

# **Springer Theses**

Recognizing Outstanding Ph.D. Research

## **Aims and Scope**

The series “Springer Theses” brings together a selection of the very best Ph.D. theses from around the world and across the physical sciences. Nominated and endorsed by two recognized specialists, each published volume has been selected for its scientific excellence and the high impact of its contents for the pertinent field of research. For greater accessibility to non-specialists, the published versions include an extended introduction, as well as a foreword by the student’s supervisor explaining the special relevance of the work for the field. As a whole, the series will provide a valuable resource both for newcomers to the research fields described, and for other scientists seeking detailed background information on special questions. Finally, it provides an accredited documentation of the valuable contributions made by today’s younger generation of scientists.

### **Theses are accepted into the series by invited nomination only and must fulfill all of the following criteria**

- They must be written in good English.
- The topic should fall within the confines of Chemistry, Physics, Earth Sciences, Engineering and related interdisciplinary fields such as Materials, Nanoscience, Chemical Engineering, Complex Systems and Biophysics.
- The work reported in the thesis must represent a significant scientific advance.
- If the thesis includes previously published material, permission to reproduce this must be gained from the respective copyright holder.
- They must have been examined and passed during the 12 months prior to nomination.
- Each thesis should include a foreword by the supervisor outlining the significance of its content.
- The theses should have a clearly defined structure including an introduction accessible to scientists not expert in that particular field.

More information about this series at <http://www.springer.com/series/8790>

Mehdi Moayyedian

# Intelligent Optimization of Mold Design and Process Parameters in Injection Molding

Doctoral Thesis accepted by  
University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia

 Springer

*Author*

Dr. Mehdi Moayyedean  
School of Engineering  
University of South Australia  
Adelaide, SA, Australia

*Supervisor*

Prof. Kazem Abhary  
School of Engineering  
University of South Australia  
Adelaide, SA, Australia

ISSN 2190-5053

Springer Theses

ISBN 978-3-030-03355-2

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03356-9>

ISSN 2190-5061 (electronic)

ISBN 978-3-030-03356-9 (eBook)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018960202

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG  
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

*I specially dedicate this thesis to my family  
who have supported me throughout the entire  
doctorate program*

# Supervisor's Foreword

Among different technologies in the manufacturing of plastic products, injection molding is the most significant technology which covers most of the industrial applications. The main factors used to evaluate the quality of injected parts are *part design*, *material characteristics*, *mold design*, and *process parameters*. Hence, reliable design and optimization of injection molding process are essential.

*Part design* heavily depends on the customer requirements for which more than 17,000 plastic materials are used throughout the world; hence, *part design* and *material characteristics* are not considered herein. Therefore, it is desirable to find the effect of *mold design* and *process parameters* on injection molding to improve the quality of injected parts leading to the reduction of scrap rate.

Dr. Mehdi Moayyedean's thesis was mainly dedicated to understanding the interrelationship of process parameters, mold design, and fabricated plastic parts integrity during injection molding. Such knowledge can be used as the basis of ways to improve the quality of injected parts. He designed some new runner and gate geometries of different dimensions and employed predictive tools to study the defects formation during injection molding. The possibility of the formation of a range of molding defects including *warpage*, *weld line*, and *short shot* along with *moldability index* was studied. He further attempted to identify the most influential process parameters and mold design geometries on the integrity of fabricated plastic parts by using a combination of simulation modeling tools and methods namely SolidWorks, SolidWorks Plastic, Taguchi method, finite element analysis (FEM), fuzzy logic method, analysis of variance (ANOVA), analytic hierarchy process (AHP), Technique for Order Performance by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS), fuzzy AHP (FAHP), and fuzzy TOPSIS (FTOPSIS). A strength of his work is the application of these tools and methods in the last two chapters to solve a multi-objective problem to determine the higher and highest *moldability index* by considering three different common defects and five different geometric and process parameters of three different levels.

Dr. Moayyedian has demonstrated that he has gained significant knowledge and experience in applying these tools in this research and demonstrated very good agreements between (finite element) modeling and experimental results which validated the robustness of his assumptions, methodology, and algorithm.

Adelaide, Australia  
July 2018

Prof. Kazem Abhary

## **Parts of this thesis have been published in the following articles**

### ***Journal papers***

1. Moayyedian, M., Abhary, K. and Marian, R., Gate design and filling process analysis of the cavity in injection molding process. *Advances in Manufacturing*, pp. 1–11.
2. Moayyedian, M., Abhary, K. and Marian, R., Elliptical cross sectional shape of runner system in injection mold design. *International Journal of Plastics Technology*, pp. 1–16.
3. Moayyedian, M., Abhary, K. & Marian, R., 2017, ‘The analysis of short shot possibility in injection molding’, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, pp. 1–13.
4. Moayyedian, M., Abhary, K. & Marian, R., 2016, ‘The Analysis of Defect Prediction in Injection Molding’, *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering*, pp. 1819–1822.

### ***Peer-reviewed conference papers***

1. Moayyedian, M., Abhary, K. and Marian, R., 2015. Improved gate system for scrap reduction in injection molding processes. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 2, pp. 246–250.
2. Moayyedian, M., Abhary, K. and Marian, R., 2015. New Design Feature of Mould in Injection Molding for Scrap Reduction. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 2, pp. 241–245.



# Declaration

I hereby declare that this Ph.D. thesis entitled “The effect and intelligent optimization of mold design and process parameters in injection molding” was carried out by me for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy under the guidance and supervision of Prof. *Kazem Abhary* and Dr. *Romeo Marian*, School of Engineering, University of South Australia. This thesis contains no material that has been submitted previously in whole or in part, for a degree or diploma in any university. Except where otherwise indicated, this thesis is my own work.

Adelaide, Australia  
May 2017

Mehdi Moayyedean

# Acknowledgements

I would like to express my special appreciation and thanks to my supervisor *Prof. Kazem Abhary* and my co-supervisor *Dr. Romeo Marian*, who have been great and wonderful mentors for me. I would like to thank them for their priceless advice and support and for allowing me to grow as a researcher under their supervision. Without their guidance and persistent help, it would not have been possible for this thesis to be started let alone to be finished.

I would like to thank the School of Engineering at the University of South Australia (UniSA) for their assistance and support; to *Ms. Elizabeth Csavas* for providing me a comfortable environment and supporting me through the seminars and presentations; and to *Ms. Sam Richards* for always listening and giving me advice for the trips and conference presentations. Also, I would like to thank *Ms. Hayley Byford* for her valuable support and assistance during my Ph.D. study.

I would like to thank the Commonwealth Government of Australia for funding me through the Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) scholarship.

My appreciation also extends to *Dr. Monica Behrend* and *Dr. Judy Ford* for their support in running a number of workshops for Ph.D. students. These workshops enhanced my writing skills. I also appreciate the library staff for all their support and effective advice.

I would like to thank the proofreader of my thesis, *Mr. Mohsen Shariati*, for his endless support through the last 2 years of my research. I also like to thank *Mr. Masoud Ganji* for his technical support during my research.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends in my home country for their encouragement and endless support during my Ph.D. study.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background and Research Scope</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Mold Design	2
1.2.1	Gate Types	2
1.2.2	Runner	3
1.2.3	Cooling System	4
1.3	Process Parameters in Injection Molding	4
1.4	Common Plastic Defects in Injection Molding	6
1.4.1	Shrinkage and Warpage	7
1.4.2	Short Shot	7
1.4.3	Sink Mark	7
1.5	Motivation for Research in Injection Molding	8
1.6	Research Gap and Scope	9
1.7	Concluding Remarks	10
	References	11
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review and Research Objectives</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Injection Molding	14
2.3	Common Plastic Defects	16
2.3.1	Weld Line	16
2.3.2	Incompletely Filled Parts	17
2.3.3	Over Sprayed Parts (Flashes)	17
2.4	Review of Literature on Significant Factors in Injection Molding	18
2.4.1	Material	19
2.4.2	Part Design	19
2.4.3	Mold Design	21
2.4.4	Process Parameters	23

- 2.5 Mold Design and Process Parameters and Their Relation with Different Plastic Defects . . . . . 25
  - 2.5.1 Mold Design and Defects in Injection Molding . . . . . 25
  - 2.5.2 Process Parameters and Corresponding Defects in Injection Molding . . . . . 28
  - 2.5.3 Optimization Tools and Process Parameters in Injection Molding . . . . . 29
- 2.6 Thin Wall Technology in Injection Molding . . . . . 34
- 2.7 Research Gaps . . . . . 37
- 2.8 Research Objectives and Methodology . . . . . 37
  - 2.8.1 Research Objectives . . . . . 37
  - 2.8.2 Research Methodology and Thesis Structure . . . . . 38
- 2.9 Concluding Remarks . . . . . 39
- References . . . . . 40
- 3 Modification of Cold Runner Design in Injection Molding Processes . . . . . 45**
  - 3.1 Introduction . . . . . 45
  - 3.2 Literature on Injection Molding Process and Quality Evaluation Factors . . . . . 46
  - 3.3 Methodology for the Design of the New Cross Section of Runner in Injection Molding . . . . . 48
    - 3.3.1 Design Module . . . . . 50
    - 3.3.2 Calculation Module . . . . . 51
    - 3.3.3 Simulation Module . . . . . 54
  - 3.4 Experimental Module . . . . . 58
  - 3.5 Concluding Remarks . . . . . 62
  - References . . . . . 62
- 4 Development of Gate Design and Filling Process Analysis in Injection Molding . . . . . 65**
  - 4.1 Introduction . . . . . 65
  - 4.2 Review of Literature on Injection Molding Process and Quality Evaluation Factors . . . . . 66
  - 4.3 Methodology for the Gate Type in Injection Molding . . . . . 68
    - 4.3.1 Design Module . . . . . 68
    - 4.3.2 Calculation Module . . . . . 69
    - 4.3.3 Simulation Module . . . . . 71
  - 4.4 Experimental Module . . . . . 78
    - 4.4.1 Mold Design . . . . . 78
    - 4.4.2 Selection of Process Parameters . . . . . 78
    - 4.4.3 Experimental Results . . . . . 79
  - 4.5 Scrap Evaluation by Taguchi Method . . . . . 79
    - 4.5.1 Selection of Factors . . . . . 80

4.5.2	Selection of Orthogonal Array .....	81
4.5.3	S/N Ratio Approach .....	81
4.5.4	Analysis of Variance .....	83
4.6	Concluding Remarks .....	84
	References .....	85
<b>5</b>	<b>The Analysis of Short Shot Possibility in Injection Molding Process</b> .....	<b>87</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	87
5.2	Review of Literature on Short Shot Possibility and Other Defects .....	88
5.3	A Framework for Developing a Method of Evaluation for Short Shot .....	89
5.3.1	Application of Taguchi Method .....	90
5.3.2	Process of Experiment Design .....	90
5.3.3	Simulation Modelling .....	91
5.3.4	Statistical Analysis .....	92
5.4	Experimental Design and Results .....	101
5.4.1	Experimental Setup .....	101
5.4.2	Parameter and Orthogonal Array Selection .....	102
5.5	Concluding Remarks .....	108
	References .....	108
<b>6</b>	<b>Injection Molding Process Optimization Based on Fuzzy Quality Evaluation</b> .....	<b>111</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	111
6.2	Literature on Quality Factors in Injection Molding .....	111
6.2.1	Injection Molding Optimization Tools .....	113
6.3	Proposed Methodology for the Quality Evaluation in Injection Molding .....	114
6.3.1	Problem Description .....	115
6.3.2	Application of Combined AHP and Taguchi Method .....	116
6.3.3	Variable Weight Definition and Implementation .....	117
6.3.4	Taguchi Orthogonal Array .....	117
6.3.5	Topsis .....	118
6.4	Simulation .....	120
6.5	Experimental Module .....	127
6.5.1	Mold Design .....	127
6.5.2	Orthogonal Array and Process Parameters for Three Defects .....	128
6.6	Concluding Remarks .....	134
	References .....	135

- 7 Conclusions and Recommendations for Further Work . . . . . 139**
  - 7.1 Introduction . . . . . 139
  - 7.2 Summary of the Research . . . . . 140
    - 7.2.1 Development of Cold Runner Design in Injection Molding Process . . . . . 140
    - 7.2.2 Development of Gate Design and Filling Process Analysis . . . . . 140
    - 7.2.3 The Analysis of Short Shot Possibility in Injection Molding Process . . . . . 141
    - 7.2.4 Optimization of Injection Molding Based on Fuzzy Evaluation . . . . . 141
  - 7.3 Conclusions . . . . . 141
  - 7.4 Research Publications . . . . . 143
  - 7.5 Recommendations for Future Work . . . . . 143
    - 7.5.1 New Features of Gate Design in Injection Molding . . . . . 143
    - 7.5.2 Significant Factors in Short-Shot Possibility Analysis . . . . . 143
    - 7.5.3 Possible Alternatives for Quality Evaluation of Injection Molding . . . . . 144
  - 7.6 Final Word. . . . . 144
  - References . . . . . 144
- Author Biography . . . . . 145**

# Abbreviations

ABS	Acrylonitrile–butadiene–styrene
AHP	Analytic hierarchy process
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
CAD	Computer-aided design
CAE	Computer-aided engineering
CNC	Computer numerical control
CT	Cooling time
DMAIC	Define, measure, analyze, improve and control
DOE	Design of experiment
FAHP	Fuzzy analytic hierarchy process
FEA	Finite element analysis
FEM	Finite element method
FNIS	Fuzzy negative ideal solution
FPIS	Fuzzy positive ideal solution
FTOPSIS	Fuzzy technique for order performance by similarity to ideal solution
HDPE	High-density polyethylene
LDPE	Low-density polyethylene
MS	Mean square
MSD	Mean square deviation
MT	Mold temperature
PC	Percentage of contribution
PIM	Plastic injection molding
PP	Packing pressure
PP	Polypropylene
PS	Polystyrene
PT	Packing time
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
RSM	Response surface methodology

SCF	Supercritical fluid
SN	Signal to noise
SW	Shrinkage and warpage
TOPSIS	Technique for order performance by similarity to ideal solution



# Symbols

$S$	Total surface area of the product
$C_1$	Empirical factor of wall thickness
$d$	Gate diameter
$C_2$	Empirical factor of different materials
$D_R$	Runner diameter
$W$	Weight of the product
$L$	Length of the runner
$\dot{\gamma}$	Shear rate
$\dot{Q}$	Flow rate
$\eta$	Viscosity of material
$P$	Pressure drop
$\tau$	Maximum shear stress
$r$	Radius of runner
$w$	Width of the gate
$n$	Material constant
$h$	Height of the gate
$t$	Thickness of the injected part
$F$	Total force
$V$	Velocity in straight line
$v_1$	Velocity distribution
$H$	Gap between the plate
$x_2$	Movement of the plate in shear rate
$Y_i$	Defect rate
$N$	Total number of data points or experiments
$df_T$	Total degree of freedom
$df_A$	Degree of freedom of factor A
$df_E$	Degree of freedom for error variance
$SS_A$	Sum of square of factor A
$SS_T$	Total sum of square
$SS_E$	Sum of square for error variance

$x_i$	Value at different levels
$n_{A_i}$	Number of levels
$A_i$	Value at level $i$ of factor $A$
$MS_A$	Mean square of factor $A$
$MS_T$	Total mean square
$MS_E$	Mean square of error variance
$F_A$	Value of the $F$ ratio of factor $A$
$PC$	Percentage of contribution
$A$	Gate type
$B$	Filling time
$C$	Part cooling time
$D$	Pressure holding time
$E$	Melt temperature
$\alpha$	Positive factor
$\beta$	Negative factor
$\lambda$	Regular factor
$S_j(X_j)$	$P$ -dimensional variable weight profit vector
$W$	Variable weight vector
$s$	Variable weight state vector
$w$	Constant weight vector
$m$	Trial number of experiment
$n$	Number of injection defects
$\tilde{v}_{ij}$	Normalized positive trial numbers
$A^+$	Fuzzy positive ideal solution
$A^-$	Fuzzy negative ideal solution
$d_i$	The distance of each alternative or experiments
$QI_i$	Quality index of the $n$ alternative
$\tilde{\alpha}_1$	Rating the seriousness (very low)
$\tilde{\alpha}_2$	Rating the seriousness (low)
$\tilde{\alpha}_3$	Rating the seriousness (medium)
$\tilde{\alpha}_4$	Rating the seriousness (high)
$\tilde{\alpha}_5$	Rating the seriousness (very high)
$\tilde{R}$	Fuzzy relative matrix
$\tilde{V}$	Varied weight fuzzy evaluation matrix
$\tilde{W}$	Constant weight factor matrix
$MI_i$	Moldability index
$D'$	Diameter of injected parts before shrinkage
$D''$	Diameter of injected parts after shrinkage
$K_A$	Number of level for factor $A$