

---

# Verzeichnis des Anhangs auf OnlinePLUS

Auf den Anhang kann unter <http://www.springer.com> auf der Produktseite zu diesem Buch zugegriffen werden.

## Zusätzliche Tabellen

- Tabelle A1** Verknüpfung der Issue-Kategorien von RCS und Medieninhaltsanalyse
- Tabelle A2** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 7.3 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A3** Einfluss der wahrgenommenen Handlungskompetenz, kontrolliert für Tonalität der Medienberichterstattung
- Tabelle A4** Einfluss der wahrgenommenen Handlungskompetenz, interagiert mit positiver und negativer Medienberichterstattung
- Tabelle A5** Einfluss der wahrgenommenen Handlungskompetenz, kontrolliert für wahrgenommene Menge an neutraler Medienberichterstattung
- Tabelle A6** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 7.4 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A7** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 8.2 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A8** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 8.3 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A9** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 8.4 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A10** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 8.5 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)

- Tabelle A11** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 8.6 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A12** Soziodemografische Zusammensetzung der verwendeten Samples
- Tabelle A13** Indirekte Effekte von Umfrageergebnissen auf Wahlabsichten (Alternatives Matching)
- Tabelle A14** Einfluss der Parteigrösse auf die Umschlagrate
- Tabelle A15** Häufigkeit der Nennungen pro Sympathiewert
- Tabelle A16** Ideologische Distanz zwischen den Parteien
- Tabelle A17** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 9.3 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A18** Auslöser von Präferenzänderungen und stabilisierende Faktoren (Zusätzliche Interaktionsmodelle)
- Tabelle A19** Komplette Darstellung von Tabelle 9.5 (inklusive aller Kontrollvariablen)
- Tabelle A20** Erklärungsfaktoren für Entscheidung zugunsten der gewählten Parteien (Zusätzliche Interaktionsmodelle)

## **Zusätzliche Abbildungen**

- Abbildung A1** Wahrgenommene Wahrscheinlichkeit, dass eine Partei einen zusätzlichen Sitz im Bundesrat gewinnen wird
- Abbildung A2** Wahrgenommene Wahrscheinlichkeit, dass eine Partei einen Sitz im Bundesrat verlieren wird
- Abbildung A3** Gemeinsame Wirkung von Parteigrösse und Umfragetrends (nicht transformiert)

---

# Bibliographie

- AALBERG, TORIL und ANDERS TØDAL JENSEN (2007). Do Television Debates in Multiparty Systems affect Viewers? A Quasi-experimental Study with First-time Voters, *Scandinavian Political Studies* 30(1), 115–135.
- AALBERG, TORIL und PETER VAN AELST (2014). Who Is Afraid of Preelection Polls? How Perceptions of Polls Influence Support for Polling Regulations among Elites, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 26(4), 517–530.
- ALVAREZ, R. MICHAEL und JOHN BREHM (1995). American Ambivalence Towards Abortion Policy: Development of a Heteroskedastic Probit Model of Competing Values, *American Journal of Political Science* 39(4), 1055–1082.
- ALVAREZ, R. MICHAEL und JONATHAN NAGLER (1998). When Politics and Models Collide: Estimating Models of Multiparty Elections, *American Journal of Political Science* 42(1), 55–96.
- ANDERSEN, ROBERT, JAMES TILLEY und ANTHONY F. HEATH (2005). Political Knowledge and Enlightened Preferences: Party Choice Through the Electoral Cycle, *British Journal of Political Science* 35(2), 285–302.
- ANDERSON, CHRISTOPHER J. (2007). The interaction of structures and voter behavior, in: DALTON, RUSSELL J. und HANS-DIETER KLINGEMANN (Hrsg.), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Behavior*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 589–609.
- ANDERSON, JOHN R. (1983). *The architecture of cognition*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- ANSOLABEHÈRE, STEPHEN und SHANTO IYENGAR (1995). *Going negative: How attack ads shrink and polarize the electorate*. New York: Free Press.
- ARCENEUX, KEVIN (2006). Do Campaigns Help Voters Learn? A Cross-National Analysis, *British Journal of Political Science* 36(1), 159–173.
- ARCENEUX, KEVIN und RYAN J. VANDER WIELEN (2013). The Effects of Need for Cognition and Need for Affect on Partisan Evaluations, *Political Psychology* 34(1), 23–42.
- ARNESSEN, SVEINUNG und STEFAN DAHLBERG (2015). *Opinion Polls' Effect on Political Attitudes – Results from a time-series survey experiment in a general population web panel*. Paper presented at the ECPR General Conference, Montreal, August 26–29, 2015.
- AXSOM, DANNY, SUZANNE YATES und SHELLY CHAIKEN (1987). Audience response as a heuristic cue in persuasion, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 53(1), 30–40.

- BAKKER, RYAN, ERICA EDWARDS, LIESBET HOOGHE, SETH JOLLY, GARY MARKS, JONATHAN POLK, JAN ROVNY, MARCO R. STEENBERGEN und MILADA VACHUDOVA (2015). *2014 Chapel Hill Expert Survey*. Version 2015.1. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.
- BARGSTED, MATIAS A. und ORIT KEDAR (2009). Coalition-Targeted Duvergerian Voting: How Expectations Affect Voter Choice under Proportional Representation, *American Journal of Political Science* 53(2), 307–323.
- BARTELS, LARRY M. (1988). *Presidential Primaries and the Dynamics of Public Choice*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- BARTELS, LARRY M. (1993). Messages Received: The Political Impact of Media Exposure, *American Political Science Review* 87(2), 267–285.
- BARTELS, LARRY M. (2006a). Three Virtues of Panel Data for the Analysis of Campaign Effects, in: BRADY, HENRY E. und RICHARD JOHNSTON (Hrsg.), *Capturing Campaign Effects*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 134–163.
- BARTELS, LARRY M. (2006b). Priming and Persuasion in Presidential Campaigns, in: BRADY, HENRY E. und RICHARD JOHNSTON (Hrsg.), *Capturing campaign effects*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 78–112.
- BARTLE, JOHN und PAOLO BELLUCCI (Hrsg.) (2009). *Political Parties and Partisanship: Social Identity and Individual Attitudes*. London/New York: Routledge.
- BASINGER, SCOTT J. und HOWARD LAVINE (2005). Ambivalence, Information, and Electoral Choice, *American Political Science Review* 99(2), 169–184.
- BECK, PAUL A., RUSSELL J. DALTON, STEVEN GREENE und ROBERT HUCKFELDT (2002). The Social Calculus of Voting: Interpersonal, Media, and Organizational Influences on Presidential Choices, *American Political Science Review* 96(1), 57–73.
- BÉLANGER, ÉRIC (2003). Issue Ownership by Canadian Political Parties 1953–2001, *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 36(3), 539–558.
- BÉLANGER, ÉRIC und BONNIE M. MEGUID (2008). Issue salience, issue ownership, and issue-based vote choice, *Electoral Studies* 27(3), 477–491.
- BELLUCCI, PAOLO (2006). Tracing the cognitive and affective roots of ‘party competence’: Italy and Britain, 2001, *Electoral Studies* 25(3), 548–569.
- BERNOIT, WILLIAM L. und GLENN J. HANSEN (2004). Presidential Debate Watching, Issue Knowledge, Character Evaluation, and Vote Choice, *Human Communication Research* 30(1), 121–144.
- BERELSON, BERNARD, PAUL F. LAZARSFELD und WILLIAM N. MCPHEE (1954). *Voting: A study of opinion formation in a presidential campaign*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- BEVAN, SHAUN und MONA KREWEL (2013). *Responsive Elections: The Effect of Public Opinion on Political Campaigns*. Paper presented at the MPSA Annual Conference, Chicago, April 11–14, 2013.
- BLAIS, ANDRÉ (2004). How Many Voters Change Their Minds in the Month Preceding an Election?, *PS: Political Science & Politics* 37(4), 801–803.
- BLAIS, ANDRÉ (2014). Why is Turnout So Low in Switzerland? Comparing the Attitudes of Swiss and German Citizens Towards Electoral Democracy, *Swiss Political Science Review* 20(4), 520–528.
- BLAIS, ANDRÉ, JOHN H. ALDRICH, INDRIDI H. INDRIDASON und RENAN LEVINE (2006). Do Voters Vote for Government Coalitions? Testing Downs’ Pessimistic Conclusion, *Party Politics* 12(6), 691–705.

- BLAIS, ANDRÉ und MARC A. BODET (2006). How Do Voters Form Expectations about the Parties' Chances of Winning the Election?, *Social Science Quarterly* 87(3), 477–493.
- BLUMENSTIEL, JAN ERIC und THOMAS PLISCHKE (2015). Changing motivations, time of the voting decision, and short-term volatility – The dynamics of voter heterogeneity, *Electoral Studies* 37, 28–40.
- BOCHSLER, DANIEL und PASCAL SCIARINI (2010). So Close But So Far: Voting Propensity and Party Choice for Left-Wing Parties, *Swiss Political Science Review* 16(3), 373–402.
- BRADY, HENRY E. (1984). *Chances, utilities, and voting in presidential primaries*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Public Choice Society, Phoenix, Arizona.
- BRADY, HENRY E. und RICHARD JOHNSTON (2006). The Rolling Cross-Section and Causal Attribution, in: BRADY, HENRY E. und RICHARD JOHNSTON (Hrsg.), *Capturing campaign effects*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 164–195.
- BRADY, HENRY E., RICHARD JOHNSTON und JOHN SIDES (2006). The Study of Political Campaigns, in: BRADY, HENRY E. und RICHARD JOHNSTON (Hrsg.), *Capturing Campaign Effects*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1–26.
- BRASHER, HOLLY (2009). The Dynamic Character of Political Party Evaluations, *Party Politics* 15(1), 69–92.
- BRETTSCHEIDER, FRANK (1992). Der taktische und rationale Wähler. Über den Einfluss von Wahlumfragen auf das Wählerverhalten bei den Bundestagswahlen 1983 bis 1990, *Politische Vierteljahresschrift* 33(1), 55–72.
- BRETTSCHEIDER, FRANK (2000). Demoskopie im Wahlkampf – Leitstern oder Irrlicht?, in: KLEIN, MARKUS, WOLFGANG JAGODZINSKI, EKKEHARD MOCHMANN und DIETER OHR (Hrsg.), *50 Jahre empirische Wahlforschung in Deutschland*. Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag, 477–505.
- BUDGE, IAN, IVOR CREWE und DENNIS FARLIE (Hrsg.) (1976). *Party Identification and Beyond*. London: Wiley & Sons.
- BUDGE, IAN und DENNIS FARLIE (1983). *Explaining and predicting elections: Issue effects and party strategies in twenty-three democracies*. London: George Allen and Unwin.
- BURGOS, ELIE, OSCAR MAZZOLENI und HERVÉ RAYNER (2011). *La formule magique. Conflits et consensus dans l'élection du Conseil fédéral*. Lausanne: Presses polytechniques et universitaires romandes.
- CACIOPPO, JOHN T. und RICHARD E. PETTY (1982). The Need for Cognition, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 42(1), 116–131.
- CACIOPPO, JOHN T., RICHARD E. PETTY, CHUAN FENG KAO und REGINA RODRIGUEZ (1986). Central and Peripheral Routes to Persuasion. An Individual Difference Perspective, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 51(5), 1032–1043.
- CAMPBELL, ANGUS, PHILIP E. CONVERSE, WARREN E. MILLER und DONALD E. STOKES (1960). *The American Voter*. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- CAMPBELL, ANGUS, PHILIP E. CONVERSE, WARREN E. MILLER und DONALD E. STOKES (1966). *Elections and the Political Order*. New York: Wiley & Sons.
- CECI, STEPHEN J. und EDWARD L. KAIN (1982). Jumping on the Bandwagon with the Underdog: The Impact of Attitude Polls on Polling Behavior, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 46(2), 228–242.
- CHAFFEE, STEVEN H. und JOAN SCHLEUDER (1986). Measurement and Effects of Attention to Media News, *Human Communication Research* 13(1), 76–107.

- CHAFFEE, STEVEN und RAJIV NATH RIMAL (1996). Time of vote decision and openness to persuasion, in: MUTZ, DIANA C., PAUL M. SNIDERMAN und RICHARD A. BRODY (Hrsg.), *Political persuasion and attitude change*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- CHAIKEN, SHELLY (1980). Heuristic versus systematic information processing and the use of source versus message cues in persuasion, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 39(5), 752–766.
- CHAIKEN, SHELLY und ALISON LEDGERWOOD (2012). A Theory of Heuristic and Systematic Information Processing, in: VAN LANGE, PAUL A. M., ARIE W. KRUGLANSKI und TORY E. HIGGINS (Hrsg.), *Handbook of Theories of Social Psychology*. London: Sage, 246–266.
- CHEN, SERENA und SHELLY CHAIKEN (1999). The Heuristic-Systematic Model in its Broader Context, in: CHAIKEN, SHELLY und YAACOV TROPE (Hrsg.), *Dual-process theories in social psychology*. New York: Guilford Press, 73–96.
- CHRISTENSEN, LOVE, STEFAN DAHLBERG und JOHAN MARTINSSON (2015). Changes and Fluctuations in Issue Ownership: The Case of Sweden, 1979–2010, *Scandinavian Political Studies* 38(2), 137–157.
- CLEVELAND, WILLIAM S. (1979). Robust Locally Weighted Regression and Smoothing Scatterplots, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74(368), 829–836.
- COHEN, BERNHARD C. (1963). *The Press and Foreign Policy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- CONVERSE, PHILIP E. (1962). Information Flow and the Stability of Partisan Attitudes, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 26(4), 578–599.
- CONVERSE, PHILIP E. (1990). Popular Representation and the Distribution of Information, in: FERREJOHN, JOHN A. und JAMES H. KUKLINSKI (Hrsg.), *Information and democratic processes*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 369–388.
- COX, GARY W. (1997). *Making Votes Count: Strategic Coordination in the World's Electoral Systems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- CSES (2013). *Comparative Study of Electoral Systems, Module 4: Macro Report, Switzerland, Parliamentary election of 23 October 2011*. Online unter: [http://cses.org/datacenter/module4/macro/CHE\\_2011\\_Macro.pdf](http://cses.org/datacenter/module4/macro/CHE_2011_Macro.pdf).
- CURTICE, JOHN (2002). The state of election studies: mid-life crisis or new youth?, *Electoral Studies* 21(2), 161–168.
- CUTLER, FRED (2002). The Simplest Shortcut of All: Sociodemographic Characteristics and Electoral Choice, *The Journal of Politics* 64(2), 466–490.
- D'ANGELO, PAUL und FRANK ESSER (2014). Metacoverage and Mediatization in US Presidential Elections, *Journalism Practice* 8(3), 295–310.
- DAHLBERG, STEFAN und JOHAN MARTINSSON (2015). Changing Issue Ownership through Policy Communication, *West European Politics* 38(4), 817–838.
- DALMUS, CAROLINE, REGULA HÄNGGLI und LAURENT BERNHARD (2016). *Issue Competition between Parties across Communication Channels: An Empirical Analysis of the 2011 Swiss Federal Elections*. NCCR Democracy Working Paper No. 93. Zürich: NCCR Democracy.
- DALTON, RUSSELL J., PAUL A. BECK und ROBERT HUCKFELDT (1998). Partisan Cues and the Media: Information Flows in the 1992 Presidential Election, *American Political Science Review* 92(1), 111–126.

- DALTON, RUSSELL J., SCOTT C. FLANAGAN und PAUL A. BECK (Hrsg.) (1984). *Electoral Change in Advanced Industrial Democracies: Realignment or De-Alignment?* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- DALTON, RUSSELL J., IAN McALLISTER und MARTIN P. WATTENBERG (2000). The consequences of partisan dealignment, in: DALTON, RUSSELL J. und MARTIN P. WATTENBERG (Hrsg.), *Parties without partisans: Political change in advanced industrial democracies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 37–63.
- DALTON, RUSSELL J. und MARTIN P. WATTENBERG (Hrsg.) (2000). *Parties without partisans: political change in advanced industrial democracies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- DAMORE, DAVID F. (2004). The Dynamics of Issue Ownership in Presidential Campaigns, *Political Research Quarterly* 57(3), 391–397.
- DAMS, ANDREAS (2003). *Zweitstimme ist Kanzlerstimme! Die Abhängigkeit der Kanzlerpräfferenz von Fernsehnachrichten und Wirtschaftslage. Eine zeitreihenanalytische Untersuchung am Beispiel der Bundestagswahl 1994 auf der Basis täglicher Messungen*. Dissertation. Freie Universität Berlin, 11. August 2003.
- DAS, MARCEL, VERA TOEPOEL und ARTHUR VAN SOEST (2011). Nonparametric Tests of Panel Conditioning and Attrition Bias in Panel Surveys, *Sociological Methods & Research* 40(1), 32–56.
- DASSONNEVILLE, RUTH (2012). Electoral Volatility, Political Sophistication, Trust and Efficacy: A Study on Changes in Voter Preferences during the Belgium Regional Elections of 2009, *Acta Politica* 47(1), 18–41.
- DASSONNEVILLE, RUTH (2016). Volatile voters, short-term choices? An analysis of the vote choice determinants of stable and volatile voters in Great Britain, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 26(3), 273–292.
- DASSONNEVILLE, RUTH, ANDRÉ BLAIS und YVES DEJAEGHERE (2015). Staying With the Party, Switching or Exiting? A Comparative Analysis of Determinants of Party Switching and Abstaining, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 25(3), 387–405.
- DOBRYNSKA, AGNIESZKA, ANDRÉ BLAIS und RICHARD NADEAU (2003). Do the media have a direct impact on the vote? The case of the 1997 canadian election, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 15(1), 27–43.
- DOMKE, DAVID, DAVID P. FAN, MICHAEL FIBISON, DHAVAN V. SHAH, STEVEN S. SMITH und MARK D. WATTS (1997). News media, candidates and issues, and public opinion in the 1996 presidential campaign, *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* 74(4), 718–737.
- DONSBACH, WOLFGANG (1986). *Der Einfluß von Meinungsumfragen auf die öffentliche Meinung politische Wirkungsvermutungen und empirische Erkenntnisse*. Vortrag beim ESO-MAR/WAPOR-Seminar „Opinion Polls“ in Straßburg, November 1986.
- DOWNS, ANTHONY (1957). *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York: Harper & Brothers.
- DRUCKMAN, JAMES N. und MICHAEL PARKIN (2005). The Impact of Media Bias: How Editorial Slant Affects Voters, *Journal of Politics* 67(4), 1030–1049.
- EBERLE, THOMAS S. und KURT IMHOF (Hrsg.) (2007). *Sonderfall Schweiz*. Zürich: Seismo.
- ENELOW, JAMES und MELVIN J. HINICH (1984). *The Spatial Theory of Voting: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ENTMAN, ROBERT M. (1993). Framing: Toward Clarification of a Fractured Paradigm, *Journal of Communication* 43(4), 51–58.

- ERIKSON, ROBERT S. und CHRISTOPHER WLEZIEN (2012). *The Timeline of Presidential Elections: How Campaigns Do (and Do Not) Matter*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- ESSER, FRANK und PAUL D'ANGELO (2006). Framing the Press and Publicity Process in U.S., British, and German General Election Campaigns: A Comparative Study of Metaco-vergence, *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 11(3), 44–66.
- ESTRADA, LUIZ M. (2005). *Party Identification in Mexico*. Dissertation. University of California, San Diego, July 2005.
- FAAS, THORSTEN und JOHANNES N. BLUMENBERG (2012). Die Vermessung der Dynamik: Eine rollierende Panelstudie im Vorfeld der badenwürttembergischen Landtagswahl 2011, *Methoden – Daten – Analysen* 6(2), 157–183.
- FAAS, THORSTEN, CHRISTIAN MACKENRODT und RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK (2008). Polls that Mattered: Effects of Media Polls on Voters' Coalition Expectations and Party Preferences in the 2005 German Parliamentary Election, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 20(3), 299–325.
- FAAS, THORSTEN und RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK (2007). Wahrnehmung und Wirkung politischer Meinungsumfragen. Eine Exploration zur Bundestagswahl 2005, in: BRETTSCHEIDER, FRANK, OSKAR NIEDERMAYER und BERNHARD WESSELS (Hrsg.), *Die Bundestagswahl 2005*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, 233–267.
- FARRELL, DAVID M. und RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK (2002). *Do political campaigns matter?: Campaign effects in elections and referendums*. London: Routledge.
- FINKEL, STEVEN E. (1993). Reexamining the “Minimal Effects” Model in Recent Presidential Campaigns, *Journal of Politics* 55(1), 1–21.
- FINKEL, STEVEN E. und PETER R. SCHROTT (1995). Campaign Effects on Voter Choice in the German Election of 1990, *British Journal of Political Science* 25(3), 349–377.
- FIORINA, MORRIS (1981). *Retrospective voting in American national elections*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- FISKE, SUSAN T. und SHELLEY E. TAYLOR (1991). *Social cognition: From brains to culture*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- FLAVIN, PATRICK und GREGORY SHUFELDT (2015). State Party Competition and Citizens' Political Engagement, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 25(4), 444–462.
- FLEITAS, DANIEL W. (1971). Bandwagon and Underdog Effects in Minimal-Information Elections, *American Political Science Review* 65(2), 434–438.
- FOURNIER, PATRICK, RICHARD NADEAU, ANDRÉ BLAIS, ELISABETH GIDENGIL und NEIL NEVITTE (2001). Validation of Time-of-Voting-Decision Recall, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 65(1), 95–107.
- FOURNIER, PATRICK, RICHARD NADEAU, ANDRÉ BLAIS, ELISABETH GIDENGIL und NEIL NEVITTE (2004). Time-of-voting decision and susceptibility to campaign effects, *Electoral Studies* 23(4), 661–681.
- FRANKLIN, MARK (2004). *Voter Turnout and the Dynamics of Electoral Competition in Established Democracies since 1945*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- FRANKLIN, MARK und LORENZO DE SIO (2012). *Party Matters: The Surprising Role of Party Characteristics in Conditioning the Bases of Electoral Support in Europe*. Paper prepared for presentation at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, New Orleans, August 2012.



- FRANKLIN, MARK N., THOMAS K. MACKIE und HENRY VALEN (Hrsg.) (1992). *Electoral Change: Responses to Evolving Social and Attitudinal Structures in Seventeen Democracies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- FREEMAN, JOHN R. (1983). Granger Causality and the Times Series Analysis of Political Relationships, *American Journal of Political Science* 27(2), 327–358.
- FREITAG, MARKUS und ISABELLE STADELMANN-STEFFEN (2010). Stumbling block or stepping stone? The influence of direct democracy on individual participation in parliamentary elections, *Electoral Studies* 29(3), 472–483.
- FREITAG, MARKUS, ADRIAN VATTER und THOMAS MILIC (2010). *Der Einfluss von Umfragen auf den direktdemokratischen Meinungsbildungsprozess*. Studienbericht im Auftrag der Chefredaktorenkonferenz der SRG. Bern/Konstanz: Universitäten Bern und Konstanz.
- FUNK, PATRICIA (2010). Social incentives and voter turnout: Evidence from the Swiss mail ballot system, *Journal of the European Economic Association* 8(5), 1077–1103.
- GARRY, JOHN (2007). Making ‘party identification’ more versatile: Operationalising the concept for the multiparty setting, *Electoral Studies* 26(2), 346–358.
- GELMAN, ANDREW, SHARAD GOEL, DOUGLAS RIVERS und DAVID ROTHSCHILD (2016). The Mythical Swing Voter, *Quarterly Journal of Political Science* 11(1), 103–130.
- GELMAN, ANDREW und GARY KING (1993). Why Are American Presidential Election Campaign Polls So Variable When Votes Are So Predictable?, *British Journal of Political Science* 23(4), 409–451.
- GERBER, DOMINIK, SARAH NICOLET und PASCAL SCIARINI (2015). Voters are not fools, or are they? Party profile, individual sophistication and party choice, *European Political Science Review* 7(1), 145–165.
- GERBER, MARLÈNE und MARC BÜHLMANN (2014). Do Ads Add Up? The Impact of Parties’ Advertisements on the Stability of Vote Choice at the Swiss National Elections 2011, *Swiss Political Science Review* 20(4), 632–650.
- GLANTSCHNIGG, CHRISTIAN, KATHRIN THOMAS und EVA ZEGLOVITS (2014). Wählen gehen? Und wen wählen? Entscheidungsfindung im Wahlkampf, in: KRITZINGER, SYLVIA, WOLFGANG C. MÜLLER und KLAUS SCHÖNBACH (Hrsg.), *Die Nationalratswahl 2013. Wie Parteien, Medien und Wählerschaft zusammenwirken*. Wien: Böhlau, 159–176.
- GOLDBERG, ANDREAS C. (2017). *The Impact of Cleavages on Swiss Voting Behaviour. A Modern Research Approach*. Contributions to Political Science. Springer International Publishing, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46000-0>.
- GRANBERG, DONALD und SÖREN HOLMBERG (1990). The Berelson Paradox Reconsidered, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 54(4), 530–550.
- GRANGER, CLIVE W. J. (1969). Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-spectral Methods, *Econometrica* 37(3), 424–438.
- GREEN, DONALD P. und IAN SHAPIRO (1994). *Pathologies of Rational Choice Theory: A Critique of Applications in Political Science*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- GREEN, JANE (2007). When Voters and Parties Agree: Valence Issues and Party Competition, *Political Studies* 55(3), 629–655.
- GREEN, JANE und SARA B. HOBOLT (2008). Owing the issue agenda: Party strategies and vote choices in British elections, *Electoral Studies* 27(3), 460–476.
- GREEN-PEDERSEN, CHRISTOFFER (2007). The Growing Importance of Issue Competition: The Changing Nature of Party Competition in Western Europe, *Political Studies* 55(3), 607–628.

- HÄNGGLI, REGULA, LAURENT BERNHARD und HANSPETER KRIESI (2012). Construction of the Frames, in: KRIESI, HANSPETER (Hrsg.), *Political communication in direct democratic campaigns*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 69–81.
- HÄNGGLI, REGULA und HANSPETER KRIESI (2010). Political Framing Strategies and Their Impact on Media Framing in a Swiss Direct-Democratic Campaign, *Political Communication* 27(2), 141–157.
- HARDMEIER, SIBYLLE (2008). The Effects of Published Polls on Citizens, in: DONSBACH, WOLFGANG und MICHAEL W. TRAUOGOTT (Hrsg.), *Handbook of Public Opinion Research*. London: Sage, 504–514.
- HARDMEIER, SIBYLLE und HUBERT ROTH (2001). *Towards a Systematic Assessment of Impact of Polls on Voters: An Meta-Analytical Overview*. Paper presented at the 54th annual conference of the World Association for Public Opinion Research, Rome, September 2001.
- HARDMEIER, SIBYLLE und HUBERT ROTH (2003). Die Erforschung der Wirkung politischer Meinungsumfragen: Lehren vom ‚Sonderfall‘ Schweiz, *Politische Vierteljahresschrift* 44(2), 174–195.
- HARDMEIER, SIBYLLE und ANDREAS SIDLER (2003). Meinungsumfragen und Wahlentscheidung: Nutzung und Wirkung im empirischen Test, in: SCIARINI, PASCAL, SIBYLLE HARDMEIER und ADRIAN VATTER (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1999*. Bern: Haupt, 395–425.
- HARDMEIER, SIBYLLE und ADRIAN VATTER (2003). Synthese – Die Ursachen des SVP-Wahlerfolgs, in: SCIARINI, PASCAL, SIBYLLE HARDMEIER und ADRIAN VATTER (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1999*. Bern: Haupt, 427–456.
- HARMEL, ROBERT und JOHN D. ROBERTSON (1985). Formation and Success of New Parties: A Cross-National Analysis, *International Political Science Review / Revue internationale de science politique* 6(4), 501–523.
- HENDERSON, MICHAEL und D. SUNSHINE HILLYGUS (2016). Changing the Clock The Role of Campaigns in the Timing of Vote Decision, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 80(3), 761–770.
- HERMANN, MICHAEL (2012). *Das politische Profil des Geldes: Wahl- und Abstimmungswerbung in der Schweiz*. Zürich: Universität Zürich, Forschungsstelle sotomo.
- HERTEL, GUIDO und HERBERT BLESS (2000). “On-line” und erinnerungsgestützte Urteilsbildung: Auslösefaktoren und empirische Unterscheidungsmöglichkeiten, *Psychologische Rundschau* 51(1), 19–28.
- HILL, SETH J., JAMES LO, LYNN VAVRECK und JOHN ZALLER (2008). *The Duration of Advertising Effects in the 2000 Presidential Campaign*. Paper prepared for the 2008 American Political Science Association Annual Meeting, Boston.
- HILL, SETH J., JAMES LO, LYNN VAVRECK und JOHN ZALLER (2013). How Quickly We Forget: The Duration of Persuasion Effects From Mass Communication, *Political Communication* 30(4), 521–547.
- HILLYGUS, D. SUNSHINE (2005). Campaign Effects and the Dynamics of Turnout Intention in Election 2000, *Journal of Politics* 67(1), 50–68.
- HILLYGUS, D. SUNSHINE und SIMON JACKMAN (2003). Voter Decision Making in Election 2000: Campaign Effects, Partisan Activation, and the Clinton Legacy, *American Journal of Political Science* 47(4), 583–596.
- HILLYGUS, D. SUNSHINE und TODD G. SHIELDS (2008). *The persuadable voter. Wedge issues in presidential campaigns*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- HOFFMANN, HANNA (2014). *Wahlumfragen und Wähler. Analysen zum Einflusspotential veröffentlichter Umfrageergebnisse bei den Bundestagswahlen 2009 und 2005*. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- HOLBROOK, THOMAS M. (1996). *Do campaigns matter?* Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- HOPMANN, DAVID N. (2010). Vom emotionalen Underdog zur bewussten Strategie: Wie Meinungsumfragen die Parteipräferenzen der Wähler beeinflussen, in: FAAS, THORSTEN, KAI ARZHEIMER und SIGRID ROSSTEUTSCHER (Hrsg.), *Information - Wahrnehmung - Emotion*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, 51–69.
- HOPMANN, DAVID N., RENS VLIEGENTHART, CLAES DE VREESE und ERIK ALBÆK (2010). Effects of Election News Coverage: How Visibility and Tone Influence Party Choice, *Political Communication* 27(4), 389–405.
- HOSMER, DAVID W., STANLEY LEMESHOW und RODNEY X. STURDIVANT (2013). *Applied Logistic Regression*. Hoboken: Wiley.
- HUG, SIMON (1996). The emergence of new political parties from a game theoretic perspective, *European Journal of Political Research* 29(2), 169–190.
- IRWIN, GALEN A. und JOOP J. M. VAN HOLSTEYN (2002). According to the Polls: The Influence of Opinion Polls on Expectations, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 66(1), 92–104.
- IYENGAR, SHANTO (1987). Television News and Citizens' Explanations of National Affairs, *The American Political Science Review* 81(3), 815–831.
- IYENGAR, SHANTO (1991). *Is Anyone Responsible? How Television Frames Political Issues*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- IYENGAR, SHANTO und DONALD R. KINDER (1987). *News that matters: Television and American opinion*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- IYENGAR, SHANTO und ADAM F. SIMON (2000). New Perspectives and Evidence on Political Communication and Campaign Effects, *Annual Review of Psychology* 51(1), 149–169.
- JACOBY, WILLIAM G. (2000). Loess: a nonparametric, graphical tool for depicting relationships between variables, *Electoral Studies* 19(4), 577–613.
- JENNINGS, M. KENT und RICHARD G. NIEMI (1974). *The Political Character of Adolescents: The Influence of Families and Schools*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- JENNINGS, M. KENT, LAURA STOKER und JAKE BOWERS (2009). Politics across Generations: Family Transmission Reexamined, *Journal of Politics* 71(3), 782–799.
- JENNINGS, WILL und CHRISTOPHER WLEZIEN (2011). Distinguishing Between Most Important Problems and Issues?, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 75(3), 545–555.
- JOHANN, DAVID, KATHARINA KLEINEN-VON KÖNIGSLOW, SYLVIA KRITZINGER und KATHRIN THOMAS (2014). *Media and Party Communication Effects on Intra-Campaign Vote Switching*. Paper presented at the IPSA World Congress of Political Science, Montreal, July 21, 2014.
- JOHNSTON, RICHARD (1975). *Party alignment and realignment in Canada, 1911–1965*. Dissertation. Stanford University, December 1975.
- JOHNSTON, RICHARD (2001). Capturing Campaigns in National Election Studies, in: KATZ, ELIHU und YAEL WARSHEL (Hrsg.), *Election Studies*. Boulder: Westview, 149–172.
- JOHNSTON, RICHARD (2008). Modeling Campaign Dynamics on the Web in the 2008 National Annenberg Election Study, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion & Parties* 18(4), 401–412.

- JOHNSTON, RICHARD, ANDRÉ BLAIS, HENRY E. BRADY und JEAN CRÉTE (1992). *Letting the people decide: Dynamics of a Canadian Election*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press.
- JOHNSTON, RICHARD und HENRY E. BRADY (2002a). The rolling cross-section design, *Electoral Studies* 21(2), 283–295.
- JOHNSTON, RICHARD und HENRY E. BRADY (2002b). The rolling cross-section design, in: FRANKLIN, MARK N. und CHRISTOPHER WLEZIEN (Hrsg.), *The future of election studies*. Amsterdam: Pergamon, 123–135.
- JOHNSTON, RICHARD, MICHAEL GRAY HAGEN und KATHLEEN HALL JAMIESON (2004). *The 2000 Presidential election and the foundations of party politics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- KARP, JEFFREY A. und SUSAN A. BANDUCCI (2000). Going Postal: How All-Mail Elections Influence Turnout, *Political Behavior* 22(3), 223–239.
- KEDAR, ORIT (2005). When Moderate Voters Prefer Extreme Parties: Policy Balancing in Parliamentary Elections, *American Political Science Review* 99(2), 185–199.
- KENSKI, KATE (2006a). Research Design Concepts for Understanding the Rolling Cross-Section Approach, in: ROMER, DANIEL, KATE KENSKI, KENNETH WINNEG, CHRISTOPHER ADASIEWICZ und KATHLEEN HALL JAMIESON (Hrsg.), *Capturing campaign dynamics, 2000 and 2004*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania, 43–67.
- KENSKI, KATE (2006b). The Rolling Cross-Section Design, in: ROMER, DANIEL, KATE KENSKI, KENNETH WINNEG, CHRISTOPHER ADASIEWICZ und KATHLEEN HALL JAMIESON (Hrsg.), *Capturing campaign dynamics, 2000 and 2004*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania, 68–78.
- KEY, VALDIMER O. (1966). *The responsible electorate*. Cambridge: Belknap.
- KIRCHGÄSSNER, GEBHARD (2016). *Voting and Popularity*. Discussion Paper no. 2016-18, University of St. Gallen, School of Economics and Political Science.
- KLAPPER, JOSEPH (1960). *The Effects of Mass Communications*. New York: Free Press.
- KLEINNIJENHUIS, JAN und ANNEMARIE S. WALTER (2014). News, Discussion, and Associative Issue Ownership, *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 19(2), 226–245.
- KLÖTI, ULRICH und WOLF LINDER (1998). Vergleichende Perspektiven, in: KRIESI, HANSPETER, WOLF LINDER und ULRICH KLÖTI (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1995*. Bern: Haupt, 297–314.
- KLÖTI, ULRICH, YANNIS PAPADOPOULOS und FRITZ SAGER (2014). Regierung, in: KNOEPFEL, PETER, YANNIS PAPADOPOULOS, PASCAL SCIARINI, ADRIAN VATTER und SILJA HÄUSERMANN (Hrsg.), *Handbuch der Schweizer Politik*. Zürich: Verlag Neue Zürcher Zeitung, 193–218.
- KRAUSE, BIRGIT und VOLKER GEHRAU (2007). Das Paradox der Medienwirkung auf Nichtnutzer: Eine Zeitreihenanalyse auf Tagesbasis zu den kurzfristigen Agenda-Setting-Effekten von Fernsehnachrichten, *Publizistik* 52(2), 191–209.
- KREWEL, MONA und JULIA PARTHEYMÜLLER (2012). *Campaign Coverage on Candidates and its Effect on Candidate Evaluations and Voting Behaviour*. Paper prepared for presentation at the IPSA World Congress of Political Science, Madrid, 2012.
- KRIESI, HANSPETER (2002). Individual Opinion Formation in a Direct Democratic Campaign, *British Journal of Political Science* 32(1), 171–185.
- KRIESI, HANSPETER, ROMAIN LACHAT, PETER SELB, SIMON BORNSCHIER und MARC HELBLING (Hrsg.) (2005). *Der Aufstieg der SVP: Acht Kantone im Vergleich*. Zürich: Neue Zürcher Zeitung.

- KRIESI, HANSPETER und PASCAL SCIARINI (2003). Auswirkungen von Sachpräferenzen auf die Wahlentscheidung, in: SCIARINI, PASCAL, SIBYLLE HARDMEIER und ADRIAN VATTER (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1999*. Bern: Haupt, 321–368.
- KRITZINGER, SYLVIA, DAVID JOHANN, JULIAN AICHHOLZER, KONSTANTIN GLINITZER, CHRISTIAN GLANTSCHNIGG, KATHRIN THOMAS, MARKUS WAGNER und EVA ZEGLOVITS (2014). *AUTNES Rolling Cross-Section Panel Study 2013 – Documentation*. Vienna: University of Vienna.
- KROSINICK, JON A. (1990). Government policy and citizen passion: A study of issue publics in contemporary America, *Political Behavior* 12(1), 59–92.
- KROSINICK, JON A. und DONALD R. KINDER (1990). Altering the Foundations of Support for the President Through Priming, *American Political Science Review* 84(2), 497–512.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN (2003). La formation des intentions de vote: Les effets de conversion et d'activation, in: SCIARINI, PASCAL, SIBYLLE HARDMEIER und ADRIAN VATTER (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1999*. Bern: Haupt, 369–393.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN (2007). *A heterogeneous electorate: Political sophistication, predisposition strength, and the voting decision process*. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN (2008). The impact of party polarization on ideological voting, *Electoral Studies* 27(4), 687–698.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN (2009). *Issue ownership and issue salience effects: A two-stage model of the voting decision process*. CIS Working Paper 50, Zurich: ETH/University of Zurich.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN (2014a). Issue Ownership and the Vote: The Effects of Associative and Competence Ownership on Issue Voting, *Swiss Political Science Review* 20(4), 727–740.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN (2014b). *Strategic voting in Swiss national elections: national and district-level incentives*. Paper prepared for the Annual Meeting of the Swiss Political Science Association, Bern, January 30–31, 2014.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN und PASCAL SCIARINI (2002). When do election campaigns matter, and to whom? Results from the 1999 Swiss election panel study, in: FARRELL, DAVID M. und RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK (Hrsg.), *Do political campaigns matter? Campaign effects in elections and referendums*. London: Routledge/ECPR Studies in European Political Science, 41–57.
- LACHAT, ROMAIN und PETER SELB (2010). Strategic Overshooting in National Council Elections, *Swiss Political Science Review* 16(3), 481–498.
- LAGO, IGNACIO, MARC GUINJOAN und SANDRA BERMUDEZ (2015). Regulating Disinformation. Poll Embargo and Electoral Coordination, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 79(4), 932–951.
- LANOUE, DAVID J. und SHAUN BOWLER (1998). Picking the Winners: Perceptions of Party Viability and Their Impact on Voting Behavior, *Social Science Quarterly* 79(2), 361–377.
- LANZ, SIMON (2012). *The Importance of Salience. Agenda-Setting and Issue Voting in Swiss Politics*. Série Green Lab. Laboratory of Political Science, Genève: Université de Genève.
- LANZ, SIMON und PASCAL SCIARINI (2016). The short-time dynamics of issue ownership and its impact on the vote, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 26(2), 212–231.
- LAU, RICHARD R. und DAVID P. REDLAWSK (2006). *How voters decide: Information processing during election campaigns*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- LAVINE, HOWARD, CHRISTOPHER D. JOHNSTON und MARCO R. STEENBERGEN (2012). *The Ambivalent Partisan. How Critical Loyalty Promotes Democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- LAVRAKAS, PAUL J., JACK K. HOLLEY und PETER V. MILLER (1991). Public Reactions to Polling News During the 1988 Presidential Election Campaign, in: LAVRAKAS, PAUL J. und JACK K. HOLLEY (Hrsg.), *Polling and presidential election coverage*. Newbury Park: Sage, 151–183.
- LAZARSEFELD, PAUL F., BERNARD R. BERELSON und HAZEL GAUDET (1944). *The people's choice: How the voter makes up his mind in a presidential campaign*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- LENGAUER, GÜNTHER und DAVID JOHANN (2013). Candidate and party bias in the news and its effects on party choice: Evidence from Austria, *Studies in Communication Sciences* 13(1), 41–49.
- LEUVEN, EDWIN und BARBARA SIANESI (2003). *PSMATCH2: Stata module to perform full Mahalanobis and propensity score matching, common support graphing, and covariate imbalance testing*. Erhältlich im Internet unter: <http://ideas.repec.org/c/boc/bocode/s432001.html>.
- LEWIS-BECK, MICHAEL S. und TOM W. RICE (1992). *Forecasting Elections*. Washington: CQ Press.
- LINDER, WOLF (1998). Parteien-, Persönlichkeits-, Europa- oder Traditionswahl? Eine systematische Untersuchung des Einflusses der Sachthemen auf den Wahlentscheid, in: KRIESI, HANSPETER, WOLF LINDER und ULRICH KLÖTI (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1995*. Bern: Haupt, 131–160.
- LINDER, WOLF (2005). *Schweizerische Demokratie. Institutionen – Prozesse – Perspektiven*. Bern: Haupt.
- LINDER, WOLF (2009). Das politische System der Schweiz, in: ISMAYR, WOLFGANG (Hrsg.), *Die politischen Systeme Westeuropas im Vergleich*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag, 567–605.
- LIPPS, OLIVER und NICOLAS PEKARI (2013). *Mode and incentive effects in an individual register frame based Swiss election study*. FORS Working Paper Series, Paper 2013-3. Lausanne: FORS.
- LIPPS, OLIVER, NICOLAS PEKARI und CAROLINE ROBERTS (2013). *Coverage and nonresponse errors in an individual register frame based Swiss telephone election study*. FORS Working Paper Series, Paper 2013-2. Lausanne: FORS.
- LIPSET, SEYMOUR M. und STEIN ROKKAN (1967). *Cleavage Structures, Party Systems, and Voter Alignments: Cross-national Perspectives*. New York: Free Press.
- LODGE, MILTON, KATHLEEN M. MCGRAW und PATRICK STROH (1989). An Impression-Driven Model of Candidate Evaluation, *American Political Science Review* 83(2), 399–419.
- LODGE, MILTON, MARCO R. STEENBERGEN und SHAWN BRAU (1995). The Responsive Voter: Campaign Information and the Dynamics of Candidate Evaluation, *American Political Science Review* 89(2), 309–326.
- LODGE, MILTON und CHARLES S. TABER (2000). Three Steps toward a Theory of Motivated Political Reasoning, in: LUPIA, ARTHUR, MATHEW D. MCCUBBINS und SAMUEL L. POPKIN (Hrsg.), *Elements of reason*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 183–213.
- LODGE, MILTON und CHARLES S. TABER (2005). The Automaticity of Affect for Political Leaders, Groups, and Issues: An Experimental Test of the Hot Cognition Hypothesis, *Political Psychology* 26(3), 455–482.

- LONG, J. SCOTT und JEREMY FREESE (2014). *Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata*. College Station: Stata Press.
- LONGCHAMP, CLAUDE (2014). Prognosen sind schwierig, wenn sie die Zukunft betreffen. *Bonmot auf der Website von gfs.bern.*, Online unter: <http://www.gfsbern.ch/de-ch/Detail/prognosen-sind-schwierig-wenn-sie-die-zukunft-betreffen>.
- LONGCHAMP, CLAUDE, MARTINA IMFELD, LUKAS GOLDER, STEPHAN TSCHÖPE, JONAS P. KOCHER und SILVIA RATELBAND-PALLY (2011). "Fukushima-Effekt" verpufft, Sorge um Wirtschaft wächst. *Medienbericht zum Wahlbarometer 2011, 5. Welle, August 2011*. Bern: gfs.bern.
- LUECHINGER, SIMON, MYRA ROSINGER und ALOIS STUTZER (2007). The Impact of Postal Voting on Participation: Evidence for Switzerland, *Swiss Political Science Review* 13(2), 167–202.
- LUPIA, ARTHUR (2015). Polls Can Give People a Stronger Voice, *New York Times*, Room for Debate, November 30, 2015. <http://www.nytimes.com/roomfordebate/2015/11/30/does-polling-undermine-democracy/polls-can-give-people-a-stronger-voice>.
- LUTZ, GEORG (2008). *Eidgenössische Wahlen 2007: Wahlteilnahme und Wahlentscheid*. Lausanne: Selects – FORS.
- LUTZ, GEORG (2010). The Electoral Success of Beauties and Beasts, *Swiss Political Science Review* 16(3), 457–480.
- LUTZ, GEORG (2012). *Eidgenössische Wahlen 2011. Wahlteilnahme und Wahlentscheid*. Lausanne: Selects – FORS.
- LUTZ, GEORG (2016). *Eidgenössische Wahlen 2015. Wahlteilnahme und Wahlentscheid*. Lausanne: Selects – FORS.
- LUTZ, GEORG, THOMAS DE ROCCHI und NICOLAS PEKARI (2013). *The Swiss 2011 Rolling Cross-Section Study: Design, field work, and data quality*. FORS Working Paper Series, Paper 2013-4. Lausanne: FORS.
- LUTZ, GEORG und PETER SELB (2014). Wahlen, in: KNOEPFEL, PETER, YANNIS PAPADOPOULOS, PASCAL SCIARINI, ADRIAN VATTER und SILJA HÄUSERMANN (Hrsg.), *Handbuch der Schweizer Politik*. Zürich: Verlag Neue Zürcher Zeitung, 465–496.
- MACKUEN, MICHAEL B., ROBERT S. ERIKSON und JAMES A. STIMSON (1992). Peasants or Bankers? The American Electorate and the U.S. Economy, *American Political Science Review* 86(3), 597–611.
- MAIO, GREGORY R. und VICTORIA M. ESSES (2001). The Need for Affect: Individual Differences in the Motivation to Approach or Avoid Emotions, *Journal of Personality* 69(4), 583–615.
- MANSKI, CHARLES F. (1977). The Structure of Random Utility Models, *Theory and Decision* 8(3), 229–254.
- MATTHES, JÖRG (2012). Exposure to Counterattitudinal News Coverage and the Timing of Voting Decisions, *Communication Research* 39(2), 147–169.
- MAUERER, INGRID, PAUL W. THURNER und MARC DEBUS (2015). Under Which Conditions Do Parties Attract Voters' Reactions to Issues? Party-Varying Issue Voting in German Elections 1987–2009, *West European Politics* 38(6), 1251–1273.
- MAZZOLENI, GIANPIETRO und WINFRIED SCHULZ (1999). 'Mediatization' of politics: A challenge for democracy?, *Political Communication* 16(3), 247–261.
- MCCOMBS, MAXWELL (1999). Personal Involvement With Issues on the Public Agenda, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 11(2), 152–168.

- McCOMBS, MAXWELL E. und DONALD L. SHAW (1972). The Agenda-Setting Function of Mass Media, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 36(2), 176–187.
- McDERMOTT, MONIKA L. (2006). Not for Members Only: Group Endorsements as Electoral Information Cues, *Political Research Quarterly* 59(2), 249–257.
- MEFFERT, MICHAEL F. und THOMAS GSCHWEND (2010). Strategic coalition voting: Evidence from Austria, *Electoral Studies* 29(3), 339–349.
- MEFFERT, MICHAEL F. und THOMAS GSCHWEND (2011). Polls, coalition signals and strategic voting: An experimental investigation of perceptions and effects, *European Journal of Political Research* 50(5), 636–667.
- MEFFERT, MICHAEL F., SASCHA HUBER, THOMAS GSCHWEND und FRANZ U. PAPPI (2011). More than wishful thinking: Causes and consequences of voters' electoral expectations about parties and coalitions, *Electoral Studies* 30(4), 804–815.
- MEGUID, BONNIE M. (2005). Competition between Unequals: The Role of Mainstream Party Strategy in Niche Party Success, *American Political Science Review* 99(3), 347–359.
- MENDELSON, MATTHEW (1996). The Media and Interpersonal Communications: The Priming of Issues, Leaders, and Party Identification, *Journal of Politics* 58(1), 112–125.
- MERRILL, SAMUEL und BERNARD GROFMAN (1999). *A Unified Theory of Voting. Directional and Proximity Spatial Models*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- MEYER, THOMAS M. und WOLFGANG C. MÜLLER (2013). The Issue Agenda, Party Competence and Popularity: An Empirical Analysis of Austria 1989–2004, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 23(4), 484–500.
- MILIC, THOMAS und THOMAS WILLI (2016). Wie sich eine Personenwahl einer Parteiwahl annähert. *Politan.ch*, 17. September 2016. Online unter: <http://www.politan.ch/proporz-wider-willen/>.
- MORTON, REBECCA B., DANIEL MULLER, LIONEL PAGE und BENNO TORGLER (2015). Exit polls, turnout, and bandwagon voting: Evidence from a natural experiment, *European Economic Review* 77, 65–81.
- MULLIGAN, KENNETH (2012). Variability or Moderation? The Effects of Ambivalence on Political Opinions, *Political Behavior*.
- MUTZ, DIANA C. (1998). *Impersonal influence. How perceptions of mass collectives affect political attitudes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- MUTZ, DIANA C. (2016). Harry Potter and the Deathly Donald, *PS: Political Science & Politics* 49(4), 722–729.
- NABHOLZ, RUTH (1998). Das Wählerverhalten in der Schweiz: Stabilität oder Wandel? Eine Trendanalyse von 1971–1995, in: KRIESI, HANSPETER, WOLF LINDER und ULRICH KLÖTI (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1995*. Bern: Haupt, 17–43.
- NIR, LILACH und JAMES N. DRUCKMAN (2008). Campaign mixed-message flows and timing of vote decision, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 20(3), 326–346.
- NOELLE-NEUMANN, ELISABETH (1980). *Die Schweigespirale. Öffentliche Meinung – unsere soziale Haut*. München: Piper.
- NORRIS, PIPPA (2004). *Electoral engineering: Voting rules and political behavior*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- OEGEMA, DIRK und JAN KLEINNUENHUIS (2000). Personalization in political television news: A 13-wave survey study to assess effects of text and footage, *Communications* 25, 43–60.



- OSCE/ODIHR (2012). *Swiss Confederation, Federal Assembly Elections 23 October 2011, OSCE/ODIHR Election Assessment Mission Report*. Warsaw: OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights.
- OTTATI, VICTOR C. und ROBERT S. WYER (1990). The Cognitive Mediators of Political Choice: Toward a Comprehensive Model of Political Information Processing, in: FERREJOHN, JOHN A. und JAMES H. KUKLINSKI (Hrsg.), *Information and Democratic Processes*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 186–216.
- PAGE, BENJAMIN I. und ROBERT Y. SHAPIRO (1992). *The Rational Public: Fifty Years of Trends in Americans' Policy Preferences*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- PAPARO, ALDO, LORENZO DE SIO und DAVID BRADY (2015). *Propensities to vote: Investigating the properties of a new measurement for partisanship*. Paper presented at the Annual MPSA Conference, Chicago, April 18, 2015.
- PARTHEYMÜLLER, JULIA, RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK und CHRISTIAN HOOPS (2013). *Kampagnendynamik bei der Bundestagswahl 2013. Die Rolling Cross-Section-Studie im Rahmen der „German Longitudinal Election Study“ 2013*. MZES Working Paper Nr. 154. Mannheim: Mannheimer Zentrum für Europäische Sozialforschung.
- PERRON, LOUIS (2010). *How to overcome the power of incumbency in election campaigns*. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- PERRON, LOUIS (2012). Perron Campaigns Election Update: Analyse der U.S. Präsidentschaftswahl. *Wahlkampfanalyse*, November 7, 2012. Online unter: <http://www.wahlkampfanalyse.ch/2012/11/perron-campaigns-election-update.html>.
- PETERSEN, THOMAS (2012). Regulation of Opinion Polls: A Comparative Perspective, in: HOLTZ-BACHA, CHRISTINA und JESPER STRÖMBÄCK (Hrsg.), *Opinion Polls and the Media. Reflecting and Shaping Public Opinion* Houndsmills: Palgrave Macmillan, 47–68.
- PETROCIK, JOHN R. (1974). An Analysis of Intransitivities in the Index of Party Identification, *Political Methodology* 1(3), 31–47.
- PETROCIK, JOHN R. (1996). Issue Ownership in Presidential Elections, with a 1980 Case Study, *American Journal of Political Science* 40(3), 825–850.
- PETROCIK, JOHN R., WILLIAM BENOIT und GLENN J. HANSEN (2003). Issue Ownership and Presidential Campaigning, 1952–2000, *Political Science Quarterly* 118(4), 599–626.
- PETTY, RICHARD E. und JOHN T. CACIOPPO (1986). The Elaboration Likelihood Model of Persuasion, *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 19, 123–205.
- PETTY, RICHARD E. und DUANE T. WEGENER (1999). The Elaboration Likelihood Model: Current Status and Controversies, in: CHAIKEN, SHELLY und YAACOV TROPE (Hrsg.), *Dual-process theories in social psychology*. New York: Guilford Press, 41–72.
- PFAU, MICHAEL, JAEHO CHO und KIRSTEN CHONG (2001). Communication Forms in U.S. Presidential Campaigns: Influences on Candidate Perceptions and the Democratic Process, *Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 6(4), 88–105.
- PLESCIA, CAROLINA (2016). *Split-Ticket Voting in Mixed-Member Electoral Systems. A Theoretical and Methodological Investigation*. Colchester: ECPR Press.
- PLESCIA, CAROLINA und SYLVIA KRITZINGER (2016). Retrospective voting and party support at elections: credit and blame for government and opposition, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 27(2), 156–171.
- PLUMB, ELIZABETH (1986). Validation of Voter Recall: Time of Electoral Decision Making, *Political Behavior* 8(4), 302–312.

- PREISSINGER, MARIA und HARALD SCHOEN (2016). It's not always the campaign – Explaining inter-election switching in Germany, 2009–2013, *Electoral Studies* 44, 109–119.
- RABINOWITZ, GEORGE und STUART E. MACDONALD (1989). A Directional Theory of Issue Voting, *American Political Science Review* 83(1), 93–121.
- RATTINGER, HANS und ELENA WIEGAND (2014). Volatility on the Rise? Attitudinal Stability, Attitudinal Change, and Voter Volatility, in: WESSELS, BERNHARD, HANS RATTINGER, SIGRID ROSSTEUTSCHER und RÜDIGER SCHMITT-BECK (Hrsg.), *Voters on the Move or on the Run?* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 287–307.
- RAUPP, JULIANA (2007). *Politische Meinungsforschung. Die Verwendung von Umfragen in der politischen Kommunikation*. Konstanz: UVK Verlagsgesellschaft.
- RIKER, WILLIAM H. und PETER C. ORDESHOOK (1968). A Theory of the Calculus of Voting, *American Political Science Review* 62(1), 25–42.
- ROBERTS, CAROLINE, OLIVER LIPPS und KATHRIN KISSAU (2013). *Using the Swiss population register for research into survey methodology*. FORS Working Paper Series, Paper 2013-1. Lausanne: FORS.
- ROMER, DANIEL, KATE KENSKI, KENNETH WINNEG, CHRISTOPHER ADASIEWICZ und KATHLEEN HALL JAMIESON (Hrsg.) (2006). *Capturing Campaign Dynamics, 2000 and 2004. The National Annenberg Election Survey*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania.
- SALMORE, BARBARA G. und STEPHEN A. SALMORE (1989). *Candidates, Parties, and Campaigns*. Washington: Congressional Quarterly Press.
- SANDERS, DAVID (2003). Pre-election polling in Britain, 1950–1997, *Electoral Studies* 22(1), 1–20.
- SCHADEE, HANS, PAOLO SEGATTI und CRISTIANO VEZZONI (2011). *An analysis of rolling cross section data in a multiparty system*. Congrès de l'AFSP, Strasbourg, September 2011.
- SCHUEFLE, DIETRAM A. und DAVID TEWKSBURY (2007). Framing, Agenda Setting, and Priming: The Evolution of Three Media Effects Models, *Journal of Communication* 57(1), 9–20.
- SCHLOETH, DANIEL (1998). *Vor die Wahl gestellt: Erklärungen des Wahlverhaltens bei den eidgenössischen Wahlen 1995*. Bern: Haupt.
- SCHMIDTCHEN, GERHARD (1980). Repräsentiert der Nationalrat die gesellschaftlichen Probleme der Schweiz? Eine Analyse der Tages-Anzeiger-Umfrage zu den Nationalratswahlen 1979, *Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen* 11(3), 366–385.
- SCHMITT-BECK, RÜDIGER (1996a). Medien und Mehrheiten: Massenmedien als Informationsvermittler über die Wahlchancen der Parteien, *Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen* 27(1), 127–144.
- SCHMITT-BECK, RÜDIGER (1996b). Mass Media, the Electorate, and the Bandwagon. A Study of Communication Effects on Vote Choice in Germany, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 8(3), 266–291.
- SCHMITT-BECK, RÜDIGER (1998). Medieneinflüsse auf Kandidatenbewertungen. Eine vergleichende Analyse deutscher und spanischer Wähler, in: KAASE, MAX und HANS-DIETER KLINGEMANN (Hrsg.), *Wahlen und Wähler. Analysen aus Anlass der Bundestagswahl 1994*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 599–622.
- SCHMITT-BECK, RÜDIGER (2016). Bandwagon Effect, in: MAZZOLENI, GIANPIETRO, KEVIN G. BARNHURST, KEN'ICHI IKEDA, ROUSILEY C. M. MAIA und HARTMUT WESSLER (Hrsg.), *The International Encyclopedia of Political Communication*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 57–61.

- SCHMITT-BECK, RÜDIGER, THORSTEN FAAS und CHRISTIAN HOLST (2006). *Der Rolling Cross-Section Survey – Ein Instrument zur Analyse dynamischer Prozesse der Einstellungs-entwicklung. Bericht zur ersten deutschen RCS-Studie anlässlich der Bundestagswahl 2005*. ZUMA-Nachrichten 58, 13–49.
- SCHMITT-BECK, RÜDIGER, THORSTEN FAAS und ANSGAR WOLSING (2010). *Kampagnendynamik bei der Bundestagswahl 2009. Die Rolling Cross-Section-Studie im Rahmen der "German Longitudinal Election Study" 2009*. MZES Working Paper Nr. 134. Mannheim: Mannheimer Zentrum für Europäische Sozialforschung.
- SCHMITT-BECK, RÜDIGER und JULIA PARTHEYMÜLLER (2012). Why Voters Decide Late: A Simultaneous Test of Old and New Hypotheses at the 2005 and 2009 German Federal Elections: German Politics, *German Politics* 21(3), 299–316.
- SCHOEN, HARALD (2002). Wirkung von Wahlprognosen auf Wahlen, in: BERG, THOMAS (Hrsg.), *Moderner Wahlkampf*. Opladen: Leske + Budrich, 171–191.
- SCHOEN, HARALD (2006). Der demokratische Musterbürger als Normalfall?: Kognitionspsychologische Einblicke in die black box politischer Meinungsbildung, *Politische Vierteljahresschrift* 47(1), 89–101.
- SCHROTT, PETER R. und DAVID J. LANOUE (2013). The Power And Limitations Of Televised Presidential Debates: Assessing The Real Impact Of Candidate Performance On Public Opinion And Vote Choice, *Electoral Studies* 32(4), 684–692.
- SCHUH, STEVEN (2009). *Umfragen als Anker? Studien zur Wirkung rezipierter Umfrageergebnisse*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- SCHULZ, WINFRIED, REIMAR ZEH und OLIVER QUIRING (2005). Voters in a Changing Media Environment: A Data-Based Retrospective on Consequences of Media Change in Germany, *European Journal of Communication* 20(1), 55–88.
- SCIARINI, PASCAL, SIBYLLE HARDMEIER und ADRIAN VATTER (Hrsg.) (2003). *Schweizer Wahlen 1999*. Bern: Haupt.
- SCIARINI, PASCAL und HANSPETER KRIESI (2003). Stabilité et changement d'opinion durant la campagne électorale, in: SCIARINI, PASCAL, SIBYLLE HARDMEIER und ADRIAN VATTER (Hrsg.), *Schweizer Wahlen 1999*. Bern: Haupt, 287–320.
- SELB, PETER (2003). *Agenda-Setting Prozesse im Wahlkampf*. Bern: Haupt.
- SELB, PETER, HANSPETER KRIESI, REGULA HÄNGGLI und MIRKO MARR (2009). Partisan choices in a direct-democratic campaign, *European Political Science Review* 1(1), 155–172.
- SELECTS (2012). *Swiss Electoral Studies 2011: Rolling Cross-Section. Codebook, Description of Microdata*. Lausanne: FORS.
- SHAW, DARON R. (1999). A Study of Presidential Campaign Event Effects from 1952 to 1992, *Journal of Politics* 61(2), 387–422.
- SHAW, DARON R. und BRIAN E. ROBERTS (2000). Campaign Events, the Media and the Prospects of Victory: The 1992 and 1996 US Presidential Elections, *British Journal of Political Science* 30(2), 259–289.
- SHIKANO, SUSUMU, MICHAEL HERRMANN und PAUL W. THURNER (2009). Strategic Voting under Proportional Representation: Threshold Insurance in German Elections, *West European Politics* 32(3), 634–656.
- SIKK, ALLAN (2012). Newness as a winning formula for new political parties, *Party Politics* 18(4), 465–486.
- SIMON, HERBERT A. (1954). Bandwagon and Underdog Effects and the Possibility of Election Predictions, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 18(3), 245–253.

- SIMON, HERBERT A. (1955). A Behavioral Model of Rational Choice, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 69(1), 99–118.
- SONCK, NATHALIE und GEERT LOOSVELDT (2010). Impact of Poll Results on Personal Opinions and Perceptions of Collective Opinion, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 22(2), 230–255.
- SOROKA, STUART, MARC ANDRÉ BODET, LORI YOUNG und BLAKE ANDREW (2009). Campaign News and Vote Intentions, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 19(4), 359–376.
- SOUTHWELL, PRISCILLA L. (2004). Five Years Later: A Re-Assessment of Oregon's Vote by Mail Electoral Process, *PS: Political Science & Politics* 37(1), 89–93.
- STEENBERGEN, MARCO R. (2010). The New Political Psychology of Voting, in: FAAS, THORSTEN, KAI ARZHEIMER und SIGRID ROSSTEUTSCHER (Hrsg.), *Information – Wahrnehmung – Emotion*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag, 13–31.
- STEENBERGEN, MARCO R. und DOMINIK HANGARTNER (2008). *A Manski-Type Choice Set Model With Screening Rule*. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, Boston, August 27–31, 2008.
- STEENBERGEN, MARCO R. und MILTON LODGE (2003). Process Matters: Cognitive Models of Candidate Evaluation, in: MACKUEN, MICHAEL und GEORGE RABINOWITZ (Hrsg.), *Electoral democracy*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 125–171.
- STOKES, DONALD E. (1963). Spatial Models of Party Competition, *American Political Science Review* 57(2), 368–377.
- STOKES, DONALD E. (1992). Valence politics, in: KAVANAGH, DENNIS (Hrsg.), *Electoral Politics*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 80–100.
- SUDMAN, SEYMOUR (1986). Do Exit Polls Influence Voting Behavior?, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 50(3), 331–339.
- SWANSON, DAVID L. und PAOLO MANCINI (Hrsg.) (1996). *Politics, Media, and Modern Democracy: An International Study of Innovations in Electoral Campaigning and their Consequences*. Westport: Praeger Publishers.
- TABER, CHARLES S. und MILTON LODGE (2006). Motivated Skepticism in the Evaluation of Political Beliefs, *American Journal of Political Science* 50(3), 755–769.
- TAVITS, MARGIT (2007). Party Systems in the Making: The Emergence and Success of New Parties in New Democracies, *British Journal of Political Science* 38(1), 113–133.
- TESLER, MICHAEL (2015). Priming Predispositions and Changing Policy Positions: An Account of When Mass Opinion Is Primed or Changed, *American Journal of Political Science* 59(4), 806–824.
- THOMASSEN, JACQUES (1976). Party Identification as a Cross-National Concept: Its Meaning in the Netherlands, in: BUDGE, IAN, IVOR CREWE und DENNIS FARLIE (Hrsg.), *Party Identification and Beyond*. London: Wiley & Sons, 263–266.
- THOMASSEN, JACQUES (Hrsg.) (2005). *The European voter: a comparative study of modern democracies*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- TURNER, PAUL W. (2000). The empirical application of the spatial theory of voting in multiparty systems with random utility models, *Electoral Studies* 19(4), 493–517.
- TILLIE, JEAN (1995). *Party Utility and Voting Behavior*. Amsterdam: Het Spinhuis.
- TOMZ, MICHAEL, GARY KING und LANGCHE ZENG (2003). ReLogit: Rare Events Logistic Regression, *Journal of Statistical Software* 8(2).

- TRAUGOTT, MICHAEL W. (1992). The Impact of Media Polls on the Public, in: MANN, THOMAS E. und GARY R. ORREN (Hrsg.), *Media polls in American politics*. Washington: Brookings Institution, 125–149.
- TRESCH, ANKE, JONAS LEFEVERE und STEFAAN WALGRAVE (2015). ‘Steal me if you can!’ The impact of campaign messages on associative issue ownership, *Party Politics* 21(2), 198–208.
- UDRIS, LINARDS, KURT IMHOF und WERNER WIRTH (2011). *Eidgenössische Wahlen 2011 – Resonanz, Themen und Reputation politischer Akteure. Codebook für Medienanalyse im Rahmen der Schweizer Wahl-Studie (Selects)*. Zürich: Forschungsinstitut Öffentlichkeit und Gesellschaft / Universität Zürich.
- VAN DER BRUG, WOUTER (2004). Issue ownership and party choice, *Electoral Studies* 23(2), 209–233.
- VAN DER EIJK, CEES (2011). *Measuring Party Identification in Multi-Party Systems: a PTV-based Alternative*. Presentation held at the Conference "Revisiting Party Identification", LUISS Guido Carli University, Rome, October 6-7, 2011.
- VAN DER EIJK, CEES und MARK N. FRANKLIN (2009). *Elections and Voters*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan.
- VAN DER EIJK, CEES, WOUTER VAN DER BRUG, MARTIN KROH und MARK FRANKLIN (2006). Rethinking the dependent variable in voting behavior: On the measurement and analysis of electoral utilities, *Electoral Studies* 25(3), 424–447.
- VAN DER EIJK, CORNELIS und BROER NIEMÖLLER (1983). *Electoral Change in the Netherlands. Empirical Results and Methods of Measurement*. Amsterdam: CT Press.
- VAN DER MEER, TOM W. G., ARMEN HAKHVERDIAN und LOES AALDERING (2016). Off the Fence, Onto the Bandwagon? A Large-Scale Survey Experiment on Effect of Real-Life Poll Outcomes on Subsequent Vote Intentions, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 28(1), 46–72.
- VESELI, KUSHTRIM (2015). *Much Ado About Nothing? The (Non-) Impact of Postal Voting on Voter Turnout in Switzerland*. Paper prepared for the IBH-Workshop on Political Behavior, Kloster Hegne, May 7-9, 2015.
- WALGRAVE, STEFAAN und KNUT DE SWERT (2007). Where Does Issue Ownership Come From? From the Party or from the Media? Issue-party Identifications in Belgium, 1991-2005, *The Harvard International Journal of Press/Politics* 12(1), 37–67.
- WALGRAVE, STEFAAN und JONAS LEFEVERE (2017). Long-term associative issue ownership change: a panel study in Belgium, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*. Online first. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2017.1285305>.
- WALGRAVE, STEFAAN, JONAS LEFEVERE und MICHEL NUYTEMANS (2009). Issue Ownership Stability and Change: How Political Parties Claim and Maintain Issues Through Media Appearances, *Political Communication* 26(2), 153–172.
- WALGRAVE, STEFAAN, JONAS LEFEVERE und ANKE TRESCH (2012). The Associative Dimension of Issue Ownership, *Public Opinion Quarterly* 76(4), 771–782.
- WALGRAVE, STEFAAN, JONAS LEFEVERE und ANKE TRESCH (2014). The Limits of Issue Ownership Dynamics: The Constraining Effect of Party Preference, *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 24(1), 1–19.
- WATTENBERG, MARTIN P. und CRAIG L. BRIANS (1999). Negative Campaign Advertising: Demobilizer or Mobilizer?, *American Political Science Review* 93(4), 891–899.

- WEST, DARRELL M. (1991). Polling effects in election campaigns, *Political Behavior* 13(2), 151–163.
- WHITELEY, PAUL, HAROLD D. CLARKE, DAVID SANDERS und MARIANNE STEWART (2013). *The Economic and Electoral Consequences of Austerity Policies in Britain*. Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Political Studies Association, Cardiff, March 25–27, 2013.
- WIDMER, THOMAS (2012). Switzerland, *European Journal of Political Research Political Data Yearbook* 51, 312–321.
- WIDMER, THOMAS und VERA E. TRÖGER (2005). *Ereignisdatenbasierte Netzwerkanalyse*. Zürcher Politik- & Evaluationsstudien Nr. 3, Zürich: Institut für Politikwissenschaft, Universität Zürich, 161–181.
- WIEDERKEHR, ERICH (1989). Der gekaufte Sitz? Werbung und Wahlerfolg: Eine empirische Untersuchung der Wirkung von Zeitungswerbung bei den Nationalratswahlen 1987, *Kleine Studien zur Politischen Wissenschaft*, Nr. 265–266.
- WLEZIEN, CHRISTOPHER (2005). On the salience of political issues: The problem with ‘most important problem’, *Electoral Studies* 24(4), 555–579.
- ZALLER, JOHN R. (1992). *The nature and origins of mass opinion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ZALLER, JOHN R. (1996). The Myth of Massive Media Impact Revived: New Support for a Discredited Idea, in: MUTZ, DIANA C., PAUL M. SNIDERMAN und RICHARD A. BRODY (Hrsg.), *Political persuasion and attitude change*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 17–78.