and Craig Schneider

Introduction 430
 The Problem-Solving Cycle Model of Professional Development . . 431
 The PSC as Implemented in the STAAR Project 432
 Prior Research on the Development and Impact of the PSC . . 435
 Impact of the PSC on Instructional Practice: A Case Study
 Analysis 436
 Methods 436
 Ken Bryant 436
 Data Sources 437
 Data Analysis 438
 Results and Discussion 440
 Patterns Drawn from QMI Coding and Analysis 440
 Vignette Analysis: Ken’s Skyscraper Windows Lesson 447
 Conclusions 450
 References 451

Using Habermas’ Theory of Rationality to Gain Insight into Students’

Understanding of Algebraic Language 453

Francesca Morselli and Paolo Boero

Introduction 453
 Habermas’ Construct of Rational Behaviour 454
 Adaptation of Habermas’ Construct of Rational Behavior
 to the Case of the Use of Algebraic Language 455
 Epistemic Rationality 455
 Teleological Rationality 456
 Communicative Rationality 456
 Relationships with Other Studies on Proving and Modeling
 and on the Teaching and Learning of Algebra 457
 Proving 457
 Modeling 459
 Teaching and Learning of Algebra 459
 Description and Interpretation of Student Behavior 462
 Habermas’ Analytical Tool: Examples of Analysis of Student
 Behavior at Different School Levels 462
 Habermas Analytical Tool: Analysis of a Teaching Experiment . . . 468
 The Context of the Study: Description of the Research Project 468
 First Task: Choose a Number. 469
 Second Task: Representing the Game 470
 Discussion 477

Research Advances	477
Educational Implications	478
References	479
Theoretical Issues and Educational Strategies for Encouraging Teachers to Promote a Linguistic and Metacognitive Approach to Early Algebra 483	
Annalisa Cusi, Nicolina A. Malara, and Giancarlo Navarra	
Introduction	483
In Europe	484
From Traditional Algebra to Early Algebra	485
Early Algebra as a Meta-Subject and the <i>ArAl</i> Project	486
Socio-Constructive Teaching and Teacher Training	487
The Role of the Teacher's Reflection	488
The Role of the <i>ArAl</i> Glossary in Teacher Training	490
Algebraic Babbling	492
Algebraic Babbling → Algebra as a Language	493
Algebraic Babbling → Syntax, Semantics → Brioshi	494
Brioshi → Canonical/Non Canonical form of a Number → '='	495
The Multi-Commented Transcripts Methodology (MCTM)	496
From the Comments to a Classification of Attitudes	499
Example	502
Concluding Remarks	504
References	507
A Procedural Focus and a Relationship Focus to Algebra: How U.S. Teachers and Japanese Teachers Treat Systems of Equations 511	
Margaret Smith	
Background	512
Algebraic Reasoning	512
TIMSS Video Studies	514
Data	515
Analysis	515
Two Teachers' Lessons	516
Discussion of Key Differences	516
Conclusions	526
References	526
Teaching Algebraic Equations with Variation in Chinese Classroom 529	
Jing Li, Aihui Peng, and Naiqing Song	
Introduction	529
The Source of the Data	531
Theoretical Framework	531
The Method of Research	533
Analysis of Data	533
The Introduction of the Concept of Equation	533

The Improvement of Understanding of Equation	535
Equations Solving	539
The Application of Equations	541
Discussion and Conclusion	545
Process of Teaching Algebra with Variation	545
Operation of Teaching Algebra with Variation	546
Final Comments	548
References	555
Commentary on Part III	557
John Mason	
Introduction	557
Systematics: Structure of Activity	558
What Is Algebra?	559
What Is and What Could Be: Teaching Algebra as an Activity . . .	560
Traditional Algebra Teaching	561
Envisioned Algebra Teaching	563
What Makes ‘Algebra’ Early?	566
Comparisons	568
Transforming Algebra Teaching and Learning as an Activity	568
How Can Locally Successful Teaching Be Engineered for All? .	569
What Is and Could Be Researched?	570
What Is Really Researched?	571
Conclusions	574
References	574
Overall Commentary on Early Algebraization: Perspectives for	
Research and Teaching	579
Carolyn Kieran	
Shaping the Notion of Algebraic Thinking within Early Algebra . .	580
Thinking about the General in the Particular	581
Thinking Rule-Wise about Patterns	582
Thinking Relationally about Quantity, Number, and Numerical	
Operations	583
Thinking Representationally about the Relations in Problem	
Situations	585
Thinking Conceptually about the Procedural	586
Anticipating, Conjecturing, and Justifying	588
Gesturing, Visualizing, and Languaging	590
The View of Algebraic Thinking that Emerges from this Volume . .	591
References	592
Author Index	595
Subject Index	609
Editors and Contributors	615