

Project Management in Schools

Miri Yemini • Izhar Oplatka • Netta Sagie

Project Management in Schools

New Conceptualizations, Orientations, and
Applications

palgrave
macmillan

Miri Yemini
School of Education
Tel Aviv University
Tel Aviv, Israel

Izhar Oplatka
School of Education
Tel Aviv University
Tel Aviv, Israel

Netta Sagie
School of Education
Tel Aviv University
Ramat Gan, Israel

ISBN 978-3-319-78607-0 ISBN 978-3-319-78608-7 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-78608-7>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018941844

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover credit: Détail de la Tour Eiffel © nemesis2207/Fotolia.co.uk

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Pivot imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature.
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

CONTENTS

1	Implementing Project Management in Schools	1
1.1	<i>The History and Uses of Project Management in Contemporary Organizations</i>	2
1.2	<i>The Basic Vocabulary of Project Management</i>	4
1.3	<i>The Uniqueness of Schools in the Context of Project Management</i>	11
1.4	<i>Summary: Toward a Conceptualization of Project Management in Schools</i>	14
1.5	<i>Practical Points for Project Implementation in Schools</i>	18
	<i>References</i>	18
2	Project Initiation	23
2.1	<i>Project Lifecycle</i>	24
2.2	<i>Teachers and the School Leadership Team as Corporate Entrepreneurs</i>	28
2.3	<i>Performing Organizational Diagnosis in Schools</i>	31
2.4	<i>Models for Project Selection in Schools</i>	33
2.5	<i>Practical Points for Project Initiation</i>	40
	<i>References</i>	41
3	Educational Planning and Its Unique Characteristics	43
3.1	<i>The Basics of Planning and Its Applicability in Schools</i>	43
3.2	<i>Planning Scope in School Projects</i>	44

3.3	<i>Funding, Cost Estimation, and Budgeting of Projects in the Public School System</i>	46
3.4	<i>Scheduling the Project Through the School Academic Year</i>	51
3.5	<i>Risk Planning</i>	57
3.6	<i>Practical Points for Project Planning</i>	57
	<i>References</i>	58
4	Project Implementation in Schools	61
4.1	<i>Managing Change in Schools</i>	62
4.2	<i>The Work of the Project Team and Its Success Factors</i>	78
4.3	<i>Marketing the Project in School to Internal and External Stakeholders</i>	81
4.4	<i>Managing Parents and Community</i>	83
4.5	<i>Managing the Project Budget</i>	86
4.6	<i>Practical Points for Project Implementation</i>	87
	<i>References</i>	88
5	Project Termination	93
5.1	<i>When and Why School Projects End?</i>	95
5.2	<i>Termination Process of Projects in Schools</i>	97
5.3	<i>Developing a School's Organizational Memory and Ensuring Project Sustainability</i>	98
5.4	<i>Institutionalizing the Initiatives in Schools</i>	100
5.5	<i>Practical Points for Project Termination</i>	101
	<i>References</i>	102
6	Project Monitoring, Control, and Evaluation: The Unique Aspects of Projects in Schools	103
6.1	<i>Evaluation of Project Success</i>	103
6.2	<i>Evaluation of Projects in Schools</i>	108
6.3	<i>Risk Management</i>	116
6.4	<i>Practical Points for Project Evaluation and Project Risk Management</i>	124
	<i>References</i>	125
Index		129

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1	Project constraints and school outcomes triangle (adapted from Meredith & Mantel, 2011)	16
Fig. 2.1	The common S-shaped project lifecycle (adapted from Pinto, 2013)	24
Fig. 2.2	Effort invested through the project lifecycle (adapted from Pinto, 2013)	25
Fig. 2.3	Projects' constraints and schools' outcomes triangles (adapted from Meredith & Mantel, 2011)	26
Fig. 2.4	Example of SWOT analysis at school level	33
Fig. 3.1	WBS for a school project	45
Fig. 3.2	Example of a Gantt chart	52
Fig. 3.3	Network of the project developed according to the detailed WBS	54
Fig. 3.4	Solving the network	55
Fig. 3.5	Solving the network continuation	55
Fig. 6.1	Risk impact matrix (adapted from Pinto, 2013)	123

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Example of scoring model	35
Table 2.2	Suggested template for the initiation phase	39
Table 3.1	Scope presentation	46
Table 3.2	Example of bottom-up budget planning for a school project	50
Table 3.3	Detailed WBS, as prerequisite for network development	54
Table 3.4	Project plan	56
Table 3.5	Initial risk assessment	57
Table 5.1	Assessment questions to decide on project termination	96
Table 5.2	Final project report structure	100
Table 6.1	Planning of the evaluation subject in the project	115
Table 6.2	Dealing with the first three stages of the risk management process	124

INTRODUCTION

The increasing use of project management tools in organizations in the business and industrial sectors is a global phenomenon. In recent decades, such organizations are facing major pressures due to the consolidation of global markets, increased international competition, and the need to pursue commercial opportunities rapidly (Pinto, 2013). These pressures characterizing modern society in general, and the business environment in particular, fostered the development of advanced methods of management that improve an organization's ability to plan, implement, and control its activities and utilize its people and resources (Meredith & Mantel, 2012). Thus, project management has come to be viewed nowadays as a crucial tool in increasing productivity of organizations and one of the most popular and useful tools for organizations to improve internal operations, respond to opportunities, manage contemporary challenges, and achieve strategic goals (Pinto, 2013). Evidently, there is a rapid increase in the number of organizations that use projects as the preferred way of achieving their goals (Meredith & Mantel, 2012). Nevertheless, in light of a high failure rate of projects, many have criticized the way projects are perceived and managed, as well as the way future managers are taught about projects and about project management in higher education institutions (Kerzner, 2017; Thomas & Mengel, 2008).

As the field of project management has significantly grown, so has its literature, and many books and articles have been published about this managerial tool in recent years (e.g., Soderlund, 2011; Webster, 2014; Wong, 2007). However, contrary to the vast amount of empirical work carried out on project management in business and industrial organizations,

in not-for-profit organizations and specifically in the field of education this area has been left relatively untouched (Ahmed, 2017). Education is a field that is perceived by many to be unique and so context-specific that application of generic business-related terms is generally criticized as neo-liberal and a privatization-related measure (Yemini & Sagie, 2015). Nevertheless, proper application of project management methodology in schools at least should be presented to the school leadership team, adding an additional layer to the existing tool-kit of school administrators. It is commonly accepted that education is an extremely complicated field, where measurement of success is not straightforward (Oplatka, 2004; Sellar & Lingard, 2014). In addition, the whole idea of maximizing the profit of the shareholders and issues of organizational efficiency have been loudly contested (Ball, 2012), leaving the managers of projects in schools without appropriate tools.

Unfortunately, no body of literature about project management in schools has evolved over time, leaving this subject far from being conceptualized specifically in educational institutions. Addressing this void is even more crucial as the school context has unique characteristics (Hoy & Miskel, 2008), which call for a separate consideration of many concepts that have come about in business organizations. This situation makes a coherent discussion of project management in schools from a context-related perspective a pressing need.

Given the increasing pressures of decentralization and competitiveness in school environments during recent decades (Oplatka & Hemsley-Brown, 2012), the role of school principals and teachers as project managers is receiving growing interest in practical contexts and especially in leadership development programs worldwide. Thus, school principals nowadays are increasing their independence and control of internal matters and decision-making processes, while at the same time facing growing pressures to improve student achievements and meet government-mandated standards (Goldring & Schuermann, 2009; Inbar, 2009; Lubienski, 2003). These trends have exposed school staff to a complicated array of pressures that impact their work methods and ability to function, but have also provided school leaders with opportunities to act autonomously and initiate changes in their schools. Due to these changes, project management has become a critical tool for schools and therefore adaptation of this discipline in the school context is a matter of the highest priority in current educational systems. It is worth noting, however, that in spite of major reservations concerning the use of project management

methodology in school administration, there is a growing stream of schools that adopt project-based learning and teaching, thus reorganizing the traditional subject-based learning into a holistic module based on a specific topic or project (Lam, Cheng, & Choy, 2010).

As the issue of project management in the school context has hardly been conceptualized and examined, this book provides a comprehensive overview of models and practices of project management in schools, and conceptualizes the processes of adaptation and development of the general aspects of project management curricula within the unique context of the school organization. The book's chapters deal with the complexity and uniqueness of the school environment within the growing implementation of project management in schools. By presenting the general theories and research on project management and adapting these theories to educational organizations and to this specific audience, we hope to open a new and promising niche for teaching, research, and practice.

More specifically, this book has both theoretical and practical contributions. It promotes our knowledge and understanding of project management within the school's contexts and reveals the unique use of project management within schools. In this manner, this book aims to contribute to a better understanding of the process of managing projects within schools and to provide a holistic view of project management within the educational arena. In addition, understanding the complicated case of schools in this context, as we believe, can significantly contribute to the broader theoretical discourse and introduce new practices. From a more practical view, this book may have implications for school principals' and teachers' work and training in the context of the current educational arena. The book provides a deeper knowledge necessary for initiating, implementing, and evaluating projects that can benefit schools and increase their effectiveness and productivity.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, S. (2017). *Effective non-profit management: Context, concepts, and competencies*. Routledge.
- Ball, S. J. (2012). *Politics and policy making in education: Explorations in sociology*. Routledge.
- Goldring, E., & Schuermann, P. (2009). The changing context of K–12 education administration: Consequences for ed. D. program design and delivery. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 84(1), 9–43.

- Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2008). *Educational administration: Theory, research and practice*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Inbar, D. (2009). Developing autonomy: The case of the Israeli school system. In A. Nir (Ed.), *Centralization and school empowerment from rhetoric to practice* (pp. 59–78). New York: Nova Biomedical Books.
- Kerzner, H. (2017). *Project management metrics, KPIs, and dashboards: A guide to measuring and monitoring project performance*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Lam, S. F., Cheng, R. W. Y., & Choy, H. C. (2010). School support and teacher motivation to implement project-based learning. *Learning and Instruction, 20*(6), 487–497.
- Lubienski, C. (2003). Innovation in education markets: Theory and evidence on the impact of competition and choice in charter schools. *American Educational Research Journal, 40*(2), 395–443.
- Meredith, J. R., & Mantel, Jr., S. J. (2012). *Project management: A managerial approach*. Danvers, MA: Wiley.
- Oplatka, I. (2004). The characteristics of the school organisation and the constraints on market ideology in education: An institutional view. *Journal of Education Policy, 19*(2), 143–161.
- Oplatka, I., & Hemsley-Brown, J. (2012). The research on school marketing: Current issues and future directions – An updated version. In I. Oplatka & J. Hemsley-Brown (Eds.), *The leadership and management of educational marketing: Research, practice and implications*. London: Emerald Group Publishing.
- Pinto, J. K. (2013). *Project management – Achieving competitive advantage*. New York: Pearson International Edition.
- Sellar, S., & Lingard, B. (2014). The OECD and the expansion of PISA: New global modes of governance in education. *British Educational Research Journal, 40*(6), 917–936.
- Thomas, J., & Mengel, T. (2008). Preparing project managers to deal with complexity – Advanced project management education. *International Journal of Project Management, 26*(3), 304–315.
- Yemini, M., & Sagie, N. (2015). School-nongovernmental organization engagement as an entrepreneurial venture: A case study of sunlight’s engagement with Israeli schools. *Educational Administration Quarterly, 51*(4), 543–571.