

SUBJECT CLITICS IN THE NORTHERN ITALIAN DIALECTS

Studies in Natural Language and Linguistic Theory

VOLUME 60

Managing Editors

Marcel den Dikken, *City University of New York*

Liliane Haegeman, *University of Lille*

Joan Maling, *Brandeis University*

Editorial Board

Guglielmo Cinque, *University of Venice*

Carol Georgopoulos, *University of Utah*

Jane Grimshaw, *Rutgers University*

Michael Kenstowicz, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

Hilda Koopman, *University of California, Los Angeles*

Howard Lasnik, *University of Connecticut at Storrs*

Alec Marantz, *Massachusetts Institute of Technology*

John J. McCarthy, *University of Massachusetts, Amherst*

Ian Roberts, *University of Cambridge*

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

SUBJECT CLITICS IN THE NORTHERN ITALIAN DIALECTS

A Comparative Study Based on the Minimalist Program
and Optimality Theory

by

CECILIA GORIA

University of Nottingham, U.K.



Springer-Science+Business Media, B.V.

A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-1-4020-2737-6 ISBN 978-1-4020-2738-3 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-1-4020-2738-3

All Rights Reserved

© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2004
Originally published by Kluwer Academic Publishers 2004
Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 2004

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of abbreviations		ix
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	The Dialect	2
3.0	The object of inquiry	3
4.0	Objectives and proposals	6
5.0	Theoretical background	9
5.1	The Minimalist Program	9
5.1.1	Features and Checking Theory	10
5.1.2	The T-model (Chomsky 1995)	11
5.1.3	The MP and Variation	12
5.2	Optimality Theory	13
5.2.1	Input, GEN, CON and EVAL	13
5.2.2	Variation and Optionality	14
6.0	This study: questions and answers	15
6.1	What is the empirical and theoretical significance of working with SCLs in Piedmontese?	15
6.2	Why is a twofold approach adopted and what are the benefits of this choice?	16
6.3	What are the boundaries of each approach in the analysis proposed in this book?	18
7.0	The Data	20
8.0	Organisation	21
Notes		21
Chapter 2	The Data	23
1.0	Introduction	23
2.0	Turinese	29
2.1	Turinese SCLs and types of subjects and verbs	29
2.2	Linear order between SCLs and other proclitics	34
2.3	SCLs and negative markers	36
2.4	Direct interrogatives	38
2.5	SCLs in subordinate clauses	44

2.6	SCLs in coordinated clauses	48
2.7	*SCL: Non-finite forms and true imperatives	52
2.7.1	Non-finites	52
2.7.2	True Imperatives	54
2.8	Optionality	55
3.0	Astigiano	59
	Notes	66
Chapter 3 Optimal Agreement		68
	The position and the function of SCLs	
1.0	Introduction	68
2.0	The proposal	69
3.0	Preverbal subjects	74
3.1	Arguments against the left dislocation analysis of unmarked preverbal subjects in Italian and the NIDs	75
3.2	Subject-in-CP analysis (Poletto 2000b)	83
3.3	Subject positions below the CP boundary: more evidence for SCLs in T	90
3.3.1	More about SCLs inside TP	93
4.0	The Extended Projection Principle	94
4.1	SCLs and the EPP	96
5.0	A multi-layered model for SCLs: an overview	102
5.1	Four types of SCLs: an outline of Poletto's Agreement Field	102
5.1.1	Morphology	103
5.1.2	Optionality	103
5.1.3	Strong Negation	104
5.1.4	Interaction with Elements in CP	104
5.1.5	Omission in Coordination	105
5.1.6	Exclamatives and New Information Contexts	106
5.1.7	SCLs and Interrogative Inversion	107
5.2	The Agreement Field	108
5.3	Reviewing the Agreement Field: Turinese and Astigiano	109
5.3.1	Complex SCL <i>it</i> and <i>at/al</i>	111
5.3.2	Movement: SCL Climbing inside Agreement Field	114
5.3.3	The Agreement Field and Verbal Inflection	117
5.3.4	Optionality	120
6.0	Conclusion	122
	Notes	122

Chapter 4	Optimal Agreement The morphology and the distribution of SCLs	125
1.0	Introduction	125
2.0	Optimal Agreement	126
3.0	The feature specification of Piedmontese SCLs	129
3.1	The Basic System and the Deictic Systems	130
3.2	Full and Person Optionality	138
3.2.1	Agreement Projections and Optionality	145
3.3	SCLs in coordination	149
3.3.1	Optimal Agreement and Feature Repetition in Coordinated Structures	149
3.3.2	Omission in Coordination as a Property of Invariable Clitics (Poletto 2000b)	156
4.0	Summary and conclusion	158
Notes		160
Chapter 5	Beyond Piedmontese	162
1.0	Introduction	162
2.0	Beyond Piedmontese and the minimalist component of Optimal Agreement	163
2.1	Negation	168
2.1.1	Vocalic SCLs (Invariable and Deictic SCLs) - Negation - Finite Verb	168
2.1.2	Pre-negative Marker Agreement SCLs	170
3.0	A common property across the NIDs and SCL types	173
3.1	Imperatives	173
3.2	Non-finite verb forms	177
3.2.1	Personal Infinitives	177
4.0	Beyond Piedmontese and the OT component of Optimal Agreement	180
4.1	Renzi and Vanelli's (1983) SCL Systems and Florentine	180
5.0	Illegitimate candidates	194
5.1	Candidates that do not encode [\pm add,sg]	197
5.2	Two feature combination constraints	199
5.3	An alternative analysis: [ϕ] Dominance Scale	204
6.0	Conclusion	205
Notes		207

Chapter 6	Beyond SCLs: Piedmontese interrogatives	210
1.0	Introduction	210
2.0	Interrogative inversion and ICLs	212
2.1	ICLs as interrogative morphology	215
2.2	Agreement constraints and ICLs	218
3.0	A unitary account of Piedmontese interrogation strategies	219
3.1	<i>Wh+che</i> questions	220
3.1.1	Parry (1998a)	221
3.1.2	The Demise of ICLs: <i>wh+che</i> Re-assessed	223
3.1.3	<i>Wh+che</i> and V to C Movement	225
3.2	The proposal	227
3.2.1	Theoretical Background	227
3.2.2	<i>Wh+che</i> Explained	229
3.2.3	Further Questions	231
4.0	Summary	234
5.0	Interrogativity and the Agreement Field (Poletto 2000b)	234
5.1	Piedmontese	235
6.0	Conclusion	239
Notes		240
Chapter 7	Concluding remarks	245
1.0	A summary of Optimal Agreement and its achievements	245
2.0	Contribution to structure-minimality	251
3.0	Structure-minimality vs. a myriad of projections	252
4.0	Topics for future study	253
4.1	A gradual notion of Finiteness: what do SCLs tell us ?	253
4.1.1	Finiteness: Dichotomy or Gradual Concept ?	254
4.1.2	Finiteness Might be ‘Mood’ (Vincent 1998)	257
4.2	OT in narrow syntax	259
Notes		260
Appendix		261
References		265
Index		281

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Agr	Agreement
C _{HL}	Computation
CL- <i>l'</i>	Clitic <i>l'</i>
CL- <i>j'</i>	Clitic <i>j'</i>
CLLD	Clitic Left Dislocation
CNR	Centro Nazionale delle Ricerche
EPP	Extended Projection Principle
FI	Full Interpretation
HMC	Head Movement Condition
ICL(s)	Interrogative Clitic(s)
ImpCL	Impersonal Clitic
IOCL(s)	Indirect Object Clitic(s)
LDP	Left Dislocated Phrase
LF	Logical Form
LI	Lexical Item
LOC	Locative
LocCL	Locative Clitic
MP	Minimalist Program
N	Numeration
NIDs	Northern Italian Dialects
NSL(s)	Null Subject Language(s)
OCL(s)	Object Clitic(s)
OT	Optimality Theory
PartCL	Partitive Clitic
PF	Phonetic Form
ReflCL	Reflexive Clitic
SCI	Subject Clitics Inversion
SCL(s)	Subject Clitic(s)