

Quantitative Perspectives on Behavioral Economics and Finance

Series Editor

James Ming Chen
College of Law
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI, USA

The economic enterprise has firmly established itself as one of evaluating human responses to scarcity not as a rigidly rational game of optimization, but as a holistic behavioral phenomenon. The full spectrum of social sciences that inform economics, ranging from game theory to evolutionary psychology, has revealed the extent to which economic decisions and their consequences hinge on psychological, social, cognitive, and emotional factors beyond the reach of classical and neoclassical approaches to economics. Bounded rational decisions generate prices, returns, and resource allocation decisions that no purely rational approach to optimization would predict, let alone prescribe. Behavioral considerations hold the key to long-standing problems in economics and finance. Market imperfections such as bubbles and crashes, herd behavior, and the equity premium puzzle represent merely a few of the phenomena whose principal causes arise from the comprehensible mysteries of human perception and behavior. Within the heterodox, broad-ranging fields of behavioral economics, a distinct branch of behavioral finance has arisen. Finance has established itself as a distinct branch of economics by applying the full arsenal of mathematical learning on questions of risk management. Mathematical finance has become so specialized that its practitioners often divide themselves into distinct subfields. Whereas the *P* branch of mathematical finance seeks to model the future by managing portfolios through multivariate statistics, the *Q* world attempts to extrapolate the present and guide risk-neutral management through the use of partial differential equations to compute the proper price of derivatives. The emerging field of behavioral finance, worthy of designation by the Greek letter psi (ψ), has identified deep psychological limitations on the claims of the more traditional *P* and *Q* branches of mathematical finance. From Markowitz's original exercises in mean-variance optimization to the Black-Scholes pricing model, the foundations of mathematical finance rest on a seductively beautiful Gaussian edifice of symmetrical models and crisp quantitative modeling. When these models fail, the results are often catastrophic. The ψ branch of behavioral finance, along with other "postmodern" critiques of traditional financial wisdom, can guide theorists and practitioners alike toward a more complete understanding of the behavior of capital markets. It will no longer suffice to extrapolate prices and forecast market trends without validating these techniques according to the full range of economic theories and empirical data. Superior modeling and data-gathering have made it not only possible, but also imperative to harmonize mathematical finance with other branches of economics. Likewise, if behavioral finance wishes to fulfill its promise of transcending mere critique and providing a more comprehensive account of financial markets, behavioralists must engage the full mathematical apparatus known in all other branches of finance. In a world that simultaneously lauds Eugene Fama's efficiency hypotheses and heeds Robert Shiller's warnings against irrational exuberance, progress lies in Lars Peter Hansen's commitment to quantitative rigor. Theory and empiricism, one and indivisible, now and forever.

More information about this series at
<http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/14524>

Nicholas L. Georgakopoulos

Illustrating
Finance Policy with
Mathematica

palgrave
macmillan

Nicholas L. Georgakopoulos
Indiana University
Indianapolis, IN, USA

Quantitative Perspectives on Behavioral Economics and Finance
ISBN 978-3-319-95371-7 ISBN 978-3-319-95372-4 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95372-4>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018949044

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover image: © winivic, iStock/Getty Images

Cover design by Tjasa Krivec

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature
Switzerland AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*To mental health professionals,
whose value is underscored
by the apparent utter
rationality of finance.*

Foreword

Seeing Is Believing

Truth routinely manifests itself through mathematics. “[T]he real world may be understood in terms of the real numbers, time and space and flesh and blood and dense primitive throbbings sustained somehow and brought to life by a network of secret mathematical nerves”¹ Just as law uses words to animate the “enterprise of subjecting human conduct to the governance of rules,”² “[n]ature talks to us in the language of mathematics.”³ Through this volume, *Illustrating Finance Policy with Mathematica*, Nicholas L. Georgakopoulos enriches the series, *Quantitative Perspectives on Behavioral Economics and Finance*, by demonstrating the mathematical underpinnings of law and finance in vivid, visual terms.

¹ DAVID BERLINSKI, *A TOUR OF THE CALCULUS*, at xiii (1996).

² LON L. FULLER, *THE MORALITY OF LAW* 122 (rev. ed. 1969).

³ Peter Hilton, *The Mathematical Component of a Good Education*, in *MISCELLANEA MATHEMATICA* 145–554, 149 (Peter Hilton, Friedrich Hirzebruch & Reinhold Remmert eds., 1991); accord Peter Hilton, *Foreword: Mathematics in Our Culture*, in JAN GULLBERG, *MATHEMATICS: FROM THE BIRTH OF NUMBERS*, at xvii–xxii, xix (1997).

Professor Georgakopoulos seeks to provide “a visual explanation” of concepts such as “the CAPM [capital asset pricing model] or the call options pricing formula” in intuitive and memorable form. Indeed, he acknowledges that this book, at its “most basic,” enables the reader “to learn the fundamental concepts of modern finance without the quantitative foundation that most finance books require,” by “getting the intuitions visually from the graphics.” To do so, however, neglects this book’s full quantitative and computational potential. This preface therefore encourages readers to aspire, however slowly, toward Prof. Georgakopoulos’s “more demanding approach” of learning “how to use Mathematica in simple finance applications and in the production of graphics.”

Both Prof. Georgakopoulos and I hold academic appointments as professors of law. This volume treats lawyers, law students, and their instructors as members of its target audience. Admittedly, “[i]t is an open secret that lawyers” (stereo)typically “don’t like math.”⁴ In a legal culture whose leaders shamelessly confess their ignorance of the “fine details of molecular biology,”⁵ lawyers and lawmakers run a dire risk of falling behind “the extraordinary rate of scientific and other technological advances that figure increasingly in litigation” and, for that matter, in daily life.⁶

Even though law addresses subjects “so vast that fully to comprehend [them] would require an almost universal knowledge ranging from geology, biology, chemistry and medicine to the niceties of the legislative, judicial and administrative processes of government,”⁷ the “extraordinary condition” of the legal profession “makes it possible for [someone] without any knowledge of even the rudiments of chemistry to pass

⁴Lisa Milot, *Illuminating Innumeracy*, 63 CASE W. L. REV. 769–812, 769 (2013).

⁵Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc., 133 S. Ct. 2107, 2120 (2013) (Scalia, J., concurring in part and concurring in the judgment) (“I join the judgment of the Court, and all of its opinion except Part I-A and some portions of the rest of the opinion going into fine details of molecular biology. I am unable to affirm those details on my own knowledge or even my own belief”).

⁶Jackson v. Pollion, 753 F.3d 786, 788 (7th Cir. 2013) (Posner, J.).

⁷Queensboro Farms Prods., Inc. v. Wickard, 137 F.2d 969, 975 (2nd Cir. 1943) (describing agriculture, particularly dairy farming).

upon” scientifically or technologically sophisticated questions.⁸ No less than other social sciences, law should aspire to a level of “numeracy,” one that is “less about numbers per se and more about statistical inference or how to interpret and understand scientific ... studies.”⁹

A mastery of basic mathematical concepts should serve as a foundation for serious legal scholarship. As a group that not only digests but also delivers postmodern criticism,¹⁰ legal scholars can surely grasp mathematics, which after all is merely another branch of philosophy.¹¹ “Legal reasoning,” in particular, represents merely a special case of “*theory construction*.”¹² The prospect of teaching machines to speak a language that expresses and conveys legal knowledge fulfills the aesthetic if not the practical goals of information theory.¹³

Despite the law’s reputation for quantitative ineptitude, mathematical thinking naturally suits this discipline. Social scientists have nurtured “something like a third culture” between science and literature in order to improve the circumstances under which real “human beings are living.”¹⁴ Accordingly, scholars within this tradition enjoy a special

⁸ Parke-Davis & Co. v. H.K. Mulford Co., 189 F. 95, 115 (S.D.N.Y. 1911) (Hand, J.).

⁹ Edward K. Cheng, *Fighting Legal Innumeracy*, 17 GREEN BAG 2D 271–78, 272 (2014); *accord* United States *ex rel.* Customs Fraud Investigations, LLC. v. Victaulic Co., 839 F.3d 242, 270 & n.56 (3rd Cir. 2016), cert. denied, 138 S. Ct. 107 (2017). *See generally* JOHN ALLEN PAULOS, *INNUMERACY: MATHEMATICAL ILLITERACY AND ITS CONSEQUENCES* (2nd ed. 2001) (1st ed. 1988).

¹⁰ *Cf.* STANLEY EUGENE FISH, *DOING WHAT COMES NATURALLY: CHANGE, RHETORIC, AND THE PRACTICE OF THEORY IN LITERARY AND LEGAL STUDIES* (1990).

¹¹ *See* U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE, GENERAL REQUIREMENTS BULLETIN FOR ADMISSION TO THE EXAMINATION FOR REGISTRATION TO PRACTICE IN CASES BEFORE THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 37 (2008) (describing mathematics as a philosophical discipline and therefore insufficient by itself to satisfy the technical training requirement for eligibility to take the Patent and Trademark Office examination); *see also* 37 C.F.R. § 11.7(a)(2)(ii) (requiring practitioners before the USPTO to “[p]ossess the legal, scientific, and technical qualifications necessary ... to render ... valuable service” to patent and trademark applicants).

¹² L. Thorne McCarty, *Some Arguments About Legal Arguments*, in SIXTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND LAW 215–24, 221 (1997) (emphasis in original).

¹³ *See generally* ABRAHAM MOLES, *INFORMATION THEORY AND ESTHETIC PERCEPTION* (Joel E. Cohen trans., 1968); FRIEDER NAKE, *ÄSTHETIK ALS INFORMATIONSVERARBEITUNG* (1974) (“Aesthetics as Information Processing”).

¹⁴ C.P. SNOW, *THE TWO CULTURES: AND A SECOND LOOK* 70 (2nd ed. 1965).

opportunity to unite the literary culture's "canon of works and expressive techniques" with the scientific culture's "guiding principles of quantitative thought and strict logic."¹⁵ At their best, social scientists bridge all of contemporary civilization's intellectual subcultures.¹⁶

The application of empirical methods to discrete problems is perhaps the most familiar and deeply rooted form of mathematically informed social science. More than a century after Oliver Wendell Holmes declared that "the man of the future is the man of statistics and the master of economics,"¹⁷ and three decades after Richard Posner celebrated the decline of law as an autonomous discipline,¹⁸ empiricism dominates contemporary legal studies.

Law and finance face staggering amounts of data and fierce competition among analytical models. Imperfectly articulated hypotheses in these branches of social science will likely lead neither to elegant closed-form solutions nor to pathological functions. Even the most thoughtfully elaborated empirical tests fail to deliver complete knowledge about law and its underlying logic. "Every year, if not every day, we have to wager our salvation upon some prophecy based upon imperfect knowledge."¹⁹

Mathematical analysis in social science typically follows a dialectic of romance, frustration, and eventual reconciliation of internal logic with

¹⁵ Frank Wilczek, *The Third Culture*, 424 NATURE 997–98, 997 (2003).

¹⁶ Cf. *Cultural Divides, Forty Years On*, 398 NATURE 91, 91 (1999) (recognizing how C.P. Snow's depiction of two cultures "still resonates" in a world "where cultural antipathies are very much alive and kicking").

¹⁷ Oliver Wendell Holmes, *The Path of the Law*, 10 HARV. L. REV. 457–78, 470 (1897), reprinted in 110 HARV. L. REV. 991–1009, 1001 (1997).

¹⁸ See generally Richard A. Posner, *The Decline of Law as an Autonomous Discipline: 1962–1987*, 100 HARV. L. REV. 761–80 (1987).

¹⁹ *Abrams v. United States*, 250 U.S. 616, 630 (1919) (Holmes, J., dissenting).

external reality. All of science follows a familiar progression. “Normal science does not aim at novelties of fact or theory and, when successful, finds none.”²⁰ But “fundamental novelties of fact and theory” trigger “the recognition that nature has somehow violated the paradigm-induced expectations that govern normal science.”²¹ Once an “awareness of anomaly ha[s] lasted so long and penetrated so deep” as to plunge a scientific discipline into “a state of growing crisis,” a succeeding “period of pronounced professional insecurity” over “the persistent failure of the puzzles of normal science” prompts a fruitful search for new rules.²² Wonderfully, “random shocks”—the impetus for progress in economics, law, and science—happen to be “the subject matter” of finance and its regulation.²³

Mathematics serves as a source of beauty and sensory delight. Uniquely among human endeavors, mathematics boasts “a beauty cold and austere, ... without any appeal to any part of our weaker nature, without the gorgeous trappings of painting or music, yet sublimely pure, and capable of a stern perfection such as only the greatest art can show. The true spirit of delight, the exaltation, the sense of being more than Man, which is the touchstone of the highest excellence, is to be found in mathematics as surely as poetry.”²⁴ As the poet Edna St. Vincent Millay expressed the sentiment: “Euclid alone has looked on Beauty bare.”²⁵ What Justice Potter Stewart said of obscenity (that he knew it when he saw it)²⁶ finds a parallel in Paul Erdős’s definition of

²⁰ See THOMAS S. KUHN, *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* 52 (2d ed. enlarged, 1970).

²¹ *Id.* at 52–53.

²² See *id.* at 66–67.

²³ John Y. Campbell, *Asset Pricing at the Millennium*, 55 J. FIN. 1515–67, 1515 (2000).

²⁴ BERTRAND RUSSELL, *The Study of Mathematics*, in *MYSTICISM AND LOGIC, AND OTHER ESSAYS* 58–73, 60 (1988); accord Jim Chen, *Truth and Beauty: A Legal Translation*, 41 U. TOLEDO L. REV. 261–67, 265 (2010).

²⁵ EDNA ST. VINCENT MILLAY, *Euclid Alone Has Looked on Beauty Bare*, in *SELECTED POEMS* 52 (J.D. McClatchy ed., 2003).

²⁶ See *Jacobellis v. Ohio*, 378 U.S. 184, 197 (1964) (Stewart, J., concurring) (“[P]erhaps I could never succeed in intelligibly [defining obscenity]. But I know it when I see it”).

mathematical beauty: “Why are numbers beautiful? It’s like asking why Beethoven’s Ninth Symphony is beautiful. If you don’t see why, someone can’t tell you.”²⁷

Seeing is believing. So is hearing. Standard mathematical specifications of human sensory perception, particularly sight and sound, literally round out our world. For example, the HSV and HSL models project color onto cylindrical or conic space where *hue* (distinctive shades spanning red, magenta, blue, cyan, green, and yellow) is represented as a circular spectrum; *saturation* (the richness of color, or its chroma) is represented as linear or angular distance from a neutral, gray axis; and *value* indicates the degree of pure brightness or its opposite, darkness, relative to the origin.²⁸

Music can be represented in a similar physical space. If intensity of a tone is indicated along a line segment of length 1 from the threshold of hearing (0 dB) to the threshold of pain (130 dB),²⁹ a corresponding angle of $\pi/2$ radians within a “unit cone” can indicate frequency across the range of human hearing, 20–20,000 Hz.³⁰ Twelve equally spaced notes within each pitch class (a single octave, separated by the one above it by a doubling of frequency and the one below it by a halving of frequency) appear at an interval of 100 cents within the appropriately named *chromatic scale*.³¹ As sight or sound, color and music are mathematics made flesh.³²

²⁷ PAUL HOFFMAN, *THE MAN WHO LOVED ONLY NUMBERS: THE STORY OF PAUL ERDŐS AND THE SEARCH FOR MATHEMATICAL TRUTH* 42 (1998) (quoting Erdős); accord KEITH DEVLIN, *THE MATH GENE: HOW MATHEMATICAL THINKING EVOLVED AND WHY NUMBERS ARE LIKE GOSSIP* 140 (2000).

²⁸ See Alvy Ray Smith, Color gamut transform pairs, 12(3) *COMPUTER GRAPHICS* 12–19 (August 1978) (describing the “hexcone” model of HSV colorspace); George H. Joblove & Donald Greenberg, *Color Spaces for Computer Graphics*, 12(3) *COMPUTER GRAPHICS* 20–25 (August 1978) (describing the HSL model and comparing it to HSV); cf. Dorothy Nickerson, *History of the Munsell Color System*, 1 *COLOR RESEARCH & APPLIC.* 121–30 (1976). See generally STEVEN K. SHEVELL, *THE SCIENCE OF COLOR* 202–06 (2d ed. 2003).

²⁹ See, e.g., DAVID HOWARD & JAMIE ANGUS, *ACOUSTICS AND PSYCHOACOUSTICS* § 2.3, at 80–82 (2012); DEBI PRASAD TRIPATHY, *NOISE POLLUTION* 35 (2008).

³⁰ See generally HARRY F. OLSON, *MUSIC, PHYSICS AND ENGINEERING* 248–51 (1967).

³¹ See 1 BRUCE BENWARD & MARILYN SAKER, *MUSIC: IN THEORY AND PRACTICE* 47 (7th ed. 2003).

³² Cf. John 1:14 (“And the Word was made flesh, and dwelt among us....”).

The most beautiful mathematical results exhibit “a very high degree of unexpectedness, combined with inevitability and economy.”³³ Deep beauty subsists in connections that first appear unrelated,³⁴ but upon further examination reveal “metaphoric combination[s]” that “leap[] beyond systematic placement” and “explore[] connections that before were unsuspected.”³⁵ The most “useful and fertile combinations” of ideas “present themselves to the mind in a sort of sudden illumination, after an unconscious working somewhat prolonged,” and ultimately “seem the result of a first impression.”³⁶

The real world, however, often inconveniently fails to align itself with mathematically beautiful models. In the face of anomalous results, even the most rigorous, comprehensively elaborated approach “can no longer understand [itself] because the theories ... of [a] former age no longer work and the theories of the new age are not yet known.”³⁷ That challenge leaves exactly one path forward: to “start afresh as if [we] were newly come into a new world.”³⁸

Financial economics has undergone a crisis of precisely this sort. Much of contemporary mathematical finance, from the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) to the Black–Scholes model of option pricing,³⁹ Merton’s distance-to-default model of credit risk,⁴⁰ the original

³³ G.H. HARDY, *A MATHEMATICIAN’S APOLOGY* 29 (1940).

³⁴ See GIAN-CARLO ROTA, *THE PHENOMENOLOGY OF MATHEMATICAL BEAUTY* 173 (1997).

³⁵ JEROME S. BRUNER, *The Conditions of Creativity*, in *ON KNOWING: ESSAYS FOR THE LEFT HAND* 17–30, 20 (1963).

³⁶ HENRI POINCARÉ, *Mathematical Creation*, in *THE FOUNDATIONS OF SCIENCE: SCIENCE AND HYPOTHESIS, THE VALUE OF SCIENCE, SCIENCE AND METHOD* 383–94, 391 (George Bruce Halstead trans., 1913).

³⁷ WALKER PERCY, *The Delta Factor*, in *THE MESSAGE IN THE BOTTLE: HOW QUEER MAN IS, HOW QUEER LANGUAGE IS, AND WHAT ONE HAS TO DO WITH THE OTHER* 3–45, 3 (1986).

³⁸ *Id.* at 7.

³⁹ See Fischer Black & Myron S. Scholes, *The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities*, 81 *J. POL. ECON.* 637–54 (1973); Robert C. Merton, *The Theory of Rational Option Pricing*, 4 *BELL J. ECON.* 141–83 (1973).

⁴⁰ See Robert C. Merton, *On the Pricing of Corporate Debt: The Risk Structure of Interest Rates*, 29 *J. FIN.* 449 (1974).

RiskMetrics specification of value-at-risk,⁴¹ and the Gaussian copula,⁴² is built on the Gaussian “normal” distribution.⁴³

These elegant models—absent elaborate modifications that ruin their spare, symmetrical form—are treacherously wrong in their reporting of the true nature of risk. Many of the predictive flaws in contemporary finance arise from reliance on the mathematically elegant but practically unrealistic construction of “beautifully Platonic models on a Gaussian base.”⁴⁴ Gaussian mathematics suggests that financial returns are smooth, symmetrical, and predictable. In reality, returns are skewed⁴⁵ and exhibit heavier than normal tails.⁴⁶

The attraction in law and finance to formal elegance reflects a love affair with the Gaussian mathematics that has traditionally dominated

⁴¹ See JORGE MINA & JERRY YI XIAO, RETURN TO RISKMETRICS: THE EVOLUTION OF A STANDARD (2001); Jeremy Berkowitz & James O'Brien, *How Accurate Are Value-at-Risk Models at Commercial Banks?*, 57 J. FIN. 1093–111 (2002).

⁴² See ROGER B. NELSEN, AN INTRODUCTION TO COPULAS (1999); David X. Liu, *On Default Correlation: A Copula Function Approach*, 9(4) J. FIXED INCOME 43–54 (March 2000).

⁴³ See generally BENOIT B. MANDELBROT & RICHARD L. HUDSON, THE (MIS)BEHAVIOR OF MARKETS: A FRACTAL VIEW OF RISK, RUIN, AND REWARD (2004).

⁴⁴ NASSIM NICHOLAS TALEB, THE BLACK SWAN: THE IMPACT OF THE HIGHLY IMPROBABLE 279 (2007).

⁴⁵ See, e.g., JOHN Y. CAMPBELL, ANDREW W. LO & A. CRAIG MACKINLAY, THE ECONOMETRICS OF FINANCIAL MARKETS 17, 81, 172, 498 (1997); Felipe M. Aparicio & Javier Estrada, *Empirical Distributions of Stock Returns: European Securities Markets, 1990–95*, 7 EUR. J. FIN. 1–21 (2001); Geert Bekaert, Claude Erb, Campbell R. Harvey & Tadas Viskanta, *Distributional Characteristics of Emerging Market Returns and Asset Allocation*, 24(2) J. PORTFOLIO MGMT. 102–116 (Winter 1998); Pornchai Chunchachinda, Krishnan Dandepani, Shahid Hamid & Arun J. Prakash, *Portfolio Selection and Skewness: Evidence from International Stock Markets*, 21 J. BANKING & FIN. 143–67 (1997); Amado Peiró, *Skewness in Financial Returns*, 23 J. BANKING & FIN. 847–62 (1999).

⁴⁶ See, e.g., J. Brian Gray & Dan W. French, *Empirical Comparisons of Distributional Models for Stock Index Returns*, 17 J. BUS. FIN. & ACCOUNTING 451–59 (1990); Stanley J. Kon, *Models of Stock Returns—A Comparison*, 39 J. FIN. 147–65 (1984); Harry M. Markowitz & Nilufer Usmen, *The Likelihood of Various Stock Market Return Distributions, Part 1: Principles of Inference*, 13 J. RISK & UNCERTAINTY 207–19 (1996); Harry M. Markowitz & Nilufer Usmen, *The Likelihood of Various Stock Market Return Distributions, Part 2: Empirical Results*, 13 J. RISK & UNCERTAINTY 221–47 (1996); Terence C. Mills, *Modelling Skewness and Kurtosis in the London Stock Exchange FT-SE Index Return Distributions*, 44 STATISTICIAN 323–34 (1995). See generally TERENCE C. MILLS, THE ECONOMETRIC MODELLING OF FINANCIAL TIME SERIES (2nd ed. 1999).

the culture of the natural and social sciences.⁴⁷ Grasping the uncomfortable truth that Gaussian models of risk and return belong to “a system of childish illusions” forces our infatuation with the seductive symmetry of traditional risk modeling to pass “like first love ... into memory.”⁴⁸

This conflict is often portrayed as an irreconcilable struggle between the romance of beauty and the realism of truth. Hermann Weyl admonished physics (and presumably all other pursuits informed by mathematics) that any necessary choice between truth and beauty should favor beauty.⁴⁹ Practical versus philosophical “conflict” over “the purpose of scientific inquiry” is “an ancient [struggle] in science.”⁵⁰ Although law ordinary seeks “knowledge ... for purely practical reasons, to predict and control some part of nature for society’s benefit,” the knowledge unveiled through mathematical analysis “may serve more abstract ends for the contemplative soul” and “[u]ncover[] new relationships” that prove “aesthetically satisfying” insofar as they “bring[] order to a chaotic world.”⁵¹

This tension is illusory. Mathematics itself delivers an elegant denouement. Mathematical analysis is ordinarily associated with—indeed, often equated with—the application of established empirical techniques to ever-growing bodies of data. This book, however, demonstrates that the quantitative visualization of social phenomena enjoys a far broader scope and entertains vastly deeper ambitions. In stark “contrast with soulless calculation,” “[g]enuine mathematics ... constitutes one of the finest expressions of the human spirit.”⁵²

⁴⁷ See NASSIM NICHOLAS TALEB, *THE BLACK SWAN: THE IMPACT OF THE HIGHLY IMPROBABLE* 279 (2007).

⁴⁸ BERLINSKI, *supra* note 1, at 239.

⁴⁹ Freeman J. Dyson, *Prof. Hermann Weyl*, 177 *NATURE* 457–58, 458 (1956) (quoting Weyl: “My work always tried to unite the true with the beautiful, but when I had to choose one or the other, I usually chose the beautiful.”); accord EDWARD O. WILSON, *BIOPHILIA* 61 (1984).

⁵⁰ SHARON E. KINGSLAND, *MODELING NATURE: EPISODES IN THE HISTORY OF POPULATION ECOLOGY* 4–5 (1985).

⁵¹ *Id.* at 4–5.

⁵² Hilton, *The Mathematical Component of a Good Education*, *supra* note 3, at 151; accord Hilton, *Mathematics in Our Culture*, *supra* note 3, at xxi.

The “great areas of mathematics”—including “combinatorics, probability theory, statistics,” and other fields of greatest interest to social science—“have undoubtedly arisen from our experience of the world around us.”⁵³ Law and finance apply mathematical tools “in order to systematize that experience, to give it order and coherence, and thereby to enable us to predict and perhaps control future events.”⁵⁴ But scientific progress responds to “what might be called the mathematician’s apprehension of the natural dynamic of mathematics itself.”⁵⁵

With unmatched power and intuitive appeal, visual demonstrations such as those provided throughout *Illustrating Finance Policy with Mathematica* show precisely how “law is not indifferent to considerations of degree.”⁵⁶ The very responsiveness of quantitative measures to changing conditions—an admittedly “qualitative notion” called “robustness”⁵⁷—is “essential for law enforcement.”⁵⁸ Robustness ensures that “different judges will reach similar conclusions” when they encounter similar data.⁵⁹

Mathematics *as doing* delivers the answers that we most pressingly seek—not simply according to the data describing the world as we find it, but also according to the own internal logic of mathematics. “[T]here is nothing in the world of mathematics that corresponds to an audience in a concert hall, where the passive listen to the active. Happily, mathematicians are all *doers*, not spectators.”⁶⁰ Through its

⁵³ *Id.*

⁵⁴ *Id.*

⁵⁵ *Id.*

⁵⁶ *Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States*, 295 U.S. 495, 554 (1935) (Cardozo, J., concurring).

⁵⁷ Rama Cont, Romain De Guest & Giacomo Scandolo, *Robustness and Sensitivity of Risk Measurement Procedures*, 10 *QUANT. FIN.* 593–606, 594 (2010).

⁵⁸ Steven Kou, Xianhua Peng & Chris C. Hyde, *External Risk Measures and Basel Accords*, 38 *MATH. OPERATIONAL RESEARCH* 393–417, 401 (2013).

⁵⁹ *Id.*

⁶⁰ GEORGE M. PHILLIPS, *MATHEMATICS IS NOT A SPECTATOR SPORT*, at vii (2005).

quest for “universal interest[s],” Prof. Georgakopoulos’s introduction to finance and its visual representation may yet “catch an echo of the infinite, a glimpse of its unfathomable process, a hint of the universal law.”⁶¹

James Ming Chen

James Ming Chen Justin Smith Morrill Chair in Law, Michigan State University; Of Counsel, Technology Law Group of Washington, D.C.; Visiting Scholar, Visiting Scholar, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Economics and Business (Ekonomski Fakultet, Sveučilište u Zagrebu). Special thanks to Heather Elaine Worland Chen.

⁶¹Holmes, *supra* note 17, at 478, *reprinted in* 110 HARV. L. REV. at 1009.

Preface

Purpose: Visual Help

The purpose of this book is not to explain these concepts from beginning to end. Rather, I think of this book as a companion to advanced law, finance, and policy courses where students that meet new concepts need a little more of a visual explanation of those concepts. Courses discuss the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) or the call options pricing formula as mathematical concepts and equations. Most students miss the intuitive appeal of those concepts. I think that seeing the CAPM evidence in a graph (Fig. 6 in Chapter 5, p. 67) or the wedge-like solid that approximates the call option valuation formula (Fig. 4 in Chapter 6, p. 82) makes a big difference. Yet those figures appear here for the first time—I have seen them in none of the books that try to introduce these concepts.

Researchers who already understand the intuitions behind the graphs can use the book's discussion of the production of graphics. This book explains the financial usage of graphical illustrations, their intuitive appeal, and their production. The Mathematica file that produces the figures of this book is available on my personal website (nicholasgeorgakopoulos.org) under scholarship, at the entry that corresponds to this book.

The Quantitative Finance Core

The core of this book consists of the three chapters on quantitative finance. Discounting is the object of Chapter 4. The Capital Asset Pricing Model is the object of Chapter 5. The call option valuation formula is the object of Chapter 6. Granted, these are complex matters. In a classroom setting, these topics can take far more time than the corresponding number of pages may indicate.

Whereas the mathematics are complex, they are not voluminous nor do they require mathematical manipulations. My experience is that students who enjoy math, even if they do not recall nor have had any math after high school, can handle the related concepts and formulas because the intuitions come visually from the graphs.

Instructors can elect how to use the rest of the book depending on their goals. Statistically-oriented courses would tend to opt for the chapter on illustrating statistics (Chapter 7) and probability theory (Chapter 8). Corporate courses would tend to want to include the chapter on financial statements (Chapter 9). Courses focusing on making decisions would want to include the chapter on aversion toward risk (Chapter 10). Courses that wish to focus on economic concepts would tend to include the chapter on financial crises (Chapter 11). The foundational concept of the need to justify law on a failure of the market from the perspective of the analysis of Coase (Chapter 1) is too abbreviated here for students who truly encounter it for the first time and likely would need additional supportive material (such as the two-chapter treatment it receives in my *PRINCIPLES AND METHODS OF LAW AND ECONOMICS*, 2005) if an instructor truly intends to focus on it.

The Chapters on the Mathematica Software and Math Concepts Are Not Necessary

One can read this book with several goals. The most basic one is to learn the fundamental concepts of modern finance without the quantitative foundation that most finance books require, by getting the

intuitions visually from the graphics. A more demanding approach is to learn also how to use Mathematica in simple finance applications and in the production of graphics.

In the former case, the chapters explaining Mathematica and mathematical concepts (Chapters 2 and 3) are not necessary, they can be skipped, and when, in the other chapters, the exercises call for using Mathematica, readers can try using the program of their choice. When the exercise does not call for solving an equation in symbolic form, a spreadsheet program would often suffice. The online simplified version of Mathematica at wolframalpha.com can act as a supplement, providing the capacity to solve equations in symbolic form. Other programs with the capacity for symbolic algebra, such as Maple, would also be adequate.

Legal Citation Style

This book uses the legal style of citations. This primarily means that the volume number precedes the name of the publication, that the year comes after the full citation, and that a parenthetical explaining the relevance of the cited matter follows the citation. For example, whereas in the social sciences citation style a journal article would appear as author (year) title of article, publication name volume:page, this would appear here as author, *title of article*, volume PUBLICATION NAME page (year) (explanatory parenthetical). Notice that articles are italicized and books in small capitals.

Acknowledgements

First, I wish to thank my research assistants in this project, Allan Griffey, Henry Robison, and John Millikan. No less important has been the help that I have received from my students who questioned and struggled with these concepts over the many years of my teaching financial concepts in my various courses. For Mathematica programming help, I must acknowledge the enormous help from numerous users of the

Mathematica group of StackExchange, mathematica.stackexchange.com. For useful comments I wish to thank George Triantaphyllou, Stephen Utz and the Oral Argument Podcast for Christian Turner's and Joe Miller's enthusiastic comments about a part of this project.

Special thanks for bringing this book to light are due to Dean and Prof. Jim Chen, the editor of this series, and Elizabeth Graber, Palgrave Macmillan's Acquisitions Editor, Assistant Editor Allison Neuburger, and Azarudeen Ahamed Sheriff, the book's production manager. Prof. Chen deserves an additional round of thanks for his colorful foreword.

Vail, Colorado, USA

Nicholas L. Georgakopoulos

Summary Table of Contents

Foreword by James Ming Chen

Preface

1	The Non-graphical Foundation: Coase and the Law's Irrelevance	1
2	Introduction to Mathematica: Hello World in Text and Graphics	11
3	The Mathematical Frontier: Trigonometry, Derivatives, Optima, Differential Equations	23
4	Money and Time	43
5	The Capital Asset Pricing Model	55
6	Options	73

7	Illustrating Statistical Data	95
8	Probability Theory: Imperfect Observations	113
9	Financial Statements and Mergers	151
10	Aversion to Risk	181
11	Financial Crisis Contagion	201

Contents

Foreword by James Ming Chen

Preface

Purpose: Visual Help

The Quantitative Finance Core

The Chapters on the Mathematica Software and Math

Concepts are not Necessary

Legal Citation Style

1	The Non-graphical Foundation: Coase and The Law's Irrelevance	1
1	The Farmer–Rancher Example	2
2	The Polluter–Neighbor Example	3
3	Impediments to Irrelevance: Transaction Costs and Others	4
	3.1 Distribution of Wealth	6
	3.2 Systematic Errors	6

	3.3	Negotiation Holdouts	7
	3.4	Aversion to Risk	8
4		Conclusion	9
5		Exercise	9
2		Introduction to Mathematica: Hello World in Text and Graphics	11
1		Kernel, Notebook, and Cells	11
2		More About Cells	12
3		Simple Commands on the Screen	13
4		Lists and Their Basics	15
5		<i>Table[]</i>	18
6		Conclusion	21
7		Exercises	21
3		The Mathematical Frontier: Trigonometry, Derivatives, Optima, Differential Equations	23
1		Trigonometry Illustrated	23
	1.1	An Angle, Its Sine, and Cosine	24
	1.2	Tangent and Cotangent, <i>Solve[]</i>	26
	1.3	Labels, Creating Functions	29
2		Derivatives and Optimization	33
3		Differential Equations and Transitions in Time	37
4		Conclusion	40
5		Exercises	40
4		Money and Time	43
1		Periodic Compounding	43
2		Continuous Compounding	45
3		Annuities	46
4		Internal Rate of Return	49
5		Risk	50
6		Conclusion	51
7		Exercises	51

5	The Capital Asset Pricing Model	55
1	Diversification and Its Limits	56
2	Undiversifiable Risk	60
3	Measuring Market Risk	61
4	The Capital Asset Pricing Model	64
5	Reconciling with the Practice of Capitalization Using the P/E Ratio	69
6	Conclusion	70
7	Exercises	71
6	Options	73
1	Types of Options	73
2	Payouts	74
3	Call Option Valuation	76
4	Board Decisions in the Zone of Insolvency	87
5	Executive Compensation	88
6	The Proposal to Restructure by Distributing Options	89
7	Conclusion	90
8	Exercises	90
7	Illustrating Statistical Data	95
1	Simple Settings: Plot the Data	96
1.1	Crime and Abortions	97
1.2	Patent Age and Litigation	98
1.3	Grocery Bags and Infections	99
1.4	Bar Exam and GPA	101
2	Complex Settings: Plot the Residuals	106
3	Conclusion	110
4	Exercises	111
8	Probability Theory: Imperfect Observations	113
1	Introduction	113
2	When to Add and When to Multiply	114
3	The Rare Disease Paradox	115

4	The Cab Color Paradox	118
5	The Evaluation of Weak DNA Evidence	123
5.1	1972 San Francisco Against 2003 Database	127
5.2	The Alternative Suspect Did It	129
5.3	Someone Not in the Database Did It	132
5.4	Someone in the Database Did It	133
5.5	Completing the Analysis	135
6	Judicial and Prosecutorial Error	138
7	Misperception of Probability: The Three Boxes Problem	142
8	Inference, Confidence, Tests	144
9	Conclusion	147
10	Exercises	148
9	Financial Statements and Mergers	151
1	The Purpose of Financial Statements	151
2	Balance Sheet and Income Statement	152
3	An Actual Balance Sheet	155
4	Depreciation	158
5	The Visual Balance Sheet	159
6	A Seniority Balance Sheet	161
7	Par Value and Some Transactions	163
8	The Enterprise-Value Balance Sheet	167
9	A Fraudulent Transfer Law Application	169
10	Merger Accounting	169
11	Conclusion	179
12	Exercises	179
10	Aversion to Risk	181
1	Risk Aversion	181
2	The Certainty Equivalent	182
3	Models of Risk Aversion	182
4	Insurance	184
5	Estimates of the Risk-Aversion Coefficient	190
6	Deriving the Utility of Wealth Function	191

7	Legal Change	193
8	Conclusion	193
9	Exercises	194
	Appendix: Code for Figure 2	195
11	Financial Crisis Contagion	201
1	Introduction: Fear Crises!	201
2	Finance in the Cycle of Production	203
3	Policies About Financial Crises	206
	3.1 Policies Indirectly Related to Crises	207
	3.2 Policies Directly About Crises	211
	3.3 Extraordinary Measures of 2008	215
	3.4 Post-2008 Reforms	216
4	Conclusion	219
	Closing Note	221
	Index	223

List of Figures

Chapter 2

Fig. 1	Our first commands	13
Fig. 2	Creating a list with the letters of Hello World	15
Fig. 3	Four ways of passing a list to a command	17
Fig. 4	Using <code>Table []</code> to place letters in a graph	19

Chapter 3

Fig. 1	An angle on the unit circle	25
Fig. 2	Adding dashing traces and the tangent	28
Fig. 3	Illustrating four trigonometric functions	32
Fig. 4	Function, first, and second derivative	36
Fig. 5	Workforce participation over time	39

Chapter 4

Fig. 1	The annuity fallacy	47
Fig. 2	The value of an infinite growing annuity and a hundred-year one	48

Chapter 5

Fig. 1	Betting \$500 on one or four coin tosses	58
Fig. 2	Probabilities and payouts as tosses increase	58
Fig. 3	Diversification in stocks and coin tosses	59

Fig. 4	Morgan Stanley (MS) and Walmart (WMT) against the index	62
Fig. 5	Empirical test of the CAPM with 1931–1965 data	66
Fig. 6	The CAPM evidence with standard deviations as error bars	67

Chapter 6

Fig. 1	Payouts to holders and writers of calls and puts	76
Fig. 2	A ten-cointoss binomial call	80
Fig. 3	Comparison of two lognormal distributions	82
Fig. 4	The solid of the call	82
Fig. 5	Two call solids	82
Fig. 6	The decay of the value of a call	85

Chapter 7

Fig. 1	Crime and abortion per Donohue and Levitt	98
Fig. 2	Patent age and litigation per Allison, Lemley, et al.	99
Fig. 3	Requiring reusable grocery bags and hospitalizations	100
Fig. 4	Bar passage prediction and data	102
Fig. 5	Residuals of listed firms against regulator staffing	108

Chapter 8

Fig. 1	Rare disease: population	116
Fig. 2	Rare disease: test application	117
Fig. 3	The actual cab colors	119
Fig. 4	Cab identifications by the witness	119
Fig. 5	The relevant groups when the testimony is “the cab was light”	120
Fig. 6	Simple probability tree	122
Fig. 7	Puckett’s probability tree	126
Fig. 8	Population and database	128
Fig. 9	Diminishing sets to estimate intersection	129
Fig. 10	The perpetrator is an alternative suspect who was not prosecuted	131
Fig. 11	Unknown perpetrator not in the database	132
Fig. 12	Perpetrator is in the database	135
Fig. 13	Puckett’s probability tree with symbolic values	137
Fig. 14	Prosecutorial and trial accuracies	141
Fig. 15	The probability of being within two standard deviations	145

Chapter 9

Fig. 1	The visual balance sheet of MSO	160
Fig. 2	The visual balance sheet with current amounts	161

Fig. 3	MSO with a par account inflated ten times	164
Fig. 4	MSO after taking a \$20 million loan and dividending it	165
Fig. 5	MSO after the loan and a stock issuance for cash	166
Fig. 6	MSO enterprise value according to the terms of the deal	176
Fig. 7	Sequential Brands before the acquisition	177
Fig. 8	The merged entity's balance sheet	178

Chapter 10

Fig. 1	Risk aversion as a result of the utility of wealth	184
Fig. 2	Gain from insurance	188
Fig. 3	The effect of insurance, three levels of aversion to risk	190

Chapter 11

Fig. 1	The interdependence of the real sector with the financial	204
--------	---	-----

List of Tables

Chapter 5

Table 1	Beta decile returns 1935–1965	72
---------	-------------------------------	----

Chapter 7

Table 1	Data for Anscombe's Quartet	111
---------	-----------------------------	-----

Chapter 8

Table 1	States and statements: probabilities	121
Table 2	The three boxes strategies	143

Chapter 9

Table 1	MSO balance sheet released March 4, 2004	156
Table 2	Seniority balance sheet of MSO	162
Table 3	Balance sheet of combined entity	175