

## The Framework of Legal Evolution

# The Framework of Legal Evolution

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van  
Doctor in de Rechtsgeleerdheid  
aan de Rijksuniversiteit te Utrecht,  
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus  
Prof. dr. Sj. Groenman,  
volgens besluit van het College  
van Dekanen in het openbaar te verdedigen op  
woensdag 6 februari 1974  
des namiddags te 4.15 uur  
door

Leopold Willem Rosdorff

geboren op 16 april 1931  
te Rotterdam

Springer-Science+Business Media, B.V.

**Promotor: Prof. mr. J. J. M. van der Ven**

ISBN 978-90-268-0734-3  
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4899-6028-3

ISBN 978-1-4899-6028-3 (eBook)

**To my parents**

## ABBREVIATIONS

D	- Digesta
Eur. Conv.	- European Convention on Human Rights
G.	- Gaius
H.L.R.	- Harvard Law Review
H.R.	- (Supreme Court of the Netherlands) - Hoge Raad der Nederlanden
N.J.	- (Dutch Judgements) - Nederlandse Juris- prudentie
U.E.	- Ulpiani Epitome
Un. Decl.	- Universal Declaration of the Rights of Man

## CONTENTS

	pag.
	FOREWORD
I	GENERAL INTRODUCTION 1
I.1	Problem and Purpose 1
I.A	<u>The Biological Conceptions of Evolution</u> 5
I.A.1	Introduction to the notion of Biological Evolution 5
I.A.2	Finalism and Neodarwinism 6
I.A.3	Conceptions of Finalism 8
I.A.3.a	The Conception of Entelechy 8
I.A.3.b	Finalist Conceptions of Evolution 10
I.A.3.b.1	Bergson's Theory of Evolution 11
I.A.3.b.2	Teilhard de Chardin's Theory of Evolution 15
I.B	<u>The Conceptions of Social Evolution</u> 19
I.B.1	Introduction to the notion of Social Evolution 19
I.B.1.a	The Social and the Non-Social Conceptions of Evolution 19
I.B.1.b	Denial that Social Evolution is possible 20
I.B.1.c	Rejection of similarity between Social and Biological Evolution 21
I.B.1.d	Descriptions of Social Evolution 22
I.B.2	Social Evolution and Evolution of differentiated areas of Social Life 24
I.B.2.a	Social Evolution and Technology 24
I.B.2.b	Social Evolution and Culture 26
I.B.2.c	Social Evolution and Property 27
I.B.2.d	Social Evolution and Political Evolution 30
I.B.3	The Search for regularities in processes of Social Evolution 31
I.B.3.a	Mechanicism and Non-Mechanicism in Theories of Social Evolution 33
I.B.3.b	Linearity and Non-Linearity in Theories of Social Evolution 34
I.B.3.c	Determination in Theories of Social Evolution 35
I.B.3.d	Conflicts in Theories of Social Evolution 37
I.B.3.e	Social Evolution as a Process in Stages 41
I.C	<u>Common Biological and Social Conceptions of Evolution</u> 49
I.C.1	Introduction 49
I.C.2	Herder's Philosophy of History 49
I.C.3	Geiger's Theory of Speech and Evolution 50
I.C.4	The Schools of Darwin and Spencer 51

		pag.
I.C.5	Haeckel and the German interpretations of Darwinism	55
I.C.6	Julian Huxley and "Evolutionary Humanism"	55
I.C.7	The elements for a Normative Description of Evolution	58
I.D	The Role of Law in Conceptions of Evolution	63
I.D.1	<u>Introduction to the problems of Legal Evolution</u>	63
I.D.2	Fundamental Human Rights in Spencer's Theory of Evolution	65
I.D.3	Fundamental Human Rights in Teilhard de Chardin's Theory of Evolution	67
II	CONCEPTIONS OF FUNDAMENTAL JURAL CONCEPTS	70
II.A	<u>The Fundamental Jural Concepts amidst other Jural Fundamentals</u>	70
II.B	<u>The School of Analytical Jurisprudence and its connections with Pandectism</u>	73
II.B.1	The growth of an instrument for Classifica- tion	76
II.C	<u>Introduction to Hohfeld's System of Fundamental Jural Concepts</u>	78
II.C.1	Description of Hohfeld's System	79
II.C.2	Examples of application of Hohfeld's System	82
II.D	<u>Aristotelian Logic applied to Hohfeld's System</u>	84
II.D.1	The Notion "Square of Opposition"	84
II.D.2	Logical connections between Hohfeld's four fundamental relations	86
II.D.2.a	Are Hohfeld's Concepts Primitives?	89
II.D.3	Two Squares of Opposition instead of one	90
II.D.4	Two levels of the Law in one Square of Opposition	91
II.D.5	Four modalities or eight?	91
II.D.6	Hohfeld's Concept "Privilege"	92
II.D.7	Exceptions and Nullities in Squares of Opposition by means of Hohfeldian concepts	93
II.E	<u>Volitional Logic applied to Hohfeld's System</u>	96
II.E.1	Bentham's Volitional Logic or Logic of the Will	96
II.E.2	Bentham's Classification compared with that of Hohfeld	97
II.E.2.a	Two "Permissions", or one?	97
II.E.2.b	One Static Proposition, or Innumerable Dynamic?	98
II.E.3	How to Permit Alternative Conduct?	99

	pag.
II.E.4	Two "Commands", or One? 99
II.E.5	Two other "Aspects of the Will" 100
II.E.5.a	Not the Risk of a Legal Burden now, but possibly later 100
II.E.5.b	Not the Risk of a Legal Burden now, and not later 101
II.E.5.c	A Relation of Negation 101
II.E.6	The logical relations between "Aspects of the Will" 101
II.E.6.a	"Power" and a Sovereign's Power 102
II.E.7	"Right" and "Duty" in Hohfeld and in Bentham 103
II.F	<u>A Synthesis between the Theories of Bentham and of Hohfeld</u> 105
II.F.1	Problems concerning the notions "Threat of a Sanction" and "Claim" 105
II.F.2	What does a Sovereign will? 106
II.F.3	Obedyance to the "Rule of Law" 108
II.F.4	Conclusions of Law 108
II.F.5	A Subject's Claim in Court 108
II.F.6	A Sovereign's Right to Enforce Sanctions 109
II.F.7	Natural Obligations and other "Natural" Relationships 109
III	CONCEPTIONS OF CYBERNETICS 110
III.A	<u>Introduction to Cybernetics</u> 110
III.A.1	Philosophical conceptions behind Cybernetics 110
III.A.2	Cybernetics and the Theories of Information and Communication 112
III.A.3	The notion of Cybernetics 113
III.A.4	Cybernetic Conceptions 114
III.A.4.a	Servomechanisms 114
III.A.4.b	Theories of Game 116
III.A.4.c	Game-playing Servomechanisms, especially in the area of Law 118
III.B	<u>A Cybernetic Conception of Evolution</u> 123
III.B.1	Evolution, Information, Disorder and Law 123
III.B.2	Evolution of systems of living beings and environs 126
III.B.3	Evolution and the notion "Game-bound Unit" or Qualitative Servomechanism 127
III.B.4	Evolution as Evolution of Game-bound Units 129
III.B.5	Evolution in Biosphere 129
III.B.6	Evolution in No-osphere 131
III.B.6.a	The principles of Selection in No-osphere 131



	pag.
III.B.6.b	The effects of Selection in No-osphere 132
III.B.6.c	Selection and Information on Human Values 133
III.B.6.d	Human Values as stimulating forces in No-ospheric Evolution 134
III.B.6.e	An Ethical Criterion based upon the Principles of Evolution 134
III.C	<u>A Cybernetic Conception of Legal Construc-</u> <u>tions</u> 139
III.C.1	Introduction 139
III.C.2	The Cybernetic structure of Hohfeld's Four Fundamental Relations 140
III.C.2.a	The role of bona fides within the Cybernetic structure of Hohfeld's Relations 141
III.C.3	The concept Law-bound Unit 143
III.C.3.a	Basic structures of Law-bound Units 144
III.C.3.b	Cybernetic properties of Law-bound Units 158
III.C.4	The Theory of Law-bound Units applied to Legal Personality 164
III.C.4.a	The nature of the Legal Person 164
III.C.4.b	The basic structure of Foundations 166
III.C.4.c	The basic structure of State and Sovereign- ty 168
III.C.4.d	Sovereignty in constitutional States 172
III.C.4.e	Organizations of States 174
IV	A CONCEPTION OF LEGAL EVOLUTION 175
IV.A	<u>The Basic Principles of Legal Evolution</u> 175
IV.A.1	Introduction 175
IV.A.2	The Subjects of Legal Evolution 175
IV.A.3	Selection in processes of Legal Evolution 177
IV.A.4	A Normative Description of Legal Evolution 178
IV.B	<u>The main relation between Legal Evolution</u> <u>and Evolution of No-osphere</u> 180
IV.B.1	The effect of Legal Evolution in No-osphere 180
IV.B.2	The role of Legal Values in Legal Evolution 181
IV.B.2.a	Legal Values and Human Values 181
IV.B.2.b	Legal Values and Law-bound Units 182
IV.B.2.c	Legal Values and the Ethical Criterion 182
IV.B.2.d	The Individual as a Legal Value and as a Source of Legal Values 183
IV.B.2.e	No-osphere as a Legal Value and as a Source of Legal Values 184
IV.B.3	The processes of No-ospheric Evolution as a Legal Value and a Source of Legal Values 187
IV.B.3.a	Introduction 187
IV.B.3.b	Regularities in processes of No-ospheric

	pag.
	187
IV.B.3.c	192
IV.B.3.d	196
IV.B.3.e	198
IV.B.3.f	200
IV.B.3.g	202
IV.B.3.h	204
IV.C	209
IV.C.1	209
IV.C.2	209
IV.C.3	210
IV.C.4	212
IV.C.5	212
IV.C.6	215
IV.D	218
IV.D.1	218
IV.D.2	220
IV.E	225
IV.E.1	225
IV.E.2	226
IV.E.3	226
IV.E.4	228
IV.E.5	229
IV.E.6	231
NOTES	236
SAMENVATTING IN HET NEDERLANDS	258
REFERENCES	274
INDEX (Persons)	284
INDEX (Subjects)	287
CURICULUM VITAE	298

# Foreword

I am greatly indebted to the principal supervisor of this dissertation, Prof. Dr. J.J.M. van der Ven, and the readers, Prof. Dr. J.Ph. de Monté verLoren and Prof. Dr. J.P.M. Geurts. Accordingly, I would like to thank them in the Dutch language

*Als mijn promotor hebt gij, Hooggeleerde van der Ven, Uzelf veel moeite getroost om met mij mee te denken over de materie van het onderwerp. Vanuit de rijkdom van Uw grote kennis en ervaring, ook buiten de rechtsphilosophie, gaf U mij vele inspirerende adviezen. Uw hartelijke belangstelling was voor mij een grote morele steun.*

*Veel aanmoediging om met deze dissertatie de weg te gaan, die ik voor mij zag, ondervond ik van U, Hooggeleerde de Monté verLoren. Met U mocht ik vele juridische kernproblemen bespreken en gij kon deze vanuit Uw zeer uitgebreide rechtshistorische kennis op een verrassende wijze in een nieuw licht stellen. Dat U het manuscript in de eindphase hebt doorgelezen geeft mij nog extra redenen tot dankbaarheid.*

*Uw betrokkenheid met deze dissertatie, Hooggeleerde Geurts, kwam pas tot stand in het laatste stadium. Ook van U mocht ik vele nuttige raadgevingen ontvangen. Vanuit Uw theoretisch-physische achtergrond, die geschraagd wordt door een groot filosofisch inzicht, hebt gij Uzelf in deze juridische dissertatie verdiept. Voor de grondige wijze waarop gij deze arbeid verricht hebt ben ik U hoogst erkentelijk.*