

Springer Theses

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

For further volumes:

<http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Aims and Scope

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student's supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today's younger generation of scientists.

Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

Eva Maria Huber

Structural and Functional Characterization of the Immunoproteasome

Doctoral Thesis accepted by
the Technical University Munich, Germany

Author
Dr. Eva Maria Huber
Chair of Biochemistry
Technische Universität München
Garching
Germany

Supervisor
Prof. Dr. Michael Groll
Chair of Biochemistry
Technische Universität München
Garching
Germany

ISSN 2190-5053 ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)
ISBN 978-3-319-01555-2 ISBN 978-3-319-01556-9 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-01556-9
Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013944888

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Parts of this thesis have been published in the following journal articles:

Immuno- and constitutive proteasome crystal structures reveal differences in substrate and inhibitor specificity

Huber, E. M.*, Basler, M.* , Schwab, R.* , Heinemeyer, W., Kirk, C. J., Groettrup, M., Groll, M. (2012), *Cell*, *148*, 727–738.

The 19S cap puzzle: A new jigsaw piece

Huber, E. M. and Groll, M. (2012). *Structure*, *20*, 387–388.

Kristallstruktur eines molekularen Schredders

Huber, E. M. and Groll, M. (2012). *GIT Labor-Fachzeitschrift*, *5*, 363–365.

Inhibitors for the immuno- and constitutive proteasome: current and future trends in drug development.

Huber, E. M. and Groll, M. (2012). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, *51*, 8708–8720.

*These authors contributed equally

The work in this thesis was conducted from September 2009 to January 2013 under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Michael Groll, Chair of Biochemistry, TUM.

To my family

Supervisor's Foreword

Curing diseases is the primary long-term goal of contemporary scientific research. However, developing new drugs and bringing them to application demands for enormous efforts and staying power of both academia and pharmaceutical industry. Often the structural analysis of a target protein and its understanding at the atomic level form the foundation of such a long-lasting process. This proved true also for the 20S proteasome core particle (CP), a protease of 720 kDa and 28 single subunits.

In 1995, the first X-ray structure of a 20S proteasome, namely that of the archaeon *Thermoplasma acidophilum* has been elucidated by Löwe and coworkers [1]. Only, 2 years later, the structure of the proteasome from baker's yeast—the first eukaryotic proteasome—was solved [2]. This milestone in proteasome research stimulated the development of the multitude of inhibitory compounds that is known today. Many of these drugs have been structurally analyzed in complex with the yeast 20S proteasome and the obtained X-ray data served as intermediate and validation steps in the drug design development process. Up to now, two proteasome inhibitors have made their way from bench to bedside: Velcade® and Kyprolis®. Since the proteasome is essential for many cellular processes including cell cycle progression, both compounds are applied to patients suffering from blood cancer. However, recently, a novel therapeutic application of proteasome inhibition has been discovered. The compound ONX 0914 (formerly PR-957)—identified in high-throughput screenings—was shown to be of therapeutic benefit in animal models of autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and lupus erythematoses [3, 4]. Remarkably, despite its pronounced structural similarity to other proteasome inhibitors, ONX 0914 selectively targets only the 20S immunoproteasome, a specialized version of the proteasome known in vertebrates.

The immunoproteasome selectivity of ONX 0914 fascinated me as a chemist and formed the starting point for the Ph.D. thesis of Dr. Huber. Her work culminated in the first X-ray structure of an immunoproteasome. Finally, apo and ligand complex structures of the immunoproteasome with ONX 0914 provided an explanation for its selectivity. The structural results described herein represent a valuable contribution for modeling and designing novel proteasome-type selective and subunit-specific inhibitory compounds. Apart from the immunoproteasome structure described herein, Dr. Huber conducted yeast mutagenesis experiments which

aimed at imitating all three 20S proteasomes types of vertebrates in yeast: the constitutive proteasome, the immunoproteasome and the thymoproteasome. Together, the topic and the results of this thesis will definitely inspire further efforts in academic research as well as in medicinal chemistry.

Munich, June 2013

Prof. Michael Groll

References

1. J. Löwe, D. Stock, B. Jap, P. Zwickl, W. Baumeister, R. Huber, Crystal structure of the 20S proteasome from the archaeon *T. acidophilum* at 3.4 Å resolution. *Science* **268**, 533–539 (1995)
2. M. Groll, L. Ditzel, J. Löwe, D. Stock, M. Bochtler, H.D. Bartunik, R. Huber, Structure of 20S proteasome from yeast at 2.4 Å resolution. *Nature* **386**, 463–471 (1997)
3. T. Muchamuel, M. Basler, M.A. Aujay, E. Suzuki, K.W. Kalim, C. Lauer, C. Sylvain, E.R. Ring, J. Shields, J. Jiang, P. Shwonek, F. Parlati, S.D. Demo, M.K. Bennett, C.J. Kirk, M. Groettrup, A selective inhibitor of the immunoproteasome subunit LMP7 blocks cytokine production and attenuates progression of experimental arthritis. *Nat. Med.* **15**, 781–787 (2009)
4. H.T. Ichikawa, T. Conley, T. Muchamuel, J. Jiang, S. Lee, T. Owen, J. Barnard, S. Nevarez, B.I. Goldman, C.J. Kirk, R.J. Looney, J.H. Anolik. Novel proteasome inhibitors have a beneficial effect in murine lupus via the dual inhibition of type I interferon and autoantibody secreting cells. *Arthritis Rheum.* **64**, 493–503 (2011)

Acknowledgments

First of all I would like to thank my Supervisor Prof. Dr. Michael Groll for providing me the possibility to work in his excellent team and for entrusting me the immunoproteasome project. I am very grateful for his daily interest in my work, his deep confidence in me, his continuous support, and substantial promotion. His enormous enthusiasm and encouragement as well as his advices and ideas were of great help not only for my scientific results but, even more important, also for my personality.

Special thanks go to my collaborators from the University of Constance Prof. Dr. Marcus Groettrup, Dr. Michael Basler, and Ricarda Schwab. Without their contribution—the purification of the murine proteasomes—this work would not have been possible.

I strongly thank PD Dr. Wolfgang Heinemeyer for sharing his outstanding knowledge and experience in yeast genetics with me, for helping me creating the numerous mutants and for all the new techniques he taught me.

I am very grateful to Dr. Melissa Gräwert who patiently introduced me to the theory and the practice of crystallography during the first year of my Ph.D.

Moreover, I want to acknowledge Richard Feicht for all the yeast proteasome purifications and the amazing crystals he produced.

I am also indebted to all the students who joined me in the lab. In particular, I thank Silvia Domcke for her excellent work.

Last but not least, I want to thank all members of the Groll group, especially my Ph.D. colleagues for the nice and relaxed working atmosphere, one of the things I appreciated and enjoyed most during the last 3 years. I am grateful for all the funny moments inside and outside the lab, especially during the synchrotron trips and for all the group activities, we did together. Especially, I thank Ute and Astrid for managing many of my problems with forms and orders.

Finally, I thank my family for having enabled my studies, for their support, their confidence in me, and their interest in my work.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Intracellular Protein Degradation and Antigen Presentation	1
1.2	The Ubiquitin–Proteasome System	2
1.2.1	Polyubiquitylation: A Signal for Degradation	2
1.2.2	Subunit Composition and Architecture of Proteasomes.	2
1.2.3	Proteolysis by 20S Proteasomes.	5
1.2.4	Immune Functions of 20S Proteasomes.	7
1.3	20S Proteasomes: Validated and Emerging Drug Targets	8
1.4	Types of Proteasome Inhibitors	9
1.5	Clinically Relevant Proteasome Inhibitors	10
1.6	Subunit-Specific Proteasome Inhibitors and Their Therapeutic Potential	11
	References	13
2	Objective	19
3	Materials and Methods	21
3.1	Materials	21
3.1.1	Chemicals	21
3.1.2	Antibiotics	21
3.1.3	Media	21
3.1.4	Enzymes	22
3.1.5	Primer	22
3.1.6	Strains	23
3.1.7	Plasmids	23
3.1.8	Fluorogenic Substrates	24
3.1.9	DNA and Protein Standards	24
3.1.10	Instruments	25
3.1.11	Computer Software and Bioinformatics Tools	27
3.2	Genetic Methods	28
3.2.1	Cultivation and Long-Term Storage of <i>Escherichia coli</i>	28

3.2.2	Cultivation and Long-Term Storage of <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	28
3.2.3	Polymerase Chain Reaction	28
3.2.4	Site-Directed Mutagenesis	29
3.2.5	Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	30
3.2.6	Isolation of Plasmid DNA from <i>E. coli</i>	30
3.2.7	Purification of DNA	30
3.2.8	Restriction Digest of DNA	31
3.2.9	Determination of DNA Concentration	31
3.2.10	Ligation of DNA Fragments.	31
3.2.11	Transformation of <i>E. coli</i> by Electroporation.	32
3.2.12	Transformation of <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	32
3.2.13	Plasmid Shuffling	33
3.2.14	DNA Isolation from <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	33
3.2.15	DNA Sequencing	33
3.3	Protein Chemistry and Analytics	34
3.3.1	Purification of the Yeast 20S Proteasome.	34
3.3.2	Purification of the Murine Immuno- and Constitutive 20S Proteasome	34
3.3.3	SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis	35
3.3.4	Determination of Protein Concentration	35
3.4	Proteasome Activity Tests	36
3.4.1	Overlay Assay.	36
3.4.2	Fluorescence Activity Test and Determination of IC ₅₀ Values	36
3.5	Protein Crystallography	37
3.5.1	Crystallization of the Yeast 20S Proteasome	37
3.5.2	Crystallization of the Murine 20S Proteasomes.	37
3.5.3	Data Collection, Processing and Structure Determination	37
	References.	38
4	Results	41
4.1	Sequence Alignments.	41
4.2	X-Ray Structures of the Mouse 20S Immuno- and Constitutive Proteasome.	41
4.2.1	Crystallization and Structure Determination	41
4.2.2	Subunit Architecture of the cCP and iCP.	44
4.2.3	Structural Analysis of the Substrate Binding Channels	48
4.3	Investigations on the β 5i-Selective Proteasome Inhibitor ONX 0914	50
4.3.1	Inhibition of 20S Proteasomes by ONX 0914	50
4.3.2	Proteasome Core Particles in Complex with ONX 0914	51
4.3.3	Molecular Basis for the Subunit Selectivity of ONX 0914	52

4.3.4	Structural Analysis of the Epoxyketone Reaction Mechanism	56
4.4	Yeast Mutagenesis Studies on the Subunits $\beta 5c$, $\beta 5i$ and $\beta 5t$	60
4.4.1	Mimicking the $\beta 5c$ Active Site.	60
4.4.2	Analysis of the $\beta 5i$ Substrate Binding Channel	63
4.4.3	Probing Subunit $\beta 5t$	67
	References	68
5	Discussion.	71
5.1	Structural and Functional Differences Between the Three Types of CPs.	71
5.2	Guidelines for the Rational Design of CP-selective Inhibitors	73
	References	75
	Appendix	77

Abbreviations

Å	Ångström
aa	Amino acid
Ac	Acetate/acetyl
AMC	7-Amino-4-methylcoumarin
AMP	Adenosine monophosphate
Amp	Ampicillin
APS	Ammonium persulfate
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
<i>B. taurus</i>	<i>Bos taurus</i>
bp	Base pairs
Braap	Branched chain amino acid preferring
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
°C	Degree Celsius
Cbz	Carboxybenzyl
cCP	20S Constitutive proteasome
CD8	Cluster of differentiation 8
ChTL	Chymotrypsin-like
CL	Caspase-like
CM	Complete medium
CP	Core particle, 20S proteasome
cTEC	Cortical thymic epithelial cells
CTL	Cytotoxic T lymphocyte
2D	Two-dimensional
3D	Three-dimensional
Da	Dalton
ddH ₂ O	Double distilled water
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxid
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate

DTT	Dithiothreitol
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum
EtOH	Ethanol
FDA	U. S. food and drug administration
5-FOA	5-fluoroorotic acid
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
Hsp	Heat shock protein
IC ₅₀	Half maximal inhibitory concentration
iCP	20S Immunoproteasome
IFN	Interferon
IL	Interleukin
K	Kelvin
kbp	Kilo base pairs
kDa	Kilo Dalton
LB	Luria Bertani
LCMV-WE	Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus strain WE
LiAc	Lithium acetate
mA	Milliampere
MDa	Mega Dalton
MES	2-(<i>N</i> -morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid
MHC	Major histocompatibility complex class
MPD	2-Methyl-2,4-Pentanediol
NCS	Non-crystallographic symmetry
NEPHGE	Non-equilibrium pH gradient gel electrophoresis
NF-κB	Nuclear factor-κB
Ntn	N-terminal nucleophile
OD	Optical density
PAGE	Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PDB	Protein Data Bank
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
pNA	Para-nitroaniline
R _{free}	Free R-factor
r.m.s.d.	Root-mean-square deviation
rpm	Rounds per minute
R _{work}	Crystallographic R-factor
S	Svedberg
<i>S.cerevisiae</i>	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>
SDS	Sodiumdodecylsulfate
SLS	Swiss Light Source
Snaap	Small neutral amino acid preferring
SOC	Super optimal broth with catabolite repression
Suc	Succinyl

<i>T. acidophilum</i>	<i>Thermoplasma acidophilum</i>
TAE	Tris-Acetate-EDTA
TAP	Transporter associated with antigen processing
tCP	20S thymoproteasome
TCR	T cell receptor
TE	Tris-EDTA
TEMED	<i>N,N,N',N'</i> -tetramethylethylenediamine
TL	Trypsin-like
TLS	Translation, Libration, Screw
T _m	Melting temperature
TNF	Tumour necrosis factor
Tris	Tris (hydroxymethyl-) aminomethane
Tris-HCl	Tris (hydroxymethyl-) aminomethane hydrochloride
U	Unit
UV	Ultraviolet
V	Volt
VIS	Visible
v/v	Volume per volume
wt	Wildtype
w/v	Weight per volume
yCP	Yeast 20S proteasome
YPD	Yeast extract peptone dextrose