References


References


Buxbaum, Peter (2004) ‘Culture wars: Does the IT subculture contribute to project failure?’, Computerworld, 36(2).


Checkland, Peter (1999) Systems Thinking, Systems Practice. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.


Duris, Rick (2002) ‘Top 10 reasons why WMS projects fail: Avoiding these common pitfalls can help make a WMS project-or any project-successful’, *Frontline*, April 1.

Economic Intelligence Unit (2009) ‘Closing the gap: The link between project management excellence and long-term success’, *The Economist*.

References


Gartner (2011) IT Key Metrics Data 2011.


KPMG, 2. (2012) Delivering Large-Scale IT Projects on Time, on Budget, and on Value.
McManus, J. (2007) Success is at stake. BCS Project Management, April 1–5 J.
References


Index

accidental project managers, 11–12
accountability
  lack of, 31
  structures, 108, 128, 182
administrators, vs. managers, 9
AIM FIRE Framework, 156
alignment, 275
anxiety, 171
APM Program Management Qualification, 52, 101
Archibald, Russell, 100
audit reports, 220
audits, 222–3, 231–2
authority, 83
Avison, D., 133, 134, 145, 164, 166
Avison and Fitzgerald Model, 134–5, 145
Baccarini, David, 11
benchmarking, 149
benefits delivery, 70, 127
benefits realisation plan, 61–2
Bertalanffy, Ludwig von, 138
best practices, 7, 41
board of directors, 214
budget managers, 229
budget overruns, 105
  factors contributing to, 21
budgets, 228
business environment culture, 50, 113
business needs, 106
business processes, 46
business strategy, 5–7
business transformation programs, 104
business value, 143
business value management, 59–62
capacity building, 23
capital accumulation, 2
case studies
  of failed projects, 109–13
  IT projects, 165
  of successful projects, 118–20
  UAE National ID Program, 238–72
centralised services, 76
champions, 192–3
change
  drivers of, 105
  inevitability of, 144
  in priorities, 108
  stakeholder alignment and, 72
  transformational, 103
change agents, 72
change control board, 150, 201, 215, 229
change control mechanisms, 107
change management, 82–3, 170, 200–2
change managers, 232
change requests, 201, 268–72
Charvat, 88, 91
clarity, 23, 113, 114, 275
clean-up, 109
client-related risks, 10
close out phase, 158
closure, 208, 233–4
communication, 116, 152, 198, 199
  poor, 108–9
  program, 71
  project, 71
  skills, 31, 169
two-way, 221
communications management, 47, 202–3, 212, 262–7
competitive bidding, 9
competitive edge, 22
competitive position, 58
compliance programs, 102, 104
conflict management, 204
conflict management committee, 150, 204, 215
constraints, 114–15
consultant-related risks, 10
consultants, 128–30, 149, 193–5
consultant schedule, 227–8
contingency buffers, 116
contracting strategy, 9
contract management, 195–7, 231–2
contract managers, 231
contractors, 193–5
controlling PMOs, 35
control processes, 82, 158, 182–4
control, 9–10, 203
corporate strategy, 43
cost control, 228–9
cost management, 10–11
cost overruns, 105
culture, 50, 113, 275
current state assessment, 148, 149
customers
PROMOTE and, 190–1
standards and, 97
value creation for, 59–62
customer satisfaction, 22
darrell, Vanessa, 11
davis, G.B., 163
deadlines, 222
degrees of freedom, 114–15
delays, 107, 198
deliberations
delay in, 107, 198
evaluation of, 153
focusing on, 204–7
initiation, 91
outputs, 160–2
planning, 91
PROMOTE, 142
deliverables
delivery dates, 106
delivery management, 204–7
departmental PMO, 32
department of health (Australia), 112
department of transportation (UK), 112–13
dependencies, 117
deregulation, 2
design-related risks, 10
directive PMOs, 35
documentation, 97
document control, 231
drivers, 114–15
earned value analysis reports, 220
efficiency, 22
eggers, William, 128
emergent programs, 102, 104
enterprise PMO (ePMO), 32, 33
environmental assessment, 56–7
environmental benefits, 57
error detection, 206
ESI International Survey, 19
exception reporting, 144
execution, 158
executive sponsor, 76–7
exit committee, 217
exit strategy, 217
expectations, 191
clarity of, 114
managing, 144, 157, 205
mismatched, 108
feedback loops, 221
financial management, 47, 211
future state design (FSD), 148–9
fitzgerald, G., 133, 134, 145, 164, 166
formal review, 205
functional managers, 27
funding, ‘all at once’, 108
gartner, 54
gartner survey, 105–6
general measurement theory, 139
general systems theory, 138–9
Global State of the PMMO, 33–4
goals
alignment of, 114
clarity of, 23, 113
SMART, 156
undefined, 30
good practice, 103
governance
concept of, 66
effective, 116, 214
importance of, 236
lack of good, 106
program, 54, 56, 57, 65–9, 213–17
tiers of, 68
government agencies, structure of, 13

government programs
  environment for successful control of, 213–23
  standards for, 127–30

government projects
  outsourcing of, 128–30, 149
  vs. private projects, 7–13
  reasons for failure of, 128
  standards for, 127–30
  technology, standards for, 13–15

government-related risks, 10

government sector
  accidental project managers in, 11–12
  project management implementation in, 12–13
  growth and expansion, 23

health checks, 67–8
HeathSMART, 112
Heathrow Terminal 5, 110–11
Henry, Ricki, 33–4
human resources, 70, 114, 121

Independent Project Analysis, Inc. (IPA), 7–8

industrial era, 2

influence grid, 64
information age, 2
information challenges, 71–2

information systems
  failure of, 140–1
  management of, 2

information technology (IT)
  see also PROMOTE (PROgram and PROject Management Of Technology Endeavours)
  contracts, 195–7
  government projects, 13–15
  people and, 142–3
  project failures, 13–15
  project management, 13–15
  system acceptance, 153

information technology (IT) programs
  keys to successful, 66

information technology (IT) projects
  failure of, 120
  risks in, 163–4

scope of, 198–200
supplier relationship management, 251
training for, 207–8
infrastructure projects, 19
initiation, 148, 157
innovation, 128–9
integration, 48, 72–3, 79, 81, 84
interdependencies, 37–8, 198
internal programs, 58
International Organisation for Standards (ISO), 93–4
investment decisions, 5
ISEB Project Management Syllabus, 88
ISO 10006, 26, 88, 93, 94, 99, 125
customer-centric approach, 97
documentation, 97
focus area, 97
management responsibility, 96
people management, 97
processes, 95
PROMOTE and, 185–7
supplier relationship, 98
ISO 21500, 26, 88, 94, 125
ISO/IEC 9126 standard, 186–7, 206
issue management, 197–8
iterative development, 152

knowledge
  lack of, 107–8
  management, 207–8
  transfer, 247–8

KPMG-Global IT Project Management Survey, 19–20

large programs, 16
large projects, 15, 41, 48
  case studies of failed, 109–13
  factors for success of, 113–17
  reasons for failure of, 105–9
  standards for, 127–30
  success stories, 118–20

leadership
  defined, 121–2
  effective, 122
  project, 120–4
  skills, 120, 168–9

learning curve, 117
liberalisation policies, 2
Liker, Jeffrey, 116
Lock's Model, 156
Longworth, G., 2
Love, Peter E.D., 11

management flexibility, 23
management review, 205
managers, vs. administrators, 9
Managing Successful Programs (MSP), 52, 54, 101, 103–5
market-related risks, 10
Mars climate orbiter project, 109–10
master budget, 228
master schedule, 227
McKinsey's 7S Model, 156
measurement plan, 210–11
measurement systems, 212–13
measurement theory, 139
medium programs, 16
medium projects, 15
mentors, 172, 245
META Group, 14
META Group study, 20
methodology, see also specific methodologies
comparison of, 94–8, 99
defined, 2–3
formal, 126–7
light and heavy, 91
new, 124–31
program management, 52, 98–105, 124–31
project management, 24, 25–6, 87–8, 89, 92–8, 124–31
PROMOTE, 151–2. see also PROMOTE (PROgram and PROject Management Of Technology Endeavours)
selection of, 92
vs. standards, 88
technology development, 89
two-phase, 153–4
milestones, 79
minutes of meetngs, 262, 266
mission, 156
modular approach, 199
monitoring, 182–4
mechanisms, 218–19
MSP, see Managing Successful Programs (MSP)

NASA, USA Mars climate orbiter project, 109–10
national ID program, 14
needs analysis, 106
negotiation skills, 169

objectives
alignment with, 76
program, 219
PROMOTE, 136
quality, 76
stakeholder, 65
unclear, 107
undefined, 30
O’Leary, John, 128
operating plans, 225
operational committee, 150, 215
operational risks, 187
operations, 6
OPM, see organisational project management (OPM)
organisational change, 72
organisational project management (OPM), 7
organisational strategies, 7, 58
organisation structure, 213–17
outcomes, 144
outputs, 160–2
outsourcing, 128–30, 149, 203
oversight committee, 214

P2M 2008, 101
Pareto 80:20 principle, 156
Patient Administration System (PAS), 111
people, 142–3
people focus, 114, 275
people management, 97, 191–3
performance domains, 102
performance management, 184–5
performance measurement, 83–4
performance metrics, 76
performance reports, 219–21
phase-gate reviews, 67
phase one, 146, 147–9, 173
phase two, 147, 149–53, 173
planning, 9, 116, 143, 144, 157, 182–4, 197–8, 210, 246–7
customer-centric approach, 97
documentation, 97
leadership definition, 121–2
management responsibility, 96
people management, 97
processes, 95
project life cycle, 98
supplier relationship, 98
PMI Program Management Standards, 52, 98, 101–3, 127
PMO, see project management office (PMO)
political change programs, 104
political decision making, 127
political support, 117
portfolio analysis reports, 224
portfolio management
defined, 5
importance of, 6–7
portfolios, 39
defined, 4
overview, 8
relationship among programs, projects, and, 5–6
post-implementation evaluation, 145
PRINCE2, 26, 88, 93–5, 99
as business case driven, 97
documentation, 97
focus area, 96–7
management responsibility, 96
people management, 97
processes, 95
project life cycle, 98
supplier relationship, 98
priorities, changes in, 108
private enterprise
vs. government projects, 7–13
problem solving skills, 169–70
process control and improvement cycle, 163
processes, 95, 144
process maturity models, 33
procurement, 9
productivity, 76
product realisation, 98
program
defined, 4
relationship among projects, portfolios, and, 5–6
program advisory board, 77
program benefits
benefits management, 56, 59–62
delivery of, 62
program charter, 84, 210
program controls, 210–13, 225–32
audits and reviews, 222–3
contract management, 231–2
cost control, 228–9
document control, 231
feedback loops, 221
master budget, 228
master schedule, 227
monitoring and reporting
mechanisms, 218–19
quality control, 230
risk management, 230–1
scope change, 229–30
status/progress/performance reports, 219–21
vendor/supplier/consultant schedule, 227–8
program co-ordinator, 77
program dashboards, 224–5
program definition, 69
program director, 77, 176–7, 215, 229–30
program failures, 54–5, 72–3
program governance board, 68–9, 215, 220, 222
program governance plan, 214–15
program management, 40
challenges, 70–3
defined, 4
discipline of, 100
environments in organisations, 45
focus of, 5
framework, 46–7
global application of, 100
government vs. enterprise, 7–13
importance of, 6–7
literature, 100–1
program management – continued
methodology, 52
misconceptions about, 48–9
overview, 42–8
performance domains, 102
philosophy, 42–86
project management and, 45–8, 53
in PROMOTE, 149–50
research in, 52–6
standards and frameworks, 98–105, 124–31
standards and methodologies, 98–105
teams, 54
themes, 54–6
Program Management Maturity Model, 52, 101
program management office
defined, 73
importance of, 73–8
in PROMOTE, 180–2
role of, 49–50
staff roles, 215–17
for UAE National ID program, 241–2
program management office
(PgMO), 86
establishment of, 150
functions of, 74, 79–81
internal reporting structure of, 77–8
monitoring and control by, 79–81
overview, 75
program controls, 210–13, 225–32
vs. project management office, 73–4
role of, 76–8
staff roles, 76–7
success factors for, 81–5
team size, 84
Program Management Office Manual, 217
program management plan, 218–19
program managers, 77, 229–30
attributes of, 49–52
defined, 6
vs. project managers, 48, 49
in PROMOTE, 167–72
role of, 45, 49–52, 167–72
program metrics, 212–13
program plan, 56, 57, 84
program-related risks, 10
program risks, 187
program roadmap, 56, 57
programs
alignment of projects within, 38–40
benefits delivery, 70, 127
business transformation, 104
classification of, 15–16
closure, 70, 233–4
communication, 71
compliance, 102, 104
customer-focused, 58
defined, 43–5
delivery of, 76
emergent, 102, 104
financial aspects of, 59
governance, 54, 56, 57, 65–9, 213–17
identification of, 43–5
integration of, 79, 81, 84
internal, 58
keys to successful, 55–6, 66
life cycle of, 61, 69–70
monitoring and control of, 79–81
organisation structure, 67
overview, 8
performance metrics, 76
phases of, 100
political and societal change, 104
requirements of, 82–3
risks, 72
specification-led, 104–5
stakeholder engagement, 56, 62–5
strategic, 102
strategy alignment, 55, 56–9
success of, 49
vision-led, 104
program sponsor, 76–7
program visibility, 210
progress reports, 219–21
project charter, 150, 200
project dashboards, 224–5
project director
for UAE National ID project, 238–40
program failures
case studies of, 109–13
key challenges and causes of, 20, 21
rates of, 22
project failures – continued
reasons for, 105–9, 120, 126
statistics on, 120
project leadership, 120–4
Project Leadership Associates, 106
project life cycle, 26–7, 90, 98, 157–8
project management
benefits of, 22–4
coordination, 203
defined, 4
factors for success of, 181
government vs. enterprise, 7–13
implementation, 12–13
importance of, 6–7, 21–4
life cycle, 178–9
literature, 2, 24
methodologies, 24, 25, 87–8, 89
need for, 19–21, 275
organisational, 8, 180–2
overview, 18–24
phases of, 159–60
philosophy, 18–41
in practice, 25
processes in, 274–5
process groups, 27
vs. program management, 53
program management and, 45–8
project leadership and, 120–4
research in, 24–6
responsibility, 180
SDLC and, 88–92
standards, 87–8, 124–31
standards and methodologies, 92–8
standards for government
technology endeavours, 13–15
surveys and statistics on, 19–21
top-down, 117
for UAE National ID program,
242–5
Project Management Body of
Knowledge (PMBK), 88, 92–3, 94
see also PMBOK Guide
quality management, 185–7
Project Management Institute (PMI),
13, 25, 92
project management office (PMO), 41
advanced model for, 36
benefits of, 35–6
defined, 31–2
vs. program management office,
73–4
in PROMOTE, 180–2
purpose of, 32–4
role of, 34–6
statistics on, 35–6
structures, 35
types of, 32
project managers
accidental, 11–12
competencies for, 29–30
defined, 6
experienced, 116
failure factors, 28
life cycle of, 27–31
mentors for, 172, 173–4
multiple, 39
vs. program managers, 48, 49
in PROMOTE, 167–72
responsibilities, 96
role of, 27–30, 34–6, 167–72, 245
training for, 12–13, 151
project planning, 116, 142, 143,
182–4, 197–8
project portfolios, 5, 39
project-related risks, 10
project risks, 187
projects
alignment of, within overall
program benefits, 38–40
challenges, 126
classification of, 15
defined, 4
drivers, constraints, and degrees of
freedom, 114–15
factors for success of, 126
influence of people on, 142–3
initiation of, 148
integration of, 72–3
interdependencies between, 37–8,
198
monitoring and control of, 182–4
overview, 8
reasons for failure of, 120, 126
relationship among programs,
portfolios, and, 5–6
scope of, 117, 144, 198–200
successful, 115, 118–20
value creation by, 29
Index

project schedule, 150, 191
PROJECTS IN Controlled Environments (PRINCE2), see PRINCE2
project sponsors, 115–16, 143
project statement, 200
project status reports, 265
project teams, 114, 191–3, 275
see also teams
project vision, 114
PROMOTE (PROgram and PROject Management Of Technology Endaevours), 131–2, 172–4, 273, 275–8
case study, 238–72
change management, 200–2
communications management, 202–3
conflict management, 204
consideration factors, 159
consultant/contractor/vendor/supplier management, 193–5
contract management, 195–7
customer/stakeholder focus and management, 190–1
danger zone and, 142–3
deliverables, 142
delivery management, 204–7
design and structure, 175
design elements, 155
design model, 145–6
domain, 136–7
future recommendations for, 235–6
inputs, 156–7
issue management, 197–8
key principles of, 140
knowledge management, 207–8
limitations of, 235–6
objectives, 136
outputs, 160–2
overview, 133–4
paradigm, 136
performance management, 184–5
phases of, 146–54, 158–60, 173
philosophy, 135–8
in practice, 163–4
processes, 175–209
project management life cycle, 178–9
project management organisation, 180–2
project planning, monitoring, and control, 182–4
reference frameworks, 134–5
risk management, 187–90
role of program/project managers in, 167–72
scope, 157–9
scope management, 198–200
senior management responsibility and support, 176–7
stakeholder satisfaction and, 140–2
target, 137–8
team management, 191–3
techniques and tools, 154–6, 173
testing, 164–7
theoretical foundations, 138–40
working assumptions, 143–5
prototyping, 117, 164
publications, 101
public sector management vs. private sector, 7–13
standards for, 127–30
survey details on, 11–12
Pulse of the Profession Survey, 21–2
quality frameworks, 152
quality management, 48, 93, 97–8, 185–7, 230, 261
quality objectives, 76
quality program, 70–1
quality review, 206
records management, 211
reporting formats, 71–2
reporting mechanisms, 218–19
reputation, 58
requirements management, 82–3
requirements validation, 152
research
in program management, 52–6
in project management, 24–6
resource management, 48, 212
Responsibility Assignment Matrix, 242
risk assessment, 23
risk audits, 79
risk logs, 254–9
risk management, 23, 48, 130–1, 187–90, 230–1, 253–60
risks, 10, 63, 72, 117, 163–4
Sarbanes-Oxley Act, 32
schedule management, 10–11, 211, 227
schedule overruns
  factors contributing to, 21
scope, 117, 144, 157–9, 227
scope change, 229–30, 267–72
scope management, 198–200
senior management, 96
  lack of involvement by, 107
  responsibility of, 176–7
  support from, 115, 176–7
skills
  change management, 170
  communication, 169
delegation skills, 169
  lack of, 107–8
  leadership, 120, 168–9
  negotiation, 169
  problem solving, 169–70
small programs, 16
small projects, 15, 105
SMART goals, 156
social benefits, 57
societal change programs, 104
special purpose PMO, 32
specification-led programs, 104–5
spending, control of, 21
stakeholder management plan, 63
stakeholders, 60, 115–16
  alignment of, 72
  engagement of, 56, 62–5, 130, 213–14
  examples of, 63
  executive sponsor of, 114
  expectations of, 108, 157, 191
  identification of, 64, 141
  involvement of, 143, 152
issue tracking, 65
mapping, 64
objectives tracking, 65
program, 62–3
PROMOTE and, 190–1
role definition, 65
satisfaction, 58
satisfaction of, 140–2
standards and, 97
Standard for Program Management
  (PMI), 52, 54, 98, 101–3, 127
standards
  see also specific standards
  comparison of, 94–8, 99
  defined, 2–3
  for government projects/programs, 127–30
  vs. methodologies, 88
  new, 124–31
  overview of, 98–105
  program management, 54, 98–105, 124–31
  project management, 87–8, 92–8, 124–31
  quality, 71
  for technology projects, 13–15
Standish Group CHAOS 2001 report, 125–6
State of the PMO, 33
status reports, 71, 219–21
steering committee, 149–50, 201, 214, 215
strategic objectives, 157
strategic planning, 58
strategic programs, 102
strategic risks, 187
strategy, 5–7
  alignment, 56–9
  organisational, 58
strategy support office, 240
Stretton, Alen, 100
subprograms, 45
success factors
  for large projects, 113–17
  for UAE National ID project, 248–9
success stories
  of large projects, 118–20
supplier relationship, 98, 250–3
suppliers, 115, 193–5
supplier schedule, 227–8
supportive PMOs, 35
SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats)
  analysis, 156
system acceptance, 153, 206
system development life cycle (SDLC), 88–92, 130
systems paradigm, 136
systems theory, 138–9
system testing, 205–6
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Page(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>team building</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>team leaders</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>team management</td>
<td>191–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>team review</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assembly of</td>
<td>170–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>challenges</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dealing with anxiety</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interactions</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>management of team</td>
<td>191–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>motivation of team</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PgMO</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program, 70–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program management</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project, 114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>team skills</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technical committee</td>
<td>150, 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>techniques</td>
<td>154–6, 173–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technology development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methodologies</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technology management</td>
<td>47, 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>technology projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see also PROMOTE (PROgram and PROject Management Of Technology Endeavours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>people and</td>
<td>142–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project management and, 13–15, 88–92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLC and, 88–92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tender evaluation and selection, 194–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiry, Michel, 52, 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timeframes, unrealistic</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tolerance level</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tools, 154–6</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>top management, see senior management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>training, 207–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for project managers, 12–13, 151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transformational change</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbit, N., 145</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE National ID Program, 154, 164–7, 176, 183, 186, 188–9, 207, 238–72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communications management, 262–7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contract management, 195–6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>critical success factors, 248–9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>identification of project director, 238–40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>knowledge transfer</td>
<td>247–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modular approach in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neutral mentor</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planning, communicating, and performance management, 246–7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>program management office</td>
<td>241–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project management, 242–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quality management</td>
<td>261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>registration process, 249–50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>risk management</td>
<td>253–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>roles and responsibilities, 244–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scope change management</td>
<td>267–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stakeholders in</td>
<td>190–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supplier relationship management, 250–3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK government program management guidelines, 101, 103–5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user base</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US federal government</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value creation</td>
<td>44, 59–62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>values</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Maanen, J., 139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vendors, 115, 149, 193–5, 203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vendor schedule</td>
<td>227–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgo, Philip, 127–8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vision, 114, 156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vision-led programs, 104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-Modell</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOSA Online licensing project, 119–20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>watch list, 151, 173, 203, 222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia Department of Health, 111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work plan</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>world economy, 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>