

Closing Thoughts: Remaining Optimistic, Cautious, and Active

Debby Zambo and Leslie Haley Wasserman

As part of Springer's *Educating the Young Child* series, this volume brings together a group of distinguished educators and researchers who have a common vision and goal: the appropriate, ethical, and useful application of neuroscience to education. This is an important focus because now, more than any other time in history, we have the tools to peer into the brain and understand how it functions and why certain behaviors are seen. New technologies are helping neuroscientists understand the biological and environmental forces that affect the brain, and as teachers, we are becoming aware of this information, and interested in it, because it is part of our world. With the rise of information technologies, new ideas from neuroscience are being spread at an incredibly rapid rate to places never dreamed possible (Stamm, 2007; Stein, della Chiesa, Hinton, & Fischer, 2010). As Jarvis (1999) notes, everything seems to be changing and the expression "I don't know what the world is coming to" has never appeared to be more true or real. Change is here, and it can be exhilarating and intimidating at the same time. As things change, we as educators roll with it and accept, and confront the challenges change brings. Change is not always bad because it forces us to become more reflective about our practice and consider how research and technological advances can make us better at our jobs. As workers in an information society, our jobs have become transparent and we are being held accountable. Our jobs demand continual assessment and upgrade, and the application of findings from neuroscience can be just the thing we need. Some neuroscientists are collaborating with educators and working to convert their specialized field and technical jargon into useable strategies and ideas. We as teachers have become fascinated, dazzled, excited,

D. Zambo (✉)

Department of Leadership and Innovation, Arizona State University, Glendale, AZ, USA
e-mail: debby.zambo@asu.edu

L.H. Wasserman

School of Education, Heidelberg University, Tiffin, OH, USA
e-mail: lwasserm@heidelberg.edu

and bewildered by neuroscience, even if we are not quite sure what those fMRI images mean or what they can really bring to us. As teachers of young children, we want information from neuroscience to help us teach better so that each and every one of our students learns. We want to be good teachers, and because of this, we are attending workshops on the application of neuroscience to our classrooms and reading about neuroscience in educational journals, the popular press, and on the Internet. We want the newest, evidence-based approaches because we care about young children and have been entrusted with educating them. Yet, not all the information we receive is accurate, valid, and reliable, and turning ideas from neuroscience into practical strategies has created both frustration and debate in the field of learning and teacher preparation. The authors in this volume recognize these debates and have worked to take a cautious but optimistic stance and bring the best, most applicable, and dependable scientific information to you. In this volume, you have learned about the smallest brain parts and largest brain structures, brain development, the importance of nature and nurture, and how important early intervention and lifelong learning are to healthy brains. Contributors to this volume have provided practical ideas like Universal Design concepts, Mind Brain Education, and ways to teach all children including those who struggle with print, have autism, and are twice exceptional. Each chapter is unique, and collectively they point us in the right direction. As the editors of this volume, we would like to close with the following summation of ideas.

It Is Time to Be Optimistic

The authors of this volume have continually called for transdisciplinary conversations or consilience. Several authors have noted the positive changes that are happening because neuroscientists, educators, psychologists, sociologists, and others are working together to develop a common language and scientific understanding of the brain, how it functions, the environment it needs, and how to teach in ways so children learn. In this volume, a few well-researched principles underlying learning and behavior have been provided, and this information adds a layer of understanding to your practical knowledge. We believe teachers know a lot because they work with children every day, and neuroscience can add a fresh breath of air to this work. Learning the neurocognitive basis of learning has the potential to help early childhood teachers teach all children and appreciate individual talents and needs. A few key ideas presented in include:

- Good teachers are effective because they teach the way the brain learns.
- Experiences shape brain development.
- Young children need mediated learning (floortime).
- Humans are hardwired to imitate.
- Relevance, patterns, and emotions matter.
- Repetition leads to automaticity.

- Providing multiple representations, allowing children to express what they know in multiple ways, and providing multiple ways to become engaged are good strategies because of the way the brain processes information.
- Attachment and synchrony build trust and learning.

These ideas stem from neuroscience, cognitive science, developmental perspectives, and more. The authors of this volume have provided a multi-voiced perspective and strategies that are practical and useful in classrooms.

It Is Time to Be Cautious

Even though neuroscience brings much promise, teachers still must proceed with caution and care because it is alluring, evolving quickly, and, in many instances, spreading false ideas. Teachers of young children are reading about the brain in teacher journals, hearing about it on television, and watching videos of it on the Internet. In today's information-rich society, teachers know more about the brain than ever before, and because of this, it is important to remain open to new information but skeptical at the same time. Information about the brain is helping confirm many of the things we already know about children and teaching, but it has also found its way into the hands of unscrupulous manufacturers. The authors in this volume remind you that:

- There are curricula, books, and products that purport to utilize findings from neuroscience to promote improved learning without any scientific backing.
- Evidence-based teaching methods need to be supported with research on neural mechanisms and the neurobiological basis of learning.
- Emotional catch phrases are being used to pose quick and easy answers to complex learning and behavioral challenges.
- Testimonials are not the same as empirical facts gathered by researchers with reliable and valid tools.
- To make sense of the brain, learning, and environmental effects on the brain, a comprehensive and compelling metaphor needs to be developed.

Given these facts along with the promises, we as educators of young children must remain optimistic but with a critical eye. Our minds like all others want answers, but they can also easily be fooled. Neuroscience can be alluring, and neuromyths are easily built because they fit intuitive notions of how the brain works. As teachers we want answers, and this may lead us to quick adoptions of materials and claims. We, as easily as anyone else, can build false hopes and misread, misquote, and overextend ideas to confirm the beliefs and biases we already possess. Instead of proceeding cautiously, we can jump in, believe wholeheartedly, and lose sight of what learning and teaching are about. Confirmation bias can narrow our views and leave us vulnerable to false claims that cause us to waste valuable instructional time, treat children unfairly, set low expectations, and spend hard earned money on worthless products and programs that do little good. When it comes to neuroscience, we must proceed cautiously.

It Is Time to Become Informed

Thanks to neuroscientists, teachers, psychologists, and others, explanatory theories are being developed, but it is up to each one of us to decide if they are applicable to our context and the children in our care. To understand what neuroscience is, how it can be used, and why we should use it, teachers need to be trained. Teacher preparation needs to include more courses on the dynamics of biological development, learning, and what this means to the classroom. How and why teachers use neuroscience in their classrooms should not come from emotional testimonials or simple efforts to link strategies with fMRIs. It is time to look at neuroscience with a critical eye and remember:

- The best information from neuroscience is gathered with reliable and valid tools, replicated, and combined with personal insights.
- The tools neuroscientists use are new, popular, rapidly changing, and persuasive. We need to understand these tools, the level of analysis they are able to perform, the reliability/validity of results, and what this all means to us in understandable and useable terms. In short, we need a better understanding of the science involved and the scientific method.
- Teachers and neuroscientists need a common understanding and common vocabulary.

Becoming critical consumers of information is important and will likely lead us to understand that the years of research and knowledge that has laid the path for neuroscience must not be forgotten. Neuroscience cannot tell us what or how to teach, but it can be used to confirm, enrich, and refine the theories and models of learning and behavior we already have and use. Educational psychology, cognitive psychology, and educational research explain why some teaching practices work whereas others do not. It is our responsibility to become informed and seek credible sources and credible individuals who perform this work.

It Is Time to Take Action

While advances in neuroscience are clearly exciting, exhilarating, and impressive, evidence of significant improvements in educational practices based on it is not yet evident day to day. The big picture is being revealed, and brain structures, functioning, and dysfunction are coming from well-designed research, sound methodology, and data (quantitative or qualitative) that capture academic, behavioral, and social gains. Laboratories, clinics, hospitals, and other places are doing big picture research, but no matter how good it is, teachers still need their own independent demonstrations of effectiveness in their classrooms. Findings from neuroscience reveal what is in the mind, but without behavioral data, these findings are limited to particular children, processing information in a machine, at fixed moment in time. As educators, we need to understand these limitations.

Big picture research is necessary but so is our own action research, or the study of our own practice. A typical action research cycle starts by locating a problem and then proceeds to researching a solution, trying the solution, gathering and analyzing the data we have gathered, reflecting on the findings, and determining the next steps. We can take ideas from neuroscience and put them through these steps. For example, if the children in our classroom are struggling to learn a concept, we can investigate what neuroscience says, blend this with ideas from cognitive science and development, and alter what we do. We can then design data collection tools like pretests, posttests, surveys, and observations and collect and analyze this data to see if the ideas we tried makes a difference. If teachers did this work and published it in teacher journals, a mass of ideas would be built and neuromyths could be put to rest. We are capable professionals who can perform practical research.

It Is Time to Become Advocates

The field of neuroscience is growing and has many positive implications. But it can also be used to apply labels, verify stereotypes, and narrow learning, behavior, and emotions to biological processes alone. Neuroethics sits at the intersection of neuroscience and the ethical, legal, and social implications; it brings matters because teaching is a moral profession. As teachers, we are caught in the whirlwind of changes going on around us, and we need to consider the possibilities and challenges neuroscience can bring. Every day across the world, parents entrust us with their most valued possession, their children. As teachers of young children, we know this and work diligently to find the best ways to teach. We are turning to findings from neuroscience to help us, but we must also remember that we need to use it fairly, ethically, and responsibly. Neuroscience is bringing us hope and at the same time it is bringing challenges. As scientific advances are made, we will need to consider how we will keep information confidential and ensure each child's safety. Teaching is a moral profession, and we must not forget the unintended consequences some treatments bring. Invasive interventions can make active, boisterous, inquisitive children passive so they fit in our classrooms, but they can also alter brain chemistry and rob children of their identities and true selves. Children need the correct, least invasive, and most ethical interventions possible, and neuroscientists can provide these, but as teachers we need to be at their sides explaining the consequences and advocating for children. When it comes to applying neuroscience to our classrooms, we must constantly consider what is right, wrong, good, just, and unfair.

It is an exciting time to be an educator because of the scientific breakthroughs being made, but we need to proceed with open eyes. If we are optimistic and cautious, informed, active, and advocate for reasoned policies, the children in our care will benefit, flourish, and grow into healthy, happy, human beings.

References

- Jarvis, P. (1999). *The practitioner researcher: Developing theory from practice*. New York: Jossey-Bass.
- Stamm, J. (2007). *Bright from the start: The simple science-backed way to nurture your child's developing mind, from birth to age 3*. New York: Gotham Books.
- Stein, Z., della Chiesa, B., Hinton, C., & Fischer, K. W. (2010). *Ethical issues in educational neuroscience: Raising children in a brave new world* (pp. 1–32). Boston: Oxford University Press.

About the Editors

Dr. Leslie Haley Wasserman is an assistant professor of education/early childhood specialist from the School of Education at Heidelberg University in Tiffin, OH, where she supervises and teaches undergraduate and graduate courses in curriculum and methodology in Early Childhood Education and Special Education courses. She was a former preschool special needs teacher as well as a former kindergarten and first grade teacher. Research interests include brain research, ADHD, twice-exceptional learners, early childhood special education, and early childhood education.

Debby Zambo, Ph.D. is an Associate Professor in Mary Lou Fulton Teachers College at Arizona State University. Debby currently teaches doctoral students and is the coordinator of ASU's EdD in Leadership and Innovation. Her research interests focus on the usefulness of neuroscience for teachers and the development of school leaders.

About the Contributors

Dr. Larry Alferink is a Professor Emeritus in the Psychology Department at Illinois State University. He served as chair of the department for 15 years before moving on to other positions in the university. His research interests have focused on issues in behaviour analysis and, partly as a result of his work as a member of the American Psychological Association's (APA) Coalition of Psychology and Education, have increasingly focused on issues in education. He currently serves as a member of APA's Council of Representatives and is Chair of the Finance Committee of the Association for Behavior Analysis International.

Diane Branson, Ph.D., CCC-SLP, is a state specialist in early childhood education in the University of Nevada, Reno Cooperative Extension; adjunct faculty in the early childhood education program at Truckee Meadows Community College; and the training coordinator for Nevada TACSEI Pyramid Model Partnership. Diane earned her doctorate in Special Education and Disability Studies from the University of Nevada, Reno, in 2009. Diane's research interests include teacher practices that support children's positive behaviors, transitioning from infant-toddler services into preschool, and the early detection of young children with autism spectrum disorder.

J. Diane Connell, Ed.D, is a tenured full-time Professor of Special Education at Rivier University. She is the Advisor of the Graduate Programs in Learning Disabilities and Learning Disabilities and Reading. She presents frequently at state, national, and international workshops and conferences and is the author of articles on gender differences in learning, brain-based learning techniques, nonverbal learning disabilities, and the global aspects of multiple intelligences. She is also the author of the book *Brain-Based Strategies to Reach All Learners* (Scholastic 2005).

Dr. Billie Enz is an emeritus professor in Mary Lou Fulton Teachers College at Arizona State University. During her 40-year career, she was a classroom teacher, university administrator, and faculty member in the early childhood department. Research interests include teacher development, first- and second-language acquisition, and emergent literacy.

Dr. Valeri Farmer-Dougan is a Professor in the Psychology Department, with a joint appointment in the School of Biological Sciences, both at Illinois State University. Her research interests have focused on issues in behaviour analysis with both humans and animals, including work with children with autism, exotic wild animals, and domestic canines. In particular, she is interested in the underlying physiological mechanisms critical to reward and how those mechanisms are influenced by environmental variables. She is a member of the Society for Neuroscience and the Association for Behavior Analysis International.

Dr. Nancy Frey and **Dr. Douglas Fisher** are Professors of Education at San Diego State University where they focus their teaching and research on high-quality instruction. They are particularly interested in the role that language plays in learning and in ways to develop effective literacy intervention efforts for all students.

Rae Ann Hirsh is the program director and lecturer in the undergraduate early childhood department at Carlow University. She teaches both undergraduate and graduate courses in early childhood curriculum, play, and child development. She was a former preschool, elementary, and special education teacher. Her research interests include early childhood curricula, emotions, brain development, neuroscience, high-performance learning, and play. She is a doctoral candidate in the curriculum and instruction program at Indiana University of Pennsylvania.

Dr. George G. Hruby is an associate research professor of literacy education in the College of Education at the University of Kentucky and the executive director of the Collaborative Center for Literacy Development for the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Research interests include literacy development and education, educational neuroscience, teacher education, and developmental systems theory.

Dr. Layne Kalbfleisch is an associate professor in the Krasnow Institute for Advanced Study and College of Education and Human Development at George Mason University. Her laboratory, KIDLAB, studies two aspects of learning: (1) the relationship between talent and disability in high-ability children with Asperger's syndrome and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, called "twice exceptional," and (2) how the environment influences reasoning and attention systems in the brain using behavior, psychometrics, virtual world platforms, gaming techniques, and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). She teaches courses in educational psychology and cognitive neuroscience on the neuroscience of learning and cognition and introduction to neuroimaging. She is a former middle school teacher and service provider for twice exceptional children and adolescents.

Dr. William A. Mosier is a professor of early childhood and advisor to the graduate ECE Program in the College of Education and Human Services at Wright State University in Dayton, Ohio. Dr. Mosier has taught early childhood in the St. Louis public schools as well as in Head Start and Migrant Head Start programs. His research interests include infant mental health, child guidance, developmentally appropriate adult-child interactions, and the psychology of parenting. He serves as a director of research at the Lynda A. Cohen Center for the Study of Child Development and is a licensed independent marriage and family therapist.

Dr. Niamh Stack is a Senior University Teacher in the School of Psychology at the University of Glasgow in Scotland. She has been lecturing within Higher Education for the past 14 years. Her teaching and research interests lie primarily within Developmental Psychology and in particular within issues related to Atypical Development and Early Childhood Development. She is the Research Director for the Scottish Network for Able Pupils (SNAP), and as part of this role she is engaged in professional knowledge exchange partnerships with local education authorities, schools and teachers and in research projects focusing on gifted development.

Dr. Jill Stamm is a Clinical Associate Professor in Mary Lou Fulton Teachers College at Arizona State University where she teaches both graduate and undergraduate classes in Education Psychology and in Learning and Instruction. Research interests include the understanding of the development of brain systems that support early learning, specifically attention, bonding, and communication.

Jena VanStelten, M.Ed. Ms. VanStelten is the former Program Coordinator at the Early Childhood Center Laboratory School of Rivier University, located in Nashua, NH, where she worked teaching children, supervising teachers and Rivier University students. She has taught early childhood students for many years and is also an Adjunct Professor at Rivier. She has a B.S. in Early Childhood Education and a M.Ed. with certifications in Learning Disabilities, General Special Education, and School Principal.

Index

A

- Affective domain, 77–101
- At-risk, 3, 4, 78, 95, 98, 105–117, 162, 163, 204
- At risk populations, 4, 162
- Autism, 3, 8, 51, 59, 68, 109, 121–135, 146, 148, 208, 215, 216
- Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs), 3, 121–135, 158, 215

B

- Bilingual, 49
- Brain, 1–5, 7–13, 23–52, 55–71, 77–89, 91–99, 101, 107–111, 114, 116, 117, 121–135, 144–146, 148–149, 157, 162–168, 171–188, 191–204, 207–211, 213, 215–217
- Brain-based education, 55–71, 191, 192, 199, 204
- Brain-based learning, 24, 41, 64, 215
- Brain development, 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 33–35, 46–47, 52, 55–71, 78–81, 83, 84, 91, 92, 95–99, 101, 107, 110, 122, 162–164, 167, 168, 171–188, 195, 204, 208, 216
- Brain differences, 35, 64, 65, 121–135, 144
- Brain function, 4, 25, 55, 57, 84, 88, 96, 101, 128, 135, 166, 173, 186
- Brain Gym®, 8, 71
- Brain organization, 173–178

C

- Children, 1–5, 7–20, 23, 25, 26, 29–31, 39–43, 45–52, 58, 59, 62, 63, 65–71, 77, 78,

- 80, 81, 84–101, 105–117, 121–135, 141–143, 146, 148–150, 152, 154, 158–164, 167, 168, 172, 176, 179, 183, 186, 192, 196, 197, 201, 208–211, 215–217

- Cognition, 3, 13, 35, 40, 43, 44, 56, 69, 70, 77, 84–88, 91–93, 99–101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 116, 117, 126, 129, 185, 198, 216

- Cognitive neuroscience, 24, 41, 123, 129, 171, 216

- Critical periods for learning, 62, 63, 80
- Critical/sensitive periods, 58, 62–63, 79–81, 163–166

D

- Developmentally appropriate practices, 81, 85
- Developmental systems, 195, 216

E

- 2E, 142, 152
- Early childhood, 1–4, 7, 23–32, 38, 39, 41, 42, 45–48, 50–52, 55–71, 77–101, 105, 106, 115, 117, 121–123, 132, 135, 158–160, 162, 163, 192, 193, 203, 208, 213, 215–217
- Early childhood inclusion classrooms, 2, 24
- Early childhood teacher education, 7, 24, 25, 41, 42, 122, 192, 193, 213, 216, 217
- Early intervention, 12, 47, 52, 97, 100, 134, 154–168, 208
- Early literacy, 3, 46, 48, 78, 99, 105–117

- Education, 1–5, 7–12, 14–16, 18, 20, 24, 25, 27, 40–42, 44–47, 49–52, 55–71, 78, 85, 86, 89, 91, 99–101, 106, 107, 129, 132, 141–144, 146–150, 152–154, 158–162, 167, 168, 172, 181, 186, 191–193, 196, 198, 199, 201–204, 207, 208, 213, 215–217
- Educational neuroscience, 4, 7, 8, 191, 192, 199, 203, 216
- Emotional-social development, 77, 85, 99, 100
- Emotions, 12, 13, 29, 77, 79, 83, 85–94, 96, 97, 99, 101, 107–117, 125, 126, 150, 154, 173, 175–177, 187, 188, 208, 211, 216
- Ethics, 2, 12, 13, 15, 16
- G**
- Gifted and talented, 143–144
- H**
- Head Start, 46, 106, 107, 160–162, 167, 216
- Human capital, 162, 163
- I**
- Individualized adaptations, 122, 133–135
- L**
- Language, 4, 11, 25, 32, 40, 43, 45–52, 55, 59, 60, 63, 64, 66, 69, 79, 80, 82, 83, 85–87, 89, 91–93, 98, 99, 106–109, 114, 122, 124–129, 131, 134, 135, 147, 173, 175, 180, 208, 215, 216
- Learning strategies, 171–188
- Learning styles, 9, 10, 25, 67–68, 122, 153–155
- M**
- Metaphors, 4, 191–204, 209
- Mind, Brain, and Education (MBE), 3, 7, 24, 40–42
- Modeling, 51, 52, 90, 91, 115, 134, 199
- Morals, 2, 12–20, 89, 90, 100, 211
- Multiple intelligences (MIs), 24–32, 36–41, 67–68, 152, 215
- N**
- Neural development, 56–62, 165, 194, 196
- Neurocognitive testing, 4, 122, 123, 134
- Neuroscience, 1–4, 7–20, 23–42, 44–47, 49–52, 55, 56, 62, 63, 65, 66, 68, 71, 77–101, 105–117, 123, 129, 157–168, 171–173, 181, 191–195, 197–199, 202, 203, 207–211, 213, 216
- R**
- Reading, 1, 3, 7, 27, 30, 43–52, 55, 64, 66, 69, 71, 85, 100, 106–108, 110, 113, 114, 116, 123, 144, 147, 148, 151, 154, 168, 187, 208, 209, 215
- Reggio Emilia, 159, 164
- Right vs. left-brain teaching, 64
- S**
- Special education, 10, 27, 41, 142, 146–149, 213, 215–217
- Special needs, 4, 8–11, 25, 40, 142, 146–149, 154, 213
- Symbolic development, 108–112
- T**
- Teacher education, 4, 14, 186, 198, 216
- Twice exceptional, 4, 141–155, 208, 213, 216
- U**
- Universal design for learning (UDL), 122, 128–129, 131, 133, 135
- Y**
- Young children, 1–3, 7–20, 43, 45–46, 48, 51, 52, 62, 69, 71, 77, 78, 80, 84–87, 89–101, 107–110, 115, 123, 158–160, 164, 179, 192, 208, 209, 211, 215