

Palgrave Politics of Identity and Citizenship Series

Series Editors

Varun Uberoi

Department of Politics and History
Brunel University London
London, UK

Nasar Meer

University of Edinburgh
Edinburgh, UK

Tariq Modood

School Soc., Pol. and Inter. Rel.
University of Bristol, Senate House
Bristol, UK

“L’ouvrage de Manlio Cinalli est remarquable dans la mesure où il s’attaque à la question décisive et brûlante de l’intégration politique des musulmans français avec beaucoup de méthode et de sérénité là où l’on rencontre trop souvent démarche impressionniste et passion. La méthode est celle d’une approche empirique multi-niveaux qui nous fait découvrir une forte intégration horizontale des citoyens français musulmans même si l’intégration verticale, c’est-à-dire le rapport avec les acteurs politiques, reste faible. L’approche est également sereine car elle s’émancipe des catégories binaires (multiculturalisme contre assimilationnisme) pour penser toute la richesse et parfois les apories du républicanisme à la française. Un livre essentiel sur la question.”

—Pascal Perrineau, *Sciences Po, France*

The politics of identity and citizenship has assumed increasing importance as our polities have become significantly more culturally, ethnically and religiously diverse. Different types of scholars, including philosophers, sociologists, political scientists and historians make contributions to this field and this series showcases a variety of innovative contributions to it. Focusing on a range of different countries, and utilizing the insights of different disciplines, the series helps to illuminate an increasingly controversial area of research and titles in it will be of interest to a number of audiences including scholars, students and other interested individuals.

More information about this series at
<http://www.springer.com/series/14670>

“Le livre de Manlio Cinalli propose une analyse d'une exceptionnelle importance sur un enjeu central et particulièrement actuel dans la vie politique française : la question de la place des citoyens musulmans. L'auteur nous livre le résultat d'enquêtes de terrain passionnantes reposant sur des études en profondeur menées dans la région de Lyon où plusieurs émeutes urbaines ont conduit responsables politiques et chercheurs à s'interroger sur les causes de ce malaise récurrent. En mettant en perspective ce travail empirique fouillé à partir de sa parfaite connaissance de la littérature internationale, Manlio Cinalli nous propose de stimulantes réflexions sur les défis de l'intégration dans les sociétés modernes à travers la question de la prise en compte de communautés d'origines diverses. A ce titre, l'ouvrage constitue une source de réflexion irremplaçable sur le modèle français d'intégration républicaine et de son efficacité dans le contexte actuel. Les analyses de Manlio Cinalli n'intéresseront donc pas seulement les spécialistes de la France mais tous ceux, chercheurs ou responsables gouvernementaux qui, dans le contexte difficile que connaissent aujourd'hui les démocraties, veulent dépasser les idées reçues et s'interroger sur les réponses possibles face aux transformations de la citoyenneté.”

—Pierre Muller, *CNRS, France*

Manlio Cinalli

Citizenship and the Political Integration of Muslims

The Relational Field of French Islam

palgrave
macmillan

Manlio Cinalli
CEVIPOF (CNRS - UMR 7048), Sciences Po
Paris, France

Palgrave Politics of Identity and Citizenship Series
ISBN 978-1-137-31223-5 ISBN 978-1-137-31224-2 (eBook)
DOI 10.1057/978-1-137-31224-2

Library of Congress Control Number: 2017940618

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s) 2017

The author(s) has/have asserted their right(s) to be identified as the author(s) of this work in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover illustration: © Directphoto Collection/Alamy Stock Photo

Printed on acid-free paper

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by Springer Nature

The registered company is Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

The registered company address is: The Campus, 4 Crinan Street, London, N1 9XW, United Kingdom

A Luigi

Preface

The main focus of this book is on Muslim French citizens during the 10-year period beginning on 16 November 2005 (the last day of the urban riots) and ending with the terrorist carnage that took place on 13–14 November 2015. One can speak of these 10 years as being a ‘long decade’ for Muslim French citizens. Never before then had the two terms ‘Muslim’ and ‘Citizenship’ been used as frequently in conjunction (CFCM 2014); never before had this collocation come across as being so ironic, so oxymoronic even, with its terms brutally sundered by the emergence of appalling acts of Islamist violence. It goes without saying that the focus on Muslim French citizens in the public debate is not a recent development in contemporary French politics. The history of the country is characterised by a long-standing relationship with Islam, which goes far back in time. Muslims feature in some of the most significant events of French history, whether as the indomitable enemies from whose grasp the French claimed to have saved all of Western civilisation during the battle of Poitiers in October 732, or as the most loyal forces fighting in the African Army against Nazi terror during World War II. Most importantly for this book, the link between Muslims and migration in France has long represented an important dimension of

French history, but one which began to change in the early 1900s as France embarked on a process of increasing nationalisation (Arkoun 2006). This journey from migration to citizenship therefore represents a crucial historical process with which any study of twenty-first century Muslims in France must engage. From the early 1980s onwards (well before the time frame of this study), France witnessed the final stage of the transformation of Muslim migrants (predominantly from a Maghrebi background) into a new generation of French nationals by birth. This generation attended French schools from their earliest years, served as citizens in the French Army, and, in the eyes of many, deserved the full praise of all republican citizens. To reflect this fundamental pathway from migration to citizenship, I will consciously use the term Muslim French citizens (henceforth, MFCs) rather than any other designation. This helps to constantly remind the reader that this study is primarily about French citizens (of Muslim background) rather than about Muslims living in France, an altogether vaguer category.¹ At the same time, this specific designation also allows me to consider, in the final, conclusive pages of this book, to what extent the full citizenship of MFCs has in fact been compromised during the months following the terrorist carnage of 13–14 November, now that time has made it possible to judge these events more dispassionately.

The long decade 2005–2015 has also represented a significant chapter in my own personal biography, since I settled in France at the very beginning of this decade, having obtained a permanent position at CEVIPOF-Sciences Po after a number of years spent in postdoctoral training in the field of ‘ethnic relations and integration’ at the University of Leeds and at the European University Institute in Florence. My scholarly background in ‘Anglo-American literature’ means that I can approach the study of MFCs more easily, without the bias of ‘republican assimilation’ (as Anglo-American scholars might call it). Most importantly, throughout this decade, I have observed the problematic integration of MFCs first-hand, as being one of the most compelling and politically explosive issues in France, and in the aftermath of the recent terrorist carnage, this has convinced me to gather together in a monograph the various research projects that I have carried out in the past ten years and which all focused on topics relevant to this debate.

As I am putting the final words to this book, French public discourse paints an unrelentingly dark picture of the many difficulties that MFCs face in their civic and political integration. This pessimistic outlook is also that of the government, as evidenced by the Prime Minister's call for Islam to fight 'its own pathologies' (France Inter, 17 November 2015),² or by the President of the Republic's pledge to support a constitutional revision that would make it possible to strip French terrorists with dual citizenship of their French nationality (Le Monde, 16 November 2015 and 9 March 2016).³ This pessimistic outlook obviously has a much larger European and international resonance and has been further reinforced by the acts of terrorism in Belgium, the deadliest that the country has suffered in its entire national history, which occurred just a few weeks before the completion of this book.

Of course, the evolution of my own scholarly experience and the progress of my research over the last decade may have influenced my judgement. Yet the difference between the public reaction to the terrorist carnage of November 2015 and the debate 10 years ago could hardly be more striking. November 2005 marked the end of the worst wave of riots in French history, but on the whole scholars and public commentators consistently linked these events not to Muslims but to disaffected young people in the suburbs. At the time, only a handful of commentators linked the riots to the lack of political integration of MFCs, and a few French colleagues went in the opposite direction and argued that the riots in fact had a strong political dimension (Jobard 2005). In the discourse of many actors, the riots were linked to wider processes of marginalisation that depended on age, housing, and income, while on the other side of the political spectrum, the rioting was perceived as a form of 'wanton criminality' devoid of any deeper sociological justification.⁴

In fact, the French debate at the time focused specifically on social dumping—an issue that came to a head with the huge protests that followed the Bolkestein directive, with the heated debate surrounding the 'Polish plumber', and with the rejection of the treaty establishing a constitution for Europe—and it also focused on the liberalisation of the labour market (a controversy which raged particularly strongly at the beginning of 2006 with various protests over the CPE labour market reform). Meanwhile, having peaked in 2004 over the establishment of

the new French Council of the Muslim Faith, the debate about Muslims in France began to wane from 2005 onwards (Vanparys et al. 2013), most likely because of a general agreement that an institutional body representing Muslims could reasonably have a say when the direct interests of Muslims were affected. At the time, France was also relatively unconcerned about Islamic extremism, at least compared to many other European countries.

The France of 2005 was, broadly speaking, dominated by the traditional interpretations and concerns of left–right politics, even as the public debate focused on issues that could easily have taken on the inflammatory ethno-religious flavour of the time. Crucially, this pacification of the French political debate surrounding Islam took place at the same time as other countries were driven by increasingly emotional public disputes, for example, following the political killing of the extreme right-wing leader Theo Van Gogh in Amsterdam at the end of 2004, as well as the London bombings of 7/7, the publication of anti-Muslim cartoons in Copenhagen, and the beginning of the Swiss minaret controversy, which all three took place in 2005. By contrast, since last November, countless heated arguments suggest that France is, by now, at war with Muslims on its own soil. What I have personally observed is that over the course of one decade France has shifted from a situation in which MFCs *qua* Muslims were not perceived as representing a threat to democratic peace, to a wholly different situation in which a growing number of MFCs have become the object of a ruthless war pitting Islamic evil against republican virtue, and playing itself out both on French soil and in the international arena.

I have also observed that the long decade 2005–2015 have been characterised by a socio-psychological shift. France has dramatically changed from showing pride in its successful integration of Muslims at the beginning of the decade, to expressing its dismay at its failure to fully transform them into republican citizens. Curiously, this downwards spiral can be put in the context of broader trends in the rest of Europe. Ten years ago, at the time of the 2005 riots, many French officials, experts and academics rose up in defence of republicanism in answer to speculations that France had failed to integrate its own ‘minorities’. In the direct aftermath of the murder of Theo Van Gogh and of the London bombings,

republicanism was seen as being in better health than multiculturalist systems. At the same time, governments and officials in multicultural states such as Britain and the Netherlands appeared—more or less consciously—to pay a tribute to French republican principles, lamenting their own poor results when it came to the political integration of Muslims citizens, and vowing to do better, by rejecting a model of ‘separateness at the cost of unity’ (The Telegraph, 13 January 2007), and by giving a new assimilationist flavour to their policies (Prins and Saharso 2010).

Simply put, ten years ago it looked as if multiculturalism was bowing to the superiority of integratory republicanism. Multicultural states then started to engage in policies that drew, more or less explicitly, on values that were at the basis of France’s national framework of values, rights and responsibilities. In 2015, by contrast, France’s former pride in its civic and political unity has been replaced by an exceptional level of scepticism and distrust about its ability to defend republicanism. Ever since the Charlie Hebdo shooting on 7 January 2015, few are those who have highlighted France’s positive record in terms of civic and political integration. Critics, both in the public sphere and in the domain of the social sciences, have savaged France’s policies, helping to spread the idea that France might soon collapse, allegedly, under the burden of its huge, poorly integrated Muslim population. The France of today bears very little resemblance to what it was 10 years ago, ravaged as it is by draconian measures of public emergency. It is also burdened by the economic and political legacy of the economic crisis and wars in Iraq, Syria and Libya, and it is worried by the rise of the extreme right which enjoys unrivalled levels of popular support, and which may stand out as a main anti-systemic challenger in the next Presidential elections of April 2017.

The France of today has been taken over by a discourse assimilating Muslims to radicalised criminals, and portraying them as engaged in violence against women, as supporting Daesh terrorists and, hence, as constituting a serious threat to the very existence of the French Republic. Meanwhile, the pendulum of Franco-British differences appears to have just swung the other way, with the election of a Muslim candidate as mayor of London. In fact, the supposed *continuum* between the radicalisation of ordinary Muslim citizens and the terrorist attacks in ‘Londonistan’ (Phillips 2007) appears as no more than

political instrumentalisation in need of new terrorist attacks to be once again revamped. Today more than ever, commentators feel that they can blame the strict enforcement of *laïcité* for many of France's problems, taking the ubiquitous debate over the head scarf as the ultimate evidence that the French rejection of 'ostentatious' religious affiliations amounts to a discriminatory practice.

The stark contrast between the beginning and the end of the long decade 2005–2015, however, may not be due to an overnight change following the wave of terrorist attacks in 2015. That would be an overly simplistic reading of these events, and of what happened in the interim. Accordingly, this book aims to analyse, in detail the major characteristics of this period, and the many developments which took place over the entire decade. In particular, this book will focus on two successive phases that widened the gulf between Muslims and non-Muslims in France, both from a civic and from a political perspective. The first phase began after the 2005 riots. Gradually, the idea began to prevail that there existed a significant gap between the civic status enjoyed by 'full' French citizens and that of the marginalised inhabitants of the '*banlieues* of the Republic' who were increasingly turning to a radicalised form of Islam (Kepel 1987, 2015). Simply put, it became a commonly held idea that Muslims had become excluded (and had *de facto* excluded themselves) from the community of citizens, and were not enjoying the full rights nor the prevailing welfare standards guaranteed by the French Republic to all its citizens.

The second phase began in the middle of the decade, at which point a lengthy debate surrounding 'migration, integration, and national identity' helped to shape the policy priorities of the government, as the symbolic creation of a specific Ministry put in charge of all these issues went to show. This debate was also fuelled by wider concerns about the Arab Spring of 2011 and about the continuing migration crisis unfolding in the Mediterranean. Since they were the implicit target of a large part this debate, which emphasised the link between Islam and migration, Muslims found themselves increasingly isolated, forced into a political divide that opposed 'full' French citizens, with access to politics and decision-making, to a group of new, 'untested' citizens who were French by virtue of their passports but who were relatively detached

from politics. Put simply, this second phase was mostly about the (missing) link between Muslim citizens and the policy domain of representation and decision-making.

In the 6 months between November 2016 and the final completion of this monograph, the civic and political gulf between Muslim and non-Muslim citizens has only widened, to such an extent that the idea that Muslim citizens cannot be successfully integrated has now become widespread. The very extremeness of this view tends to suggest that it is a short-term, emotional response to a horrific event; nonetheless, it is important to properly problematise it, before it takes root and becomes an integral part of the national understanding regardless of any systematic scrutiny. The closing line of this book is indeed that France stands at the crossroads: citizenship in France must promptly be made to live up to its republican commitments, before ethno-religious Restoration succeed to impose itself as in a new Congress of Vienna.

Paris, France

Manlio Cinalli

Notes

1. Obviously, I might make vague reference to Muslims in France when it is impossible to be more precise. For example, it is hard to establish a watertight distinction between Muslim citizens and migrants when studying Muslim associationism and Muslim movements.
2. Available online at <http://www.franceinter.fr/video-manuel-valls-il-faut-lutter-contre-l-islamisme-qui-est-une-pathologie-de-l-islam>. Last accessed on 13th March 2016.
3. Cf. the article “Face au terrorisme de guerre, Hollande prône un autre régime constitutionnel”. Available online at http://www.lemonde.fr/attaques-a-paris/article/2015/11/16/hollande-la-france-intensifiera-ses-operations-en-syrie_4811147_4809495.html. Last accessed on 13th March 2016; Cf. the article “Après les modifications du Sénat, la réforme constitutionnelle est compromise”. Available online at http://www.lemonde.fr/attaques-a-paris/article/2016/03/09/decheance-de-nationalite-les-senateurs-choisissent-de-la-limiter-aux-bination-aux_4879324_4809495.html. Last accessed on 13th March 2016.

4. Cf. the words of Boris Johnson, Mayor of London, in UK Indymedia, 11 August 2011.

Reference

- Arkoun, M. (2006). *Histoire de l'Islam et des musulmans en France*. Paris: AlbinMichel.
- Kepel, G. (2012). *Banlieue de la République: Société, politique et religion à Clichy-sous-Bois et Montfermeil*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Kepel, G. (2015). *Terreur dans l'Hexagone: Genèse du djihad jihad français*. Paris: Gallimard.
- Phillips, A. (2007). *Multiculturalism without Culture*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Vanparys, N., Jacobs, D., & Torrekens, C. (2013). The impact of dramatic events on public debate concerning accommodation of Islam in Europe. *Ethnicities*, 13(2), 209–228.

Acknowledgements

This book was born out of my research interest in comparing various fields of contentious politics, a topic on which I have been working since the late 1990s when I was doing my Ph.D. at Queen's University Belfast. This personal interest led to a series of research programmes supported by various funding agencies, and centred on topics like ethno-nationalism, unemployment, migration and Muslims. The sub-national data which I draw on in this book come from a number of research projects. A first project is entitled 'Multicultural Democracy and Immigrants' Social Capital in Europe: Participation, Organisational Networks, and Public Policies at the Local Level' (LOCALMULTIDEM). This project, which deals with 'multicultural democracy' in a number of European cities, was funded by the European Commission under the 6th Framework Programme (Grant Agreement No. 028802). Within LOCALMULTIDEM, the study on Stockholm was made possible by funding from the Bank of Sweden Tercentenary Foundation and the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research. Secondly, for data about unemployed youth in urban Lyon, I have drawn on the project entitled 'Youth, unemployment, and exclusion in Europe: A multidimensional approach to

understanding the conditions and prospects for the social and political integration of the young unemployed' (YOUNEX). This project was funded by the European Commission under the 7th Framework Programme (Grant Agreement No. 216122). Thirdly, the great bulk of my data on 'Muslim French citizens' at the national level comes from the project called 'Finding a Place for Islam in Europe: Cultural Interactions between Muslim Immigrants and Receiving Societies' (EURISLAM). This project was also funded by the European Commission under the 7th Framework Programme (Grant Agreement No. 215863). Lastly, my extensive study of French public policies that are relevant for Muslim French citizens also draws on the project entitled 'State secularism—*Laïcité* and integration of Muslim minorities in a multi-ethnic multi-religious context'. This project was funded by the Sorbonne Paris Cité under the USPC-NUS call for joint research projects (grant agreement No. 201506R). Marco Giugni, Suzaina Kadir, Laura Morales and Jean Tillie all deserve explicit thanks for their great work as coordinators or co-investigators of these three research programmes.

I have been very fortunate to conduct my research at Sciences Po (CEVIPOF, CNRS), where friends and colleagues have always been ready to help me. While it would not be possible to mention all of them by name in the space of a short acknowledgement section, I must single out first of all Pascal Perrineau, who welcomed me at CEVIPOF in 2004 and was the Director of this *laboratoire* of French political science at Sciences Po during the whole time frame of research of this book. My special thanks also go to Pierre Muller. Pierre supervised the thesis I had to produce for my 'Habilitation', on which the present book is especially based. In addition to debating with me and to constantly enriching my ideas, Pierre has showed me that availability, kindness, patience and support are the indelible ink that can make even the process of scholarly writing pleasing.

I will never forget the advice and enlightenment offered by Durriya Badani, Didier Chabanet, Donatella Della Porta, Marco Giugni, David Jacobson, Suzaina Kadir, Ian O'Flynn, Foued Nasri, Giuseppe Sacco, and Catherine Wihtol de Wenden. The everlasting debates and discussions we have had via both email and face to face at various conferences,

or during my extended research visits to their institutions, have been like a lighthouse casting a reassuring beam of light to guide me whenever the clouds of doubts obstructed my progress. Each of them, in their own way, have provided me with vital support and thereby helped shape the evolution of my overall research, so by thanking them for their specific help with this book, what I really want to thank them for is their influence over all of my scholarly work. I would also like to mention the great support which I have received from the École Française de Rome. In particular, I am very grateful to its Director, Catherine Virlouvet, with whom I had the chance of discussing the historical developments of citizenship since the time of ancient Greece and Rome. In addition, I thank Fabrice Jasné, the head of the programme 'Époques moderne et contemporaine', with whom I discussed the relationship between citizenship and the emergence of the national state.

Last but not foremost, I am in debt to my family and friends in France, Italy, and Northern Ireland. By sharing their perspectives, I learnt that no divisions can ever be so great that they cannot be mended with a good seam. There is no greater debt, however, than the one I owe to Luigi, who encouraged me, supported me, smiled at me without conditions, and endured my interior monologues with unbounded indulgence. I hope that this work will provide some evidence that their faith, which I cannot ever fully deserve, is not completely unjustified. This book is dedicated to them, my father, my son.

Contents

1	Citizenship for Muslim French Citizens: The ‘Long Decade’ 2005–2015	1
2	Citizenship as a Field: A Theoretical Framework for Analysing the Political Integration of Muslim French Citizens	35
3	The Two Dimensions of Ontological Citizenship: Horizontal Versus Vertical	71
4	The Behaviours and Attitudes of Muslim French Citizens	101
5	Cross-scale Dynamics in the Relational Field of Citizenship	133
6	Citizenship and Integration of Muslim Europeans: Concluding Remarks	167
	Bibliography	187
		xix

List of Figures

Chapter 2

- Fig. 1 Muslim citizenship and political integration
as a bi-dimensional field 45

Chapter 4

- Fig. 1 Correlation circle with projection of variables 123
Fig. 2 Positioning of the four groups on a two-dimensional map 123

Chapter 5

- Fig. 1 Ties of interpenetration in the relational field 146
Fig. 2 Average degree across horizontal and vertical dimension 147
Fig. 3 Information-sharing among Muslim organisations:
clustering of cliques 150
Fig. 4 Overlapping memberships among Muslim organisations:
clustering of cliques 151
Fig. 5 Ties of information-sharing between Muslim organisations
and broader civil society 152
Fig. 6 Policy participation of Muslim organisations 152

List of Tables

Chapter 1

Table 1	Maghrebi vs South-European migrants in France	16
Table 2	Maghrebian migrants and their descendants in France and Lyon, 2012	17

Chapter 4

Table 1	Number of non-Muslims members in associations joined by Muslim Europeans	107
Table 2	Attendance at a place of worship by Muslim Europeans	109
Table 3	Support for the separation between religion and the state	109
Table 4	Opinion on university education more important for boys than girls	111
Table 5	Opinion on men making better political leaders than women	111
Table 6	Political participation and association membership: Lyon versus multicultural cities	113
Table 7	Youth unemployment versus overall unemployment in France	118
Table 8	French Muslims versus the unemployed youth: political participation and attitudes	121
Table 9	Socio-demographic composition of groups	125

xxiv **List of Tables**

Table 10	Regression on political participation and perceived political self-efficacy for young MFCs	126
Table 11	Regression on political participation and perceived self-efficacy for UYFCs	126

Chapter 5

Table 1	Average position of claims	140
Table 2	Issues of claims	142
Table 3	Framing of political interventions	143
Table 4	Cross-national variations in main aspects of political interventions (percentages)	154
Table 5	Organisation of activities: Lyon vs the best multicultural cities	159
Table 6	Preferred level of political engagement	159
Table 7	Organisation of different types of events	160
Table 8	Types of action	160