

SpringerBriefs in Petroleum Geoscience & Engineering

Series editors

Dorrik Stow, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK

Mark Bentley, AGR TRACS International Ltd, Aberdeen, UK

Jebraeel Gholinezhad, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, Hampshire, UK

Lateef Akanji, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Scotland, UK

Khalik Mohamad Sabil, Heriot-Watt University, Putrajaya, Malaysia

Susan Agar, Houston, USA

Kenichi Soga, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

A. A. Sulaimon, Department of Petroleum Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Petronas, Seri Iskandar, Perak, Malaysia

The SpringerBriefs series in Petroleum Geoscience & Engineering promotes and expedites the dissemination of substantive new research results, state-of-the-art subject reviews and tutorial overviews in the field of petroleum exploration, petroleum engineering and production technology. The subject focus is on upstream exploration and production, subsurface geoscience and engineering. These concise summaries (50–125 pages) will include cutting-edge research, analytical methods, advanced modelling techniques and practical applications. Coverage will extend to all theoretical and applied aspects of the field, including traditional drilling, shale-gas fracking, deepwater sedimentology, seismic exploration, pore-flow modelling and petroleum economics. Topics include but are not limited to:

- Petroleum Geology & Geophysics
- Exploration: Conventional and Unconventional
- Seismic Interpretation
- Formation Evaluation (well logging)
- Drilling and Completion
- Hydraulic Fracturing
- Geomechanics
- Reservoir Simulation and Modelling
- Flow in Porous Media: from nano- to field-scale
- Reservoir Engineering
- Production Engineering
- Well Engineering; Design, Decommissioning and Abandonment
- Petroleum Systems; Instrumentation and Control
- Flow Assurance, Mineral Scale & Hydrates
- Reservoir and Well Intervention
- Reservoir Stimulation
- Oilfield Chemistry
- Risk and Uncertainty
- Petroleum Economics and Energy Policy

Contributions to the series can be made by submitting a proposal to the responsible Springer contact, Charlotte Cross at charlotte.cross@springer.com or the Academic Series Editor, Prof Dorrik Stow at dorrik.stow@pet.hw.ac.uk.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/15391>

Yongcun Feng · K. E. Gray

Lost Circulation and Wellbore Strengthening

 Springer

Yongcun Feng
Department of Petroleum and Geosystems
Engineering
The University of Texas at Austin
Austin, TX
USA

K. E. Gray
Department of Petroleum and Geosystems
Engineering
The University of Texas at Austin
Austin, TX
USA

ISSN 2509-3126 ISSN 2509-3134 (electronic)
SpringerBriefs in Petroleum Geoscience & Engineering
ISBN 978-3-319-89434-8 ISBN 978-3-319-89435-5 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89435-5>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018939313

© The Author(s) 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

Lost circulation, the loss of partial or whole drilling fluid into the formation, is one of the most common and costly problems in drilling operations. Typical scenarios of lost circulation include drilling through pressure depleted zones, deepwater formations, naturally fractured shales, and carbonate formations. Wellbore strengthening is an effective and economic technique to prevent or mitigate lost circulation problem. This technique artificially increases the maximum pressure a wellbore can withstand by bridging or sealing the natural or drilling induced fractures on the wellbore wall. Although a number of experimental studies and field applications of wellbore strengthening have been reported in the drilling industry, the fundamental mechanisms of lost circulation and wellbore strengthening are still not thoroughly understood, and the industry still lacks sufficient models for lost circulation prediction and wellbore strengthening evaluation. This book makes an effort to fill these knowledge gaps.

This book focuses on the underlying mechanisms of lost circulation and wellbore strengthening. It presents a comprehensive, yet concise, overview of the fundamental studies on lost circulation and wellbore strengthening in the oil and gas industry, as well as a detailed discussion on the limitations of the wellbore strengthening methods currently used in the industry. The book provides several advanced analytical and numerical models for the simulations of lost circulation and wellbore strengthening under realistic conditions. Simulation results are presented to illustrate the capabilities of the models and to investigate the influences of key parameters. In addition, experimental results are also provided for better understanding of the subject.

The book is divided into six chapters. Chapter 1 begins with a brief introduction to the definition, scenarios and consequences of lost circulation, and the concept and different methods of wellbore strengthening. This chapter also provides a critical review of fundamental studies on lost circulation and wellbore strengthening.

Chapter 2 covers some background knowledges of drilling-related geomechanics which are closely related to lost circulation and wellbore strengthening. Concepts such as in situ stress, stress concentration around a wellbore, and drilling mud

weight window are introduced. Familiarity with these concepts is essential for understanding the mechanisms of lost circulation and wellbore strengthening.

To understand fracture behavior during lost circulation, Chap. 3 describes a numerical model for lost circulation simulation. The model couples dynamic mud circulation in the wellbore and fracture propagation into the formation. It provides estimates of time-dependent wellbore pressure, fluid loss rate, and fracture profile during drilling. Numerical examples are presented to illustrate the effects of several key parameters on lost circulation.

Chapter 4 illustrates the mechanisms of wellbore strengthening in detail. An analytical model based on linear elastic fracture mechanics is introduced which provides a fast procedure to predict wellbore strengthening after bridging the fractures. Moreover, a numerical model is developed which gives a more detailed description of the distribution of local stress and fracture width with wellbore strengthening operations. A couple of experimental studies of wellbore strengthening are also described in this chapter.

Chapter 5 summarizes the properties and features of various lost circulation materials (LCMs) currently used in the drilling industry. Formations with different lithology usually require different LCMs. LCMs suitable for permeable sandstones, low-permeability shales, and carbonate formations are discussed in this chapter.

Chapter 6 provides some recommendations for future endeavors.

The book will help the readers understand quickly the concepts related to lost circulation and wellbore strengthening. It offers valuable information and guidelines for drilling engineers who face lost circulation problem in their wells and want to use wellbore strengthening technique to solve the problem. The book is also useful for industrial researchers and graduate students who perform fundamental researches in this area.

Austin, USA

Yongcun Feng
K. E. Gray

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Wider Windows Industrial Affiliate Program, the University of Texas at Austin, for financial and logistical support of the research work utilized in this book.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from Wider Windows sponsors BHP Billiton, British Petroleum, Chevron, ConocoPhillips, Halliburton, Marathon, National Oilwell Varco, Occidental Oil and Gas, and Shell.

Yongcun Feng
K. E. Gray

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Lost Circulation	1
1.2	Wellbore Strengthening	2
1.3	A Literature Review of Fundamental Studies on Lost Circulation and Wellbore Strengthening	3
1.3.1	Experimental Studies	3
1.3.2	Physical Models of Wellbore Strengthening	3
1.3.3	Analytical Studies	4
1.3.4	Numerical Studies	5
	References	5
2	Drilling Related Geomechanics	9
2.1	In-Situ Stress and Pore Pressure	9
2.2	Field Injectivity Tests	10
2.3	Stress Around a Wellbore	12
2.4	Wellbore Failure Criteria	16
2.5	Drilling Mud Weight Window	17
	References	18
3	Lost Circulation Models	21
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Modeling Study of Lost Circulation	22
3.2.1	Theory	24
3.2.2	Formulation of the Lost Circulation Model	28
3.2.3	Results of the Lost Circulation Model	30
3.3	Modeling Study of Wellbore Ballooning	33
3.3.1	Wellbore Ballooning Overview	33
3.3.2	Formulation of the Wellbore Ballooning Model	36
3.3.3	Results of the Wellbore Ballooning Model	37
	References	40

4	Wellbore Strengthening Models	43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	A Fracture-Mechanics Model for Wellbore Strengthening	44
4.2.1	Analytical Wellbore Strengthening Model for Bridging Small Fractures	44
4.2.2	Analytical Wellbore Strengthening Model for Bridging Large Fractures	47
4.2.3	Results of the Small Fracture Model	50
4.2.4	Results of the Large Fracture Model	52
4.2.5	Discussions	54
4.3	A Numerical Model for Wellbore Strengthening	55
4.3.1	Development of the Numerical Wellbore Strengthening Model	56
4.3.2	Hoop Stress and Fracture Width	58
4.3.3	Effect of Horizontal Stress Contrast S_{Hmax}/S_{Hmin}	60
4.3.4	Effect of LCM Bridge Location	64
4.3.5	Effect of Pressure Behind LCM Bridge (Bridge Permeability)	65
4.3.6	Field Implications of the Numerical Study	66
4.4	Some Experimental Results of Wellbore Strengthening	68
	References	71
5	Lost Circulation Materials	73
5.1	Introduction	73
5.2	Lost Circulation Materials for High-Permeability Formations	75
5.3	Lost Circulation Materials for Low-Permeability Shales	76
5.4	Lost Circulation Materials for Carbonate Formations	77
	References	78
6	Recommendations for Future Work	81
6.1	Recommendations for Future Work	81

Prologue

Lost circulation problems have plagued the drilling industry for many years, with substantial nonproductive time and costs for prevention and remedial actions. While wellbore strengthening is often used to prevent or mitigate lost circulation, there are numerous physical concepts for the different types of scenarios encountered and operational consequences in different types of wellbore strengthening treatments. Thus, the authors, Yongcun Feng and K. E. Gray, provide an overview of fundamental studies on lost circulation and wellbore strengthening and do an admirable job of presenting various analytical and numerical models in a scientific and unbiased fashion.

Although lost circulation is extremely important to the drilling industry, widespread disagreement about both its root causes and the best mitigation strategies still remain. Perhaps this is to be expected, since at a minimum, fully understanding lost circulation requires an in-depth knowledge of pore pressure, in situ stresses, near wellbore stresses, and multiple properties of the formation rock. In addition, procedures for avoiding or mitigating lost circulation require detailed knowledge about drilling fluids and their physical and chemical interactions with all formations within a well or wellbore.

In the early 1990s, improved estimates for pore pressure, fracture gradient, and deviated wellbore stability for wells drilled in the North Sea were needed. At that time, two target reservoir sands were moderately depleted from their original pore pressures of 10.5 ppg and 14.0 ppg, respectively. Fortunately, significant closure stress and fracture gradient data, obtained from injectivity tests and hydraulic fracture stimulation operations, were available. As drilling operations and production related depletion continued over the subsequent 2-1/2 decades, multiple observations were made regarding changes in fracture gradient and lost circulation with respect to depletion.

Leak-off tests in moderately inclined wellbores were only slightly reduced following significant reservoir depletion. Reservoir pressures in both formations were now below 5.0 ppg. It was determined that fracture closure pressure (FCP) and minimum fracture propagation pressure (FPP) had been reduced significantly, as predicted. One dramatic example occurred while cementing a production liner. As

designed, the pre-flush successfully removed the filter cake across a depleted sand, and total lost circulation was observed. A subsequent trip inside the casing to determine the fluid level confirmed that the hydrostatic pressure in the wellbore had stabilized at the estimated FPP in the depleted sand. It was also noted that the well had been drilled with an equivalent circulating density (ECD) significantly greater than this pressure, without significant fluid loss.

From these and multiple additional observations, it was determined that the tangential (hoop) stress equation (Kirsch solution) did a reasonably good job of estimating fracture initiation pressure (leak-off), including changes with respect to wellbore orientation and reservoir depletion, as long as the filter cake remained intact. However, with the filter cake removed, or absent, total losses occurred at FPP. Conversely, it was determined that for multiple shallower formations, which consisted mostly of silty shale and carbonates, leak-off tests were lower than expected, with seemingly no benefit from near wellbore hoop stress. It was surmised that the near wellbore stress field was bypassed by preexisting fractures, or there was a lack of filter cake development. It was also observed that there were often significant differences between FCP, FPP, and leak-off pressure, contrary to assertions in the literature.

It was suggested that the depleted formations were being strengthened, with fractures initiated at the wellbore wall and then quickly filled with background LCM, creating a plug at the fracture opening. But observed leak-off pressures were equal to (and not greater than) the hoop stress estimated by the Kirsch solution with no “strengthening.” This discrepancy may be explained by an LCM enhanced filter cake forcing fractures to initiate at the wellbore wall, taking advantage of the already present hoop stress. There remains widespread disagreement about this, but interestingly, the LCM recommendations are identical in either case!

In the late 1990s and early 2000s, with a major exploration push into the deepwater Gulf of Mexico (GOM), operators immediately experienced difficulties associated with narrow drilling margins. The pore pressure/fracture gradient window had to be pushed to its limit in nearly every hole section, to ensure there would be an adequate number of casing and liner strings to reach the objective formations. Wellbore breathing (often called ballooning) and lost circulation were often observed at pressures significantly below the previous casing shoe test. Synthetic based mud (SBM) was very popular due to its shale inhibition, increased lubricity, reduced ECD, ease of running and maintaining, and significantly reduced propensity for differential sticking. However, it also had its drawbacks, including higher costs, cuttings disposal issues, potential masking of small gas influxes, and often lower observed fracture gradient compared to water-based mud (WBM). The widespread availability of logging while drilling (LWD) tools now made it possible to observe where wellbore breathing and lost circulation events were occurring. Interestingly, these losses were often seen in silty or ratty shale, often but not necessarily, at the transition between clean shale and sand. Furthermore, wellbore breathing was almost exclusively associated with nonaqueous drilling fluids. Several operators reported incidences of switching back to (WBM) in a particular hole section, in order to continue drilling with fewer mud losses and avoid setting

casing prematurely. Significant work was being performed to describe the differences in FPP pressure between WBM and SBM, which focused on the major filter cake property differences within a fracture. The WBM filter cake was apparently superior for isolating the fracture tip from the wellbore pressure, thereby increasing FPP.

In addition, the numerous “lost circulation pills” formulated by various service companies for squeezing into and sealing fractures, required dewatering once in place in order for the fracture to close and seal around them. It was observed that these pills rarely performed well, unless they were mixed in a water-based carrier fluid. Otherwise, they would not effectively dewater into the shale and allow the fracture to close. This observation led to consideration of wettability of the silty shale formations (usually water wet) and associated capillary entry pressures for non-wetting phase SBM, as playing a significant role in lost circulation pill dewatering. It was also considered that this same phenomenon may be partially responsible for the improved filter cake properties for WBM compared to SBM, inside a fracture.

Despite improved understanding of wellbore breathing and lost circulation with SBM, results of mitigation strategies remain mixed. Pills that do not require dewatering are available, but operations to spot them are still expensive and time consuming, and these pills are frequently eroded by subsequent drilling operations. Some operators have focused more on ECD reduction methods for improving drilling margins, including constant bottom hole pressure techniques.

While lost circulation and its control have been studied extensively and significant advances have been achieved, knowledge gaps and unsolved problems still pose significant nonproductive time and costs for the drilling industry. Additional studies are needed, and topical recommendations by the authors of this monograph include: preexisting fractures; thermal effects; time-dependent developments of external and internal mudcake; numerical models to simulate transportation and deposition of LCMs in fractures; bridging/plugging processes; fracture geometry during drilling for selecting/adjusting size distribution of LCMs in real time; improved or new logging while drilling techniques for acquiring better knowledge of drilling-induced or preexisting natural fractures; lost circulation in carbonates; LC events in anisotropic/heterogeneous formations with complex lithology, stress, and pressure profiles; advanced LCMs that are both reservoir and environment friendly.

John Jones
Marathon Oil Company (retired)