

Contemporary Trends and Issues in Science Education

Volume 46

Series Editor

Dana L. Zeidler, *University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, USA*

Founding Editor

Kenneth Tobin, *City University of New York, New York, USA*

Editorial Board

HsingChi von Bergmann, *University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada*

Michael P. Clough, *Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA*

Fouad Abd-El-Khalick, *The University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA*

Marissa Rollnick, *University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa*

Troy D. Sadler, *University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA*

Svein Sjøeberg, *University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway*

David Treagust, *Curtin University of Technology, Perth, Australia*

Larry D Yore, *University of Victoria, British Columbia, Canada*

SCOPE

The book series Contemporary Trends and Issues in Science Education provides a forum for innovative trends and issues connected to science education. Scholarship that focuses on advancing new visions, understanding, and is at the forefront of the field is found in this series. Accordingly, authoritative works based on empirical research and writings from disciplines external to science education, including historical, philosophical, psychological and sociological traditions, are represented here.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/6512>

Mansoor Niaz

Evolving Nature of Objectivity in the History of Science and its Implications for Science Education

 Springer

Mansoor Niaz
Epistemology of Science Group,
Department of Chemistry
Universidad de Oriente
Cumaná, Sucre
Venezuela

ISSN 1878-0482 ISSN 1878-0784 (electronic)
Contemporary Trends and Issues in Science Education
ISBN 978-3-319-67725-5 ISBN 978-3-319-67726-2 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67726-2>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017955964

© Springer International Publishing AG 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

For Magda and Sabuhi

Preface

Like most science students I too was trained to understand that objectivity, certainty, truth, universality, and the scientific method were the five fundamental characteristics of both science and scientific progress. Despite all the reform efforts, science curricula and textbooks in most parts of the world continue to present science as a Baconian orgy of quantification. This inexorably leads students to believe that scientific progress depends on logically sound conclusions based on non-controversial experimental procedures. Considering that Kuhn's *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions* and Holton's *Introduction to Concepts and Theories in Physical Science* were published more than half a century ago, the present state of science education is all the more difficult to understand.

The relationship between objectivity, the scientific method, and inductivism had intrigued me for many years. About 6 years ago while teaching a course based on the role of history and philosophy of science (HPS) in teaching chemistry, one of the participating teachers expressed the following: "In contrast to the HPS perspective, the inductivist vision is rigid and does not contemplate "*transgressions* of objectivity." In other words, besides empirical evidence we need to situate progress in science within a historical, cultural, and philosophical milieu of the time. Considering that the idea of "transgression" was not discussed in class, I found this to be a very novel idea. Similarly, about 4 years ago while teaching a course related to the role of creativity in science, one of the participants provided a very creative response to the question: Was Newton objective in the formulation of his theory? In order to respond this participant first alluded to how Newton's vision was molded by the work of Brahe, Copernicus, Kepler, and Galileo and then went on to state that thanks to Newton, Einstein could go beyond. This led the participant to formulate another question: was Einstein objective and responded: for how long? This approach struck me as that of approximating to an evolving nature of objectivity within a historical context. This book is dedicated to these two students (and others like them) who shared their thoughts with me and provided the incentive to keep exploring the difficult concept of objectivity.

Next, I was influenced by Ronald Giere's critique of those scientists and philosophers of science who consider that what drives scientists onwards is that there

are *truths out there to be discovered*, and that such philosophical positions can be considered as “objectivist realism.” Reading Lorraine Daston and Peter Galison’s thesis of the evolving nature of objectivity within a historical perspective was a watershed event that provided me a sort of “eureka” experience. Their ideas eventually helped me to formulate the conceptual framework necessary for understanding objectivity in both science and science education. Roald Hoffmann’s idea of “transgression of categorization” struck me as yet another way of approaching “transgression of objectivity” that in a sense facilitated a state of closure to my ideas on the subject. Furthermore, I found a common thread running through the ideas of Daston and Galison on the one hand and those of Hoffmann. Given the widespread use of objectivity–subjectivity dichotomy as almost a panacea, especially in science education, Glen Akenhead’s suggestion that objectivity can be considered as an “opiate of the academic” seems plausible, and provided me with a new perspective.

It is important for me to have mentioned these experiences and how they helped me to understand objectivity and its evolving nature and thus provided the impetus for pursuing this subject for almost 10 years.

In writing this book, I did not have any particular course in mind. This has the advantage that the book could be adopted for various types of courses, such as teaching the nature of science, introduction to the history and philosophy of science, understanding the dynamics of scientific progress, and the evolving nature of objectivity. The intended audience for this book is secondary and university-level teachers, science teacher educators, researchers in science education, and graduate students.

Chapter 1 introduces the idea of “transgression of objectivity” and the evolving nature of objectivity within a historical perspective. A theoretical framework is presented in Chap. 2, based on Daston and Galison’s (2007) ideas of truth-to-nature, mechanical objectivity, structural objectivity, and trained judgment. Understanding objectivity in research reported (1992–2014) in the journal *Science & Education* is the subject of Chap. 3. Next, Chap. 4 deals with understanding objectivity in research reported (1992–2015) in the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. Understanding objectivity in research reported in reference works related to science education is the subject of Chap. 5. The idea of science at a crossroads that is the relationship between transgression and objectivity in the context of nanotechnology is presented in Chap. 6. As a conclusion, Chap. 7 facilitates an understanding of the elusive nature of objectivity.

The following are some of the salient features of this book that can help readers to follow the line of argument developed in the different chapters:

1. A detailed account and evaluation (over a period of almost 25 years) of how the science education community conceptualizes objectivity.
2. Objectivity as a process and not a state, which can change/evolve continuously.
3. Objectivity and subjectivity can be considered as the two poles of a continuum.
4. The dualism between objectivity and subjectivity leads to a conflict in the evolving nature of objectivity.

5. Scientific facts are mute and hence need interpretation.
6. It is not the experimental data (Baconian orgy of quantification) but rather the diversity/plurality in a scientific discipline that contributes toward understanding objectivity.
7. Objectivity, certainty, truth, and infallibility as universal values of science may be challenged while studying controversies in their original historical context.
8. The scientific enterprise is characterized not by the scientific method, but rather controversies, alternative interpretations, ambiguity, uncertainty, and intuitiveness.
9. Open-mindedness and not relativity helps in understanding objectivity.
10. Reality presents a different perspective to different scientists and hence progress in science is based on narratives that generate tensions leading to “transgression of objectivity.”
11. Polanyi’s tacit knowledge represents trained judgment and logical positivism approximates to mechanical objectivity (based on the framework of Daston & Galison, 2007).
12. Scientists are probably less reflective of “tacit assumptions” that guide their reasoning than most other intellectuals of the modern age.
13. The tension between subjectivity and objectivity in assessment practices leads to the understanding that science involves interpretation (conceptual problems) and not just memorizing algorithms.
14. It is perhaps the contingent nature of science that manifests itself in the evolving nature of objectivity.
15. Scientific progress is at a crossroads due to the interaction between representation (passive measurement and observation) and presentation (intervention, active manipulation, nanotechnology).
16. Given the research reported in this book, science education is faced with the following dilemma: is objectivity an opiate of the academic?

Acknowledgments

Classroom experiences and interactions with my students provided the major source of inspiration for starting and later completing this book. My institution Universidad de Oriente (Venezuela) has provided support for research activities over the last many years. Peter Galison (Harvard University) was kind enough to read some of my preliminary ideas (Chap. 2) with respect to the role played by objectivity in various historical episodes and provided critical feedback. Roald Hoffmann (Cornell University, Nobel Laureate in chemistry) helped me to understand that his idea of “transgression of categorization” approximates to Daston and Galison’s idea with respect to violating the rules of objectivity. Hoffmann read a preliminary and the final version of Chap. 6, and provided feedback that facilitated an understanding of the underlying relationship between transgression and objectivity. Glen Aikenhead (University of Saskatchewan) read the final version of Chap. 7 and provided insight by posing the question: is objectivity an opiate of the academic? Michael Weisberg (University of Pennsylvania) read the final version of Chap. 6 and suggested important changes. I am indebted to all these scholars for having responded to my queries and thus facilitated a better understanding of objectivity, its evolution in the history of science, and its implications for science education.

A special word of thanks is due to Dana Zeidler, Springer Series Editor; Bernadette Ohmer, Publishing Editor at Springer (Dordrecht); Claudia Acuna, Editor; and Marianna Pascale, Assistant Editor for their support, coordination, and encouragement throughout the various stages of publication.

Contents

1	Introduction: Understanding Objectivity within a Historical Perspective.	1
	1.1 Transgression of Objectivity	5
	1.2 Evolving Nature of Objectivity	7
	1.3 Chapter Outlines.	13
	References	20
2	Objectivity in the Making	23
	2.1 Theoretical Framework.	23
	2.1.1 Truth-to-Nature	24
	2.1.2 Mechanical Objectivity	25
	2.1.3 Structural Objectivity.	26
	2.1.4 Trained Judgment	28
	2.2 Alternative Historical Accounts of Objectivity	33
	References	35
3	Understanding Objectivity in Research Reported in the Journal <i>Science & Education</i> (Springer).	37
	3.1 Method.	37
	3.1.1 Grounded Theory	37
	3.1.2 Classification of Articles	38
	3.2 Results and Discussion	39
	3.2.1 Argumentation and Objectivity	40
	3.2.2 Classification of Species and Objectivity	40
	3.2.3 Commodification of Science and Objectivity	41
	3.2.4 Consciousness and Objectivity.	41
	3.2.5 Constructivism and Objectivity	42
	3.2.6 Controversy and Objectivity.	43
	3.2.7 Discovery and Objectivity	44
	3.2.8 Disinterestedness and Objectivity.	44
	3.2.9 Diversity/Plurality in Science and Objectivity.	45
	3.2.10 Enrollment Practice and Objectivity	46

- 3.2.11 Evolution, Creationism and Objectivity 47
- 3.2.12 Expert Knowledge and Objectivity 48
- 3.2.13 Feminist Epistemology and Objectivity 49
- 3.2.14 Genetics, Ethics and Objectivity 50
- 3.2.15 Historical Contingency and Objectivity 50
- 3.2.16 Historical Narratives and Objectivity 51
- 3.2.17 History and Objectivity 52
- 3.2.18 History of Science and Objectivity 52
- 3.2.19 Marxism and Objectivity 53
- 3.2.20 Mathematics Education and Objectivity 54
- 3.2.21 Model of Intelligibility and Objectivity 56
- 3.2.22 Nature of Science and Objectivity 56
- 3.2.23 Observation and Objectivity 59
- 3.2.24 Piaget’s Epistemic Subject and Objectivity 61
- 3.2.25 Presuppositions and Objectivity 62
- 3.2.26 Quantum Mechanics and Objectivity 64
- 3.2.27 Romantic Science and Objectivity 64
- 3.2.28 Science in the Making and Objectivity 65
- 3.2.29 Science, Religion and Objectivity 65
- 3.2.30 Scientific Literacy and Objectivity 66
- 3.2.31 Scientific Method and Objectivity 67
- 3.2.32 Scientific Methodology and Objectivity 68
- 3.2.33 Scrutinized Scientific Knowledge and Objectivity 68
- 3.2.34 Social/Cultural Milieu and Objectivity 69
- 3.2.35 Social Nature of Scientific Knowledge 70
- 3.2.36 Theory-Laden Observation and Objectivity 71
- 3.2.37 Values and Objectivity 72
- References 74

- 4 Understanding Objectivity in Research Reported in the *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* (Wiley-Blackwell) 79**
- 4.1 Method 79
- 4.2 Results and Discussion 80
 - 4.2.1 Alternative Methodologies and Objectivity 80
 - 4.2.2 Assessment and Objectivity 82
 - 4.2.3 Capitalism, Critical Pedagogy, and Objectivity 87
 - 4.2.4 Constructivism and Objectivity 89
 - 4.2.5 Controversy in Science and Objectivity 92
 - 4.2.6 Critical Ethnography and Objectivity 93
 - 4.2.7 Critical Feminism and Objectivity 93
 - 4.2.8 Cultural Diversity and Objectivity 95
 - 4.2.9 Culture of Power and Objectivity 96
 - 4.2.10 Feminist Epistemology and Objectivity 98
 - 4.2.11 Indigenous Worldviews and Objectivity 101
 - 4.2.12 Nature of Science and Objectivity 101

4.2.13 Postmodernism and Objectivity	105
4.2.14 Science as a Career for Women and Objectivity	106
4.2.15 Science in the Making and Objectivity	106
4.2.16 Scientific Arguments and Objectivity	108
4.2.17 Scientific Method and Objectivity	109
4.2.18 Social Dimensions of Science and Objectivity	110
4.2.19 Socioscientific Issues and Objectivity	114
4.2.20 Teachers' Emotions and Objectivity	116
4.2.21 Teaching Evolution and Objectivity	117
References	120
5 Understanding Objectivity in Research Reported in	
Reference Works	125
5.1 Evaluation of Research Reported in <i>International Handbook of</i> <i>Research in History, Philosophy and Science Teaching (HPST)</i> .	125
5.1.1 Method	125
5.1.2 Results and Discussion	126
5.2 Evaluation of Research Reported in the Encyclopedia of Science Education	133
5.2.1 Method	133
5.2.2 Results and Discussion	134
References	142
6 Science at a Crossroads: Transgression Versus Objectivity	145
6.1 Introduction	145
6.2 Transgression and Objectivity	145
6.2.1 Introduction	145
6.2.2 Questions for Professor Hoffmann	149
6.2.3 Approaching a Crossroads	151
6.3 Progress in Science at a Crossroads	151
6.4 Criteria for Evaluation of General Chemistry Textbooks	156
6.4.1 Criterion 1: Objectivity	156
6.4.2 Criterion 2: Scientific Method	157
6.4.3 Criterion 3: Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM)	157
6.4.4 Criterion 4: Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)	157
6.4.5 Criterion 5: From Representation to Presentation: Scientific Progress at a Crossroads	158
6.5 Results and Discussion	158
6.5.1 Criterion 1: Objectivity	159
6.5.2 Criterion 2: Scientific Method	160
6.5.3 Criterion 3: Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM)	167
6.5.4 Criterion 4: Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)	170
6.5.5 Criterion 5: From Representation to Presentation: Scientific Progress at a Crossroads	171
References	177

7 Conclusion: Understanding the Elusive Nature of Objectivity 179

7.1 Alternative Interpretations of Data in Science and Objectivity . . . 180

7.2 Alternative Research Methodologies and Objectivity 181

7.3 Canonizing Objectivity to Reinforce Privileges 181

7.4 Empiricist Epistemology and Objectivity. 181

7.5 Femininity-Masculinity, Science and Objectivity. 182

7.6 Interaction Between Evidence and Belief (Faith) and the
Quest for Objectivity 182

7.7 Mertonian “Ethos of Science” and Objectivity. 183

7.8 Objectivity as a Process and not a State. 183

7.9 Objectivity and Value Neutrality in Science 184

7.10 Objectivity-Subjectivity as the Two Poles of a Continuum 185

7.11 Open-Mindedness and not Relativity Helps in
Understanding Objectivity 186

7.12 Polanyi’s Tacit Knowledge and Objectivity. 187

7.13 Positivism and Its Claims to Objectivity 187

7.14 Reporting Style in Science as a False Guise of Objectivity 188

7.15 Role of Affect/Emotions and Objectivity. 188

7.16 Scientific Method and Objectivity. 189

7.17 Social Interactions and the Evolving Nature of Objectivity 189

7.18 Theory-Laden Observations and Objectivity 190

7.19 Transgression, Objectivity and Scientific Progress at a Crossroads 190

7.20 Uncertainty and Objectivity 191

7.21 Is Objectivity an Opiate of the Academic? 192

7.22 Educational Implications. 193

References 194

Appendices 197

Index 231