Palgrave Studies in Ethics and Public Policy

Series Editor
Thom Brooks
Durham Law School
Durham University
Durham, UK

Palgrave Studies in Ethics and Public Policy offers an interdisciplinary platform for the highest quality scholarly research exploring the relation between ethics and public policy across a wide range of issues including abortion, climate change, drugs, euthanasia, health care, immigration and terrorism. It will provide an arena to help map the future of both theoretical and practical thinking across a wide range of interdisciplinary areas in Ethics and Public Policy.

More information about this series at
http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/14631

Ivan Cerovac

Epistemic Democracy and Political Legitimacy

palgrave
macmillan

Ivan Cerovac
Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences
University of Rijeka
Rijeka, Croatia

Palgrave Studies in Ethics and Public Policy
ISBN 978-3-030-44601-7 ISBN 978-3-030-44602-4 (eBook)
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-44602-4

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020
This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.
The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.
The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover illustration: Eric Carter/Alamy Stock Photo

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

For the Fellowship of Public Reason

Acknowledgements

The work on this book was supported by the Department of Humanities at the University of Trieste and the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences at the University of Rijeka. Its first draft was completed within my interdisciplinary research project "Identity, Values and Democracy" funded by DIANET—Danube Initiative and Alps Adriatic Network and the European Social Fund (FP1345500001). The final version was completed during my collaboration within the research project "Well-being, Affiliation and Social Justice" funded by the Croatian Science Foundation (UIP-2017-05-3462).

I would like to thank my colleagues at both universities for inspiring many valuable ideas and greatly improving my work. I benefited a lot from collaboration and discussion with fellow political philosophers, but also with colleagues specializing in other branches of philosophy, as well as with political scientists, sociologists and economists. Special acknowledgement goes to my doctoral supervisor at the University of Trieste, Pierpaolo Marrone, who proved to be an excellent mentor and a valuable advisor, but also a great philosopher and a good friend.

For the past ten years I have had a great pleasure to be a member of the "Fellowship of Public Reason", an informal group of researchers based at the University of Rijeka. Apart from numerous meetings and discussions, the Fellowship has organized more than twenty international conferences with some of the leading experts in the area of public justification, which has given me the opportunity to present my work to many philosophers whose positions I critically engage in this book. I would like to thank Elvio Baccarini, a dear friend and the head of our Fellowship, for continuous support, stimulating criticism and insightful comments that have greatly helped shape not only this book, but my entire philosophical work. I am also indebted to Nebojša Zelič and Snježana Prijić-Samaržija whose work continually inspires and stimulates my own, and who have unconditionally supported me for the past ten years. Luca Malatesti has greatly helped in the latter stages of my work, offering invaluable suggestions and recommendations that I have tried to follow to the best of my abilities. I would also like to thank the remaining members of the Fellowship, including Andrea Mešanović and Aleksandar Šušnjar, who have kept me motivated and with whom I have spent many wonderful moments. Finally, though not actually a member of the Fellowship of Public Reason, Boran Berčić frequently attended many of our meetings and contributed with excellent comments and suggestions, reminding us that philosophy has to be kept as simple, clear and comprehensible as possible.

It has been a pleasure and a privilege to have my work commented by great philosophers and participants of various events organized all around Europe. I have learned a lot from Richard Arneson, Richard Bellamy, Thom Brooks, Emanuela Ceva, Simone Chambers, Thomas Christiano, Rutger Claassen, Chiara Destri, David Estlund, Miranda Fricker, Gerald Gaus, Chandran Kukathas, Jose Marti, Ana Matan, David Miller, Ivan Mladenović, Martin O'Neill, Fabienne Peter, Jonathan Quong, Kai Spiekermann, Steven Wall, Leif Wenar, Andrew Williams and Jonathan Wolff. There are many others whom I have undoubtably forgotten for which I apologize.

Julija Perhat and Mirela Fuš had done a great job of proofreading and formatting the first draft of the manuscript, but also provided some valuable comments and suggestions.

Finally, special thanks go to my virtue-friend Mia Biturajac, for reminding me to live and love philosophy.

While there is a lot of new material here, a few chapters draw from some of my previously published papers. Of course, they have been substantively modified, updated and improved to fit this publication.

Chapter 2 is partly based on "How the Principle of Public Equality Introduces Substance in Democratic Proceduralism", *Annals of the Croatian Political Science Association 12*, no. 1 (2015): 3–16.

Chapter 4 is partly based on "Plural Voting and J. S. Mill's Account of Democratic Legitimacy", *Croatian Journal of Philosophy 16*, no. 46 (2016): 91–106.

Chapter 6 is partly based on "The Role of Experts in a Democratic Society", *Journal of Education, Culture and Society 7*, no. 2 (2016): 75–88.

Praise for *Epistemic Democracy and Political Legitimacy*

"With increased attention to the quality of democratic decisions, political philosophers have recently been exploring anew what role expertise and public deliberation might properly play. Ivan Cerovac provides an excellent critical survey of these live debates around *epistemic democracy*, and proposes his own nuanced view. Cerovac argues that on epistemic grounds what is required is a more thoroughly egalitarian society than many other accounts have proposed, and his original arguments should be confronted by all those interested in recent developments in democratic theory."

—David Estlund, *Lombardo Family Professor of Philosophy, Brown University, USA*

"This carefully argued book provides a helpful overview of a wide range of theories of democratic legitimacy, covering pure deliberative approaches, pragmatist approaches and different versions of epistemic democracy. It also introduces its own version of deliberative epistemic democracy. What is particularly compelling about Cerovac's own account

is its broad understanding of political deliberation, which is sensitive to epistemic injustices resulting from social and economic inequalities."

—Fabienne Peters, *Professor of Philosophy, University of Warwick, UK*

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 The Truth Tenet 19

3 The Knowledge Tenet 73

4 The Authority Tenet 113

5 Epistemic Democracy 157

6 Institutionalizing Epistemic Democracy 197

Index 237

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1 Political legitimacy: monistic and non-monistic accounts 6
Fig. 5.1 Voter dependence 173
Fig. 6.1 Division of epistemic labor 206
Fig. 6.2 Inequality and increased level of political participation 223

List of Tables

Table 1.1	The three tenets	10
Table 1.2	Plan of the book	13
Table 2.1	Social epistemology	35
Table 2.2	Procedures, outcomes and political legitimacy	49
Table 2.3	Leveling-down objection	55
Table 5.1	Binary choices	174
Table 5.2	Arrow's paradox of voting	175
Table 6.1	Leveling-down objection	218
Table 6.2	Estlund's voucher system	221