

Lecture Notes
in Control and Information Sciences

201

Editor: M. Thoma



Jacob Kogan

Robust Stability and Convexity

An Introduction

Springer-Verlag

London Berlin Heidelberg New York

Paris Tokyo Hong Kong

Barcelona Budapest

Series Advisory Board

A. Bensoussan · M.J. Grimble · P. Kokotovic · H. Kwakernaak
J.L. Massey · Y.Z. Tsytkin

Author

Jacob Kogan, PhD
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Maryland Baltimore County
Baltimore, Maryland 21228-5398, USA

ISBN 3-540-19919-5 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York
ISBN 0-387-19919-5 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

© Springer-Verlag London Limited 1995
Printed in Great Britain

The publisher makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy of the information contained in this book and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions that may be made.

Typesetting: Camera ready by author
Printed and bound at the Athenæum Press Ltd, Gateshead
69/3830-543210 Printed on acid-free paper

To the memory of Josef Kogan

Preface

A fundamental problem in control theory is concerned with stability of a given linear system. The system designer often wants to know if all the roots of the systems characteristic polynomial are located in a pre-specified open region of the complex plane (the left half plane, and the unit disc are important examples of such regions). In many applications the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are functions of independent physical parameters (as, for example, coefficients of friction, spring constants, masses, capacitances, inductances, etc.). The design of a control system is generally based on a simplified model, and the true values of the physical parameters may differ from the assumed values. Consequently, it is of interest to verify root location of the entire *family* of characteristic polynomials.

When regions of stability of dynamic systems in the parameter space are described by complicated expressions it is difficult to visualize the size and the shape of these regions. An attractive way to resolve the problem is to embed a simple geometric figure inside the region. In many practical situations the polynomial family can be associated with the n dimensional box $\mathbf{B}^n = \{\mathbf{x} : 0 \leq x_i \leq 1\}$, while each frequency w is associated with a function f that maps the box into the complex plane \mathbf{C} . The verification of stability boils down to the following mathematical problem: Determine whether the boundary of the image of the box $\partial f(\mathbf{B}^n)$ contains the origin in the complex plane.

This problem can be reduced to a constrained optimization problem. For affine functions f the optimization problem admits an analytic solution. For nonlinear functions f there exist no analytic solutions, and associated numerical calculations are computationally difficult and time consuming.

In this manuscript we introduce and describe a set of *principal points* \mathbf{X}_p . The set of principal points is a subset of the box whose image under f covers the boundary of the image of the box. When f is an affine mapping in order to describe the boundary of $f(\mathbf{B}^n)$ one has to select a finite set of *principal vertices*. For example, a striking fact discovered by Kharitonov [Kh] shows that when coefficients of polynomials of degree n vary independently in pre-specified intervals there exist **four** principal vertices, and the interval polynomial family is Hurwitz stable if and only if the polynomials associated with the principal vertices are Hurwitz stable. This remarkable **four** vertices result holds **independent** of n ! The set of the principal vertices is, in general, frequency dependent. Generically in the nonlinear case the set of principal points

consists of finitely many *one* dimensional manifolds (which are sometimes just linear segments). As a rule the manifolds are frequency dependent, however in Section 4.4 we provide an example of a nonlinear system with frequency independent principal linear segments.

The principal points approach can be traced to the works of Zeheb and Walach [ZW], and Zeheb [Z]. Systematic applications of the approach generate new simple proofs of many known robust stability results, and lead to necessary and sufficient robust stability conditions for polynomial families with coefficients depending multiaffinely on parameters, and quasipolynomial families with uncertainties in coefficients and delays. On the other hand an application of the principal points approach to Hurwitz stability of box polynomials recovers the four vertices result (see Section 2.8).

Although the main motivation for the study of root location of characteristic functions comes from related stability problems of linear systems it is important to distinguish between the two problems. We address this issue in detail in Chapter 5.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I express my appreciation to Ya.Z. Tsytkin whose seminar on robust stability held in George Washington University triggered my interest in the subject. While preparing notes and reference material for a course on robust stability in the summer of 92 I was encouraged by Professor Tsytkin to integrate the material into a book.

During the last few years I have been fortunate to collaborate with N. Cohen, J. Hocherman, V.L. Kharitonov, A. Leizarowitz, B.T. Polyak, M. Teboulle, and E. Zeheb. Many of the new research results reported in this manuscript are an outgrowth of this collaboration. Many helpful suggestions and useful discussions with B.R. Barmish, F.G. Boese, N.K. Bose, M.S. Gowda, E.I. Jury, B.Ya. Kogan, D.D. Šiljak, and R. Tempo helped in building my knowledge of system theory, and many subjects related to robust stability.

My thanks also go to students at University of Maryland Baltimore County who participated in a course based on early versions of the manuscript. I would like particularly mention R. Sznajder whose remarks led to an elegant proof of Tsytkin-Polyak stability criterion given in Section 3.5.

Last but not least, I would like to express my thanks to R. Rostamian and W. Shyong for their help with computer related issues.

Contents

1	Introduction and Motivation	1
1.1	Illustration	1
1.2	Stability and Convexity	2
2	Stability of Box Polynomial Families	7
2.1	Kharitonov's Theorem	7
2.2	Other root location regions: Ω stability	10
2.3	Principal Vertices and Stability	11
2.4	Principal Directions and Principal Vertices	13
2.5	Principal Vertices and Testing Family	18
2.6	Examples: Schur, Hurwitz, and Sector Stability	20
2.7	Hurwitz Stability of Weighted Diamonds	23
2.8	Extreme Point Results	29
2.8.1	Sector Stability of Box Polynomials	30
2.8.2	Hurwitz Stability of Diamond Polynomials	31
3	Stability Radii and Convex Analysis	37
3.1	Stability Radii and Degree Dropping	38
3.2	Constrained Optimization Problem	44
3.3	Complex Stability Radius	44
3.4	Stability Radius	46
3.4.1	Convex Duality Results	46
3.4.2	Dual Optimization Problem	48
3.4.3	Special Cases: l_p Norms	48
3.5	Examples: Stability Radii for Schur and Hurwitz Polynomials	49

3.6	Real Stability Radius: Examples	52
3.7	Link to Structured Matrix Perturbations	55
3.8	Matrix Perturbations	56
3.9	Multiple Matrix Perturbations and μ	60
4	Multiaffine Polynomial Families	63
4.1	Orientation Preserving Multiaffine Transformation	65
4.1.1	Image of a Two Dimensional Box	66
4.1.2	Image of a Box Under Orientation Preserving Transformation	68
4.2	Image of a Box Under Multiaffine Transformation	73
4.2.1	Proof of Main Result	75
4.3	Robust Stability of Multiaffine Polynomial Families	75
4.4	Cascade of Uncertain Blocks	76
4.4.1	Principal Edges and Principal Segments	81
4.5	Interval Plants with Interval Controllers	84
4.5.1	Eight Faces Theorem	87
4.5.2	Frequency Domain Criterion	90
4.6	Numerical Example	93
5	Multidimensional Systems and Systems with Commensurate Delays	99
5.1	Stability of linear systems and zeros of characteristic polynomials	100
5.2	Stability of a Bivariate Polynomial	102
5.3	Stability Radius	103
5.4	Reduction to the Polynomial Problem	104
5.5	Evaluation of Stability Radius	107
5.6	Example: Hurwitz Stability of Interval Bivariate Polynomials	109
5.7	Quasipolynomials with Commensurate Delays and Zero Exclusion Criterion	110
5.8	Diamond Quasipolynomials: Eight Edges Theorem	113
6	Uncertain Time–Delay Systems	117
6.1	Time–Delay Systems and Zero Exclusion Criterion	118
6.1.1	Proof of Zero Exclusion Criterion	119
6.2	Real Interval Quasipolynomials	121
6.3	Kharitonov Rectangles and Robust Stability Conditions	121
6.4	Image of a Box Under Nonlinear Mapping	124
6.5	Principal Segments	127
6.6	Numerical Example	129

6.7	Eight Edges Theorem	131
7	Convexity of Frequency Response Arcs Associated with Hurwitz Quasipolynomials	137
7.1	Convexity for Entire Functions	138
7.2	Angular Derivative Formalism	144
7.3	Computation of Angular Derivatives	146
7.4	Inner Frequency Response Set	148
8	Epilogue	153
8.1	Lyapunov Criterion	154
8.2	Simultaneous Stabilization	155
	Bibliography	157
	List of Special Symbols	170
	Author Index	172
	Subject Index	175