

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Thomas Meures

Development of a Sub-glacial Radio Telescope for the Detection of GZK Neutrinos

Doctoral Thesis accepted by
the Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

Author

Dr. Thomas Meures
Université Libre de Bruxelles – IIHE
Brussels
Belgium

Supervisor

Prof. Kael Hanson
Université Libre de Bruxelles – IIHE
Brussels
Belgium

ISSN 2190-5053

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-3-319-18755-6

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-18756-3

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-18756-3 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015938744

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media
(www.springer.com)

Supervisor's Foreword

What better place than the South Pole to conduct a pioneering experiment hunting for extremely rare particles from the edge of space? This singular virtual point at the bottom of the Globe has attracted brave pioneers for over a century. So it was that Mr. Thomas Meures, now Dr. Meures, the young adventurer, came to contact me in January 2010, asking what kind of work would be involved in the pursuit of the Ph.D. I replied with a short response saying that it would include some electronics and analysis of data from a to-be-constructed radio frequency neutrino detector. Neither he, nor I for that matter, had any idea what we were getting into. It is probably fortunate that we were both oblivious: any reasonable graduate student with full knowledge of the challenges lurking square in the middle of the path to the Ph.D. degree endgame would certainly never begin the undertaking. Had I been aware of everything, it would have placed me in the morally dubious situation of having to partially conceal the true difficulty of this Ph.D. topic for fear of scaring off students.

Our goal was the construction, deployment, operation, and analysis of data from an array of radio antennas fixed in the glacial ice at South Pole: the Askaryan Radio Array, or ARA, detector. This detector, we thought then and we still think now, is the best way to detect ultrahigh energy elementary particles called neutrinos which, if we understand the laws of particle physics correctly, must be produced when energetic charged protons slam into the 2.7 K relic photons from the early Universe. These chargeless particles carry a kinetic energy equivalent to macroscopic ping pong balls but ironically could penetrate tens of kilometers of lead. Because of these unique properties, they are the only known elementary particle which can carry information about the most energetic processes taking place outside of the cosmologically microscopic sphere of radius 100 megaparsecs surrounding our home planet, Earth. Conversely, these properties also make construction of a cosmic ping-pong ball detector exceedingly difficult: one can only provoke interactions by bringing massive amounts of target material to bear. Since tens of kilometers of lead is hard to find, saying nothing of instrumenting such a mass with detectors, we settled on the South Pole where there is no lead but plenty of cold ice. Cold ice is

extremely radio transparent and dense enough to catch a handful of cosmic neutrinos per cubic kilometer per century. When these particles interact they create electromagnetic showers which radiate broadband RF power. Because of the long attenuation lengths, many tens of cubic kilometers can be instrumented for reasonable cost thus bringing the expected event rate to something more of order a few events per year.

There were still many challenges designing and implementing a detector in ice. The instrumentation for the detectors is almost completely custom and had to be designed to amplify the weak signals near the thermal noise floor and digitize them at high sampling speeds (3.2 GSPS). This must be done cheaply, consuming low power, and with hardware robust enough to withstand the extreme freezing temperatures of the Antarctic Polar Plateau. Fortunately, we collaborated with a number of other research teams internationally who developed the electronic data acquisition systems. The antennas which make up the detection elements must be deployed 100–200 m below the top layer of packed snow, so drilling holes to 200 m is necessary. Thomas went to Pole for two seasons (2011–2012 and 2012–2013) to act as an ice driller to deliver the holes for the first three stations.

And then, in 2013 we had data coming back from Pole, but this was far from the end of the story. In fact, there was still a lot of data analysis to be done. First, we had to understand the custom-built instrument. It took Thomas many months to collect the various calibration data sets taken when the instruments were still in the North in the testing and characterization phase. This data was needed to understand the behavior of the digitizer ASIC, the IRS2 which was designed specifically for this experiment. Among other things, his Ph.D. thesis documents and explains how to turn the digital data stream from this chip into useful voltage and time samples. While this ASIC is unlikely to be used elsewhere, it is the parent or grandparent of other ASICs currently being planned for use in other astrophysics experiments, so this documentation is interesting to an audience broader than the ARA collaboration. Because his thesis was the first to use the ARA station data, he had to develop geometry and sensitivity calibrations, *ab initio*. Finally, he developed the background rejection and event reconstruction algorithms which yielded no cosmic neutrino events, none were expected from the limited exposure time and from only two of the fully planned 37 detector stations, but which clearly demonstrates the power of the radio technique for ultrahigh-energy neutrino detection.

Brussels
March 2015

Prof. Kael Hanson

Abstract

GZK neutrinos are interesting messenger particles since, if detected, they can transmit us exclusive information about ultrahigh energy processes in the Universe. These particles, which hold energies above 10^{16} eV, interact very rarely. Therefore, detectors of several gigatons of matter are needed to discover them. The ARA detector is planned and is currently being constructed at the South Pole. It is designed to use the Askaryan effect, the emission of radio waves from neutrino-induced cascades in the South Pole ice, to detect neutrino interactions. With antennas distributed in 37 stations in the ice, such interactions can be observed in a volume of several hundred cubic kilometers. Currently, two ARA stations have been deployed in the ice and are taking data since the beginning of the year 2013.

The first part of this thesis summarizes the current theories concerning the GZK mechanism and the Askaryan effect to explain the interest in GZK neutrinos and in the used detection method.

In the second part the ARA detector is described and calibrations of different detector parts are presented. In this work, the digitization chips have been calibrated concerning their timing precision and signal amplitude. In this way a timing precision of 100 ps between antennas could be achieved. Furthermore, the geometry of the antenna clusters is determined by fits based on external signals to allow for a proper radio vertex reconstruction.

In the third part of the thesis the development of methods to distinguish radio signals from thermal noise are presented. Moreover, a reconstruction method, developed to determine the position of radio sources, is described. With only two stations operational a discovery of GZK neutrinos is not expected and in fact no signal candidate has been found in the analysis of the data. A neutrino flux limit is calculated. This limit is not competitive yet with the current best limits, but is promising for the full ARA detector. The work shows that after completion this detector is expected to be capable of a neutrino discovery.

Acknowledgments

There are many people that I am very grateful to and which contributed to the completion of this work. Probably I will forget some names which should be in the following list. Please forgive me if I forgot somebody at the moment that I am writing this.

Many thanks go to my supervisor Kael Hanson, for always being available for discussions and questions. Thanks for creating a relaxed and familiar atmosphere. In your guidance I found this a nice mix of input and freedom to develop my own ideas. Furthermore, thank you for giving me the opportunity to take responsibilities within the ARA project and to spend two very exciting months at the South Pole and at many other places.

I would like to thank the members of my Jury: Stijn Buitink, Gilles De Lentdecker, Olaf Scholten, and Petr Tiniakov. Thank you to agree to read my work, to spend the time to evaluate it and to supply corrections and suggestions for improvement. Special thanks go to Petr Tiniakov and Gilles De Lentdecker as members of my Committee d'accompagnement, who had to listen to my annual reports and supply many signatures.

Thanks to the members of the IceCube group in Brussels, which I have encountered. I got a warm welcome from everybody and always found you a very enjoyable company. During coffee breaks, pizza lunches, and other social activities one could forget all work-related (or unrelated) problems. Furthermore, the Belgian colleagues were always available to help out the foreigners among us with administrative issues. I would especially like to thank the current members, namely: David Heereman, Jan Lüneman, Gwenhaël De Wasseige, Martin Casier, Lionel Brayeur, Geraldina Golup, Elisa Pinat, Jan Kunnen, Giuliano Maggi, Aongus Ó Murchadha, Krijn De Vries, Catherine De Clercq, Nick Van Eijndhoven, and Kael Hanson. Thanks for the support when finishing my thesis, for discussions on cosmic rays and the Askaryan effect, and for all the proofreading and rehearsal talks.

I would like to thank my office mates Rachel, David, Aongus, Mark, and Alberto for coping with hours of my ARA phone calls, for medical and life advices, and for always creating a nice atmosphere in the office.

Thanks to the DAQ team of the lab which gave me a good start into my working life and which I always enjoyed working with.

I would like to thank Audrey Terrier, Marleen Goeman, Danielle Peymans, and Fatimé Pero for their help with the university administration. I think Marleen and Audrey are unsurpassed in making the IIHE a nice place to work at. In fact I want to thank all members of the IIHE for the good working environment which I encountered in the lab. This was not possible without the friendly lead from the directors of the lab: Catherine De Clercq, Daniel Bertrand, Pierre Marage, Jorgen D'Hondt, and Laurent Favart. In particular, I would like to thank the former director Pierre Marage, who would always listen to the trouble of a Ph.D. student and help where he could.

Many thanks go to Dave, Dennis, Jim, Jef, Darrel, Terry, James, Gary, and Andrew for allowing the German Ph.D. student on the drill team and for giving me the best time.

I would like to thank the ARA Collaboration for their support and scientific input during my Ph.D. This support went from hosting me for test measurements, providing simulations and continuously poking into my analysis until it was solid work.

Thanks to all my friends for all the small things, which are not measurable, but which in the end keep you going.

Thanks to Bettina and David for the possibility to share all good and bad things during fresh-air breaks. Thank you for many evenings of recreational discussion at "Le Phare" or at the beach in Buzios.

Ich möchte meinen Eltern und Geschwistern danken: dafür, dass ihr immer an mich geglaubt habt und mich konstant unterstützt habt, in dem was ich tue. Das hat mich schlussendlich bis hierher gebracht. Ich habe viel Glück mit meiner Familie.

Danke Kathleen, dass du mich durch die stressige Zeiten begleitet hast und nie den Mut verloren hast. Danke vor allem dafür, dass du mich immer daran erinnerst, dass die Doktorarbeit nicht das wichtigste im Leben ist.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Ultra-high Energy Astroparticle Physics	1
1.2	The Neutrino – A Perfect Cosmic Messenger?	3
1.3	Thesis Overview	5
1.3.1	Theory	5
1.3.2	Detector Hardware and Calibration Efforts	6
1.3.3	Data Analysis	7
	References	8

Part I Theory

2	The GZK Neutrino Flux	13
2.1	Neutrino Production in the GZK Mechanism	14
2.2	Dependencies and Implications	17
2.2.1	The Composition of Ultra-high Energy Cosmic Rays	18
2.2.2	The Cosmic Ray Emission Spectrum	19
2.2.3	The Evolution of Cosmic Ray Sources	20
2.2.4	Neutrino Flux Expectations Under Varying Assumptions	21
	References	23
3	The Askaryan Effect in Dense Media	25
3.1	Emission of Radio Waves from Neutrino-Induced Cascades	25
3.1.1	Negative Charge Excess in Electromagnetic Cascades	25
3.1.2	Coherent Emission of Radio Waves from a Charge Excess in Electromagnetic Cascades	27
3.1.3	The Influence of the LPM Effect	30

3.1.4 Askaryan Emission in the Time Domain 31

3.1.5 Simulation Codes 31

3.2 Verifications of the Askaryan Effect 32

References 33

Part II Detector Hardware and Calibration Efforts

4 The Askaryan Radio Array (ARA) 37

4.1 The General Detector Setup 37

4.1.1 ARA Antennas 41

4.1.2 Signal Chain 44

4.2 Status and Performance of the Currently Installed
ARA Stations 48

4.3 The ARA Detector in Comparison to Other Neutrino
Detectors 49

References 56

5 The Calibration of the Ice Ray Sampler (IRS2) Chip 59

5.1 The IRS2 Chip 59

5.1.1 Sample Timing 60

5.1.2 Sample Storage and Digitization 62

5.2 The Calibration 63

5.2.1 The Data Set 63

5.2.2 Timing Calibration 64

5.2.3 Voltage Calibration 69

5.2.4 The Frequency Response of the IRS2 Chip 73

5.2.5 The Temperature Dependence of the Sample
Timing 74

5.2.6 Calibration Checks 76

References 79

6 The Calibration of the ARA Station Geometry 81

6.1 ARA Coordinates 81

6.2 Uncertainties on the ARA Station Geometry
and Cable Delays 82

6.3 The Fit of the Geometry Parameters 83

6.3.1 The General Approach 83

6.3.2 Initial Assumptions 85

6.3.3 Results and Cross Checks 86

6.3.4 Errors on the Geometrical Calibration 91

Reference 92

Part III Data Analysis

7 Simulations 95

7.1 The ARA Simulation 95

7.2 Effective Volume, Effective Area and Neutrino Limits. 98

References. 100

8 Algorithms for the ARA Data Analysis 101

8.1 The Signal to Noise Ratio 101

8.2 Thermal Noise Rejection 102

8.3 The Time Sequence Algorithm 102

8.3.1 Efficiency Comparison of Simulation and Data. 107

8.3.2 Filter and Trigger Studies. 109

8.4 The Vertex Reconstruction Algorithm 110

8.4.1 Timing Determination 111

8.4.2 Matrix Based Vertex Reconstruction 113

8.4.3 Channel Pair Selection. 116

8.4.4 Reconstruction Quality Parameters 117

8.4.5 Performance on Simulation and Recorded Data. 119

References. 124

9 Signal Discrimination in the ARA02-ARA03 Data 125

9.1 String Spark Rejection 126

9.2 Cut Adjustments for Background Rejection 128

9.3 The Background Estimation 132

10 Data Analysis Results 139

10.1 Signal Estimation and Neutrino Flux Limits 139

10.2 Systematic Errors 142

10.3 Coincident Event Analysis 144

References. 148

11 Summary and Outlook 149

11.1 Summary and Discussion 149

11.2 Outlook 152

References. 153

Appendix A: Noise Modeling for AraSim 155

Appendix B: Details on the Systematic Error Estimation. 157