

Palgrave Studies in Law,  
Neuroscience, and Human Behavior

Series Editors

Marc Jonathan Blitz

Oklahoma City University School of Law  
Oklahoma City, USA

Jan Christoph Bublitz  
University of Hamburg  
Hamburg, Germany

Jane Campbell Moriarty  
Duquesne University School of Law  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Neuroscience is drawing increasing attention from lawyers, judges, and policy-makers because it both illuminates and questions the myriad assumptions that law makes about human thought and behavior. Additionally, the technologies used in neuroscience may provide lawyers with new forms of evidence that arguably require regulation. Thus, both the technology and applications of neuroscience involve serious questions implicating the fields of ethics, law, science, and policy. Simultaneously, developments in empirical psychology are shedding scientific light on the patterns of human thought and behavior that are implicated in the legal system. The Palgrave Series on Law, Neuroscience, and Human Behavior provides a platform for these emerging areas of scholarship.

More information about this series at  
<http://www.springer.com/series/15605>

Marc Jonathan Blitz

# Searching Minds by Scanning Brains

Neuroscience Technology and Constitutional  
Privacy Protection

palgrave  
macmillan

Marc Jonathan Blitz  
Oklahoma City University School of Law  
Oklahoma City, USA

Palgrave Studies in Law, Neuroscience, and Human Behavior  
ISBN 978-3-319-50003-4 ISBN 978-3-319-50004-1 (eBook)  
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-50004-1

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016963257

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2017

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover illustration: Modern building window © saulgranda/Getty

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature  
The registered company is Springer International Publishing AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Constitutional Puzzles and (Neuro) Technological Changes</b>	<b>11</b>
	<i>Video Cameras, Parrots, and Brains</i>	17
	<i>A Brief Tour of the Constitutional Privacy Landscape – and Neuroimaging’s Possible Place in It</i>	26
	<i>The First Amendment, Externalized Thought, and “Freedom of Mind”</i>	33
	<i>Futuristic Thinking</i>	39
<b>3</b>	<b>Lie Detection, Mind Reading, and Brain Reading</b>	<b>45</b>
	<i>Lie Detection Before Neuroimaging: Polygraph Tests</i>	45
	<i>Lie Detection with Neuroimaging: Methods of Monitoring the Brain in Action</i>	49
	<i>Neuroimaging Beyond Lie Detection: Screening Movies from the Mind</i>	55
<b>4</b>	<b>The Fifth Amendment: Self-Incrimination and the Brain</b>	<b>59</b>
	<i>An Overview: Why Neuroimaging Raises Fifth Amendment Problems</i>	60
	<i>The Purposes of the Self-Incrimination Clause</i>	61
	<i>Applying Excuse-Based Theory of Self-Incrimination</i>	64

<i>An Approach Based on Cognitive Content – and Some Questions About its Scope</i>	69
<i>A Biological Buffer Zone for Mental Privacy</i>	76
<b>5 The Fourth (and First) Amendment: Searches with, and Scrutiny of, Neuroimaging</b>	81
<i>An Overview: Why Neuroimaging Raises Fourth Amendment Problems</i>	81
<i>Fourth Amendment Coverage – and <i>Kyllo V. United States</i></i>	87
<i>Fourth Amendment Coverage – and Third Party Doctrine and Abandonment</i>	93
<i>Fourth Amendment Coverage – and Perfectly Efficient Search Technology</i>	100
<i>Fourth Amendment Protection – and the Importance of Balancing</i>	103
<i>Fourth Amendment Protection – Warrantless Searches, and Problems with Balancing</i>	105
<i>Fourth Amendment Protection – Two Possible Alternatives to the <i>Status Quo</i></i>	108
<i>Fourth Amendment Protection and First Amendment Procedures – A Third Alternative to the <i>Status Quo</i></i>	111
<i>Fourth Amendment Protection – Beyond the Warrant Requirement</i>	115
<i>Intellectual Property and Intellectual Privacy</i>	121
<b>6 Conclusion</b>	125
<b>References</b>	129
<b>Index</b>	137
<b>Name Index</b>	141
<b>Court Cases</b>	143