

Advanced Structured Materials

Volume 39

Series editors

Andreas Öchsner, Southport, Queensland, Australia

Lucas F.M. da Silva, Porto, Portugal

Holm Altenbach, Magdeburg, Germany

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8611>

Moones Rahmandoust · Majid R. Ayatollahi

Characterization of Carbon Nanotube-Based Composites Under Consideration of Defects

 Springer

Moones Rahmandoust
Griffith School of Engineering
Griffith University (Gold Coast Campus)
Southport
Queensland
Australia

and

Protein Research Center
Shahid Beheshti University, G.C.
Tehran
Iran

Majid R. Ayatollahi
Fatigue and Fracture Research Laboratory,
Center of Excellence in Experimental
Solid Mechanics and Dynamics, School
of Mechanical Engineering
Iran University of Science
and Technology
Tehran
Iran

ISSN 1869-8433

Advanced Structured Materials

ISBN 978-3-319-00250-7

DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-00251-4

ISSN 1869-8441 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-319-00251-4 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015950020

Springer Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

© Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer International Publishing AG Switzerland is part of Springer Science+Business Media
(www.springer.com)

Preface

Composites are engineered materials that consist of two or more insoluble phases combined together: a continuous phase, known as the *matrix*, as well as some interdispersed components, known as the *reinforcing* elements. Now if at least one of the constituent phases of a composite material is less than 100 nm in size, this composite is commonly termed *nanocomposite*.

The matrix is typically the major constituent that provides durability for the overall composite and it can be for instance, a metallic, ceramic, or polymer material. The reinforcing inclusions are on the other hand, the structure's load carriers that can be in the form of fibers, particles, or flakes. This phase of the composite structure provides its stiffness and strength. Therefore, suitable selection of type, amount, and orientation of these components plays a very important role on the overall characteristics of the pertaining composite, such as its tensile and compressive strengths, fatigue strength and failure mechanisms, electrical and thermal conductivities, specific gravity, etc.

Composite materials mostly show more significant advantageous properties compared to monolithic materials. Monolithic metals and their alloys cannot always meet the demands of today's advanced technologies and performance requirements. It means that by incorporating reinforcements into, for instance, a metallic, ceramic, or polymer matrix, the properties of the matrix improves to a higher mechanical strength, more significant temperature stability, and better chemical durability. The existence of reinforcing elements, on the other hand, improves the structure's physical and chemical properties significantly.

Among all the variety of different fillers that can be used as a nanocomposite's reinforcing phase, *carbon nanotubes* (CNTs) have shown to be promising candidates for their very specific mechanical, electrical, optical, and thermal properties that will be explained later. Carbon nanotube-based nanocomposites, i.e., composite materials in which carbon nanotubes are used as the composite's reinforcing phase, are therefore very much interesting for scientists and scholars. There are many outstanding and remarkable applications that CNT-based composites can contribute to the world of science and industry. They are expected to influence many fields in terms of technology and industry. Either as stand-alone

nanomaterials or as reinforcements in composites, they have applications in many diverse fields such as energy, signal processing, medicine, biotechnology, information technology, aerospace, agriculture, and environment. Therefore, exploring different properties of carbon nanotubes and carbon nanotube-based composite materials is of never-ending importance.

In the first three chapters of this volume, therefore, carbon nanotubes and carbon nanotube-based nanocomposites are introduced. Their material structure, major mechanical, electrical, and optical properties and their applications are further represented and the most common fabrication methods are also introduced. Finally, defects involved with carbon nanotubes, CNT-based composites are introduced, and the finite element techniques to model the introduced defects and to study their impact on the mechanical properties of the nanostructures are revealed for those who are interested in the area.

Chapter 4, then provides a detailed study on experimental aspects and challenges of fabricating carbon nanotube-based nanocomposites and controlling the appearance of defects in them. Finally, the major mechanical properties of the produced structures are reviewed and the effects of fabrication and processing steps on the resulted properties are introduced. Although mechanical properties of CNT-based composites are of major consideration in this volume, a specific subsection is devoted to highlight the special electrical conductance of the fabricated CNT composites.

The final chapter introduces CNT-fiber reinforced polymer composites specifically, as one of the most famous CNT-based composites and covers all major facts about the fabrication process of this nanocomposite type. The quality of the impact of modifying various fabrication factors of the polymer nanocomposites on its mechanical behavior is also explained with a detailed look at the tribological properties the composite.

Finally, we appreciate the moment to express our sincere gratitude to Professor Dr.-Ing. Andreas Öchsner, editor-in-chief of the Springer book series on “Advanced Structured Materials” for his helpful guidance. We would like to thank Dr. Shahin Shadlou, Dr. Mohsen Khalily, Mr. Iman Eslami Afrouz, Mr. Mehdi Mavalizadeh, Mr. Morteza Farsadi, Mr. Ali Ghavamian and Mr. Reza Barbaz for their valuable assistance. Dr. Mostafa Rahmandoust, Mrs. Azar Rezaie and Dr. Hamidreza Kazempour should also be appreciated here for their kind support. In conclusion, we are grateful to many scientists worldwide, for their novel contributions to the topic, and for devoting their lives to keep the flame of knowledge and science burning brightly and beautifully through human history. We also thank Springer publisher for recognizing the necessity of the topic and the importance of characterization of defects in nanostructured materials.

Moones Rahmandoust
Majid R. Ayatollahi

The original version of the book frontmatter was revised: For detailed information please see Erratum. The Erratum is available at [10.1007/978-3-319-18410-4_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-18410-4_7)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Nanotechnology	1
1.2	Nanostructured Materials	2
1.3	Nanomaterials' Emerging Applications	3
1.4	Computational Characterization of Defects	4
	References	4
2	Carbon Nanotubes	5
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Carbon and Carbon-Based Nanomaterials	5
2.3	Atomic Structure of Carbon Nanotubes	7
	2.3.1 Single Walled Carbon Nanotubes	8
	2.3.2 Multi Walled Carbon Nanotubes	8
2.4	Properties of Carbon Nanotubes	11
	2.4.1 Mechanical Properties	11
	2.4.2 Electrical Properties	14
	2.4.3 Optical Properties	17
	2.4.4 Thermal Properties	20
2.5	Manufacturing Techniques	21
	2.5.1 Arc Discharge	21
	2.5.2 Laser Ablation	22
	2.5.3 Chemical Vapor Deposition	22
	2.5.4 Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition (PECVD)	23
	2.5.5 Vapor Phase Growth	24
	2.5.6 High Pressure Carbon Monoxide Reaction Method	24
	2.5.7 Conclusion	25
2.6	Defects of Carbon Nanotubes	25
	2.6.1 Topological Definition and Defects	26
	2.6.2 Substitutional Doped Defect	30
2.7	Modeling of Carbon Nanotubes	33

2.7.1	Nanostructural Simulation	34
2.7.2	Mechanical Properties of SWCNTs	42
2.7.3	Mechanical Properties of MWCNTs	49
2.8	Modeling of Defects	51
2.8.1	Perturbation in Carbon Nanotube's Structure	52
2.8.2	Atom-Vacancies in CNT Structure	53
2.8.3	Doped Carbon Nanotubes	54
2.8.4	Stone–Wales Defect	55
2.8.5	Hetero-Junction CNTs	56
	References	57
3	Nanocomposites	65
3.1	Introduction	65
3.2	Nanoengineered Materials	66
3.3	Types of Composites	66
3.4	Structure of Composites	67
3.4.1	Matrix Phase Types	68
3.4.2	Reinforcing Phase Types	71
3.4.3	Continuous-Fiber Composites	75
3.4.4	Short-Fiber Composites	77
3.5	Carbon Nanotube-Based Composites	79
3.5.1	Mechanical Properties	80
3.5.2	Electrical Properties	87
3.5.3	Thermal Properties	89
3.5.4	Optical Properties	92
3.6	Defects Involved with CNT-Based Composites	92
3.6.1	CNT Defects	93
3.6.2	CNT Bundles	94
3.6.3	CNT Distribution	94
3.7	Analytical Studies	95
3.7.1	Rule of Mixture	95
3.7.2	Halpin-Tsai Method	96
3.8	Modeling Techniques	97
3.8.1	CNT/Matrix Unit Cell	97
3.8.2	Modeling of Nanocomposite	102
3.8.3	Comparison of Experimental and Computational Studies	109
	References	110
4	CNT-Based Nanocomposites	117
4.1	Introduction	117
4.2	Production Methods	118
4.2.1	Dispersion Methods	118
4.2.2	Composite Processing	120
4.2.3	Defects in Nano-composites and Prevention Methods	122

- 4.3 Mechanical Properties 125
 - 4.3.1 Effects of Composite Processing 125
 - 4.3.2 Effects of CNT and Matrix Type and Filler Loading 127
 - 4.3.3 Effects of CNT Aspect Ratio 135
 - 4.3.4 Effects of Secondary Nanoparticles 137
- 4.4 Fracture Toughness. 139
 - 4.4.1 Mode I Fracture Toughness 140
 - 4.4.2 Mixed Mode Fracture Toughness. 142
 - 4.4.3 Fracture Surface Studies. 152
- 4.5 Tribological Properties 155
 - 4.5.1 Effects of Filler Loading 157
 - 4.5.2 Effects of CNT Aspect Ratio 163
 - 4.5.3 Effects of Secondary Nanoparticles 164
- 4.6 Electrical Properties 164
- References 167

- 5 CNT/FRP Composites. 177**
 - 5.1 Introduction 177
 - 5.2 Production Methods 178
 - 5.3 Mechanical Properties 184
 - 5.3.1 Effects of Matrix Modification. 184
 - 5.3.2 Effects of Fiber Modification 192
 - 5.3.3 Effects of Prepreg Modification 199
 - 5.3.4 Effects of Secondary Nanoparticles 202
 - 5.4 Tribological Properties 207
 - References. 215

- 6 Summery 219**

- Erratum to: Characterization of Carbon Nanotube-Based Composites Under Consideration of Defects E1**

Synopsis

Composites are engineered materials that consist of two or more insoluble phases combined together, i.e., a continuous phase, known as the matrix, as well as interdispersed component known as the reinforcing phases. If at least one of the constituent phases of a composite material is less than 100 nm in size, e.g., the reinforcing phase, this composite is commonly termed a nanocomposite. Among all the variety of different fillers that can be used as a nanocomposite's reinforcing phase, carbon nanotubes have shown to be promising candidates for their very specific and remarkable mechanical and physical properties. Carbon nanotube-based nanocomposites, i.e., composite materials in which carbon nanotubes are used as the composite's reinforcing phase, are therefore very much interesting for many outstanding applications that they can contribute to the world of science and industry. This volume represents the characterization methods involved with carbon nanotubes and carbon nanotube-based composites, with a more detailed look on computational mechanics approaches, namely the finite element method. Special emphasis is given to studies that consider the influence of the existence of some imperfections in the structure of the nanomaterials on their mechanical properties. These defects may include random distribution of fibers in the composite structure, as well as atom vacancies, perturbation, and doping in the structure of individual carbon nanotubes.

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	C60 carbon fullerene	2
Figure 1.2	Nanostructures categorized base on macroscopic dimensions	3
Figure 2.1	Carbon atom and its allotropes	6
Figure 2.2	Schematic view of a single-walled zigzag, armchair and chiral carbon nanotubes	7
Figure 2.3	Carbon–carbon Lennard-Jones interaction	9
Figure 2.4	The Lennard-Jones force	10
Figure 2.5	Relative abundance of electrons as a function of energy level	15
Figure 2.6	Schematic illustration of Beer-Lambert absorption in a material	19
Figure 2.7	Arch discharge chamber	21
Figure 2.8	Laser ablation reactor	22
Figure 2.9	CVD reaction chamber	23
Figure 2.10	PECVD reaction chamber	24
Figure 2.11	a Ideal structure, b monovacancy (3DB), c double-vacancy, d triple-vacancy, e reconstructed monovacancy (5-1DB), and f Stone–Wales defect.	27
Figure 2.12	Schematic illustration of the bond rotation in Stone–Wales defect	28
Figure 2.13	Knee connection between two different CNTs with a similar, and b different diameters.	29
Figure 2.14	Welded carbon nanotubes with a X-, b Y-, and c T-geometries (adapted from Terrones et al. (2002), with permission; Copyright 2002 by The American Physical Society).	30
Figure 2.15	Schematic illustration of boron-carbon islands. Boron atoms and the B–C bonds are marked with <i>red</i>	31
Figure 2.16	Si-doped SWCNT	32

Figure 2.17	Possible configurations of nitrogen-carbon bonding in a Graphene sheet and b Carbon nanotube.	33
Figure 2.18	<i>Top</i> - and <i>side</i> -view of first ring for a (10,10)-(5, 5) armchair DWCNT, and b (17, 0)-(8, 0) zigzag DWCNT	35
Figure 2.19	Unit blocks of a armchair, and b zigzag SWCNTs	36
Figure 2.20	Single ring of FE models of a (10, 10), b (17, 0) SWCNTs	37
Figure 2.21	Spring modeling of the Lennard-Jones force.	40
Figure 2.22	Comparison of the Lennard-Jones force with its approximations	41
Figure 2.23	a Armchair DWCNT unit block, b armchair 5-walled ring, and c armchair 5-walled (10, 10)-(15, 15)-(20, 20)-(25, 25)-(30, 30) CNT	42
Figure 2.24	Geometrical dimensions and applied boundary conditions on a (10, 10) armchair and b (17, 0) zigzag single-walled carbon nanotube.	43
Figure 2.25	Armchair SWCNT under a torsion and b tensile test	44
Figure 2.26	Cross-section of a CNT, showing the lateral forces.	45
Figure 2.27	a Classical boundary conditions and their corresponding effective length constants for buckling and their first resonance frequency equation, b definitions of the symbols of applied boundary conditions	47
Figure 2.28	Buckling behavior of a armchair and b zigzag SWCNTs.	48
Figure 2.29	Frequency modes of a zigzag SWCNTs under cantilever boundary condition	49
Figure 2.30	Schematic view of the long and the ring structures for a armchair and b zigzag SWCNTs	50
Figure 2.31	Young's modulus versus the number of walls in zigzag and armchair MWCNTs	52
Figure 2.32	Front view of the a perfect and b 10 % perturbed SWCNT.	52
Figure 2.33	Perturbation versus Young's modulus	53
Figure 2.34	Missing atoms versus Young's modulus	53
Figure 2.35	Effect Si doping on Young's modulus of SWCNTs.	54
Figure 2.36	a Top, b zoomed and c global view of a Si-doped DWCNT	55
Figure 2.37	In-plane $\pi/2$ degree rotation of bonds to make pentagon-heptagon defect	56
Figure 2.38	Occurrence of pentagon-heptagon defect on a kink	56
Figure 3.1	The phases of a composite.	66
Figure 3.2	a Long-fiber, and b short-fiber composites	75
Figure 3.3	a Parallel and b Random orientation of CNTs inside matrix.	79
Figure 3.4	Young's modulus versus density for different engineering materials (modified from Esawi and Farag 2007)	81
Figure 3.5	Random distribution of CNT fibers inside a polymeric matrix, in terms of a orientation and b orientation and distribution density	95

Figure 3.6	An SWCNT held inside a cylindrical and b rectangular unit cell of the polymer	98
Figure 3.7	Model structure of the composite; a Overview, and b boundary conditions.	99
Figure 3.8	A schematic view of a possible cubic unit cell out of a whole composite, i.e. reinforcement element and its supporting matrix	99
Figure 3.9	Representative volume element of a SWCNT in microscopic matrix: a Cubic model, b Cylindrical model.	100
Figure 3.10	One-fourth of the upper surface of RVE with applied FEM mesh and boundary conditions: a cylindrical, b cubic model	101
Figure 3.11	Effect of matrix volume fraction on the longitudinal Young's modulus of cylindrical and cubic unit cells that contain filled and hollow CNT	102
Figure 3.12	Distribution of parallel CNTs inside a polymer matrix	102
Figure 3.13	a 3-D truss element; to replace CNTs, and b 3-D arbitrarily distorted brick element, to replace matrix	103
Figure 3.14	Representative of a unit cell after replacing CNTs with truss elements. Reaction forces, cross-sectional area, displacement and initial length are defined by F_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), A , ΔL and L , respectively	103
Figure 3.15	Schematic representative volume element consisting of aligned CNT fibers with applied boundary conditions	104
Figure 3.16	Nanocomposite RVE model, containing 2000 randomly distributed CNT fibers (CNT length = 50 nm, volume fraction = 10.48 %)	105
Figure 3.17	RVE with 10 layers and 11 sectional areas	106
Figure 3.18	The region of predicted Young's modulus values for 5 % fiber volume fraction.	107
Figure 3.19	Estimated longitudinal Young's modulus (GPa) versus number of nodes (<i>randomness</i>) in matrix for different fiber volume fraction	108
Figure 3.20	Randomly-oriented CNT islands.	109
Figure 4.1	Sample TEM pictures of CNT/epoxy composites: a sonication of MWCNT/epoxy; b sonication of DWCNT/epoxy (Fiedler et al. 2006).	119
Figure 4.2	Sample TEM pictures of CNT/epoxy composites: a calendaring of MWCNT/epoxy; b calendaring of DWCNT/epoxy (Fiedler et al. 2006).	120
Figure 4.3	Typical stress-strain curves for CNT-based polymer composites with different MWCNT weight percents (Ayatollahi et al. 2011c).	129

Figure 4.4 Effects of MWCNT aspect ratio on experimental results obtained for nanocomposites **a** Young’s modulus **b** tensile strength **c** strain at break (Ayatollahi et al. 2011d) . . . 136

Figure 4.5 The tensile properties of pure epoxy and hybrid nanocomposites **a** Young’s modulus **b** tensile properties (Ayatollahi et al. 2011e). 139

Figure 4.6 Different recommendations for improving the toughness of brittle polymers by incorporating CNTs (Mirjalili and Hubert 2010). 141

Figure 4.7 Fracture toughness of hybrid nanocomposites reinforced with different types of fillers (Ayatollahi et al. 2011e) 143

Figure 4.8 The experimental set up **a** three-point bend specimen (pure mode I) **b** four-point bend specimen (mixed mode and mode II) (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f) 144

Figure 4.9 Typical force-displacement curves obtained for pure epoxy and for nanocomposites under mode I loading conditions (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f). 145

Figure 4.10 Samples of epoxy/0.1 wt% nanocomposite broken under **a** mode I **b** mode II loading conditions (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f). 145

Figure 4.11 Mixed mode I/II fracture toughness data obtained for pure epoxy and for nanocomposites (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f). 145

Figure 4.12 Increase in effective fracture toughness of nanocomposites containing 0.1, 0.5 and 1 wt% MWCNTs, relative to pure epoxy for different combinations of mode I and mode II (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f). 146

Figure 4.13 The increase in mode I and mode II fracture toughness in different percent contents of MWCNT (Ayatollahi et al. 2011c). 148

Figure 4.14 Effective fracture resistance $K_{eff,f}$ for pure epoxy and for nanocomposites reinforced with different types of nanoparticles **a** ND, **b** CNF and **c** GO (Shadlou et al. 2013) 149

Figure 4.15 The curves of $K_{I\text{r}}-K_{II\text{r}}$ plotted based on the results obtained from mixed mode fracture experiments (Shadlou et al. 2013) 150

Figure 4.16 Effects of MWCNT aspect ratio on mixed mode I/II fracture toughness data obtained for pure epoxy and for nanocomposites (Ayatollahi et al. 2011g) 151

Figure 4.17 Percent increase in effective fracture toughness of nanocomposite containing type A for different mode mixities (Ayatollahi et al. 2011g). 151

Figure 4.18 Fracture surfaces for mode I fracture tests **a** neat epoxy **b** 0.1 wt% MWCNT/epoxy **c** 0.5 wt% MWCNT/epoxy **d** 1 wt% MWCNT/epoxy (in **(c)** and **(d)** higher magnifications are shown). All the pictures are taken from a region in the vicinity of the initial crack front. The direction of crack propagation: *top right* towards *bottom left* (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f) 153

Figure 4.19 Typical SEM micrographs fracture surfaces under mode I loading for epoxy/MWCNT nanocomposites **a** 0.1 wt%-well dispersed **b** 0.5 wt% well dispersed **c** an agglomerate in 1 wt% **d** pulled out MWCNTs in 0.5 wt% nanocomposite. All the pictures are taken from a region close to the initial crack front. The direction of crack propagation: *top right* towards *bottom left* (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f) 154

Figure 4.20 Typical SEM micrographs of pure epoxy under **a** mode I **b** mixed mode ($K_I = K_{II}$) **c** mode II, and nanocomposites containing 0.5 wt% under **d** mode I **e** mixed mode ($K_I = K_{II}$) **f** mode II (in **(b)** and **(e)** higher magnifications are also shown). The pictures are taken from a region in the vicinity of the initial crack front. The direction of crack propagation: *top right* towards *bottom left* (Ayatollahi et al. 2011f) 155

Figure 4.21 Typical SEM micrographs of fracture surfaces for epoxy/MWCNT nano-composites: **a** 0.1 wt% **b** 0.5 wt% **c** 1 wt% **d** magnification of a selected part in **(c)** near the region of crack propagation. The direction of crack propagation: *top right* towards *bottom left* (Ayatollahi et al. 2011c). 156

Figure 4.22 Typical SEM pictures of mode I fracture surfaces **a** pure epoxy **b** 0.5 wt% MWCNT/epoxy and mode II fracture surfaces **c** pure epoxy **d** 0.5 wt% MWCNT/epoxy near the region of fracture initiation. The direction of crack propagation: *top right* towards *bottom left* (Ayatollahi et al. 2011c). 157

Figure 4.23 Scratch hardness values obtained for pure epoxy and for nanocomposites (Ayatollahi et al. 2012a) 158

Figure 4.24 Apparent and ploughing coefficients of friction in terms of MWCNT percent content (Ayatollahi et al. 2012a) 159

Figure 4.25 Sample SEM pictures of transfer films generated on the steel ring surface in **a** neat polyimide and **b** polyimide/CNT nanocomposite with 8 wt% of CNT (sliding conditions: load 200 N; velocity 0.431 m/s; test duration 90 min) (Cai et al. 2004) 159

Figure 4.26	The values of mass loss (a), wear rate (b) and friction coefficient (c) of epoxy-MWCNTs nanocomposites with different percent contents of MWCNTs (Campo et al. 2015)	161
Figure 4.27	The values of electrical conductivity obtained for CNT/epoxy, GNP/epoxy and CNT/GNP/epoxy specimen measured experimentally by using the four-point probe technique (Safdari and Al-Haikr 2013)	166
Figure 4.28	The values of electrical conductivity obtained for pure epoxy and MWCNT-nanoclay/epoxy (Ayatollahi et al. 2011e).	166
Figure 4.29	Conductive network shown schematically for a MWCNT/epoxy nanocomposite b MWCNT-nanoclay/epoxy (Ayatollahi et al. 2011e).	167
Figure 5.1	A sample SEM picture of a multiscale carbon nanotube-carbon fiber reinforced polymer composite (Yokozeiki et al. 2007)	178
Figure 5.2	Typical procedure for chemical vapor decomposition (CVD) process	179
Figure 5.3	Sample SEM micrographs of carbon fibers a before, and b after carbon nanotube growth (Thostenson et al. 2002) (Reprinted with permission from Thostenson et al. Copyright 2002, AIP Publishing LLC)	180
Figure 5.4	Schematics of the electrophoresis process.	181
Figure 5.5	Schematics of the sizing process	181
Figure 5.6	Typical steps involved in the hierarchical manufacturing of a three-dimensional composite: (1) aligned nanotube grown on the fiber cloth; (2) stacking of matrix-infiltrated carbon nanotube-grown fiber cloth; (3) 3D nanocomposite plate fabrication by hand lay-up (Veedu et al. 2006) (Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: <i>Nature Materials</i> Veedu et al. copyright 2006)	182
Figure 5.7	Schematics of powder method for dispersing vapor grown carbon fiber between carbon fiber reinforced polymer composite prepregs	183
Figure 5.8	The procedure for transfer-printing of vertically-aligned carbon nanotubes (VACNTs) to prepreg: a illustration of the 'transfer-printing' process; b CNT forest fully transplanted from its original silicon substrate to the surface of prepreg ply; (c and d) SEM micrographs of CNT forest, showing CNT alignment after transplantation (Garcia et al. 2008b).	184
Figure 5.9	Typical stages for the processing techniques of nanoreinforced laminated composites.	185

Figure 5.10 Experimental results obtained for the tensile behavior of various UD-laminates tested in the fiber direction. **a** The tensile modulus, **b** failure strength, **c** stress versus strain curves (Godara et al. 2009) 186

Figure 5.11 Stress–strain curves obtained for neat and nanophased laminates in the experiments (Zhou et al. 2008) 188

Figure 5.12 The results reported for stress versus number of load cycles for the composites under dynamic tension-tension load ($R = 0.1$) (Böger et al. 2010). 190

Figure 5.13 The results reported for stress versus number of load cycles for the composites under dynamic tensile-compression load ($R = -1$) (Böger et al. 2010). 190

Figure 5.14 The results reported for stress versus number of load cycles for the composites under dynamic compression–compression load ($R = 10$) (Böger et al. 2010) 191

Figure 5.15 The values of percentage increase in flexural modulus and flexural stress of nanocomposites compared to their laminate counterparts with various amounts of CNT loadings in PA6 (Shen et al. 2009). 192

Figure 5.16 The curves of load–displacement for various composite systems obtained during interlaminar fracture toughness tests (Warrier et al. 2010). 193

Figure 5.17 The values of interlaminar fracture toughness (*R-curves*) for various composite systems (Warrier et al. 2010) 193

Figure 5.18 The values of mode I fracture toughness obtained for hierarchical composite specimens (Kim et al. 2015). 194

Figure 5.19 The values of shear strength measured for treated carbon/epoxy composites (An et al. 2012) 197

Figure 5.20 Sample stress–strain curves obtained for the neat epoxy and the composites with different contents of CNT and CSCF (Rahmanian et al. 2014). 198

Figure 5.21 The values of mode-II interlaminar fracture toughness of CFRLC with and without membrane-assisted method (Ma et al. 2015) 199

Figure 5.22 Tensile properties of the composites, **a** Tensile modulus of composites, **b** Tensile strength of composites (Zhang et al. 2013). 200

Figure 5.23 The curves obtained for ILSS of the composites (Zhang et al. 2013). 201

Figure 5.24 Schematic of MWCNTs between CF cloth layers proposed for enhancing the ILSS 202

Figure 5.25 The values of tensile strength and tensile modulus of epoxy reinforced with 0.5 wt% loading of various MWCNTs, respectively (Jia et al. 2013) 203

Figure 5.26	The tensile strength and elongation at break for GF/EP composites with various loadings of SiO ₂ -MWCNTs (Jia et al. 2013)	204
Figure 5.27	The values of ILSS obtained for GF/EP composites with various percent loadings of SiO ₂ -MWCNTs (Jia et al. 2013)	205
Figure 5.28	Sample stress– strain curves obtained for flexural properties of the glass fabric/epoxy composites (Li et al. 2014)	205
Figure 5.29	The variations of friction coefficient for carbon fibers grafted with CNTs (<i>circles</i>) and carbon fibers without CNTs (<i>squares</i>) as a function of the number of cycles under a normal load of 2 N (Guignier et al. 2015)	208
Figure 5.30	The variations of friction coefficient for carbon fibers grafted with CNTs under different mean pressures: 26 kPa (<i>circles</i>), 37 kPa (<i>squares</i>), 46 kPa (<i>plus symbols</i>), 53 kPa (<i>crosses</i>) and 59 kPa (<i>triangles</i>) (Guignier et al. 2015).	208
Figure 5.31	The values of maximum depth profile and wear loss obtained for three composites: carbon/epoxy and multiscale carbon/CNT/epoxy composites (Kim et al. 2011).	210
Figure 5.32	Experimental results for friction coefficient curves (a) and specific wear rates (b) of CNFs/HSGF/phenolic laminate (Liu et al. 2015).	210
Figure 5.33	Experimental results for friction coefficient curves (a) and specific wear rates (b) of CMFs/HSGF/phenolic laminate (Liu et al. 2015).	211
Figure 5.34	The variations of friction coefficient and wear rate obtained for the hybrid PTFE/cotton fabric composites with the content of MWCNTs under the load of 203.84 N at room temperature (sliding speed: 0.26 m/s) (Zhang et al. 2009).	212
Figure 5.35	The variations of friction coefficient (a) and the wear rate (b) obtained for hybrid PTFE/cotton fabric composites unfilled, filled with MWCNTs, MWCNTs-g-MA with increasing applied load at room temperature (Zhang et al. 2009).	213
Figure 5.36	The variations of weight loss in terms of CNT addition after wear test (Lim et al. 2002).	214
Figure 5.37	The variations of friction coefficient in terms of CNT addition during wear test (Lim et al. 2002)	214

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Material and geometric properties of a C–C bond	39
Table 2.2	Comparing the analytical solution of zigzag and armchair SWCNTs critical buckling load, with the optimized finite element results	47
Table 2.3	Comparing the analytical solution of zigzag and armchair SWCNTs resonance frequency, with the optimized finite element results	48
Table 2.4	Young’s modulus of a long and ring SWCNTs	50
Table 2.5	Young’s modulus of DWCNT models in form of a ring and a long tube, with and without the Lennard-Jones force being defined	51
Table 2.6	Shear modulus of DWCNT models in form of a ring and a long tube, with and without the Lennard-Jones force being defined	51
Table 2.7	Simulated CNTs and their characteristics	51
Table 3.1	Young’s modulus obtained for various volume fractions and distributions of fibers inside matrix	106
Table 3.2	Obtained Poisson’s ratio of ν_{zx} for various volume fractions and distribution of fibers into the matrix	108
Table 3.3	Obtained Poisson’s ratio of ν_{zy} for various volume fractions and distribution of fibers into the matrix	108
Table 4.1	Modulus of solution-based matrices and their composites	126
Table 4.2	Modulus of melt-processed bulk matrices and their composites	127
Table 4.3	Modulus of thermoset polymer matrices and that of their respective composites	128
Table 4.4	Tensile properties of pure epoxy and nano-composites (Ayatollahi et al. 2011c)	129
Table 4.5	Mechanical properties of CNT-based polymer composites	130
Table 4.6	Mechanical properties of pure epoxy and nano-composites reinforced with MWCNTs of type B (Ayatollahi et al. 2011d)	135

Table 4.7	MWCNTs of different aspect ratios used by Ayatollahi et al. (2011d)	135
Table 4.8	Different properties obtained for: pure epoxy resin, 0.25 wt% GPL, 0.25 wt% CNF and 0.25 wt% of GPL plus 0.25 wt% of CNF hybrid nanoparticles epoxy nanocomposites, adopted from (Shokrieh et al. 2014)	138
Table 4.9	Fracture toughness of hybrid nanocomposites reinforced with MWCNTs and nanoclay at different contents (Ayatollahi et al. 2011e)	142
Table 4.10	Mode I and mode II fracture toughness obtained for pure epoxy and for epoxy/MWCNT nano-composites (Ayatollahi et al. 2011c)	147
Table 5.1	Tensile strengths of fibers with and without coatings measured at different gauge lengths (mean value \pm one std) (Siddiqui et al. 2010).	185
Table 5.2	The values of Young's modulus and tensile strength obtained for multi-scale composite laminates (Chen et al. 2009)	187
Table 5.3	Tensile test results of CNT/PA6 nanocomposites (Shen et al. 2009).	191
Table 5.4	The results obtained for tensile strength and stiffness for f-XD-CNT reinforce and neat carbon fiber fabric epoxy composite laminates (Davis et al. 2010).	195
Table 5.5	The values of tensile strength for composite specimens made of carbon fibers undergone different processing stages in the process of CNT growth on carbon fibers (Sharma and Lakkad 2011)	196
Table 5.6	The values of ILSS obtained for the glass fabric/epoxy composites with and without filler modification (Li et al. 2014).	206
Table 5.7	The friction coefficients obtained for three composites, Load is 49.03 N and Speed is 0.18 m/s (Kim et al. 2011).	209

Abbreviations

AFM	Atomic force microscope
BEM	Boundary element method
CFRP	Carbon fiber reinforced polymer
CNF	Carbon nanofiber
CNT	Carbon nanotube
DOS	Density of states
DWCNT	Double-walled carbon nanotube
ERM	Effective reinforcing modulus
FEM	Finite element method
FRP	Fiber-reinforced polymer
GFRP	Glass fiber-reinforced polymer
HDPE	High-density polyethylene
HRTEM	High-resolution transmission electron microscope
MD	Molecular dynamics
MWCNT	Multi-walled carbon nanotubes
Nano-Al	Nanocrystalline-aluminum
OAS	Optical absorption spectroscopy
PET	Poly ethylene terephthalate
PMC	Polymer-matrix composites
RM	Rule of mixture
RVE	Representative volume element
SPS	Spark plasma sintering
SWCNT	Single-walled carbon nanotube
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
UHMWPE	Ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene

Symbols

\vec{C}_h	Chiral vector
θ	Chiral angle
a_0	Length of each unit vector
b	Carbon-carbon bond length
D, d	Diameter
t	Thickness
r	Distance
L	Length
A	Area
\bar{m}	Mass density
V_{LJ}	Lennard-Jones potential
F_{LJ}	Lennard-Jones force
σ	Stress
ε	Strain
F	Force
T	Torque
P_{cr}	Critical load
f	Resonance frequency
E	Young's modulus
G	Shear modulus
ν	Poisson's ratio
V_{CNT}	Volume fraction of CNTs
U	Strain energy
P	Pure axial load
M	Pure bending moment
T	Pure twisting moment
I	Second moment of area
J	Polar moment
$\Delta b, \Delta\alpha$ and $\Delta\beta$	Tensile, bending, and twist angle deformations

η_L	Length efficiency factor
η_o	Orientation efficiency factor
k_r, k_o and k_φ	Molecular mechanics force constants
k	Spring constant
K	Effective length constant