The Landscape of Theoretical Physics:
A Global View

Fundamental Theories of Physics

An International Book Series on The Fundamental Theories of Physics: Their Clarification, Development and Application

Editor:
ALWYN VAN DER MERWE, *University of Denver, U.S.A.*

Editorial Advisory Board:
JAMES T. CUSHING, *University of Notre Dame, U.S.A.*
GIANCARLO GHIRARDI, *University of Trieste, Italy*
LAWRENCE P. HORWITZ, *Tel-Aviv University, Israel*
BRIAN D. JOSEPHSON, *University of Cambridge, U.K.*
CLIVE KILMISTER, *University of London, U.K.*
PEKKA J. LAHTI, *University of Turku, Finland*
ASHER PERES, *Israel Institute of Technology, Israel*
EDUARD PRUGOVECKI, *University of Toronto, Canada*
TONY SUDBURY, *University of York, U.K.*
HANS-JÜRGEN TREDER, *Zentralinstitut für Astrophysik der Akademie der Wissenschaften, Germany*

Volume 119

The Landscape of Theoretical Physics: A Global View

From Point Particles to the Brane World and Beyond, in Search of a Unifying Principle

by

Matej Pavšič

Department of Theoretical Physics,
Jožef Stefan Institute,
Ljubljana, Slovenia

KLUWER ACADEMIC PUBLISHERS
NEW YORK, BOSTON, DORDRECHT, LONDON, MOSCOW

eBook ISBN 0-306-47136-1
Print ISBN 0-792-37006-6

©2002 Kluwer Academic Publishers
New York, Boston, Dordrecht, London, Moscow

All rights reserved

No part of this eBook may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, recording, or otherwise, without written consent from the Publisher

Created in the United States of America

Visit Kluwer Online at: http://www.kluweronline.com
and Kluwer's eBookstore at: http://www.ebooks.kluweronline.com

To my family

Contents

Preface xi
Acknowledgments xiii
Introduction xv

Part I Point Particles

1. THE SPINLESS POINT PARTICLE 3
1.1 Point particles versus worldlines 3
1.2 Classical theory 7
1.3 First quantization 16
Flat spacetime 16
Curved spacetime 20
1.4 Second quantization 31
Classical field theory with invariant evolution parameter 31
The canonical quantization 35
Comparison with the conventional relativistic quantum field theory 45

2. POINT PARTICLES AND CLIFFORD ALGEBRA 53
2.1 Introduction to geometric calculus based on Clifford algebra 54
2.2 Algebra of spacetime 59
2.3 Physical quantities as polyvectors 62
2.4 The unconstrained action from the polyvector action 69
Free particle 69
Particle in a fixed background field 73
2.5 Quantization of the polyvector action 75
2.6 On the second quantization of the polyvector action 83
2.7 Some further important consequences of Clifford algebra 87

Relativity of signature 87
Grassmann numbers from Clifford numbers 90
2.8 The polyvector action and De Witt–Rovelli material reference system 92

3. HARMONIC OSCILLATOR IN PSEUDO-EUCLIDEAN SPACE 93
3.1 The 2-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean harmonic oscillator 94
3.2 Harmonic oscillator in d-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space 96
3.3 A system of scalar fields 99
3.4 Conclusion 102

Part II Extended Objects

4. GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF MEMBRANE KINEMATICS AND DYNAMICS 107
4.1 Membrane space M 108
4.2 Membrane dynamics 114
Membrane theory as a free fall in M-space 114
Membrane theory as a minimal surface in an embedding space 126
Membrane theory based on the geometric calculus in M-space 130
4.3 More about the interconnections among various membrane actions 138

5. MORE ABOUT PHYSICS IN M-SPACE 145
5.1 Gauge fields in M-space 146
General considerations 146
A specific case 147
M-space point of view again 152
A system of many membranes 155
5.2 Dynamical metric field in M-space 158
Metric of V_N from the metric of M-space 163

6. EXTENDED OBJECTS AND CLIFFORD ALGEBRA 167
6.1 Mathematical preliminaries 168
Vectors in curved spaces 168
Vectors in an infinite-dimensional space 177
6.2 Dynamical vector field in M-space 180
Description with the vector field in spacetime 183
6.3 Full covariance in the space of parameters ϕ^A 188
Description in spacetime 188
Description in M-space 195
Description in the enlarged M-space 197

7 . QUANTIZATION 203
7.1 The quantum theory of unconstrained membranes 203
The commutation relations and the Heisenberg equations of motion 204
The Schrödinger representation 205
The stationary Schrödinger equation for a membrane 210
Dimensional reduction of the Schrödinger equation 211
A particular solution to the covariant Schrödinger equation 212
The wave packet 217
The expectation values 220
Conclusion 222
7.2 Clifford algebra and quantization 223
Phase space 223
Wave function as a polyvector 225
Equations of motion for basis vectors 229
Quantization of the p-brane: a geometric approach 238

Part III Brane World

8. SPACETIME AS A MEMBRANE IN A HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL SPACE 249
8.1 The brane in a curved embedding space 249
8.2 A system of many intersecting branes 256
The brane interacting with itself 258
A system of many branes creates the bulk and its metric 260
8.3 The origin of matter in the brane world 261
Matter from the intersection of our brane with other branes 261
Matter from the intersection of our brane with itself 261
8.4 Comparison with the Randall–Sundrum model 264
The metric around a brane in a higher-dimensional bulk 267

9. THE EINSTEIN–HILBERT ACTION ON THE BRANE AS THE EFFECTIVE ACTION 271
9.1 The classical model 272
9.2 The quantum model 274
9.3 Conclusion 281

10. ON THE RESOLUTION OF TIME PROBLEM IN QUANTUM GRAVITY 283
10.1 Space as a moving 3-dimensional membrane in V_N 285
10.2 Spacetime as a moving 4-dimensional membrane in V_N 287
General consideration 287
A physically interesting solution 289
Inclusion of sources 294

Part IV Beyond the Horizon

11. THE LANDSCAPE OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS: A GLOBAL VIEW 303

12. NOBODY REALLY UNDERSTANDS QUANTUM MECHANICS 315
- 12.1 The 'I' intuitively understands quantum mechanics 318
- 12.2 Decoherence 323
- 12.3 On the problem of basis in the Everett interpretation 326
- 12.4 Brane world and brain world 328
- 12.5 Final discussion on quantum mechanics, and conclusion 333

13. FINAL DISCUSSION 339
- 13.1 What is wrong with tachyons? 339
- 13.2 Is the electron indeed an event moving in spacetime? 340
- 13.3 Is our world indeed a single huge 4-dimensional membrane? 342
- 13.4 How many dimensions are there? 343
- 13.5 Will it ever be possible to find solutions to the classical and quantum brane equations of motion and make predictions? 344
- 13.6 Have we found a unifying principle? 345

Appendices 347
- The dilatationally invariant system of units 347

References 353

Index 363

Preface

Today many important directions of research are being pursued more or less independently of each other. These are, for instance, strings and membranes, induced gravity, embedding of spacetime into a higher-dimensional space, the brane world scenario, the quantum theory in curved spaces, Fock-Schwinger proper time formalism, parametrized relativistic quantum theory, quantum gravity, wormholes and the problem of "time machines", spin and supersymmetry, geometric calculus based on Clifford algebra, various interpretations of quantum mechanics including the Everett interpretation, and the recent important approach known as "decoherence".

A big problem, as I see it, is that various people thoroughly investigate their narrow field without being aware of certain very close relations to other fields of research. What we need now is not only to see the trees but also the forest. In the present book I intend to do just that: to carry out a first approximation to a synthesis of the related fundamental theories of physics. I sincerely hope that such a book will be useful to physicists.

From a certain viewpoint the book could be considered as a course in theoretical physics in which the foundations of all those relevant fundamental theories and concepts are attempted to be thoroughly reviewed. Unsolved problems and paradoxes are pointed out. I show that most of those approaches have a common basis in the theory of unconstrained membranes. The very interesting and important concept of membrane space, $\mathcal{M}$, the tensor calculus in $\mathcal{M}$ and functional transformations in $\mathcal{M}$ are discussed. Next I present a theory in which spacetime is considered as a 4-dimensional unconstrained membrane and discuss how the usual classical gravity, together with sources, emerges as an effective theory. Finally, I point out that the Everett interpretation of quantum mechanics is the natural one in that theory. Various interpretational issues will be discussed and the relation to the modern "decoherence" will be pointed out.

If we look at the detailed structure of a landscape we are unable to see the connections at a larger scale. We see mountains, but we do not see the mountain range. A view from afar is as important as a view from nearby. Every position illuminates reality from its own perspective. It is analogously so, in my opinion, in theoretical physics also. Detailed investigations of a certain fundamental theory are made at the expense of seeing at the same time the connections with other theories. What we need today is some kind of atlas of the many theoretical approaches currently under investigation. During many years of effort I can claim that I do see a picture which has escaped from attention of other researchers. They certainly might profit if they could become aware of such a more global, though not as detailed, view of fundamental theoretical physics.

MATEJ PAVŠIČ

Acknowledgments

This book is a result of many years of thorough study and research. I am very grateful to my parents, who supported me and encouraged me to persist on my chosen path. Also I thank my beloved wife Mojca for all she has done for me and for her interest in my work. I have profited a lot from discussions and collaboration with E. Recami, P. Caldirola, A.O. Barut, W.A. Rodrigues, Jr., V. Tapia, M. Maia, M. Blagojević, R. W. Tucker, A. Zheltukhin, I. Kanatchikov, L. Horwitz, J. Fanchi, C. Castro, and many others whom I have met on various occasions. The work was supported by the Slovenian Ministry of Science and Technology.

Introduction

The unification of various branches of theoretical physics is a joint project of many researchers, and everyone contributes as much as he can. So far we have accumulated a great deal of knowledge and insight encoded in such marvelous theories as general relativity, quantum mechanics, quantum field theory, the standard model of electroweak interaction, and chromodynamics. In order to obtain a more unified view, various promising theories have emerged, such as those of strings and "branes", induced gravity, the embedding models of gravity, and the "brane world" models, to mention just a few. The very powerful Clifford algebra as a useful tool for geometry and physics is becoming more and more popular. Fascinating are the ever increasing successes in understanding the foundations of quantum mechanics and their experimental verification, together with actual and potential practical applications in cryptography, teleportation, and quantum computing.

In this book I intend to discuss the conceptual and technical foundations of those approaches which, in my opinion, are most relevant for unification of general relativity and quantum mechanics on the one hand, and fundamental interactions on the other hand. After many years of active research I have arrived at a certain level of insight into the possible interrelationship between those theories. Emphases will be on the exposition and understanding of concepts and basic techniques, at the expense of detailed and rigorous mathematical development. Theoretical physics is considered here as a beautiful landscape. A global view of the landscape will be taken. This will enable us to see forests and mountain ranges as a whole, at the cost of seeing trees and rocks.

Physicists interested in the foundations of physics, conceptual issues, and the unification program, as well as those working in a special field and desiring to broaden their knowledge and see their speciality from a wider perspective, are expected to profit the most from the present book. They

are assumed to possess a solid knowledge at least of quantum mechanics, and special and general relativity.

As indicated in the subtitle, I will start from point particles. They move along geodesics which are the lines of minimal, or, more generally, extremal length, in spacetime. The corresponding action from which the equations of motion are derived is invariant with respect to reparametrizations of an arbitrary parameter denoting position on the worldline swept by the particle. There are several different, but equivalent, reparametrization invariant point particle actions. A common feature of such an approach is that actually there is no dynamics in spacetime, but only in space. A particle's worldline is frozen in spacetime, but from the 3-dimensional point of view we have a point particle moving in 3-space. This fact is at the roots of all the difficulties we face when trying to quantize the theory: either we have a covariant quantum theory but no evolution in spacetime, or we have evolution in 3-space at the expense of losing manifest covariance in spacetime. In the case of a point particle this problem is not considered to be fatal, since it is quite satisfactorily resolved in relativistic quantum field theory. But when we attempt to quantize extended objects such as branes of arbitrary dimension, or spacetime itself, the above problem emerges in its full power: after so many decades of intensive research we have still not yet arrived at a generally accepted consistent theory of quantum gravity.

There is an alternative to the usual relativistic point particle action proposed by Fock [1] and subsequently investigated by Stueckelberg [2], Feynman [3], Schwinger [4], Davidon [5], Horwitz [6,7] and many others [8]–[20]. In such a theory a particle or "event" in spacetime obeys a law of motion analogous to that of a nonrelativistic particle in 3-space. The difference is in the dimensionality and signature of the space in which the particle moves. None of the coordinates x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3 which parametrize spacetime has the role of evolution parameter. The latter is separately postulated and is Lorentz invariant. Usually it is denoted as τ and evolution goes along τ. There are no constraints in the theory, which can therefore be called *the unconstrained theory.* First and second quantizations of the unconstrained theory are straightforward, very elegant, and manifestly Lorentz covariant. Since τ can be made to be related to proper time such a theory is often called a *Fock–Schwinger proper time formalism.* The value and elegance of the latter formalism is widely recognized, and it is often used, especially when considering quantum fields in curved spaces [21]. There are two main interpretations of the formalism:

(i) According to the first interpretation, it is considered merely as a useful calculational tool, without any physical significance. Evolution in τ and the absence of any constraint is assumed to be fictitious and unphysical. In order to make contact with physics one has to get rid of τ in all the

expressions considered by integrating them over τ. By doing so one projects unphysical expressions onto the physical ones, and in particular one projects unphysical states onto physical states.

(ii) According to the second interpretation, evolution in τ is genuine and physical. There is, indeed, dynamics in spacetime. Mass is a constant of motion and not a fixed constant in the Lagrangian.

Personally, I am inclined to the interpretation (ii). In the history of physics it has often happened that a good new formalism also contained good new physics waiting to be discovered and identified in suitable experiments. It is one of the purposes of this book to show a series of arguments in favor of the interpretation (ii). The first has roots in geometric calculus based on Clifford algebra [22]

Clifford numbers can be used to represent vectors, multivectors, and, in general, polyvectors (which are Clifford aggregates). They form a very useful tool for geometry. The well known equations of physics can be cast into elegant compact forms by using the geometric calculus based on Clifford algebra.

These compact forms suggest the generalization that every physical quantity is a polyvector [23, 24]. For instance, the momentum polyvector in 4-dimensional spacetime has not only a vector part, but also a scalar, bivector, pseudovector and pseudoscalar part. Similarly for the velocity polyvector. Now we can straightforwardly generalize the conventional constrained action by rewriting it in terms of polyvectors. By doing so we obtain in the action also a term which corresponds to the pseudoscalar part of the velocity polyvector. A consequence of this extra term is that, when confining ourselves, for simplicity, to polyvectors with pseudoscalar and vector part only, the variables corresponding to 4-vector components can all be taken as independent. After a straightforward procedure in which we omit the extra term in the action (since it turns out to be just the total derivative), we obtain Stueckelberg's unconstrained action! This is certainly a remarkable result. The original, constrained action is equivalent to the unconstrained action. Later in the book (Sec. 4.2) I show that the analogous procedure can also be applied to extended objects such as strings, membranes, or branes in general.

When studying the problem of how to identify points in a generic curved spacetime, several authors [25], and, especially recently Rovelli [26], have recognized that one must fill spacetime with a reference fluid. Rovelli considers such a fluid as being composed of a bunch of particles, each particle carrying a clock on it. Besides the variables denoting positions of particles there is also a variable denoting the clock. This extra, clock, variable must enter the action, and the expression Rovelli obtains is formally the same as the expression we obtain from the polyvector action (in which we neglect

the bivector, pseudovector, and scalar parts). We may therefore identify the pseudoscalar part of the velocity polyvector with the speed of the clock variable. Thus have a relation between the polyvector generalization of the usual constrained relativistic point particle, the Stueckleberg particle, and the DeWitt–Rovelli particle with clock.

A relativistic particle is known to posses spin, in general. We show how spin arises from the polyvector generalization of the point particle and how the quantized theory contains the Dirac spinors together with the Dirac equation as a particular case. Namely, in the quantized theory a state is naturally assumed to be represented as a polyvector wave function Φ, which, in particular, can be a spinor. That spinors are just a special kind of polyvectors (Clifford aggregates), namely the elements of the minimal left or right ideals of the Clifford algebra, is an old observation [27]. Now, scalars, vectors, spinors, etc., can be reshuffled by the elements of the Clifford algebra. This means that scalars, vectors, etc., can be transformed into spinors, and *vice versa.* Within Clifford algebra thus we have transformations which change bosons into fermions. In Secs. 2.5 and 2.7 I discuss the possible relation between the Clifford algebra formulation of the spinning particle and a more widely used formulation in terms of Grassmann variables.

A very interesting feature of Clifford algebra concerns the signature of the space defined by basis vectors which are generators of the Clifford algebra. In principle we are not confined to choosing just a particular set of elements as basis vectors; we may choose some other set. For instance, if e^0, e^1, e^2, e^3 are the basis vectors of a space M_e with signature (+ + + +), then we may declare the set (e^0, e^0e^1, e^0e^2, e^0e^3) as basis vectors γ^0, γ^1, γ^2, γ^3 of some other space M_γ with signature (+ – – –). That is, by suitable choice of basis vectors we can obtain within the same Clifford algebra a space of arbitrary signature. This has far reaching implications. For instance, in the case of even-dimensional space we can always take a signature with an equal number of pluses and minuses. A harmonic oscillator in such a space has vanishing zero point energy, provided that we define the vacuum state in a very natural way as proposed in refs. [28]. An immediate consequence is that there are no central terms and anomalies in string theory living in spacetime with signature (+ + +… – – –), even if the dimension of such a space is not critical. In other words, spacetime with such a 'symmetric' signature need not have 26 dimensions [28].

The principle of such a harmonic oscillator in a pseudo-Euclidean space is applied in Chapter 3 to a system of scalar fields. The metric in the space of fields is assumed to have signature (+ + +… – – –) and it is shown that the vacuum energy, and consequently the cosmological constant, are then exactly zero. However, the theory contains some negative energy fields

("exotic matter") which couple to the gravitational field in a different way than the usual, positive energy, fields: the sign of coupling is reversed, which implies a repulsive gravitational field around such a source. This is the price to be paid if one wants to obtain a small cosmological constant in a straightforward way. One can consider this as a prediction of the theory to be tested by suitably designed experiments.

The problem of the cosmological constant is one of the toughest problems in theoretical physics. Its resolution would open the door to further understanding of the relation between quantum theory and general relativity. Since all more conventional approaches seem to have been more or less exploited without unambiguous success, the time is right for a more drastic novel approach. Such is the one which relies on the properties of the harmonic oscillator in a pseudo-Euclidean space.

In Part II I discuss the theory of extended objects, now known as "branes" which are membranes of any dimension and are generalizations of point particles and strings. As in the case of point particles I pay much attention to the unconstrained theory of membranes. The latter theory is a generalization of the Stueckelberg point particle theory. It turns out to be very convenient to introduce the concept of the infinite-dimensional *membrane space* M. Every point in M represents an unconstrained membrane. In M we can define distance, metric, covariant derivative, etc., in an analogous way as in a finite-dimensional curved space. A membrane action, the corresponding equations of motion, and other relevant expressions acquire very simple forms, quite similar to those in the point particle theory. We may say that a membrane is a point particle in an infinite dimensional space!

Again we may proceed in two different interpretations of the theory:

(i) We may consider the formalism of the membrane space as a useful calculational tool (a generalization of the Fock–Schwinger proper time formalism) without any genuine physical significance. Physical quantities are obtained after performing a suitable projection.

(ii) The points in M-space are physically distinguishable, that is, a membrane can be physically deformed in various ways and such a deformation may change with evolution in τ.

If we take the interpretation (ii) then we have a marvelous connection (discussed in Sec. 2.8) with the Clifford algebra generalization of the conventional constrained membrane on the one hand, and the concept of DeWitt–Rovelli reference fluid with clocks on the other hand.

Clifford algebra in the infinite-dimensional membrane space M is described in Sec. 6.1. When quantizing the theory of the unconstrained membrane one may represent states by wave functionals which are polyvec-

tors in M-space. A remarkable connection with quantum field theory is shown in Sec. 7.2

When studying the M-space formulation of the membrane theory we find that in such an approach one cannot postulate the existence of a background embedding space independent from a membrane configuration. By "membrane configuration" I understand a system of (many) membranes, and the membrane configuration is identified with the embedding space. There is no embedding space without the membranes. This suggests that our spacetime is nothing but a membrane configuration. In particular, our spacetime could be just one 4-dimensional membrane (4-brane) amongst many other membranes within the configuration. Such a model is discussed in Part III. The 4-dimensional gravity is due to the induced metric on our 4-brane V_4, whilst matter comes from the self-intersections of V_4, or the intersections of V_4 with other branes. As the intersections there can occur manifolds of various dimensionalities. In particular, the intersection or the self-intersection can be a 1-dimensional worldline. It is shown that such a worldline is a geodesic on V_4. So we obtain in a natural way four-dimensional gravity with sources. The quantized version of such a model is also discussed, and it is argued that the kinetic term for the 4-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is induced by quantum fluctuations of the 4-brane embedding functions.

In the last part I discuss mainly the problems related to the foundations and interpretation of quantum mechanics. I show how the brane world view sheds new light on our understanding of quantum mechanics and the role of the observer.