

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Vlado Menkovski

Computational Inference and Control of Quality in Multimedia Services

Doctoral Thesis accepted by
Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

 Springer

Author

Dr. Vlado Menkovski
Philips Research
Eindhoven, Noord-Brabant
The Netherlands

Supervisor

Prof. Antonio Liotta
Eindhoven University of Technology
Eindhoven
The Netherlands

ISSN 2190-5053

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-3-319-24790-8

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-24792-2

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-24792-2 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015950057

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media
(www.springer.com)

*To my parents, Angel who dedicated his life
to his sons and Snezhana whose strength
inspires me deeply.*

Supervisor's Foreword

Streaming video over packet-based communication networks is as important as it is difficult. At their conception in the 1960s, the Internet protocols that we still use today were not designed for this use but were meant for asynchronous communications (such as emails) rather than time-constrained media (such as audio and video). On the other hand, videos were originally analog signals, only meant for local replay in movie theaters. Yet today the predominant Internet traffic is made of video streams in all sorts of forms, video-on-demand, IPTV, conferencing, peer-to-peer, and so forth. This requires videos to be digitized, compressed, split in packets, transmitted over lossy networks, and then reconstructed on a player. At each stage, important information is lost, which makes it difficult to push video over networks that can lose large chunks of data by way interference, congestion, latency, and whatnot.

How much bandwidth is necessary to ensure a transmission of 'good' quality? How far can we shrink a stream? How can we broadcast to a large audience in real time? It turns out that these broad questions are largely unanswered and scientists are still busy sorting out the pieces of this complex puzzle. How can we actually measure video quality, which involves forays in the mysterious realm of human perception? How do the individual stages of video transmission affect 'perceived' quality? In which ways should we re-design the network to be more video-friendly?

Despite this large knowledge gap, video services are proliferating and thanks to an engineering trick that goes under the name of 'overprovisioning,' until we know how to best master the transmission of time-critical media over packet-switching networks, the only option we have is equip the network with an excess of capacity and servers. This practice is utterly expensive and energy-demanding and unsustainable in the longer term, considering the relentless request for video services.

As new technologies stack on top of each other, the interactions among the various pieces become evidently intricate and obscure. Some people think that attempting to master the complexity of Internet systems is a lost cause, thus advocating the 'overprovisioning' route as the only viable avenue. My line of thought goes the other way and I believe that, as scientists, we need to find ways to

reduce the energy footprint of the Internet. In turn, we need to understand the mutual influence between networks and services, and study new ways to control them optimally.

The work that Vlado Menkovski describes in this book makes a number of breakthroughs at the intersection between networks, video services, human perception, and computational intelligence. He shows various ways in which machine learning may be used to assess the quality of current media streaming methods and to make them more efficient. While reading the book, at some point you will realize why 'quality of experience management' is not merely a control problem—it is a matter of prediction. I truly hope that you will enjoy reading this book as much as I have enjoyed working with Vlado, and that you will find it not only useful but also full of inspirational ideas.

Eindhoven, The Netherlands
October 2015

Prof. Antonio Liotta
Chair of Communication Network Protocols

Preface

Quality is the degree of excellence we expect of a service or a product. It is also one of the key factors that determine its value. For multimedia services, understanding the experienced quality means understanding how the delivered fidelity, precision, and reliability correspond to the users' expectations. Yet the quality of multimedia services is inextricably linked to the underlying technology. It is developments in video recording, compression, and transport, as well as display technologies that enable high quality multimedia services to become ubiquitous. The constant evolution of these technologies delivers a steady increase in performance, but also a growing level of complexity. As new technologies stack on top of each other the interactions between them and their components become more intricate and obscure. In this environment optimizing, the delivered quality of multimedia services becomes increasingly challenging. The factors that affect the experienced quality, or Quality of Experience (QoE), tend to have complex nonlinear relationships. The subjectively perceived QoE is hard to measure directly and continuously evolves with the user's expectations. Faced with the difficulty of designing an expert system for QoE management that relies on painstaking measurements and intricate heuristics, we turn to an approach based on learning or inference. The set of solutions presented in this work rely on computational intelligence techniques that do inference over the large set of signals coming from the system to deliver QoE models based on user feedback. We furthermore present solutions for inference of optimized control in systems with no guarantees for resource availability. This approach offers the opportunity to be more accurate in assessing the perceived quality, to incorporate more factors, and to adapt as technology and user expectations evolve. In a similar fashion, the inferred control strategies can uncover more intricate patterns coming from the sensors and therefore implement farther-reaching decisions. Similarly to biological systems, this continuous adaptation and learning make these systems more robust to perturbations in the environment, longer lasting accuracy, and higher efficiency in dealing with increased complexity. Overcoming this increasing complexity and diversity is crucial for addressing the challenges of future multimedia system. Through

experiments and simulations, this work demonstrates that adopting an approach of learning can improve the subjective and objective QoE estimation, enable the implementation of efficient and scalable QoE management as well as efficient control mechanisms.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	QoE Management Framework	1
1.2	QoE Definition	3
1.3	Factors that Affect QoE	4
1.4	Resources Versus Quality	6
1.5	Handling the Complexity of QoE Modelling	9
1.6	Learning Versus Deterministic Design	9
1.7	Main Contributions	10
	References	11
2	Objective QoE Models	15
2.1	Objective Aspects of QoE	15
2.2	State of the Art	16
2.2.1	PSNR	16
2.2.2	SSIM	17
2.2.3	VQM	18
2.2.4	MOVIE	20
2.2.5	Reduced and No Reference Methods	21
2.3	Models for JPEG2000 and MPEG4/AVC	22
2.3.1	Characterizing the Video Content	25
2.4	Experimental Analysis of Objective QoE	26
2.4.1	PSNR Results	28
2.4.2	SSIM Results	28
2.4.3	VQM Results	29
2.4.4	MOVIE Results	30
2.4.5	SI, TI, C and M Results	30
2.5	Discussion and Conclusions	30
	References	32

- 3 Subjective QoE Models** 37
 - 3.1 Subjective QoE Approaches 37
 - 3.2 State of the Art 38
 - 3.2.1 Rating the Quality 38
 - 3.2.2 Limits and Noticeable Differences 40
 - 3.3 Maximum Likelihood Difference Scaling 42
 - 3.3.1 The Video Subjective Study 46
 - 3.3.2 MLDS Subjective Results 47
 - 3.4 Adaptive MLDS 49
 - 3.4.1 Adaptive MLDS Method 50
 - 3.4.2 Learning Convergence 52
 - 3.4.3 Experimental Setup and Results 53
 - 3.5 Conclusions. 59
 - References 59
- 4 QoE Management Framework** 65
 - 4.1 Existing Approaches 65
 - 4.2 QoE Management for Video Streaming 67
 - 4.2.1 Architecture of a Video Streaming Systems 67
 - 4.2.2 A Hybrid QoE Management Framework 69
 - 4.3 QoE Management for a Mobile IPTV Service 70
 - 4.3.1 Objective Measurements 71
 - 4.3.2 Subjective Measurements 71
 - 4.4 Computational Inference of QoE Models 72
 - 4.4.1 Supervised Learning Background 73
 - 4.4.2 QoE Models for Mobile IPTV 76
 - 4.5 On-line Inference of QoE Models 83
 - 4.5.1 Online Supervised Learning Background 83
 - 4.5.2 Subjective QoE Models with Continuous Learning 86
 - 4.6 QoE Remedies 89
 - 4.6.1 Estimating the QoE Remedies 89
 - 4.6.2 QoE Remedies for Mobile IPTV 93
 - 4.7 Conclusions 94
 - References 94
- 5 QoE Active Control** 99
 - 5.1 QoE Active Control Framework 99
 - 5.2 HTTP Adaptive Streaming Client 100
 - 5.2.1 DASH Standard 101
 - 5.2.2 Existing Heuristic Strategies 102
 - 5.3 The Intelligent Streaming Agent 106
 - 5.3.1 Reinforcement Learning Background 107
 - 5.3.2 Intelligent Streaming Agent Architecture 112
 - 5.3.3 Reward/Penalty Function 113

- 5.3.4 Estimating Network Throughput Trends 116
- 5.3.5 Simulating the Background Traffic. 118
- 5.3.6 Agent Performance. 119
- 5.4 Conclusions. 120
- References 121
- 6 Conclusions 125**
 - 6.1 The Principal Message 125
 - 6.2 Latest Developments 128
 - 6.3 Future Directions 130
 - References 131