

Appendix: Notes on Severity of the Upper Respiratory Infection in the Covid-19 Era (Interplay of Ambient Humidity and Mouth-Breathing)

Highlights Ambient humidity and mouth-breathing may be synergistic in the genesis of the upper respiratory infection (URI) after exposure.

- Mucosal barrier disruption (MBD) may be the inciting cause of the URI.
- Chronic airway inflammation and related clinical conditions from sinusitis to tonsillitis may be related to MBD.

In the development of the upper respiratory infection (URI), the prediction of severity of disease is not only based on the degree of exposure to a pathogen (e.g. Covid-19), but also on host factors beyond the status of the general immune system. In the period just prior to the pandemic, several landmark experimental reports permit a better understanding of the physical factors that may be also important in cause and effect in URI severity.

First, in 2018, Christian Guilleminault and colleagues showed in a study of nearly 7000 patients that rhinosinusitis, otitis, and antibiotic usage were highly associated with sleep-related reported mouth-breathing [1]. Second, in early 2019, a team at Yale University using experiments in a mouse model explained the link between the seasonality of URI (influenza in this case) and their tendency to be associated with cold, dry (low ambient humidity) periods: disruption of the upper respiratory mucous membrane barrier and mucociliary transport system [2].

Instead of the URI simply representing the invasion of host airway tissue by pathogens, URI may be *initially* incited by a mucosal barrier disruption (MBD) caused by the desiccation of the airway mucous membranes from a potential synergistic combination of environmental dryness (decreased relative humidity) and exposure (nocturnal mouth-breathing). This is even further supported by a study that contradicts the prevailing belief that the reason for influenza's increased prevalence in low humidity environments is due to increased viral aerosol stabilization. In the third landmark study also from 2018, a research team demonstrated that "viruses remain highly stable and infectious in aerosols across a wide range of relative humidities" [3].

Acceptance of the conventional concept may be incomplete, especially that beyond “presence of comorbidities”, little explanation is usually given for why some patients develop severe respiratory infection while others remain completely asymptomatic. One cannot help but question the comprehensiveness of this paradigm when we consider that there is so much variability in the development of disease in individuals, especially those who fail to develop disease when “exposed” and in response to treatment.

In other words, in the spectrum from the “common cold” to deaths from influenza or Covid-19, the physical breach of the airway mucosal barrier may be as important as host immune factors and pathogen load and aggressiveness in the determination of URI disease and outcome.

This alternative paradigm rests on the concept that instead of the pathogen itself being the root cause of the URI, physical/environmental forces acting on the host airway cause the mucosal barrier disruption. Then, pathogen invasion is permitted, with eventual pathogen proliferation and onset of classic disease. By deepening our understanding of the nature of these MBD forces, in addition to knowledge of pathogens and their treatment, we can consider low-cost/low-risk barrier protecting interventions.

Airway MBD may be initiated primarily by the synergistic forces of ambient humidity and exposure-induced dehydration or mechanical barometric disruption of the mucosa. Thus, the risk of URI and its outcome will be related to mucosal hydration status combined with the extent of mechanical disruption.

The hydration status of the airway mucosal barrier and its function “depends strongly on the humidity and heat in the inhaled air, the exposure time, and the health of the individual” [4]. The extent of exposure is determined by the route of breathing (nasal versus oral). Pure mouth-breathing will expose the wet mucosa to drier environmental air when compared to pure nasal breathing (more mucosal humidification) [5]. Thus, the hydration status of the mucosa may be determined primarily by the ambient/environmental humidity and the tendency for an individual to utilize primarily oral breathing. This could explain why most URIs, including influenza, and possibly Covid-19 are most apparent in the low humidity winter months where indoor heating systems dehydrate the room air. In contrast, in overly high humidity seasons/regions, barrier disruption can be due to *excess* pharyngeal water vapor, as in tropical climates, influenza peaks during high humidity [6]. In regions of very high humidity, fungal infections are endemic, not because of the prevalence of the fungus, but because essentially of the presence of excess moisture present in the airways.

Further, mechanical disruption of the mucosal barrier can be due to high levels of negative pharyngeal pressure, which builds up in the airway due to inspiration with partial upper airway obstruction. Typically, this occurs intermittently during low-tone sleep stages where due to relaxation of jaw and tongue musculature (that normally counter the effects of gravitational forces) the mouth opens, releasing the tongue into the airway and causing obstruction (aka sleep disordered breathing

[SDB] or obstructive sleep apnea [OSA]) and generating negative pressure build-up in the airway [7]. This negative pressure stretches the mucosa intra-luminally, creating focal micro-traumas upon the mucosa and resulting in the typical inflammatory response found in tonsillar, adenoid, and uvular specimen [8]. In addition, exacerbation of the disease in the upper airways can cause a build-up of negative pressure in the lower airways and alveoli, causing alveolar “stress” with eventual alveolar collapse and clinical scenario of severe acute respiratory distress. These focal areas of negative pressure-induced inflammation, if also undergoing desiccation from low ambient humidity, can become the breach-point for MBD [9].

Temporally, after pathogen exposure, the acute onset of any of these conditions (i.e., winter humidity or temporary predisposition to mouth-breathing) could induce an acute URI, while chronic exacerbations of these conditions (i.e., long-term diminished tone in the setting of elevated BMI resulting in increased OSA/SDB severity with long-term mouth-breathing) can result in chronic, or severe symptoms. An acute flu-like systemic illness could result if the barrier-breach lacks a regionally built-up immune response (like Waldeyer’s ring) while systemic illness can be limited by the build-up of chronic regional immune response from chronic exposure.

Anatomic location of the MBD can be predicted as well using the “tube law” of collapsible pipes. Negative pressure build-up in the airway maximally exerts its effects on collapsing the soft mucosal sidewalls in an inward fashion at a location *just distal* from the *inspiratory* obstruction. Thus, with septal deviation or nostril narrowing, sinus mucosal in-drawing will manifest, while with nocturnal palatal collapse, the mucosal in-stretching will occur in the pharynx. More downstream obstruction, with tongue collapse in more severe sleep apnea patients (i.e., with elevated BMI), will mount excess negative pressure in the lower airways, making this area prone to MBD.

In summary, MBD may be due to the interplay of humidity-induced mucosal dehydration and mechanical damage due to negative pressure build-up in the airway during sleep from mouth-breathing/OSA/SDB. The anatomic location and temporality of URI may depend on the acuity of onset of these forces and location of maximal airway collapse, respectively.

In addition to exposure reduction strategies like social distancing, etc, patients can be redirected to attempt mucosal barrier restoration using manipulation of environmental humidity (e.g., check and adjust room humidity to 40–60%) as well as encouraging mechanical techniques to reduce mouth-breathing via mouth-closure combined with nasal dilation and OSA treatment, but more evidence is required to confirm these findings. Putting these concepts together, a severity index for respiratory infections, where the probability of severe disease is calculated for individuals or populations could be roughly equivalent to: Severity Index = Exposure (number of human contacts) × Airway Tone (Age × BMI) × Inhaled toxin exposure (pack years)/outdoor temperature as a surrogate for indoor humidity.

References

1. Kukwa W, Guilleminault C, Tomaszewska M, Kukwa A, Krzeski A, Migacz E. Prevalence of upper respiratory tract infections in habitually snoring and mouth breathing children. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2018;107:37–41.
2. Kudo E, Song E, Yockey LJ, Rakib T, Wong PW, Homer RJ, Iwasaki A. Low ambient humidity impairs barrier function and innate resistance against influenza infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2019;116(22):10905–10.
3. Kormuth KA, Lin K, Prussin AJ, Vejerano EP, Tiwari AJ, Cox SS, Myerburg MM, Lakdawala SS, Marr LC. Influenza virus infectivity is retained in aerosols and droplets independent of relative humidity. *J Infect Dis.* 2018;218(5):739–47.
4. Williams R, Rankin N, Smith T, Galler D, Seakins P. Relationship between the humidity and temperature of inspired gas and the function of the airway mucosa. *Crit Care Med.* 1996;24(11):1920–7.
5. Tamerius JD, Shaman J, Alonso WH, et al. Environmental predictors of seasonal influenza epidemics across temperate and tropical climates. *PLoS Pathog.* 2013;9(3):e1003194.
6. Naclerio RM, Pinto J, Assanasen P, Baroody FM. Observations on the ability of the nose to warm and humidify inspired air. *Rhinology.* 2007;45(2):102–11.
7. Zang HR, Li LF, Zhou B, Li YC, Wang T, Han DM. Pharyngeal aerodynamic characteristics of obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome patients. *Chin Med J.* 2012;125(17):3039.
8. Almendros I, Carreras A, Ramírez J, Montserrat JM, Navajas D, Farré R. Upper airway collapse and reopening induce inflammation in a sleep apnoea model. *Eur Respir J.* 2008;32(2):399–404.
9. Ference RS, Leonard JA, Stupak HD. Physiologic model for seasonal patterns in flu transmission. *Laryngoscope.* 2020;130(2):309–13.

Index

A

Acoustic rhinometry, 27
Adenoid face, 61, 63, 66
Adenoids, 65
Adeno-tonsillectomy, 62, 67
Aerodynamics, nasal and oral route of breathing, 45–48
AHI score, 42, 195
Airflow angle, negative air pressure, 51–53
Airfoils, 47, 48, 50
Alar base, 191
Alar cartilages, 131, 140–142, 183, 184
Alar™ splint procedure, 140, 141
Allergic rhinitis, 24
Allergy, 224
Anterior-posterior deficiency, 102
Anterior-posterior extrusion excess, 102
Antero-posterior septal deficiency, 112, 113, 115
Antero-posterior septal extrusion, 104, 105
AP- phases, 175, 176
AP+ phases, 170, 172, 174
Apnea hypopnea index (AHI), 34
Artificial Intelligence (AI), 210
Atrophic mandible fractures, 218
Australopithecus africanus, 69

B

Batten grafts, 125, 126
Bernoulli's law, 19
Bernoulli's principle, 48
Botulinum toxin, 213
Breatheright™ strips, 196

Broad nostrils, 191
Brow ptosis, 212
Butterfly graft procedure, 139

C

Canopy surgery, 183–186, 188, 189
Causality, 3, 6
Cheeks, 213–215
Chin strap, 198
Classic compartmentalization, 6
Coanda effect, 52
Columellar strut, 131, 132
Compartmentalization, 3–10, 209, 210, 225
Complement strategies
 broad nostrils and the alar base, 191
 canopy surgery, 183–186, 188, 189
 patient selection, 191, 192
Computational flow dynamics, 27
Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) monitoring, 37, 38
Cottle maneuver, 30, 137

D

Darcy-Weisbach formula, 97
Davidson's concept, 59
Deformity, 87
Depressor septi muscle, 157
Dome division, 186
Dorsal grafts, 127, 128, 130
Durapore™ tape, 198
Dynamic triangular prism model (DTPM), 99, 101, 102, 145

E

- Elastic potential energy, 135–136
- Endoscopic sinus surgery, 222
- Eustachian tube dysfunction, 225
- Evidence-based medicine (EBM), 226
- External facial skeleton, 210
 - cheeks and jawline, 213–215
 - facial skin/soft-tissue, 211–213
 - trauma, 215–219, 221
- Extra-corporeal septoplasty procedure, 157, 161

F

- Facial skin/soft-tissue, 211–213
- Facial structure, 9
- Facial/nasal anatomy, compartmentalized
 - conventional view of
 - beyond spreader grafts, 3–10
 - problems, workable analogy for, 10
- Fiberoptic endoscopy, 7
- Fiberoptic nasopharyngolaryngoscopy, 195
- Fibrous webs, 150
- Forked tube model, 19
- Frontalis, 213

G

- Gene manipulation, 210
- Gonial angle, 71
- Gracilization, 7
 - hypothesis, 70
 - reversal of, 203–206

H

- Hemi-transfixion incisions, 149
- Human facial skeleton
 - adenoids, 61, 63–66
 - adeno-tonsillectomy “success” rates, 68
 - children with size-limited craniofacial features, 69
 - conventional facial structure and airway fallacies, 68
 - conventional pathway, 74
 - face, evolution of, 58–61
 - fallacies of, 65
 - food processing and, 70
 - gonial angle, 71, 72
 - gracilization hypothesis, 70
 - literature, 65
 - null hypothesis, 70, 71
 - OSA, treatment, 64
 - packaged algorithm, 62

- pedestal, 57
- post-obstructive pulmonary edema, 67
- research, 66
- skeletal variations, 69
- structure, 61
- vague inflammatory process, 66
- Waldeyer’s ring, 67
- Hypopharynx, 53

I

- Implants, 125, 126
- Inferior turbinates, 17
- Inflammation, 24
- Inter-crural sutures, 186
- Inter-maxilla, 91
- Internal facial skeleton, 210, 221–223, 225, 226
 - diagnosis, pathologic examination, 224
 - MBD, 223
 - peak negative pressure, location of, 223, 224
- Intrinsic pharyngeal obstruction, 45

J

- Jaw advancement devices, 199
- Jawline, 213–215
- Jowls, 213, 214

L

- Lateral crura furl, 184
- Long-term mouth-closure devices, 199, 200
 - facial bone expansion strategies, 201–203
 - myofunctional therapy, 200, 201
- Ludic Fallacy, 9

M

- Mandible fractures, 218
- Mandibular advancement devices (MAD), 199
- Masseter muscle, 72
- Maxillary arch, 74
- Maxillary dental occlusal plane, 74
- Maxillomandibular advancement
 - procedure, 201
- Mechanical loading, 205
- Mechanostat theory, 205
- Middle vault collapse, 109
- Modulus of elasticity, 135
- Mouth-breathing, 16, 22, 38, 40, 42, 43, 51
 - gracilization, reversal of, 203–206
 - jaw expansion, functional appliances for, 206

- long-term mouth-closure devices, 194, 199, 200
 - facial bone expansion strategies, 201–203
 - myofunctional therapy, 200, 201
- short-term mouth-closure devices, 194
 - Jaw closure wearable devices, 194–196
 - oral appliances, 199
 - personal experience and thoughts on, 196–198
 - symptomatology, 193
- Mouth-open posture, 74
- Mucosal barrier disruption (MBD), 223
- Mueller maneuver, 195
- Myofunctional therapy, 200, 201

- N**
- Nasal airflow disturbances, mechanism of, 45–48
- Nasal airway
 - allergy, turbinates of, 15
 - complications, 14
 - confirmation bias, 14
 - diagnostic equipment, 13
 - endoscopes and biopsies, 14
 - energy and technologic devices, 14
 - enlarged turbinates, appearance of, 15
 - nasal obstruction versus nasal underuse, 15–17, 19–23, 27, 28, 30, 32
 - NUS, causing secondary obstruction, 32, 33
 - turbinates, function of, 15
- Nasal allergy, 15
- Nasal bones, 165
- Nasal canopy layer, 97, 101, 183–185, 189, 191, 192
- Nasal cavity, 19
- Nasal framework
 - antero-posterior septal deficiency, 112, 113, 115
 - antero-posterior septal extrusion, 104, 105
 - bony septal aperture, mismatch of, 92
 - cardinal compass, 102
 - cardinal septal positions, 102
 - caudal cartilaginous septal excess, 90
 - causes functional and esthetic problems, 95
 - DTPM, 99, 101, 102, 118
 - maxillary shrinking, 94
 - nasal valve, 96, 98
 - overgrown septum concept, 88
 - plastic and reconstructive surgery, 88
 - positional extremes, 104
 - premaxilla, 91, 92
 - pressure drop, 97, 98
 - septal deviation and deflection, 87, 88
 - supero-inferior deficiency, 117, 118
 - supero-inferior septal excess, 109, 110, 112
- Nasal obstruction, 15–17, 19–23, 27, 28, 30, 32, 37
- Nasal Obstruction Severity Outcome (NOSE) scores, 5
- Nasal surgery, 38
- Nasal tip surgery, 185
- Nasal underuse, 15–17, 19–28, 30–32
- Nasal underuse syndrome (NUS), 22, 32, 33, 37
- Nasal valve, 96, 98
- Nasopharynx, 16, 20, 22
- Natural selection, 59
- Negative air pressure, airflow angle, attack/zones of, 51–53
- Negative pressure, 16
- Nostril plugs, 63
- Null hypothesis, 70

- O**
- Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), 37
 - gravitational forces, 49
 - multilevel problem, 39
 - nasal airflow disturbances, mechanism of, 45–48
 - parameters, 40
 - rhinoplasty/nasal valve surgery, 40–45
 - tone/airfoil shape, 50, 51
- Overgrown septum concept, 88

- P**
- Passive myofunctional therapy, 200
- Peak nasal inspiratory flow (PNIF), 27
- Personalized medicine, 210
- Pharyngeal negative pressure, 68
- Pharynx, 45
- Porous oral patch (POP), 195
- Premaxilla, 91
- Pressure drop, 97, 98

- R**
- Rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, 42
- Respiratory disturbance index (RDI), 38
- Rhinomanometry, 27
- Rhinoplasty, 4, 8, 24, 75
- Rhinoplasty compass, 124, 145, 146, 162

- Rhinoplasty strategy, 146
 classification, 146
 fallacies of approach, 148
 flexible, rationale, and efficient approach, 148
 infinite combinations, 176
 neutralization of framework, 147
 open rhinoplasty approach, 147
 reinforcement, 176, 178
 release, 155, 157–161
 re-sizing/repositioning phases, 161, 162
 AP-, 175, 176
 AP+, 170, 172, 174
 SI-, 167–170
 SI+, 162, 164, 166, 167
 rhinoplasty approach vs. endonasal approach, 147
 transfixion approach, 149, 151, 152, 154, 155
- Rhinoplasty/nasal valve surgery, 40–45
- Root cause analysis (RCA), 8
- S**
- Saddle nose deformity, 175
- Scroll and Crural interaction, 135, 136, 138–142
- Secondary obstruction, 32, 33
- Seek ThermalPro™, 28
- Septal cartilage, 88
- Septal deformity, 87
- Septal deviation, 160
- Septal excess, 90
- Septal transfixion incision, 154
- Septoplasty, 5, 86
- Short-term mouth-closure devices
 Jaw closure wearable devices, 194–196
 oral appliances, 199
 personal experience and thoughts on, 196–198
- SI- phase, 167–170
- SI+ phase, 162, 164–167
- Sinusitis, 224
- Sleep disordered breathing (SDB), 37
- Spreader grafts, 3–10, 127, 128, 130
- Submucosal inflammatory, 19
- Submucous resection septoplasty with/without graft harvesting, 124, 125
- Superior-inferior deficiency, 102, 117, 118
- Superior-inferior extrusion excess, 102
- Supero-inferior septal excess, 109, 110, 112
- T**
- Tension nose, 87
- Tension nose deformity, 86
- Tension-adjusting procedures, 135, 136, 138–142
- Thermo-nasogram, 199
- Tone/airfoil, 50, 51
- Topgun training program, 211
- Transfixion approach, 149, 151, 152, 154, 155
- Tripod concept, 130, 133
- Tripod grafts and sutures, 130–132, 135
- Turbinate enlargement, 15, 17, 24
- Turbinectomy, 24
- U**
- Upper respiratory infection, 223
- V**
- Vertical fixation, 219
- W**
- Waldeyer's ring, 67