

Sustainability Performance Evaluation of Renewable Energy Sources: The Case of Brazil

João Fernando Gomes de Oliveira
Tatiana Costa Guimarães Trindade

Sustainability Performance Evaluation of Renewable Energy Sources: The Case of Brazil

 Springer

João Fernando Gomes de Oliveira
São Carlos School of Engineering
University of São Paulo
São Carlos, São Paulo
Brazil

Tatiana Costa Guimarães Trindade
São Carlos School of Engineering
University of São Paulo
São Carlos, São Paulo
Brazil

ISBN 978-3-319-77606-4 ISBN 978-3-319-77607-1 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77607-1>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018934453

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Foreword

Energy in modern society is an essential ingredient for a wealth economy, like the blood circulating in our arteries.

Since the beginning of civilization, humans have learned how to use biomass combustion for cooking and heating, the wind force for navigation, and the rivers flow to move mills. With increasing world population, material progress in various parts of the world, and, especially, with the formation of large cities, new sources of energy have become necessary as coal, oil, and gas and more recently nuclear power.

In the early twentieth century, electricity production moved to the forefront as it can be converted to work with greater efficiency than coal, oil, and gas. In this century, the electrification of the world economy is intensifying significantly, since electricity consumption is increasing about 4–5% per year while the energy consumption as a whole is only growing about 2% per year. Hydroelectric, wind, and photovoltaic energy—which are renewable in contrast to electricity produced by fossil fuels—have become a priority.

In 2017, the world investment in energy production was just over US\$ 1.7 trillion. US\$ 718 billion was invested in the production of electricity and the remainder in the production of oil, gas, coal, and energy efficiency. Of these US\$ 718 billion, US\$ 277 billion was invested in power grids, US\$ 193 billion in electricity generated from fossil fuels, and US\$ 297 billion in renewable energy, which in 2016 were distributed as follows: wind power (39%), photovoltaics (28%), hydroelectric (26%), and nuclear (7%).

The aim of this book is to propose criteria that help public or private investors in prioritizing these investments. As an example of the application of these criteria, the authors chose and evaluated six existing plants, using three renewable energy sources (hydroelectric, wind and photovoltaic) in two regions of Brazil, South and Northeast. The studied cases were compared using several economic, environmental, and social indicators.

Three examples of scenarios representing investors interested were formulated and evaluated: primarily with focus on the financial return (scenario 1); with emphasis on social and environmental aspects (scenario 2); and investors with a

balanced view on the financial, environmental, and social aspects of the venture (scenario 3).

The final conclusion is that “although considered a clean energy source, renewable sources are not free from negative impacts. In addition, an energy source may have greater or lesser sustainability relative to other sources, depending on the region, indicators, and investors view considered in the analysis.”

Only a balanced analysis can decide the best alternative. For this reason, the methodology developed in this work will certainly be very useful for public or private investors in the area of renewable energy.

São Paulo, Brazil

Prof. José Goldemberg
University of São Paulo—USP,
São Paulo Research Foundation—FAPESP

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the contribution of Bruna Paula Sobreiro for the careful reviewing work of figures, symbols, tables, and summary.

Contents

1	World Energy Matrix	1
1.1	Overview of the World Energy Matrix	1
1.1.1	Non-renewable Energy	3
1.1.2	Climate Change	6
1.1.3	Renewable Energy	7
1.1.4	Challenges for the Development of Renewable Energies	9
1.2	Brazilian Energy Matrix	11
1.2.1	Retrospective of Production and Consumption	13
1.2.2	Electrical System Characteristics	13
1.2.3	Programs and Incentives	15
	References	16
2	Renewable Energy Sources	19
2.1	Hydro-electric Energy	19
2.1.1	World Hydroelectric Generation	20
2.1.2	Hydroelectric Potential and Generation in Brazil	23
2.1.3	Basic Principles of Hydropower Generation	24
2.1.4	Sustainability Issues of Hydroelectric Power	26
2.2	Wind Energy	27
2.2.1	World Wind Energy Generation	27
2.2.2	Wind Energy Potential and Generation in Brazil	30
2.2.3	Basic Principles of Wind Power Generation	31
2.2.4	Wind Energy Sustainability	32
2.3	Photovoltaic Solar Energy	34
2.3.1	World Photovoltaic Power Generation	34
2.3.2	Photovoltaic Power Potential and Generation in Brazil	37
2.3.3	Basic Principles of Photovoltaic Power Generation	39
2.3.4	Sustainability of Photovoltaic Energy	40
	References	41

3	Sustainability Indicators	45
3.1	The Triple Bottom Line	46
3.2	Sustainability Indicators	47
3.3	Selecting Sustainability Indicators	53
3.4	Energy Sustainability Indicators	54
3.4.1	Background	55
3.4.2	Economic Indicators	56
3.4.3	Environmental Indicators	58
3.4.4	Social Indicators	60
	References	61
4	Sustainability Indicators for the Performance Evaluation of Renewable Energy Technologies	63
4.1	Indicators Selection	63
4.2	Economic Indicators	66
4.2.1	Implementation Cost	68
4.2.2	Price of Energy Generated	68
4.2.3	Payback Time	69
4.3	Technical Indicators	69
4.3.1	Required Area	70
4.3.2	Lifetime	70
4.3.3	Generation Efficiency	70
4.4	Environmental Indicators	71
4.4.1	Greenhouse Gases Emissions	71
4.4.2	Other Gases Emissions	72
4.4.3	Water Consumption	72
4.4.4	Biodiversity Loss	73
4.5	Social Indicators	74
	References	74
5	Sustainability Performance Evaluation of Renewable Energy Technologies	77
5.1	Definition of Project Purpose and Scope	77
5.2	Data Collection	78
5.3	Determination of Sustainability Indicators	79
5.4	Sustainability Performance Assessment	80
5.5	Limitations of the Method	87
	References	88
6	Case Study: Evaluation of Renewable Energy Production Technologies in Two Regions of Brazil	89
6.1	Definition of the Objectives and Project Scope	89
6.2	Details of the Assessed Cases	91
6.2.1	HPP Garibaldi	93
6.2.2	WPP Wind Power Complex Água Doce	94

6.2.3	Tubarão PSPP	95
6.2.4	Açude Castanhão SHP	96
6.2.5	WPP Praia Formosa	97
6.2.6	Grupo Telles PSPP	98
6.3	Determination of Sustainability Indicators	99
6.3.1	Economic Indicators	100
6.3.2	Technical Indicators	101
6.3.3	Environmental Indicators	102
6.3.4	Social Indicators	103
6.3.5	Method Application in the Cases Assessed	104
6.3.6	Assessment of Scenario 1 (CN-MON)	104
6.3.7	Assessment of Scenario 2 (CN-ECO)	108
6.3.8	Assessment of Scenario 3 (CN-SUST)	109
6.4	Summary of Results	110
6.5	Discussion	111
6.6	Conclusions	114
6.6.1	Outlook	115
	References	115
	Appendix A: Calculation of Indicators	117
	Appendix B: Calculation of AIS	121
	Appendix C: Summary of Results' Radar Charts	127

About the Authors

João Fernando Gomes de Oliveira Prof. João Oliveira graduated (1982) and earned his Ph.D. (1988) in Mechanical Engineering—University of São Paulo, he was visiting scholar (1993–1994) and visiting professor (2016) at Mechanical Engineering—University of California at Berkeley. He is Professor of Mechanical Engineering at University of São Paulo with expertise in Innovation Management, Advanced Manufacturing, and Industrial Sustainability. From 2008 through 2012, he served as President and CEO of the State of São Paulo Institute for Technological Research—IPT, a state-owned research company with more than 1200 collaborators. In IPT, he implemented a large research investment program in order to support the research and development in cooperation with Brazilian companies on new energy projects such as solar, wind, hydroelectric, deepwater oil exploration, and others. He has published over 200 papers in journals, conferences, magazines, and newspapers and holds five patents registered at Brazil’s National Institute of Industrial Property (INPI). He received the major science awards in Brazil, such as the Great-Cross of the National Order of Scientific Merit by the Presidency of Brazil; the Conrado Wessel Foundation Award for Science and Culture; the CAPES- Anísio Teixeira Award for his contribution to education and research; the Personality of Technology Award by the São Paulo Association of Engineers; and the SAP Americas Innovation Award. Professor Oliveira engaged in joint research with the industrial sector (TRW Automotive and Saint-Gobain Abrasives) and acted as a consultant of automotive industry worldwide including BMW, Hyundai, Chrysler, among others. He was co-founder and served as President and CEO of EMBRAPII-National Enterprise for Industrial Innovation and is member of the National Council of Science and Technology of the Brazilian Government and member of the board for several research institutes and funding organizations. He is also Fellow and Vice-President of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences and Fellow of the International Academy for Production Engineering (CIRP).

Tatiana Costa Guimarães Trindade Graduated in Environmental Engineering from the School of Engineering of São Carlos (EESC), University of São Paulo. Through the *Sciences Without Borders* Program, she had undergraduate studies at the University of Toronto, Canada, where she received a broad introduction to renewable energy and sustainability. During the exchange year, she attended the Sustainability Conference at the University of Toronto where she learned about the challenges involving energy storage and the political implications of energy supply and use. She also participated in the Technological Diffusion Course in Introduction to Solar Photovoltaic Energy, offered by the State University of Campinas (Unicamp). In addition, she was part of an online training program promoted by the Renewable Energy Observatory of Latin America and the Caribbean (UNIDO), in which she enrolled in different modules on solar, wind, and biogas energy. She developed her final project in the area of evaluation of sustainability indicators of the main renewable energy sources in Brazil.

Symbols and Abbreviations

AC	Alternated-current
AHP	Analytic Hierarchical Process
ANA	National Water Agency
ANEEL	National Electricity Agency
ANP	National Petroleum, Gas and Biofuels Agency
ASI	Aggregate Sustainability Index
BEN	National Energy Balance
BNDES	National Economic and Social Development Bank
<i>BRL</i>	Brazilian Currency (Real)
COGERH	Water Resources Management Company
CSD	Commission on Sustainable Development
DC	Direct-current
EEA	European Environmental Agency
EISD	Energy Indicators for Sustainable Development
EPE	Energy Research Company
Eurostat	Statistical Office of the European Communities
FU	Federative Unit
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
GRI	Global Report Initiative
<i>GW</i>	Gigawatt
GWEC	Global Wind Energy Council
<i>GWh</i>	Gigawatt-hour
HPP	Hydroelectric Power Plant
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency
IBGE	Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics
IC	Total Cost to Install the Power Generation
IEA	International Energy Agency
INPE	National Institute of Spatial Research
IP	Installed Power

IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPEA	Institute of Applied Economic Research
ISED	Indicators for Sustainable Energy Development
JPOI	Johannesburg Plan of Implementation
<i>kV</i>	kilovolt
<i>kVA</i>	kilovolt-ampere
<i>kW</i>	kilowatt
<i>kWh</i>	kilowatt-hour
LCA	Life Cycle Analysis
LPG	Liquefied Petroleum Gas
MDG	Millennium Development Goals
<i>MJ</i>	Mega joules
MME	Mines and Energy Ministry
<i>Mtoe</i>	Million Tonnes of Oil Equivalent
<i>MW</i>	Megawatts
<i>MWh</i>	Megawatt-hour
PROINFRA	Alternative Energy Sources Incentive Program
PSPP	Photovoltaic Solar Power Plant
PV	Photovoltaic Cell
RFS	Reduced Flow Section
SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
SHP	Small Hydroelectric Plant
SIN	National Interconnected Electric System
<i>TWh</i>	Terawatt-hours
UN	United Nations
UNDESA	United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs
<i>USD</i>	United States currency (Dollar)
WEC	World Energy Council
WPP	Wind Power Plan
WSSD	World Summit on Sustainable Development
WWEA	World Wind Energy Association
WWF	Worldwide Fund for Nature

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Comparative scenarios of world primary energy consumption over the last 15 years. <i>Source</i> WEC (2016a)	2
Fig. 1.2	Projected world energy consumption by energy sources, from 1990 to 2040, in quadrillion Btu. <i>Source</i> U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) (2017)	3
Fig. 1.3	Schematic representation of an oil fractionating distillation column. Based on Dunlap (2015)	5
Fig. 1.4	Global CO ₂ emissions related to the consumption of fossil fuels by fuel type, in the period of 1990–2014, in billions of tons. <i>Source</i> International Energy Agency (2016a, b)	6
Fig. 1.5	Brazilian energy matrix in 2015. <i>Source</i> Adapted from MME Brazil (2016)	11
Fig. 1.6	Distribution of each sector’s share in the consumption of energy in Brazil in 2015. <i>Source</i> Adapted from MME Brazil (2016)	12
Fig. 1.7	Share of each electricity generating source in total—Jan–Sep/2016. <i>Source</i> ANEEL (2016b)	14
Fig. 2.1	Top hydropower producing countries in 2016. <i>Source</i> WEC (2017)	21
Fig. 2.2	Hydropower installed capacity by region. <i>Source</i> WEC (2017)	22
Fig. 2.3	Brazilian hydroelectric utilization by region. <i>Source</i> Eletrobras (2015)	24
Fig. 2.4	Schematic profile of a hydroelectric plant with accumulation tank. <i>Source</i> ANEEL (2008)	25
Fig. 2.5	Example of global wind resource distribution. <i>Source</i> IPCC (2012)	28
Fig. 2.6	Total world wind power installed capacity 2012–2016. <i>Source</i> World Wind Energy Association (WWEA) (2017)	29

Fig. 2.7	Estimated wind potential per year for average wind speed equal to or greater than 7.0 m/s. <i>Source</i> Amarante et al. (2001)	31
Fig. 2.8	Wind generation system. <i>Source</i> Adapted from Accettabilitasociale (2017)	32
Fig. 2.9	World installed solar photovoltaic capacity by country, from 2006 to 2012, and projected total installed capacity in 2020 GW. <i>Source</i> IEA (2016b)	35
Fig. 2.10	Solarimetric atlas of Brazil. <i>Source</i> Tiba et al. (2000)	38
Fig. 2.11	Operation schematic of a photovoltaic panel. <i>Source</i> Energia Inteligente (2017)	40
Fig. 4.1	Classification of selected sustainability indicators	66
Fig. 5.1	Proposed method for assessing the sustainability performance of renewable energy production technologies	78
Fig. 5.2	Scenarios to be developed for the application of the methodology	84
Fig. 6.1	Location of developments in the state of Santa Catarina. <i>Source</i> Google Earth (2017)	92
Fig. 6.2	Location of developments in the state of Santa Catarina. <i>Source</i> Google Earth (2017)	93
Fig. 6.3	Garibaldi hydroelectric plant—SC. <i>Source</i> Prefeitura de Abdon Batista (2017)	94
Fig. 6.4	Água Doce wind complex—SC. <i>Source</i> Prefeitura de Água Doce (2017)	95
Fig. 6.5	Tubarão photovoltaic solar power plant—SC. <i>Source</i> Tractebel Energia (2014)	96
Fig. 6.6	Açude Castanhão—CE. <i>Source</i> National Department against Drought (2017)	97
Fig. 6.7	Central wind power of Praia Formosa, Camocim—CE. <i>Source</i> AVOL – Antonio Viana (2017)	98
Fig. 6.8	Photovoltaic solar power plants in Pindoretama—CE. <i>Source</i> Diário do Nordeste (2017)	99
Fig. C.1	Sustainability radar chart for Scenario 1, SC. <i>Source</i> The author	127
Fig. C.2	Sustainability radar chart for Scenario 1, CE. <i>Source</i> The author	128
Fig. C.3	Sustainability radar chart for Scenario 2, SC. <i>Source</i> The author	128
Fig. C.4	Sustainability radar chart for Scenario 2, CE. <i>Source</i> The author	129
Fig. C.5	Sustainability radar chart for Scenario 3, SC. <i>Source</i> The author	129
Fig. C.6	Sustainability radar chart for Scenario 3, CE. <i>Source</i> The author	130

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Top 5 Countries: total capacity or generation as of end-2016. <i>Source</i> REN21 (2017)	8
Table 1.2	Emission of greenhouse gases (CO ₂) in electricity generation by different energy sources. <i>Source</i> Adapted from Dunlap (2015)	9
Table 2.1	Hydropower total installed capacity by region. <i>Source</i> WEC (2017)	21
Table 2.2	Total installed wind power in different countries in 2007. <i>Source</i> WEC (2016b)	29
Table 2.3	Top 10 countries for total installed capacity in 2015. <i>Source</i> IEA (2016a)	36
Table 2.4	Top 10 countries for PV installations in 2015. <i>Source</i> IEA (2016a)	36
Table 3.1	CDS indicators: poverty theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	48
Table 3.2	CDS indicators: governance theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	48
Table 3.3	CDS indicators: health theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	49
Table 3.4	CDS indicators: demographics theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	49
Table 3.5	CDS indicators: education theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	49
Table 3.6	CDS indicators: atmosphere theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	49
Table 3.7	CDS indicators: oceans, seas and coasts theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	50
Table 3.8	CDS indicators: economic development theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	50
Table 3.9	CDS indicators: fresh water theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	51

Table 3.10	CDS indicators: land theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	51
Table 3.11	CDS indicators: biodiversity theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	51
Table 3.12	CDS indicators: economic development theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	52
Table 3.13	CDS indicators: global economic partnership theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	52
Table 3.14	CDS indicators: consumption and production patterns theme. <i>Source</i> United Nations (2007)	53
Table 3.15	IAEA Economic Sustainable Energy Indicators. <i>Source</i> IAEA (2005).	57
Table 3.16	IAEA Environmental Sustainable Energy Indicators. <i>Source</i> IAEA (2005).	59
Table 3.17	IAEA social sustainable energy indicators. <i>Source</i> IAEA (2005).	61
Table 4.1	Frequency of occurrence of different indicators in the analysed scientific material. <i>Sources</i> Ardente et al. (2008), Braciani (2011), Coltro et al. (2003), Dos Santos et al. (2006), Dulanp (2015), Evans et al. (2009), Fadigas (2011), Góralczik (2013), IPCC (2012), Lenzen and Munksgaard (2002), Lenzen and Wachsmann (2004), Lofthouse et al. (2015), Martinez et al. (2009), Mendes (2005), Ong et al. (2013), Pehnt (2006), Pueyo and Fearnside (2015), Schleisner (2000), Shayani et al. (2006), Sherwani et al. (2010), Tahara et al. (1997), Turconi et al. (2013), Varun et al. (2009a, b), WEC (2004)	65
Table 4.2	Main sustainability indicators of renewable sources found in the studied literature, where HPP is hydro power plant, WPP is wind power plant and PSPP is photovoltaic solar power plant. <i>Sources</i> ^a Lenzen and Wachsmann (2004), ^b Turconi et al. (2013), ^c WEC (2004), ^d Pehnt (2006), ^e Evans et al. (2009), ^f Ardente et al. (2008), ^g Dulanp (2015), ^h Varun et al. (2009a, b), ⁱ IPCC (2012), ^j Ong et al. (2013), ^k Coltro et al. (2003), ^l Fadigas (2011), ^m Lofthouse et al. (2015), ⁿ Dos Santos et al. (2006), ^o Mendes (2005)	67
Table 4.3	Qualitative assessment of the environmental and social indicators. <i>Source</i> Noble (2015).	73
Table 5.1	Indicators selected for the performance evaluation methodology	80
Table 5.2	Example of NP(j) calculation for three hypothetical energy sources	82
Table 5.3	Calculation of the indices for the priority matrix	83
Table 5.4	Priority matrix	83

Table 5.5	Priority matrix for CN-mon weights	85
Table 5.6	Priority matrix for CN-eco weights.	85
Table 5.7	Priority matrix for the CN-sust weights	85
Table 5.8	Example of the I_{eco} calculation for three hypothetical energy sources	86
Table 6.1	Main characteristics of the assessed projects. <i>Sources</i> ^a SEINFRA (2017), ^b Água Doce (2017), ^c IMPSA (2017), ^d Agência Nacional das Águas (2010), ^e Filippim et al. (2013), ^f Tractebel Energia (2014), ^g ANEEL (2016a), ^h Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) (2009), ⁱ Serviço Nacional da Indústria (SENAI) (2016)	91
Table 6.2	Economic indicators of the projects studied	100
Table 6.3	Technical indicators of the projects studied	101
Table 6.4	Qualitative evaluation of the “resource availability” indicator	102
Table 6.5	Environmental indicators of the projects studied	103
Table 6.6	Social indicators of the projects studied	104
Table 6.7	Normalized values of sustainability indicators for Santa Catarina.	105
Table 6.8	Normalized values of sustainability indicators for Ceará	106
Table 6.9	Sub-indices and ASI of the Santa Catarina projects	107
Table 6.10	Sub-indices and ASI of Ceará’s projects.	107
Table 6.11	Sub-indices and ASI of the Santa Catarina projects	108
Table 6.12	Sub-indices and ASI of the Ceará projects	108
Table 6.13	Sub-indices and ASI of the Santa Catarina projects	109
Table 6.14	Sub-indices and ASI of the Ceará projects	109
Table 6.15	Sustainability performance ranking in the assessed scenarios.	110
Table 6.16	Higher sustainability performance projects for different scenarios.	111

Introduction

Energy is an indispensable element for the survival and development of modern society. From the use of heat in industries to the heating of a house, from feeding a freighter to fueling a motorcycle, from automating an entire production process to lighting an office lamp, energy use is an essential part of our everyday life across different scales and forms of utilizing it. With the development of new technologies, coupled with the exorbitant growth of the world population and the emergence of new needs, one of the great challenges we currently face is the uncertainty of energy availability in the future given its current demand, primarily due to the extensive use of nonrenewable sources and the large-scale exploitation of the planet's natural resources.

Since the advent of the Industrial Revolution, energy has become intrinsically associated with the economic competitiveness of nations and their quality of life. In this context, economies with greater access to inexpensive energy resources, with low environmental impact, guarantee significant market advantages. Therefore, the search for new renewable energy sources reflects not only the current need for diversifying the world energy matrix, but also the inevitability of developing alternatives that can reduce the impacts caused by the generation, distribution, and consumption of energy. It is from this perspective that discussions arise regarding technical, economic, environmental, and social feasibility for implementing renewable energy systems.

This discussion has reached such great importance in the international context that the access to “Clean and Affordable Energy” has become one of the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) listed in the 2030 Agenda, created by the Member States of the United Nations. The 2030 Agenda is a global result of the joint work of governments and citizens aimed at creating a new model for ending poverty, promoting prosperity and social welfare, protecting the environment and combating climate change (Organização das Nações Unidas 2017). The established SDGs, successors of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), cover very broad but interlinked areas, ranging from equitable access to quality education and health services to energy and environmental sustainability.

More specifically, SDG No. 7 states that countries adopting the action plan set out in the Agenda should “ensure reliable, sustainable, modern and affordable access to energy for all” (UN 2017). To achieve this objective by 2030, these countries should substantially increase the share of renewable energy in the global energy matrix and strengthen international cooperation to facilitate access to research and clean energy technologies, including renewable energy, energy efficiency, and advanced fossil fuels. In addition, they should also promote investment in energy infrastructure and cleaner energy technologies (UN 2017).

Brazil was one of the 193 UN member states to adopt the 2030 Agenda, with increasing attention being paid to the discussion and search for a cleaner energy matrix. In the national context, in the coming decades, this energy issue is presented to the country as both a challenge and an opportunity (Tolmasquim 2016). A challenge, as economic and social development will require an expressive amount of energy and, consequently, a high degree of security and energy sustainability. An opportunity, given Brazil’s very interesting conditions of renewable energy resources and technology to harness the energy of its various natural resources (Tolmasquim 2016).

In view of the previously elucidated problem, this book presents a methodology for the sustainability performance assessment and its application in different renewable energy production projects, in order to identify the potentials of different regions and to evaluate the sustainability of each system. Thus, a case study of electricity power plants is presented regarding different renewable energy sources in different regions of Brazil. The analysis helps in determining which projects perform better, according to different considered scenarios. The analysis is carried out based on performance indicators obtained from a survey on the characteristics of the studied projects and from the existing literature.

Besides this introductory Preface, this book has six chapters, organized as follows:

- Chapters 1–3 contain definitions, information, and data on the world energy matrix, specific, selected renewable energy sources, and sustainability indicators;
- Chapter 4 describes the main sustainability indicators used in the sustainability analysis of renewable energy generation systems and how to select them for the application in a sustainable energy endeavor;
- Chapter 5 presents a methodology for the sustainability performance analysis of different renewable energy technologies;
- Chapter 6 shows in detail the application and discussion of the sustainability performance analysis developed for a Brazilian case study, following the proposed methodology.

References

- Tolmasquim MT (2016) Energia Renovável: Hidráulica, Biomassa, Eólica, Solar, Oceânica/Maurício Tiomno Tolmasquim (coord.). EPE: Rio de Janeiro
- Organização das Nações Unidas—ONU (2015) Agenda 2030. Available at <<https://nacoesunidas.org/pos2015/agenda2030/>>. Accessed 5 out. 2017
- United Nations (2017) Sustainable development goals. Available at <<http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/>>. Accessed 4 Aug 2017