

SpringerBriefs in Applied Sciences and Technology

Series editor

Janusz Kacprzyk, Polish Academy of Sciences, Systems Research Institute,
Warsaw, Poland

SpringerBriefs present concise summaries of cutting-edge research and practical applications across a wide spectrum of fields. Featuring compact volumes of 50–125 pages, the series covers a range of content from professional to academic.

Typical publications can be:

- A timely report of state-of-the art methods
- An introduction to or a manual for the application of mathematical or computer techniques
- A bridge between new research results, as published in journal articles
- A snapshot of a hot or emerging topic
- An in-depth case study
- A presentation of core concepts that students must understand in order to make independent contributions

SpringerBriefs are characterized by fast, global electronic dissemination, standard publishing contracts, standardized manuscript preparation and formatting guidelines, and expedited production schedules.

On the one hand, **SpringerBriefs in Applied Sciences and Technology** are devoted to the publication of fundamentals and applications within the different classical engineering disciplines as well as in interdisciplinary fields that recently emerged between these areas. On the other hand, as the boundary separating fundamental research and applied technology is more and more dissolving, this series is particularly open to trans-disciplinary topics between fundamental science and engineering.

Indexed by EI-Compendex and Springerlink.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8884>

Andrea Ancillao

Modern Functional Evaluation Methods for Muscle Strength and Gait Analysis

 Springer

Andrea Ancillao
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome
Italy

ISSN 2191-530X ISSN 2191-5318 (electronic)
SpringerBriefs in Applied Sciences and Technology
ISBN 978-3-319-67436-0 ISBN 978-3-319-67437-7 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67437-7>

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017952519

© The Author(s) 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Printed on acid-free paper

This Springer imprint is published by Springer Nature
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Auctoris Praefatio ad Lectorem

Measure what can be measured, and make measurable what cannot be measured.

Galileo Galilei

The study of movement has always fascinated artists, photographers, and researchers and, across the years, several notable attempts to capture, freeze, study, and reproduce motion have been made.

Nowadays, motion capture plays an important role within many fields, from graphical animation, filmmaking, and virtual reality to medicine. By movement analysis it is possible to measure kinematic and kinetic performance of the human body. Such quantitative data may support the diagnosis and treatment of motor pathologies, supporting clinical decisions and follow-up of treatments or rehabilitation. This approach is nowadays called *evidence-based medicine*.

Andrea Ancillao received the Master's degree in Clinical and Biomedical Engineering from "Sapienza" University of Rome, Italy, in 2010, and the Ph.D.—Doctor Europaeus degree, cum laude, in Industrial Production Engineering from the same university in 2017. He has years of experience in the field of functional evaluation, motion analysis, biomechanics and he is author of several international publications.

This work contains the description and the results of two research projects carried out by the author while he was a PhD candidate at the Faculty of Engineering of Sapienza University of Rome. Modern motion capture and signal-processing techniques are exploited to: (i) develop a protocol for the validation and quality assurance of clinical strength measurements, (ii) develop an algorithm for the interpretation of clinical gait analysis and quantification of the deviation from normality, and (iii) design user-friendly software tools to help clinicians use the novel data-processing algorithms and quickly report the results of measurements.

This book is meant for clinicians and biomedical engineers who deal with clinical motion analysis, gait analysis, and clinical strength measurements. The

book is arranged in such a way to provide a thorough description of the methods implemented in the research projects, the issues encountered in the design of experiments, and the solution proposed. A thorough discussion of the results is also presented in a contextual view.

The work is divided into three chapters.

Chapter 1 contains a survey of the history of motion analysis including the earliest experiments in biomechanics. The review covers the first historical attempts that were mainly based on photography to the state-of-the-art technology in use today, that is, the optoelectronic system.

The working principle of the optoelectronic system is reviewed as well as its applications to modern setups in clinical practice.

Modern functional evaluation protocols, aimed at the quantitative evaluation of physical performance and clinical diagnosis of motor disorders, are also reviewed in this chapter. Special attention is paid to the most common motion analysis exam that is nowadays standardized worldwide: gait analysis. Examples of gait analysis studies on subjects with pathology and follow-up were reviewed, and the clinical interpretation of gait analysis and methods to quantify deviation from normality are discussed in Chap. 3.

Chapter 2 describes the design criteria of an experimental setup that applies motion analysis to the quality assurance of clinical strength measurements.

The chapter begins with a review of strength measurement methods that are popular in clinical practice for the evaluation of muscle weakness, health status of ligaments, and the effects of therapies. A variety of protocols is reviewed, implying the acquisition of forces, angles, and angular velocities when the maximum voluntary force is exerted.

Handheld dynamometry (HHD) is extensively used in clinical practice; however, several shortcomings are identified, the most relevant being related to the operator's abilities. Thus, a modern protocol has been designed in order to measure the inherent inaccuracy sources that occur when the HHD is used to measure knee and ankle strength.

The analysis has been conducted by gathering the output of a compact six-component load cell, comparable in dimension and mass to clinical HHDs, and an optoelectronic system, and the quality of measurements is investigated.

The main finding is that the use of a single-component HHD induces an overall inaccuracy of 5% in strength measurements when operated by a trained clinician; angular misplacements are kept within the values found in this work ($\leq 15^\circ$) and with a knee ROM $\leq 22^\circ$. The most relevant source of inaccuracy was identified in the angular displacement of HHD on the horizontal plane.

Chapter 3 describes the development of a modern synthetic index applied to the gait analysis of subjects with pathology.

The chapter begins with a review of gait analysis data-processing techniques and synthetic descriptors aimed at simplifying gait analysis interpretation and quantifying improvements/variability in gait after a treatment.

In this work, synthetic descriptors are implemented and applied to: (i) quantify gait variations in subjects with cerebral palsy who underwent orthopaedic surgery;

(ii) test the effectiveness of a recently proposed index, the linear fit method, on such patients; and (iii) design and implement a novel index that overcomes the limitations observed in the previous methods.

The new index takes into account the effects due to offset and allows computing the deviation from normality on tracks purified by the offset. As for the subjects studied in this work, offset plays an important role in gait deviation; the new proposed method is recommended to study gait pattern of subjects with cerebral palsy.

The design of dedicated software, with graphical user interface, is described. Such software is aimed: (i) to compute the synthetic descriptors on a large amount of data, (ii) to speed up data processing, and (iii) to provide clinicians with quick access to the results and reporting.

Rome, Italy

Andrea Ancillao

Acknowledgements

This work is based on the result of the research projects that I carried out for my PhD defence that took place at Sapienza, University of Rome on February the 24th, 2017. It cannot begin without my most sincere thanks to the people who made it possible and helped me along the way.

First and foremost, I sincerely acknowledge Prof. Paolo Cappa, who gave me the opportunity to start this adventure and go through with it. The success of this work is mainly due to his help. I would sincerely acknowledge Prof. Jaap Harlaar for giving me the opportunity to spend some pleasant months at the VUmc, University of Amsterdam and for helping me out on the gait analysis project. A heartfelt thanks goes also to Prof. Giorgio Albertini and Prof. Manuela Galli that, in the years gone by, taught me the basis of functional evaluation and motion analysis. Finally, the biggest thank you goes to all the colleagues from Sapienza and from VUmc who gave me helpful support along this journey.

Contents

1 Stereophotogrammetry in Functional Evaluation:	
History and Modern Protocols	1
1.1 History of Motion Analysis	1
1.2 Leonardo Da Vinci's Contribution	8
1.3 Modern Functional Evaluation	9
1.4 Functional Evaluation Protocols	13
1.5 Gait Analysis	20
1.6 On Human Motor Control	23
References.	25
2 A New Method for the Quality Assurance of Strength	
Measurements	31
2.1 Introduction	32
2.2 Preliminary Setup	38
2.3 Final Experimental Setup	50
2.3.1 Subjects.	50
2.3.2 Instrumentation	50
2.3.3 Motion Capture Protocol.	54
2.3.4 Strength Protocol.	57
2.4 Data Processing	61
2.4.1 Indices for Knee Strength Trials	66
2.4.2 Indices for Ankle Strength Trials	69
2.4.3 Statistics	72
2.4.4 Software Implementation.	72
2.5 Results and Discussion	76
2.5.1 Knee Strength	76
2.5.2 Ankle Strength	78
2.5.3 Influence of the Operator's Ability on Strength Measurements	80
2.5.4 Use of a One-Component HHD Versus Six-Component Load Cell	82

- 2.5.5 Correlation Between Improper Positioning and Strength Measurement. 82
- 2.6 Conclusion 83
- References. 85
- 3 Interpretation of Gait Analysis Data by Means of Synthetic Descriptors and a New Method for the Analysis of the Offset. 89**
 - 3.1 Introduction 90
 - 3.1.1 Gillette Gait Index (GGI) 91
 - 3.1.2 Hip Flexor Index (HFI). 91
 - 3.1.3 Gait Deviation Index (GDI) 91
 - 3.1.4 Movement Analysis Profile (MAP) and Gait Profile Score (GPS) 92
 - 3.1.5 Linear Fit Method (LFM) 94
 - 3.2 Aim of the Research. 95
 - 3.3 Description of the Methods 96
 - 3.3.1 Subjects. 96
 - 3.3.2 Equipment and Procedures 97
 - 3.3.3 Data Processing. 98
 - 3.3.4 Offset Corrected Movement Analysis Profile. 98
 - 3.3.5 Statistics 100
 - 3.3.6 Software Implementation. 101
 - 3.4 Results and Discussion. 103
 - 3.4.1 Movement Analysis Profile. 103
 - 3.4.2 Linear Fit Method. 105
 - 3.4.3 Offset Corrected Movement Analysis Profile. 108
 - 3.4.4 Correlation Between Methods. 110
 - 3.4.5 Gait Report 112
 - 3.4.6 Discussion of the Results 113
 - 3.5 Conclusion 116
 - References. 119

Abbreviations

CP	Cerebral Palsy
CS	Coordinate System
CV	Coefficient of Variation
D/A	Digital-to-Analog converter
DDST	Denver Developmental Screening Test
EDS-HT	Ehlers–Danlos Syndrome, Hypermobility Type
EMG	Electromyography
GA	Gait Analysis
GDI	Gait Deviation Index
GGI	Gillette Gait Index
GMFCS	Gross Motor Function Classification System
GPS	Gait Profile Score
GUI	Graphical User Interface
GVS	Gait Variable Score
HFI	Hip Flexor Index
HHD	Handheld Dynamometer
ICC	Intraclass Correlation Coefficient
IR	Infrared Radiation
LFM	Linear Fit Method
LRS	Local Reference System
MAP	Movement Analysis Profile
MCID	Minimally Clinical Important Difference for GPS
MoCap	Motion Capture
OC-GPS	Offset Corrected—Gait Profile Score
OC-GVS	Offset Corrected—Gait Variable Score
OC-MAP	Offset Corrected—Movement Analysis Profile
OS	Optoelectronic System
PD	Parkinson’s Disease
PIG	Plug-in-Gait Marker Protocol
R	Pearson’s Coefficient of Correlation

RMS	Root Mean Square
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
RoM	Range of Motion
RS	Reference System
SD	Standard Deviation
SEMLS	Single-Event Multilevel Surgery
TD	Typically Developing Children