

Springer

Berlin

Heidelberg

New York

Barcelona

Hong Kong

London

Milan

Paris

Singapore

Tokyo

Attila Kuba Martin Šámal
Andrew Todd-Pokropek (Eds.)

Information Processing in Medical Imaging

16th International Conference, IPMI'99
Visegrád, Hungary, June 28 – July 2, 1999
Proceedings



Springer

Series Editors

Gerhard Goos, Karlsruhe University, Germany
Juris Hartmanis, Cornell University, NY, USA
Jan van Leeuwen, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Volume Editors

Attila Kuba
Department of Applied Informatics, József Attila University
Árpád tér 2., H-6720 Szeged, Hungary
E-mail: kuba@inf.u-szeged.hu

Martin Šámal
Institute of Nuclear Medicine, Charles University of Prague
Salmovska 3, CZ-120 00 Prague, Czech Republic
E-mail: samal@cesnet.cz

Andrew Todd-Pokropek
Department of Medical Physics, University College London
Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK
E-mail: a.todd@ucl.ac.uk

Cataloging-in-Publication data applied for

Die Deutsche Bibliothek - CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Information processing in medical imaging : 16th international conference ;
proceedings / IPMI '99, Visegrád, Hungary, June 28 - July 2, 1999. Attila Kuba
... (ed.). - Berlin ; Heidelberg ; New York ; Barcelona ; Hong Kong ; London ;
Milan ; Paris ; Singapore ; Tokyo : Springer, 1999
(Lecture notes in computer science ; Vol. 1613)
ISBN 3-540-66167-0

CR Subject Classification (1998): I.4, I.2.5-6, J.3

ISSN 0302-9743

ISBN 3-540-66167-0 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1999
Printed in Germany

Typesetting: Camera-ready by author
SPIN 10705157 06/3142 - 5 4 3 2 1 0 Printed on acid-free paper

Preface

The 1999 international conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging (IPMI '99) was the sixteenth in the series of biennial meetings and followed the successful meeting in Poultney, Vermont, in 1997. This year, for the first time, the conference was held in central Europe, in the historical Hungarian town of Visegrád, one of the most beautiful spots not only on the Danube Bend but in all Hungary. The place has many historical connections, both national and international. The castle was once a royal palace of King Matthias. In the middle ages, the Hungarian, Czech, and Polish kings met here. Recently, after the summit meeting of reestablished democracies in the area, it became a symbol for the cooperation between central European countries as they approached the European Union. It was thus also symbolic to bring IPMI, in the year of the 30th anniversary of its foundation, to this place, and organize the meeting with the close cooperation of local and traditional western organizers.

It also provided a good opportunity to summarize briefly a history of IPMI for those who were new to the IPMI conference.

This year we received 82 full paper submissions from all over the world. Of these, 24 were accepted as oral presentations. These were divided into 6 sessions. In spite of our efforts, it was found to be impossible to make these sessions fully balanced and homogeneous. Therefore, the session titles express the leading themes of the respective sessions rather than provide a thorough description of all papers included in each of them.

The first session (traditionally) dealt with new imaging techniques. The topics here span from an analytical study of bioelasticity using ultrasound, to multipolar MEG, binary tomography, and navigated surgery. The second session concerned image processing in three-dimensional ultrasonography and dynamic PET. The third and the fifth sessions presented classic IPMI topics about image segmentation and registration. The papers on segmentation brought new ideas about hybrid geometric snake pedals, geodesic active contours, adaptive fuzzy segmentation, and segmentation of evolving processes in three dimensions. Papers on registration expanded both linear and non-linear approaches to elastic transformations and introduced hierarchical deformation models for 4-D cardiac SPECT data. The fourth session included a mixture of papers on segmentation and registration as applied to analysis of images of the brain cortex. The final (sixth) session dealt with feature detection and modelling. It included detection of masses in mammography, physiologically oriented models for functional MRI, comparison of MR and x-ray angiography, and a unified framework for atlas matching based on active appearance models. It was an explicit requirement of the IPMI Board, as well as the conviction of the organizers, to insist on a demonstration of medical applicability of all the image processing methods presented. We believe that all the selected papers fulfill this difficult but crucial criterion. The time allotted to oral presentations was 20 minutes plus 30 minutes

for a (scheduled) discussion which, however, by IPMI tradition is virtually unlimited and depends on the importance of the problem, the clarity of the paper and the interest of the audience. In the proceedings, the space allotted to each oral presentation is 14 pages. It is a compromise between a need to provide the readers with sufficient details of the presentation and a requirement to keep the extent of the book to within 500 pages. The organizers regret that they could not accept the often justified requests of many authors to expand the space for their papers.

An additional 28 submissions were accepted as poster presentations. Ample time was given to the audience to meet the authors in front of their posters and to discuss the presentations in depth. In addition to short oral presentations, each of two poster sessions was concluded by a plenary discussion. In the proceedings, the space allotted to each poster presentation is 6 pages.

The poster presentations were divided into 2 sessions. The first dealt with various methods of cardiovascular image analysis, modelling and analysis of shapes, and with the segmentation and detection of specific image structures. The second concerned reconstruction, measurement in medical images, registration, and image modelling. Although oral papers and plenary discussions form the traditional basis of the IPMI meeting, the introduction of poster sessions further enlarged the space permitted for additional topics, for considering more specific applications, and for extended informal discussions.

The uniqueness of the IPMI meeting has been emphasized from various personal viewpoints in the forewords of previous proceedings. It consists in a magic mixture of an interdisciplinary approach, informal communication, thorough discussions, high scientific standards, the promotion of young researchers, and a friendly atmosphere. It is a great responsibility for the organizers to cultivate the IPMI tradition and sustain all its many flavours for the future. We sincerely wish IPMI many happy returns for its 30th birthday and wish it well, long into the 21th century.

March 1999

Attila Kuba
Martin Šámal
Andrew Todd-Pokropek

A brief history of the universe, the IPMI phenomenon (IPMI 1969-1999)

The big bang from the point of view of IPMI took place in late 1969. Some 20 odd (some of them very odd) researchers gathered together in Brussels for an ad hoc meeting on the use of computers in nuclear medicine, sponsored by a grant from Euroatom, obtained by our first 'president', François Erbsmann. The meeting was originally given the name Information Processing in Scintigraphy, and only Europeans participated. It is worth noting that at that time a computer with 4K of memory was considered respectable. By 1971, the expansion of this Universe had reached Hannover, under our second president, Eberhard Jahns, and by this time some grit from across the Atlantic had also been incorporated. From these first few seconds of the expanding IPMI universe, little (written) trace remains of the white heat of invention. The first meeting produced no written record, and the second proceedings only exist (but do exist) as an unpublished manuscript. One of the pieces of grit, present at the 2nd meeting, agreed to run the 3rd meeting in Boston (Cambridge), and so Steve Pizer (aided by Charlie Metz) permitted continuing expansion to North America. As a result of this, IPMI has been established of an oscillating universe with a period of 2 years with, at this interval, the centre of gravity switching between Europe and North America. We have considered further expansion to the far east, Australia, or South America, but have been prevented from doing so by the strong force effect (lack of money). A rare photograph exists of some of the participants at the Boston meeting, lounging on a lawn, not wearing very much, and observing attractive students go by. Ah, the universe was young then! By now, the ratio of North American contributions had reached 50%, a value which has been maintained. Although scintigraphy (nuclear medicine) was still the target application, tomographic reconstruction was considered important and a number of general image processing papers foreshadow a slow drift towards computer vision applications.

Two years later, in 1975, the meeting switched to Paris (Orsay) which I ran. The meeting was now scheduled for a total of 5 days, with one free afternoon, and another long lasting phenomenon was discovered, that of the IPMI football (soccer) match. Despite unwarranted complaints about bias in refereeing, this match has always been won by the European team, and it is hoped and anticipated that this strange effect will be preserved. We have also always had a few female scientists present at the IPMI meetings, but regrettably their charm has only been present in limited numbers. In Paris the IPMI universe reached the number of 100 participants, and a major aim of the meeting has been to try to limit total numbers to this order. IPMI has always permitted long presentations with effectively unlimited time for ensuing questions, and it has been a second major aim of IPMI to try to remove limits in total time to permit this disorder.

In 1977 we arrived in Nashville under the leadership of Randy Brill, and the title of the meeting now changed officially to Information Processing in Medical Imaging (IPMI). Many other clinical applications were now included, such as angiography, ultrasound, and CT, with a significant component of tomographic reconstruction. In 1979 we returned to (central) Paris, under the

direction of Robert Di Paola, the first paper being about a relatively novel technique, magnetic resonance. The spin doctors have increased their influence at each successive meeting. The proceedings were published by INSERM. In 1981 we were laid back in California, activated by the acerbic wit of Michael Goris, but mainly thinking about nuclear medicine and ultrasound (and Californian fruit and wine). A major theme of the meeting was applications in cardiology

In 1983 we returned to Brussels, led by Frank Deconinck, and the first publication of the proceeding by a regular publisher was produced. All subsequent proceedings have been published, by Martinus Nijhoff, Plenum, Wiley, Kluwer, and for the majority, Springer. Papers such as ‘Image analysis– topological methods’ indicated new directions in scale space, and more substantial mathematical presentations. While evaluation continued to be an important topic, the meeting welcomed novel acquisition methods, here Impedance Tomography. In 1985 we passed to Washington and Steve Bacharach. While the scientific highlights of the meeting were significant, a couple of our Scottish participants yet again remain fondly in our memories as being those most responsible for the excellent social interactions always a feature of IPMI (here the infamous fire alarm incident).

We were received in The Netherlands in 1987 by Max Viergever and now bathed in the more abstract universe of general image processing (meta-models, multiresolution shape) whilst retaining our interest in reconstruction. One of our present chairmen gave his first paper expressing his deep angst with the title ‘The reality and meaning of physiological factors’. As usual, the bar near our student accommodation remained open late in the night as the deeper notions of Information Processing were explored.

As a result of the tragic death of our first chairman, it was here that the François Erbsmann prize was established in recognition of his original intention, to aim the meeting towards promoting the work of young scientists (even if some of the lengthy questions and answer sessions do not always seem to reflect this). I should also sadly point out that we have also lost our 2nd chairman, Eberhard Jahns, as a result of a car accident. However, I am pleased to report that as far as we are aware, all the rest have so far survived (despite the ravages of time and of our Scottish colleagues).

Two years later we returned to California (1989), now as Berkeley ageing hippies (or at least some of us). MRI was now considered to warrant a whole session, segmentation even more, but image reconstruction was the major topic here. The quality of the papers had now reached a level where the competition to be included was such that then (and we hope now) authors reserved their best papers for this meeting, and braced themselves for the Spanish inquisition of the questions following their presentations. The final decade of the 20th century dawned for the IPMI universe in Wye in England, organised by Alan Colchester and Dave Hawkes (1991). The quality of the meeting seemed to have been maintained, as were the traditions. Multi-modality approaches appeared, MR was the dominant image type, and computer vision methods were emphasised. Posters were first introduced, but not published, at this meeting. A highlight was probably the sometimes violent philosophical discussions about whether an

edge could actually exist. On the final day, after the football match, Nico Karssemeijer was obliged to present his paper in plaster, having had his leg broken, illustrating yet again our tremendous dedication to science. From the embrace of the elegant pubs in Kent, 2 years later we stormed the mountains of Arizona, to be precise, Flagstaff, under the leadership of Harry Barrett (1993). The meeting continued its traditions, ranging from discussions of higher order differential structures to optical tomography. The arguments about segmentation continued, we were somewhat on edge and tetchy (a skeleton in our cupboard?), but the high point was reached by those climbing to the top of the nearby Humphrey's peak (3850m). The highlight of our next meeting, in Brest directed by Yves Bizais, was certainly the student celebration of their end of term where a group of them promenaded with loud drumming throughout the night. Fortunately, this did not worry everyone, as the student bar rarely closed before dawn. More posters were presented and now included in the proceedings. This excellent meeting was followed by that organised by Jim Duncan in Poultney, Vermont. The scientific quality was again considered to be excellent, and the surroundings beautiful. Neuroscience here clearly dominated other clinical applications. Despite this increasing interest in brains, somehow (again!) the Europeans won the football match. During the outing to a ski-resort a number of participants found refuge from the plague of insects, against instructions, by skiing down an icy ski-run (exceptionally open in June in a heat wave!). Jim Duncan as (to date) our last chairman has said how much he appreciated the cooperation and respect given to him by the enthusiastic participants at an IPMI meeting. At least he did not have to rescue any from jail as I have had to in the past.

I do not know what will be the highlights of the current meeting for which these proceedings represent the written trace. I hope that the scientific expansion of the meeting will continue, and that in the social context, we will also continue in the long tradition of IPMI to enjoy ourselves, have fun, and make many new friends. The proceedings of this meeting only reflects a small part of the value of the IPMI experience. The length of time allocated for questions and answers after presentations is an important part of the IPMI experiment, but unfortunately is not recorded (perhaps fortunately in some cases). A new 'Special Prize for Brilliance' has been suggested. The ability to discover and discuss new approaches in depth is just as important, which has always been the justification for limiting the total number of participants.

This brief and certainly biased history of the IPMI universe has not mentioned the first presentations of some very significant results, nor included the names of all the co-chairmen of the meetings, and especially the names of all the participants without whom the meetings could never have happened or been successful. Let us hope that the strange charm of the meeting will persist (where you can find a GUT in a TOE), with its ups and downs, strung together in theory, without loss of colourful traditions. Can this be maintained? This question is perhaps the big crunch (or is that the result of the next football match)?

François Erbsmann Prize winners 1987–1997

1987 10th IPMI, Utrecht, NL:

John M. Gauch

Dept. of Computer Science, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA
Gauch, J.M., Oliver, W.R., Pizer, S.M.: Multiresolution shape descriptions and their applications in medical imaging. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Eds. de Graaf, C.N., Viergever, M.A., Plenum, New York (1988) 131-149

1989 11th IPMI, Berkeley, CA, USA:

Arthur F. Gmitro

Dept. of Radiology, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
Gmitro, A.F., Tresp, V., Chen, Y., Snell, R., Gindi, G.R.: Video-rate reconstruction of CT and MR images. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Eds. D.A. Ortendahl, J. Llacer., Wiley-Liss. New York (1991) 197-210

1991 12th IPMI, Wye (Kent), UK:

H. Isil Bozma

Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA
Bozma, H.I., Duncan, J.S.: Model-based recognition of multiple deformable objects using a game-theoretic framework. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Eds. Colchester, A.C.F., Hawkes, D.J., Springer, Berlin (1991) 358-372

1993 13th IPMI, Flagstaff, AZ, USA:

Jeffrey A. Fessler

Division of Nuclear Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
Fessler, J.A.: Tomographic reconstruction using information-weighted spline smoothing. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Eds. Barrett, H.H., Gmitro, A.F., Springer, Berlin (1993) 372-386

1995 14th IPMI, Brest, France:

Maurits K. Konings

Dept. of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands
Konings, M.K., Mali, W.P.T.M., Viergever, M.A.: Design of a robust strategy to measure intravascular electrical impedance. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Eds. Bizais, Y., Barillot, C., Di Paola, R., Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht (1995) 1-12

1997 15th IPMI, Poultney, VT, USA:

David Atkinson

UMDS, Radiological Sciences, Guy's Hospital, London, United Kingdom
Atkinson, D., Hill, D.L.G., Stoye, P.N.R., Summers, P.E., Keevil, S.F.: An autofocus algorithm for the automatic correction of motion artifacts in MR images. In *Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Eds. Duncan, J., Gindi, G.. Springer, Berlin (1997) 341-354

Acknowledgments

The XVIth IPMI conference would not have been possible without the efforts of many dedicated people. We are extremely grateful to all members of the scientific committee for their timely and careful reviewing of many manuscripts. Their expertise ensured the high scientific quality of the conference. We especially appreciate the invaluable advice and personal involvement of IPMI President J.S.Duncan and IPMI Board Member M.A.Viergever in the process of selecting the papers and finalising the scientific programme.

We thank all the researchers who submitted full-length manuscripts for consideration. Their work and interest is essential to permit the unique IPMI tradition to continue in the future. Almost all of the submitted papers were of very high quality and we regret that we had to turn down a significant number due to lack of space.

We would like to acknowledge in particular the help and support of the local organising committee

Finally, we most gratefully acknowledge and appreciate the financial support of the following organisations:

**Institute of Informatics, József Attila University, Szeged,
Hungary,**

**First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague,
Czech Republic**

**Hungarian National Committee for Technological Development
(OMFB),**

Philips Medical Systems Nederland BV,

**Czech Society of Nuclear Medicine, Czech Medical Association
J.E. Purkyně,**

Leapfrog Technology Ltd, London, UK.



Table of Contents

New Imaging Techniques

Analytical Study of Bioelasticity Ultrasound Systems	1
<i>M.F. Insana, L.T. Cook, and P. Chaturvedi</i>	
MEG Source Imaging using Multipolar Expansions	15
<i>J.C. Mosher, R.M. Leahy, D.W. Shattuck, and S. Baillet</i>	
Binary Tomography for Triplane Cardiography	29
<i>B.M. Carvalho, G.T. Herman, S. Matej, C. Salzberg, and E. Vardi</i>	
Real Time 3D Brain Shift Compensation	42
<i>O.M. Škrinjar and J.S. Duncan</i>	

3D Ultrasound and PET

Computer Assisted Human Follicle Analysis for Fertility Prospects with 3D Ultrasound	56
<i>B.M. ter Haar Romeny, B. Titulaer, S. Kalitzin, G. Scheffer, F. Broekmans, J. Staal, and E. te Velde</i>	
Volume Measurement in Sequential Freehand 3-D Ultrasound	70
<i>G.M. Treece, R.W. Prager, A.H. Gee, and L. Berman</i>	
Automated Identification and Measurement of Objects via Populations of Medial Primitives, with Application to Real Time 3D Echocardiography . .	84
<i>G.D. Stetten and S.M. Pizer</i>	
Continuous Time Dynamic PET Imaging Using List Mode Data	98
<i>T.E. Nichols, J. Qi, and R.M. Leahy</i>	

Segmentation

Hybrid Geometric Active Models for Shape Recovery in Medical Images . .	112
<i>Y. Guo and B.C. Vemuri</i>	
Co-Dimension 2 Geodesic Active Contours for MRA Segmentation	126
<i>L. Lorigo, O. Faugeras, W.E.L. Grimson, R. Keriven, R. Kikinis, and C.-F. Westin</i>	
An Adaptive Fuzzy Segmentation Algorithm for Three-Dimensional Magnetic Resonance Images	140
<i>D.L. Pham and J.L. Prince</i>	

Automatic Detection and Segmentation of Evolving Processes in 3D
 Medical Images: Application to Multiple Sclerosis 154
D. Rey, G. Subsol, H. Delingette, and N. Ayache

Image Analysis of the Brain Cortex

Registration of Cortical Anatomical Structures via Robust 3D Point
 Matching 168
H. Chui, J. Rambo, J. Duncan, R. Schultz, and A. Rangarajan

Hierarchical Matching of Cortical Features for Deformable Brain Image
 Registration 182
M. Vaillant and C. Davatzikos

Using Local Geometry to Build 3D Sulcal Models 196
A. Counce and C.J. Taylor

ANIMAL+INSECT: Improved Cortical Structure Segmentation 210
D.L. Collins, A.P. Zijdenbos, W.P. Baaré, and A.C. Evans

Registration

Consistent Linear-Elastic Transformations for Image Matching 224
G.E. Christensen

Non-linear Registration with the Variable Viscosity Fluid Algorithm 238
*H. Lester, S.R. Arridge, K.M. Jansons, L. Lemieux, J.V. Hajnal,
 and A. Oatridge*

Approximating Thin-Plate Splines for Elastic Registration: Integration of
 Landmark Errors and Orientation Attributes 252
K. Rohr, M. Fornefett, and H.S. Stiehl

A Hierarchical Feature Based Deformation Model Applied to 4D Cardiac
 SPECT Data 266
*J.K. Laading, C. McCulloch, V.E. Johnson, D.R. Gilland, and
 R.J. Jaszczak*

Feature Detection and Modelling

Local Orientation Distribution as a Function of Spatial Scale for Detection
 of Masses in Mammograms 280
N. Karssemeijer

Physiologically Oriented Models of the Hemodynamic Response in
 Functional MRI 294
F. Kruggel and D.Y. von Cramon

3D Graph Description of the Intracerebral Vasculature from Segmented MRA and Tests of Accuracy by Comparison with X-ray Angiograms	308
<i>E. Bullitt, S. Aylward, A. Liu, J. Stone, S.K. Mukherji, C. Coffey, G. Gerig, and S.M. Pizer</i>	

A Unified Framework for Atlas Matching using Active Appearance Models	322
<i>T.F. Cootes, C. Beeston, G.J. Edwards, and C.J. Taylor</i>	

Poster Session I

Cardiovascular Image Analysis

An Integral Method for the Analysis of Wall Motion in Gated Myocardial SPECT Studies	334
<i>M.L. Goris and R.L. Van Uitert Jr.</i>	

Enhanced Artery Visualization in Blood Pool MRA: Results in the Peripheral Vasculature	340
<i>W. Niessen, A.M. van Swijndregt, B. Elsmann, O. Wink, M. Viergeever, and W. Mali</i>	

Four-Dimensional LV Tissue Tracking from Tagged MRI with a 4D B-Spline Model	346
<i>J. Huang and A.A. Amini</i>	

Recovery of Soft Tissue Object Deformation from 3D Image Sequences using Biomechanical Models	352
<i>X. Papademetris, P. Shi, D.P. Dione, A.J. Sinusas, R.T. Constable, and J.S. Duncan</i>	

Forward Deformation of PET Volumes Using Non-Uniform Elastic Material Constraints	358
<i>G.J. Klein</i>	

Shape Modeling and Analysis

Brain Morphometry by Distance Measurement in a Non-Euclidean, Curvilinear Space	364
<i>M. Styner, T. Coradi, and G. Gerig</i>	

Learning Shape Models from Examples Using Automatic Shape Clustering and Procrustes Analysis	370
<i>N. Duta, M. Sonka, and A.K. Jain</i>	

A Framework for Automated Landmark Generation for Automated 3D Statistical Model Construction	376
<i>A.D. Brett and C.J. Taylor</i>	

Statistical Shape Analysis Using Fixed Topology Skeletons:
 Corpus Callosum Study 382
P. Golland, W.E.L. Grimson, and R. Kikinis

Model Generation from Multiple Volumes Using Constrained Elastic
 SurfaceNets 388
M.E. Leventon and S.F.F. Gibson

Segmentation and Detection

An Intelligent Interactive Segmentation Method for the Joint Space in
 Osteoarthritic Ankles 394
*S.D. Olabarriaga, A.W.M. Smeulders, A.C.A. Marijnissen,
 and K.L. Vincken*

Anatomical Modeling with Fuzzy Implicit Surfaces: Application to
 Automated Localization of the Heart and Lungs in Thoracic MR Images . . 400
*B.P.F. Lelieveldt, M. Sonka, L. Bolinger, T.D. Scholz, H.W.M. Kayser,
 R.J. van der Geest, and J.H.C. Reiber*

Detection of the Central Mass of Spiculated Lesions - Signature
 Normalisation and Model Data Aspects 406
R. Zwiggelaar, C.J. Taylor, and C.M.E. Rubin

Noise Estimation and Measures for Detection of Clustered Microcalcifications 412
M. Csapodi, Á. Petrányi, Gy. Liszka, Á. Zarándy, and T. Roska

Poster Session II

Measurement and Quantitative Image Analysis

Measuring the Spatial Homogeneity in Corneal Endotheliums by Means of
 a Randomization Test 418
M.E. Díaz and G. Ayala

The Assessment of Chronic Liver Diseases by Sonography 424
M.-H. Horng, Y.-N. Sun, and X.-Z. Lin

Automatic Computation of Brain and Cerebellum Volumes in Normal
 Subjects and Chronic Alcoholics 430
*S.L. Hartmann, M.H. Parks, H. Schlack, W. Riddle, R.R. Price,
 P.R. Martin, and B.M. Dawant*

Analysis of Image Sequences and Functional Imaging

Reconstruction from Slow Rotation Dynamic SPECT Using a Factor Model . 436
A. Sitek, E.V.R. Di Bella, and G.T. Gullberg

Spectral Factor Analysis for Multi-isotope Imaging in Nuclear Medicine . .	442
<i>I. Buvat, S. Hapdey, H. Benali, A. Todd-Pokropek, and R. Di Paola</i>	
Structural Group Analysis of Functional Maps	448
<i>O. Coulon, J. Mangin, J. Poline, V. Frouin, and I. Bloch</i>	
Registration	
Incorporating an Image Distortion Model in Non-rigid Alignment of EPI with Conventional MRI	454
<i>C. Studholme, R.T. Constable, and J.S. Duncan</i>	
The Distribution of Target Registration Error in Rigid-Body, Point-Based Registration	460
<i>J.B. West and J.M. Fitzpatrick</i>	
A Fast Mutual Information Method for Multi-modal Registration	466
<i>X. Meihe, R. Srinivasan, and W.L. Nowinski</i>	
Voxel Similarity Measures for 3D Serial MR Brain Image Registration . . .	472
<i>M. Holden, D.L.G. Hill, E.R.E. Denton, J.M. Jarosz, T.C.S. Cox, and D.J. Hawkes</i>	
Image Modeling	
Radial Basis Function Interpolation for Freehand 3D Ultrasound	478
<i>R. Rohling, A. Gee, L. Berman, and G. Treece</i>	
Nonlinear Smoothing of MR Images Using Approximate Entropy – A Local Measure of Signal Intensity Irregularity	484
<i>G.J.M. Parker, J.A. Schnabel, and G.J. Barker</i>	
New Variants of a Method of MRI Scale Normalization	490
<i>L.G. Nyúl and J.K. Udupa</i>	
Method for Estimating the Intensity Mapping between MRI Images	496
<i>A.M.C. Machado, M.F.M. Campos, and J.C. Gee</i>	
Author Index	503
Subject Index	505