

Ecological Studies

Analysis and Synthesis

Edited by

W.D. Billings, Durham (USA) F. Golley, Athens (USA)
O.L. Lange, Würzburg (FRG) J.S. Olson, Oak Ridge (USA)
H. Remmert, Marburg (FRG)

Volume 46

William M. Lewis, Jr.
James F. Saunders, III
David W. Crumpacker, Sr.
Charles M. Brendecke

Eutrophication and Land Use

Lake Dillon, Colorado

With 67 Figures



Springer-Verlag
New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo

WILLIAM M. LEWIS, JR.
Department of Environmental,
Population, and Organismic Biology
University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80309
U.S.A.

JAMES F. SAUNDERS, III
Department of Environmental,
Population, and Organismic Biology
University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80309
U.S.A.

DAVID W. CRUMPACKER, SR.
Department of Environmental,
Population, and Organismic Biology
University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80309
U.S.A.

CHARLES M. BRENECKE
Department of Civil and
Environmental Engineering
University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado 80309
U.S.A.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Main entry under title:

Eutrophication and land use, Lake Dillon, Colorado.

(Ecological studies series ; v. 46)

Bibliography: p.

Includes index.

1. Eutrophication—Colorado—Dillon Reservoir.

2. Land use—Colorado—Dillon Reservoir Watershed.

3. Dillon Reservoir (Colo.) I. Lewis, William M., Jr., (date).

II. Series: Ecological studies ; v. 46.

QH105.C6F93 1984 574.5'26322'0978845 84-1245

© 1984 by Springer-Verlag New York Inc.

Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be translated or reproduced in any form without written permission from Springer-Verlag, 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10010, U.S.A. The use of general descriptive names, trade names, trademarks, etc. in this publication, even if the former are not especially identified, is not to be taken as a sign that such names, as understood by the Trade Marks and Merchandise Marks Act, may accordingly be used freely by anyone.

Typeset by MS Associates, Champaign, Illinois.

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

ISBN-13:978-1-4613-8279-9 e-ISBN-13: 978-1-4613-8277-5
DOI:10.1007/978-1-4613-8277-5

Preface

Nutrient enrichment (eutrophication) is a major theme in freshwater ecology. Some themes come and go, but the inevitable release of phosphorus and nitrogen that accompanies human presence seems to ensure that eutrophication will not soon become an outmoded subject of study. Eutrophication raises issues that range from the pressingly practical problems of phosphorus removal to the very fundamental ecological questions surrounding biological community regulation by resource supply. Although it is possible to take a reductionist approach to some aspects of eutrophication, the study of eutrophication is fundamentally a branch of ecosystem ecology. To understand eutrophication in a given setting, one is inevitably forced to consider physical, chemical, and biological phenomena together. Thus while eutrophication is the focus of our study of Lake Dillon, we have assumed that a broad base of limnological information is a prerequisite foundation.

Eutrophication of a lake can be studied strictly from a limnological perspective. If so, the nutrient income of the lake is quantified but the sources are combined within a black box whose only important feature is total loading. It is also possible, however, to treat the watershed and lake as equally important components of a hybrid system. In this case the nutrient sources must be dissected and their variability and dependence on key factors such as runoff must be quantified. In view of the abundant information on nutrient flux of watershed, it seems increasingly difficult to justify continued separate treatment of lakes and watersheds. In the Lake Dillon study, we have attempted to treat watershed analysis as comprehensively as we have limnological analysis, and thus to achieve some insight into land-water coupling.

As a subject of case history analysis, Lake Dillon has some especially interesting features. Many eutrophication studies deal with grossly polluted lakes. Lake Dillon, which would be unproductive (oligotrophic) if the watershed were uninhabited, was brought to a moderately enriched (mesotrophic) status in the 1970s by watershed development. Development was not accompanied by unplanned disposal of minimally treated waste, but rather by a wasteload allocation sufficiently stringent to require tertiary treatment for phosphorus at all four major plants within the watershed. Thus Lake Dillon is an example of eutrophication in the face of state-of-the-art point source technology. Because point sources are subject to tight control, a wide variety of dispersed sources and natural background account for major proportions of lake nutrient loading. Lake Dillon is also of special interest in that it receives extraordinarily high ratios of nitrogen to phosphorus in runoff, yet experiences an interval of pronounced nitrogen limitation in the mixed layer. This seems inconsistent with current theory, and is thus a major focus of attention in our analysis.

The Lake Dillon study was supported by funds from the United States Environmental Protection Agency under a Clean Lakes Grant (Section 314, Clean Water Act) and by the Northwest Colorado Council of Governments, from the towns of Breckenridge, Frisco, and Dillon; sanitation districts of Breckenridge, Copper Mt., Frisco, and Dillon-Silverthorne; the Denver Water Board, and the AMAX and Keystone Corporations. The project was administered by the Dillon Clean Lakes Steering Committee, whose members and their constituencies have been exceptionally supportive and helpful. We are especially indebted to the Committee's secretary, Mr. Tom Elmore, who has answered innumerable queries and solved many problems for us, and to the chairman of the Committee, Mr. Bruce Baumgartner, for his decisive leadership.

Analytical work was done by the University of Colorado Limnology Laboratory. Chemists for the project included Ms. Katherine Ochsner, Mr. Stephen Hamilton, Ms. Margaret Robbins, and Mr. Lewis Dennis, all of whom were dedicated to their work well beyond what we had a right to expect. The field crew, which performed its duties well many times under the most arduous conditions, included at various times Dr. Robert Epp, Mr. Steven Murray, Mr. Donald Morris, Jr., Mr. George Kling, and occasionally our chemists and Mr. Terry Carter of the Colorado Department of Health, who was also helpful in many other ways. Ms. Mary Marcotte did a skillful job of preparing the manuscript and Mr. George Kling did the same for the drafting. We are grateful to Ms. Heidi Thompson for counting the zooplankton and to Dr. R. Dufford for confirming the diatom identifications.

We thank the Denver Water Department, Ms. Jerry Vest and other members of the Summit County Planning Department, the Summit County Engineering Department, Mr. Barry Sheakley of the U.S. Forest Service's Dillon Ranger District, and Mr. Wesley Nelson of the Colorado Division of Wildlife for supplying us with various kinds of data. We are grateful to the wastewater treatment plant operators and especially to Mr. Buck Wenger of the Snake River Wastewater Treatment Plant, where our field crews did a considerable amount of sample processing. We also appreciate occasional volunteer contributions from Dr. Thomas Frost, Dr. Suzanne Levine, and Ms. Claudia Cressa, all of the University of Colorado Limnology Laboratory.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Design of the Study	5
Time Series Data Set	5
Spatial Survey Series	8
Special Studies	9
3. Methods	13
Lake and Stream Sample Collection	13
Filtration and Analysis of Particulates	14
Phosphorus Analysis	14
Soluble Nitrogen Analysis	15
pH, Alkalinity, Oxygen, and Major Ions	15
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	16
Phytoplankton and Zooplankton	17
Primary Production	17
Temperature, Conductance, and Transparency	18
Discharge Measurements	19
Precipitation Sampling	20
Special Studies	20
Precision and Accuracy	22

4. Physical Variables and Major Ion Chemistry of the Lake	25
Geology	25
Morphometry	25
Hydrology	28
Temperature	32
Transparency	35
Historical Changes in Transparency	39
Composition of Major Ions	39
Conductance	40
pH and Alkalinity	42
5. Phosphorus and Nitrogen in Lake Water and Sediments	45
Phosphorus in Lake Water	45
Nitrogen in Lake Water	49
Sediment Phosphorus	54
6. Particulates and Phytoplankton Biomass	57
Total Particulates in the Water Column	57
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	60
Phytoplankton Composition and Seasonality	64
Elemental Composition of Phytoplankton Biomass	69
7. Zooplankton	73
8. Photosynthesis and Oxygen Consumption in the Water Column	77
Photosynthesis	77
Oxygen Concentrations and Oxygen Consumption	83
9. Nutrient Enrichment Studies	89
10. Horizontal Spatial Variation in the Lake	97
The Five-Station Heterogeneity Study	97
The 14-Station Heterogeneity Study	103
11. Overview of Limnology and Trophic Status	107
The Annual Cycle	107
Trophic Status of the Lake	110
12. Chemistry of Nutrient Sources as They Enter the Lake	113
24-Hour Variation in River Chemistry	114
Chemistry of the Three Rivers as They Enter the Lake	117
Chemistry of Miner's Creek and Soda Creek	124

Frisco and Snake River Treatment Plant Effluents	126
Atmospheric Deposition at the Main Station	126
Precipitation Chemistry at Other Stations	129
13. Total Nutrient Loading of the Lake	131
Water Budget	131
Phosphorus Loading	133
Nitrogen Loading	135
Overview of Phosphorus and Nitrogen Loading	138
14. Nutrient Export in Relation to Land Use	141
Undisturbed Watersheds	142
Residential Area on Sewer	145
Urban Area on Sewer	146
Residential Areas on Septic Systems	147
Ski Slopes	148
Interstate Highways	149
Mining	150
Point Sources	151
Overview of Nutrient Yields	153
15. Separation of Nutrient Sources within the Watershed	155
Snake River Drainage	156
Blue River Drainage	158
Tenmile Creek Drainage	160
Relationship between Runoff and Nutrient Storage	161
Comparison of Observed and Predicted Total P and N Loading	163
Itemization of Lake Nutrient Sources for 1981 and 1982	163
16. Modelling	167
The Land Use Component of the Model	167
The Trophic-Status Component of the Model	169
Effects Component of the Model	174
Comparing Predictions and Observations for 1981 and 1982	176
17. Using the Model for Prediction	179
Model Runs for Present Land-Use Patterns	180
Scenarios 1A, 1B: Low Growth	180
Scenarios 2A, 2B: Low Growth with Diversions	183
Scenarios 3A, 3B: High Growth	184

Scenarios 4A, 4B: High Growth with Perfect Nonpoint Controls	185
Scenarios 5A, 5B: High Growth with Partially Effective Nonpoint Controls	186
Overview of Scenario Studies	187
18. Summary	189
References	193
Index	199