

Landscape as a Geosystem

László Miklós · Erika Kočická
Zita Izakovičová · Dušan Kočický
Anna Špinerová · Andrea Diviaková
Viktória Miklósová

Landscape as a Geosystem

László Miklós
UNESCO-Chair for Sustainable
Development
Technical University in Zvolen
Zvolen, Slovakia

Anna Špinerová
UNESCO-Chair for Sustainable
Development
Technical University in Zvolen
Zvolen, Slovakia

Erika Kočická
UNESCO-Chair for Sustainable
Development
Technical University in Zvolen
Zvolen, Slovakia

Andrea Diviaková
UNESCO-Chair for Sustainable
Development
Technical University in Zvolen
Zvolen, Slovakia

Zita Izakovičová
Institute of Landscape Ecology SAS
Bratislava, Slovakia

Viktória Miklósová
Institute of Landscape Ecology SAS
Bratislava, Slovakia

Dušan Kočický
ESPRIT Ltd.
Banská Štiavnica, Slovakia

ISBN 978-3-319-94023-6 ISBN 978-3-319-94024-3 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94024-3>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018946602

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Acknowledgement

The publication is the result of the research supported by the grant agency KEGA Project No. 013TU Z-4/2016 and by grant agency VEGA Project No. 1/0096/1614-0735 and VEGA Project No. 2/0066/15.

Contents

1 The Material Base of Sustainable Development—The Landscape	1
1.1 Key Aspects of the Relation Geosystem versus Ecologization of Landscape Management	2
1.2 Landscape and Its Integrated Management in Planning Processes	5
References	7
2 Landscape as a Geosystem	11
2.1 The Approaches to the Definition of the Landscape	12
2.2 The System Theory and the Landscape as a Geosystem	16
2.3 Models of Geosystems—Geosystems and Geocomplexes	18
2.3.1 Topical Model of a Geosystem	19
2.3.2 Choric Model of a Geosystem	22
2.4 Elements and Relationships in Geosystems	24
2.5 Structure of Landscape as a Geosystem	26
2.5.1 Primary Landscape Structure	26
2.5.2 Secondary Landscape Structure	28
2.5.3 Tertiary Landscape Structure	29
2.6 Interrelationships of the Individual Landscape Structures	30
2.7 Definition of the Landscape and Its Reflection in the Law	32
References	34
3 Construction and Mapping of Geocomplexes	43
3.1 Framework Approach for Assignment and Delimitation of Geocomplexes	44
3.1.1 Landscape-Ecological Analyses	45
3.1.2 Landscape-Ecological Syntheses	51

3.2	Types of Geocomplexes	63
3.2.1	Abiotic Complex	64
3.2.2	Physical-Geographical Complex	64
3.2.3	Complex of the Current Landscape Structure: Land Cover and Real Vegetation/Habitats	65
3.2.4	Landscape-Ecological Complex	65
3.2.5	Socio-economic Complex	66
3.2.6	Integrated Geocomplex	66
3.3	Integrated Spatial Information System—An Imperative Necessity for Researchers	67
3.3.1	Methodological-Practical Problems—The Use of GIS in Solving Analytical and Complex Problems	69
3.3.2	Geometrically Unified Projection System and Unified Topography—Unified System of the Surface and Objects	70
3.3.3	The Elements of Georeferencing	72
3.3.4	Content of the System—Indicators	74
3.3.5	Monitoring and Its Information System	74
3.4	Creation of the Database of Geocomplexes in Slovak Republic	75
	References	81
4	Characteristics of the Indicators of Geocomplexes	85
4.1	Indicators of Properties of the Primary Landscape Structure	86
4.1.1	Sub-complex Re: Georelief	87
4.1.2	Sub-complex Ge: Geological Base (Bedrocks)—Soil- Forming Substrate Complex	91
4.1.3	Sub-complex Wa: Ground Water	92
4.1.4	Sub-complex So: Soil	98
4.1.5	Sub-complex Cl: Relief—Climate	102
4.1.6	Sub-complex PNV: Potential Natural Vegetation	106
4.2	Indicators of Properties of Current Landscape Structure	106
4.2.1	Sub-complex CLS—Current Landscape Structure: Elements of Current Landscape Structure as Land Cover	108
4.2.2	Sub-complex BAC—Biotic-Anthropic Complex: Elements of Current Landscape Structure as Real Vegetation and Habitats	109
4.3	Indicators of Properties of Tertiary Landscape Structure	111
4.3.1	Sub-complex NAC: Socio-economic Factors for Nature and Landscape Conservation	114
4.3.2	Sub-complex NAR: Socio-Economic Factors of Protection of Natural Resources	116

- 4.3.3 Sub-complex URB, CAT, IND, AGR: Socio-Economic Factors of Urbanisation, Industry, Agriculture Production, Transport and Communal Activities 118
- 4.3.4 Sub-complex DET: Socio-Economic Factors Bound to the Deterioration of the Environment 119
- 4.3.5 Sub-complex GDP: Geodynamic Phenomena with the Character of Stress Factors 121
- 4.3.6 Sub-complex ADM: Socio-Economic Factors of the Character of Administrative and Sectoral Boundaries 124
- References 125
- 5 Application of the Spatial Information System of Geocomplexes in Model Territory 127**
 - 5.1 Characteristic of Geocomplexes in the Model Territory of the Ipel' Basin 128
 - 5.1.1 Building and Hierarchical Arrangement of Databases of Geocomplexes 129
 - 5.1.2 Content of Information Layers of the Database 132
 - 5.2 Spatial Projection of Database onto Maps 145
 - References 157
- Conclusion 159**
- Cited Regulations and Conventions 161**

Abbreviations

ABC	Abiotic complex (abiocomplex)
B.p.v.	Baltic vertical reference system
BAC	Biotic–anthropic complex
BM	Basic map
BSEU	Bonited Soil-Ecological Unit
CLS	Current landscape structure
CSD	Commission for Sustainable Development
CSFR	Czechoslovak Federal Republic
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
EC	European Commission
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ELC	European Landscape Convention
EU	European Union
FAR	Folk Architecture Reservation
FH	Forest habitats
FMP	Forest Management Plan (recently FCP—Forest Care Programme)
FMU	Forest Management Unit
GEOIS	Geological information system
GIB-GES	Complex geological information base for the needs of protecting nature and landscape management
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
HGT	Hydrogeological type
HNC	Hydrological number of catchment
HPZ/SZ	Hygienic Protection Zone/Safety (buffer) Zone
IFM	Integrated flood management
IGEC	Integrated geocomplex
ILM	Integrate landscape management
INSPIRE	INfrastructure for SPatial InfoRmation in Europe
ISTB	Information system of taxons a habitats

KEGA	Cultural and Educational Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of Slovak Republic
KPP	Complex Agricultural Soil Survey of Slovakia
LANDEP	LANDscape Ecological Planning
LEB ILM	Landscape ecological basis of integrated landscape management
LEC	Landscape ecological complex
LGIS	Forest geographical information system
LTSES	Local Territorial System of Ecological Stability
m a.s.l.	Metres above sea level
MAB	UNESCO's Man and the Biosphere Programme
MCR	Memorial City Reservation
MoE SR	Ministry of the Environment of the Slovak Republic
MPRV SR	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development of the Slovak Republic
MS	Microsoft
NATURA 2000	Network of Sites of Community Importance and Protected Bird Areas
NFC	National Forest Centre
NFH	Non-forest habitats
NISI	National Infrastructure of Spatial Information
NM	Nature Monument
NNM	National Nature Monument
NNR	National Nature Reservation
NP	National Park
NR	Nature Reservation
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PA	Protected Area
PBA	Protected Bird Area
PESD	Programme of Economic and Social Development
PGC	Physical-geographical complex
PLA	Protected Landscape Area
PLS	Primary landscape structure
PNV	Potential natural vegetation
POVAPSYS	Flood warning and prediction system
PT	Protected Tree
PWMA	Protected Water Management Area
PZ	Protection Zone
REPGES	REpresentative Potential GEoecoSystems (Research Institute for Soil Fertility)
SAS	Slovak Academy of Sciences
SCI	Site of Community Importance
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment
SEC	Socio-economic complex
SEF	Socio-economic factor (phenomenon)
SGIDŠ	State Geological Institute of Dionýz Štúr

S-JTSK	Coordinate system—Uniform trigonometric cadastral network
SkEA	Slovak Environmental Agency
SLS	Secondary landscape structure
SNC SR	State Nature Conservation of the Slovak Republic
SR	Slovak Republic
SSCRI	Soil Science and Conservation Research Institute (previously RISF—Research Institute for Soil Fertility)
STN	Slovak Technical Norm (standard)
TLS	Tertiary landscape structure
TSES	Territorial System of Ecological Stability
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
USDF	Unit of Spatial Division of Forest
WRI	Water Research Institute
ZB GIS	Fundamental Database (of topographic objects) for Geographic Information

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1	Landscape as the material section from the geographical sphere and its models	18
Fig. 2.2	Topic model of a geosystem.	20
Fig. 2.3	Model of ecosystem from the geosystem perspective	21
Fig. 2.4	Socio-economic factors in the landscape. SEF bounded to: I, D—industry and technical objects, U, R—urbanisation and recreation, V—protection of water resources, P—protection of high quality soils, L—forest resources protection, OP—nature conservation, ZSJ—administrative borders	22
Fig. 2.5	Choric model of a geosystem	23
Fig. 2.6	Structure of the landscape as a geosystem	27
Fig. 2.7	Definition of landscape as a geosystem	33
Fig. 3.1	a,b Schema of synthesis by superposition of analytical documentation.	61
Fig. 3.2	Most frequent applications of the elements of georeferencing	73
Fig. 3.3	Comparison of the course of boundary of geological substrate on geological map at 1:50,000 and boundaries of soil types on soil map at 1:50,000 and their comparison to the relief. Boundaries of depicted units of both elements should be the same and should be bound to morphographic type of alluvial plain (dotted line), which usually does not exceed 3° slope. Superimposing these layers without modification results in absurd combinations of parameters	79
Fig. 3.4	A visualized illustration of a map of partial abiocomplexes of Slovakia (cut). The map is processed on original scale 1:10.000 in GIS. Each polygon displays homogenous area defined by values of four abiotic indicators (morphographic type, slope angle, geologic-substratum complex, soil texture). The violet line is the border of watershed.	80

Fig. 5.1	Schema of groups of indicators of properties of elements of geocomplexes for GIS of the Ipel' basin	129
Fig. 5.2	Basic map—unified cartographic basis for all other thematic layers	146
Fig. 5.3	Slope inclination. Thematic layer “SLOPE—slope inclination”. Values according to Tables 4.2, 5.2 and 5.6.	147
Fig. 5.4	Income of solar energy. Thematic layer “RADIATION—sun power”. Values according to Tables 4.12, 5.2 and 5.6	148
Fig. 5.5	Soil texture (grain size). Presented within the thematic layer “KEK_Sk—Landscape ecological complex” attribute “Podny_druh—soil texture (grain size)”. Values according to Table 5.4	149
Fig. 5.6	Potential natural vegetation. Thematic layer “POTVEGET_Sk—potential vegetation”. Values according to Table 5.3 (according to Maglocký 2002).	150
Fig. 5.7	Current landscape structure/land cover. Thematic layer “RL001_Sk—land cover”. Values according to Tables 5.3 and 5.7. On map reduced information.	151
Fig. 5.8	Morphographic- positional types of the relief. Presented within the thematic layer “KEK_Sk—Landscape ecological complex” as attribute “Morfo_pol—morphographic-position type of relief of LEC”. Values according to Table 5.4	152
Fig. 5.9	Synthetic map of landscape-ecological complexes. Thematic layer “KEK_Sk—Landscape ecological complex” Each polygon is defined by values of all indicators listed in Tables 5.1 and 5.2	153
Fig. 5.10	Retention capacity of the landscape. Thematic layer “R—retention ability of territory”. Values according to Table 5.4	154
Fig. 5.11	Air pollution. Thematic layer “ZNECISTO_Sk—air pollution”. Values according to Table 5.5.	155
Fig. 5.12	Soil contamination. Thematic layer “KONTAMP_Sk—contamination of soil”. Values according to Table 5.5	156

List of Tables

Table 3.1a	Frequently used state variables and typological characteristics of the primary landscape structure: complex substrate–groundwater–soil and complex relief–dynamics of the surface–dissection–position	47
Table 3.1b	Frequently used state variables and typological characteristics of the primary landscape structure: complex relief—surface waters, complex relief—climate, abiocomplex—potential vegetation.	49
Table 3.2	Frequently used state variables and typological characteristics of the secondary landscape structure	50
Table 3.3	Groups and typological characteristics of the tertiary landscape structure	52
Table 3.4a	Relationship of elements of the secondary landscape structure: SEF related to the landscape as a whole and greenery	53
Table 3.4b	Relationship of elements of the secondary landscape structure to the tertiary landscape structure: SEF related to forests—waters—soils	55
Table 3.4c	Relationship of elements of the secondary landscape structure to the tertiary landscape structure: SEF related to recreation—municipalities—transport.	57
Table 3.4d	Relationship of elements of the secondary landscape structure to the tertiary landscape structure: SEF related to industry—agricultural objects—exposed substrate	59
Table 3.4e	Relationship of elements of the secondary landscape structure to the tertiary landscape structure: SEF related to the deterioration	60
Table 4.1	Domain values of attribute of morphological-morphographic-position type.	88
Table 4.2	Domain values of attribute of slope angle	89

Table 4.3	Domain values of attribute of orientation of the relief to the cardinal points (aspect)	89
Table 4.4	Domain values of the attribute of profile curvature in the direction of gradient curves	89
Table 4.5	Domain value of attribute of horizontal curvature in the direction of contour lines	90
Table 4.6	Characteristics of domain of geological base (bedrock) – soil-forming substrate complex	93
Table 4.7	Thickness of quaternary sediments	95
Table 4.8	Category of depth of level of ground water under the surface	97
Table 4.9	Categories of soil types and subtypes	99
Table 4.10	Categories of soil texture (grain size)	101
Table 4.11	Categories of soil depth	101
Table 4.12	Categories of soil skeletalilty	102
Table 4.13	Categories of the amount of the sun radiation on georelief	103
Table 4.14	Climate geographical types and subtypes	103
Table 4.15	Characteristics of domain values of climate geographical types	104
Table 4.16	Categories of communities of potential natural vegetation	107
Table 4.17	Categories of most frequently used land cover elements	108
Table 4.18	Categories of forest habitats (types of real forest vegetation)	110
Table 4.19	Categories of non-forest habitats (types of real non-forest vegetation)	112
Table 4.20	Selected socio-economic factors of nature conservation declared in acts and other planning and development documents	115
Table 4.21	Selected socio-economic factors of protection of natural resources declared in acts and other planning and development documents	116
Table 4.22	Selected socio-economic factors of urbanisation, industrial, transport, technical and communal activities declared in laws and other planning and development documents	118
Table 4.23	Selected socio-economic factors bound to the deterioration of the environment declared in acts and other planning and development documentation	120
Table 4.24	Types of slope deformations	123
Table 4.25	Degrees of susceptibility to landslides and to re-location of loess sediments	124
Table 4.26	Selected socio-economic factors of the character of administrative and sectoral boundaries declared in acts and other planning and development documents	124

Table 5.1	Overview of information layers at the “component” level	132
Table 5.2	Overview of information layers at the levels “Component” and “Thematic layer” Primary landscape structure	133
Table 5.3	Overview of information layers at the levels “Component” and “Thematic layer” Secondary landscape structure	134
Table 5.4	Content of thematic layer KEK_Sk —Landscape-ecological complex	134
Table 5.5	Overview of information layers on the levels “Component” and “Thematic layers” for Tertiary landscape structure	135
Table 5.6	Information layers on all levels—selected section (exúlanation of the content in Tables 5.1–5.5)	137
Table 5.7	List of topographical layers (Geodatabáza db2.gdb) relating to thematic layer RL001_Sk —Areas of land cover	141
Table 5.8	List of indicators related to thematic layer TU001_Sk —Cadastral area of municipality	145

Introduction

Landscape is a common word, everybody knows what it is, there is no need to explain it. Is this statement true? Of course, we have no right to prevent anybody from having their own opinion about how to perceive the surrounding material reality in whatever way. On the other hand, we know that the landscape is a very complex entity influencing all our activities, and, in order to understand its functioning and its reactions to our activities, we need an amount of knowledge. Subsequently, when we have gained the knowledge, we wish to implement our scientific knowledge about nature and landscape into social practice.

The landscape is a very diverse entity, but at the same time it is a whole in its diversity, in which its individual components cannot exist without others. This view of diversity and holism at the same time might be addressed by a holistic approach. However, the holistic approach is insufficiently specific, and it permits very free interpretations of the landscape, most often as an image, as an ensemble of its visible elements, especially the elements of the land use, which forms only an aesthetic framework for human activities. What about the invisible elements of the landscape? Are the geological base, soils, georelief, ecosystems, climatic indicators and their interrelationships important for planning? Of course they are! In fact, they determine human activities more specifically. If we really want to define them globally, in complexes, in interrelationships, we need a systematic approach, which could be considered a specific concretization of the holistic approach. Such an approach resulted in the understanding of the landscape as a geosystem presented in this book.

It is to be emphasised that this approach has been motivated as mentioned in first sentences—in an effort to promote scientific knowledge of the landscape into practice. An essential aspect of this advance is the understanding of the landscape as a geosystem.

The landscape is the spatial setting and the material base for all the activities of humans. These activities meet in the same spatial–material entity, and therefore it is essential to regulate them. Regulation might have different bases but we consider that the principles of sustainable development undoubtedly prescribe the harmonisation of those activities with the given spatial–material entity as with the object

where all the activities take place. This approach needs first of all a systematic *definition and description of this object*, as well as development of scientific methods transferring scientific knowledge to decision-making processes on the utilisation of this material basis for human development, through to multiple and multipurpose integrated landscape management.

The book defines this spatial setting and this material basis for human development as *landscape as a geosystem*. This book analyses the landscape as a geosystem in all its complexity (from the abiotic environment, through land use to its socio-economic character) as an integrated natural resource, as society's life space, as well as an object of planning and decision-making on sustainable land use. The landscape properties are presented in a form of databases usable for a variety of purposes relating to the Directive INSPIRE 2007/2/EC (INSPIRE—Infrastructure for Spatial Information in Europe) requirements to enable these databases to serve as a national spatial information database for the needs of applied landscape ecological researches and real spatial planning processes. The properties of the geosystem included in spatial information systems should then serve as the regulatives for the optimum spatial organisation of the activities in this setting as well as for the optimum mode of the utilisation of all points of this space.

In general, this book describes the landscape as a geosystem from a **purpose-oriented point of view**. The whole theory and methodology regarding the goals given by planning practice—to apply the scientifically defined material object to the legally supported planning processes.

Accordingly, the main themes of the book are as follows:

- a brief overview of the role of the landscape as a geosystem in planning, projecting and integrated landscape management;
- theoretical approaches to the landscape, describing different definitions from the physical–geographical definitions through geosystem theory up to the approach to the landscape as a picture;
- a definition of the landscape as a geosystem, its models, structures, elements and relations. This is the substantial part of the book;
- construction, assignation, delimitation and mapping of the geosystems, including the creation of the spatial information system;
- detailed characteristics of the partial geocomplexes, their elements and properties;
- application of the geosystems in a model territory—using the example of the Ipel' basin (Slovak Republic).

Except for the theoretical–methodical approach to the geosystem, the other main objective of the book is to present a real applicable procedure for the creation a complex spatial database of the model territory as an objective, manifold usable, scientifically sound foundation for regional studies, programmes, planning, projecting and management of the sustainable organisation and utilisation of the landscape. The book presents an overview of map legends with complete domain values of selected attributes of all three landscape structures (primary, secondary and tertiary) routinely used in Slovakia. At the end, the publication presents an

example of the construction and mapping of geocomplexes as well as the creation of the database on the model territory at the regional level. So, the book in its each part devotes a little bit broader attention to the players in landscape research in Central and Eastern Europe than it is usual in mainstream works in recent decades.