

Literatur

- Abbate, K.J., Appelbaum, P.S., Chung, W.K., Klitzman, R., Leu, C.S. & Ottman, R. (2014). Views of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis Among Psychiatrists and Neurologists. *Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 59 (7-8), 385–392.
- Altarescu, G., Renbaum, P., Eldar-Geva, T., Varshower, I., Brooks, B., Beeri, R., et al. (2011). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) for a treatable disorder: Gaucher disease type 1 as a model. *Blood Cells, Molecules and Diseases*, 46 (1), 15–18.
- Appel, J.M. (2012). Toward an ethical eugenics: the case for mandatory preimplantation genetic selection. *JONA's Healthcare Law, Ethics and Regulation*, 14 (1), 7–13.
- Bahnsen, U. (8. Juli 2013). Künstliche Befruchtung: Retortenbaby nach komplettem Erbgut-Check geboren. *Die Zeit*.
- Banerjee, I., Sheviin, M., Issi, M. T., Thornhill, A., Abdalla, H., Ozturk, O., et al. (2008). Health of children conceived after preimplantation genetic diagnosis: a preliminary outcome study. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 16 (3), 376–381.
- Bashford, A. & Levine, P. (Hg.) (2010). *The Oxford Handbook of the History of Eugenics*. Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bathia, R. (2009). Pink and Blue. *Gen-ethischer Informationsdienst* (Nr. 192), 29–33.
- Bathia, R. (2010). Constructing Gender from the Inside Out: Sex-Selection Practices in the United States. *Feminist Studies*, 36 (2), 260–29.
- Bayefsky, M. & Jennings, B. (2015). *Regulating Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis in the United States. The Limits of Unlimited Selection*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Beck-Gernsheim, E. (1991). *Technik, Markt und Moral. Über Reproduktionsmedizin und Gentechnologie*. Frankfurt am Main: Fischer.
- Beck-Gernsheim, E. (1994). Gesundheit und Verantwortung im Zeitalter der Gentechnologie. In U. Beck & E. Beck-Gernsheim (Hg.), *Risikante Freiheiten*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 316–335.
- Beck-Gernsheim, E. (1996). Die soziale Konstruktion des Risikos – das Beispiel Pränataldiagnostik. *Soziale Welt*, 47 (2), 284–296.
- Beck-Gernsheim, E. (1999). Risikodramaturgie – das Beispiel Pränataldiagnostik. In: U. Beck, M. Hajer & S. Kesselring (Hg.), *Der unscharfe Ort der Politik. Empirische Fallstudien zur Theorie der reflexiven Modernisierung*. Opladen: Leske & Budrich, 113–128.
- Beyer, D.A. & Diedrich, K. (2013): Bewertung von Eizellen und Embryonen. In: K. Diedrich, M. Ludwig & G. Griesigner (Hg.), *Reproduktionsmedizin*. Berlin u.a.: Springer, 225–232.

- Borkenhagen, A., Brähler, E., Wisch, S., Stobel-Richter, Y., Strauss, B., & Kentenich, H. (2007). Attitudes of German infertile couples towards preimplantation genetic diagnosis for different uses: a comparison to international studies. *Human Reproduction*, 22 (7), 2051–2057.
- Brandenburg, K. (2011). Risk, parental autonomy and the epistemic divide: preimplantation genetic diagnosis in the Australian print news media, 1990-2007. *New Genetics and Society*, 30 (1), 115–131.
- Brekke, I. & Nadim, M. (2016). Gendered effects of intensified care burdens. Employment and sickness absence in families with chronically sick or disabled children in Norway. *Work, Employment & Society*. Published online before print February 15, 2016, DOI: 10.1177/0950017015625616.
- Bröckling, U. (2008). Vorbeugen ist besser ... Zur Soziologie der Prävention. *Behemoth. A Journal on Civilisation*, 1, 38–48.
- Brüninghaus, A. (2011). Prädiktives genetisches Wissen und individuelle Entscheidung. Eine topologische Skizze. In: S. Dickel, M. Franzen & C. Kehl (Hg.), *Herausforderung Biomedizin. Gesellschaftliche Deutung und soziale Praxis*. Bielefeld: Transcript, 317–332.
- Burgstaller, J.P., Johnston, I.G. & Poulton, J. (2015). Mitochondrial DNA disease and developmental implications for reproductive strategies. *Molecular Human Reproduction*, 21 (1), 11–22.
- Byk, C. (2008). Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis: An Ambiguous Legal Status for an Ambiguous Medical and Social Practice. *Journal International de Bioéthique*, 19 (3), 87.
- Bündgen, N., Rody, A., Diedrich, K. & Cordes, T. (2013). Assistierte Reproduktion. *Der Gynäkologe*, 46 (1), 37–41.
- Burri, R.V. & Dumit, J. (Hg.). (2007). *Biomedicine as Culture. Instrumental Practices, Technoscientific Knowledge, and New Modes of Life*. New York: Routledge.
- Chamayou, S., Guglielmino, A., Giambona, A., Siciliano, S., Di Stefano, G., Scibilia, G., et al. (1998). Attitude of potential users in Sicily towards preimplantation genetic diagnosis for beta-thalassaemia and aneuploidies. *Human Reproduction*, 13 (7), 1936–1944.
- Chan, C.L.W., Yip, P.S.F., Ng, E.H.Y., Ho, P.C., Chan, C.H.Y. & Au, J.S.K. (2002). Gender Selection in China: Its Meaning and Implications. *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 19 (9), 426–430.
- Checa, M.A., Alonso-Coello, P., Sola, I., Robles, A., Carreras, R. & Balasch, J. (2009). IVF/ICSI with or without preimplantation genetic screening for aneuploidy in couples without genetic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 26 (5), 273–283.
- Chi, Z., Dong, Z.X., Lei, W.X., Jun, Z.W., Lu, L. & Hesketh, T. (2013). Changing Gender Preference in China Today: Implications for the Sex Ratio. *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 20 (1), 51–68.
- Conrad, P. (2007). *The Medicalisation of Society. On the Transformation of Human Conditions into Treatable Disorders*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Cooper, M. & Waldby, C. (2014). *Clinical Labour. Tissue Donors and Research Subjects in the Global Bioeconomy*. Durham/London: Duke University Press.

- Couture, V., Drouin, R., Ponsot, A.S., Duplain-Laferrriere, F. & Bouffard, C. (2013). Gender Eugenics Between Medicine, Culture, and Society. *American Journal of Bioethics*, 13 (10), 57–59.
- Dahl, E., Gupta, R.S., Beutel, M., Stoebel-Richter, Y., Brosig, B., Tinneberg, H.-R. & Jain, T. (2006). Preconception sex selection demand and preferences in the United States. *Fertility and sterility*, 85 (2), 468–473.
- David, B.E., Weitzman, G.A., Herve, C. & Fellous, M. (2012). Genetic counseling for the orthodox jewish couple undergoing preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Journal of Genetic Counseling*, 21 (5), 625–630.
- Dennis, C. (2004). Genetics: Deaf by design. *Nature*, 431 (7011), 894–896.
- De Rycke, M., Belva, F., Goossens, V., Moutou, C., SenGupta, S.B., Traeger-Synodinos, J., et al. (2015). ESHRE PGD Consortium data collection XIII: cycles from January to December 2010 with pregnancy follow-up to October 2011. *Human Reproduction*, 30 (8), 1763–1789.
- Decruyenaere, M., Evers-Kiebooms, G., Boogaerts, A., Philippe, K., Demyttenaere, K., Dom, R., et al. (2007). The complexity of reproductive decision-making in asymptomatic carriers of the Huntington mutation. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 15 (4), 453–462.
- Dekeuwer, C. & Bateman, S. (2013). Much more than a gene: hereditary breast and ovarian cancer, reproductive choices and family life. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, 16 (2), 231–244.
- Deglincerti, A., Croft, G.F., Pietila, L.N., Zernicka-Goetz, M., Siggia, E.D. & Brivanlou, A.H. (2016). Self-Organization of the in vitro attached human embryo. *Nature* 533, 251–254.
- Derks-Smeets, I.A., Gietel-Habets, J.J., Tibben, A., Tjan-Heijnen, V.C., Meijer-Hoogveen, M., Geraedts, J.P., et al. (2014). Decision-making on preimplantation genetic diagnosis and prenatal diagnosis: a challenge for couples with hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. *Human Reproduction*, 29 (5), 1103–1112.
- Deutsches Ärzteblatt (2. März 2009). Präimplantationsdiagnostik: US-Klinik offeriert Designer-Baby. Online verfügbar unter: <http://www.aerzteblatt.de/nachrichten/35612> (zuletzt abgerufen am 07. Juli 2016).
- Deutscher Ethikrat (2011). *Präimplantationsdiagnostik: Stellungnahme*. Berlin.
- Deutsches IVF-Register (2015). Jahrbuch 2014. *Journal für Reproduktionsmedizin und Endokrinologie*, 12 (1) Sonderheft.
- Dey, I. & Chaudhuri, R. (2009). Gender Preference and its Implications on Reproductive Behavior of Mothers in a Rural Area of West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine*, 34 (1), 65–67.
- Dionisius, S. (2015). Queer matters: family-building processes of lesbian couples suing donor insemination. *Distinktion. Scandinavian Journal of Social Theory*, 16 (3), 283–301.
- Dolgin, J.L. (2005). Method, Mediations, and the Moral Dimensions of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis. *Cumberland Law Review*, 35 (3), 519–542.
- Doolin, B. & Motion, J. (2010). Christian lay understandings of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Public Understanding of Science*, 19 (6), 669–685.
- Douglas, T. & Devolder, K. (2013). Procreative altruism: beyond individualism in reproductive selection. *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy*, 38 (4), 400–419.

- Douma, K.F., Aaronson, N.K., Vasen, H.F., Verhoef, S., Gundy, C.M. & Bleiker, E.M. (2010). Attitudes toward genetic testing in childhood and reproductive decision-making for familial adenomatous polyposis. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 18 (2), 186–193.
- Drazba, K.T., Kelley, M.A. & Hershberger, P.E. (2014). A qualitative inquiry of the financial concerns of couples opting to use preimplantation genetic diagnosis to prevent the transmission of known genetic disorders. *Journal of Genetic Counseling*, 23 (2), 202–211.
- Duden, B. (1987). *Geschichte unter der Haut. Ein Eisenacher Arzt und seine Patientinnen um 1730*. Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta.
- Ebner, T. & Diedrich, K. (2013). In-vitro-Fertilisation und intrazytoplasmatische Spermieninjektion. In: K. Diedrich, M. Ludwig & G. Griesinger (Hg.), *Reproduktionsmedizin*. Berlin u.a.: Springer, 215–224.
- Edwards, J.G., Feldman, G., Goldberg, J., Gregg, A.R., Norton, M.E., Rose, N.C., Schneider, A., Stoll, K., Wapner, R. & Watson, M.S. (2015). Expanded Carrier Screening in Reproductive Medicine – Points to Consider. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 125 (3), 653–662.
- ESHRE PGD Consortium Steering Committee (2002). ESHRE Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis Consortium: data collection III (May 2001). *Human Reproduction*, 17 (1), 233–246.
- Finck, C., Meister, U., Stobel-Richter, Y., Borkenhagen, A. & Brahler, E. (2006). Ambivalent attitudes towards pre-implantation genetic diagnosis in Germany. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, 126 (2), 217–225.
- Franklin, S. (2013). *Biological Relatives. IVF, Stem Cells, and the Future of Kinship*. Durham London: Duke University Press.
- Franklin, S. & Roberts, C. (2006). *Born and made. An ethnography of preimplantation genetic diagnosis*. Princeton u.a.: Princeton University Press.
- Freedman, T. G. (1998). Genetic susceptibility testing: ethical and social quandaries. *Health & Social Work*, 23 (3), 214–222.
- Friedrich, B., Laurer, M., Klug, C., Schmidt, I. & Nagels, K. (2014). Kosten und Nutzen – Gesundheitsökonomische Analyse und normative Implikationen. In: M. Matusiewicz & J. Wasem (Hg.), *Gesundheitsökonomie. Bestandsaufnahme und Entwicklungsperspektiven*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 133–158.
- Galton, D. J. (2005). Eugenics: some lessons from the past. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 10, 133–136.
- Gammeltoft, T.M., & Wahlberg, A. (2014). Selective Reproductive Technologies. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 43 (1), 201–216.
- Gianaroli, L., Racowsky, C., Geraedts, J., Cedars, M., Makrigiannakis, A., & Lobo, R. A. (2012). Best practices of ASRM and ESHRE: a journey through reproductive medicine. *Fertility & Sterility*, 98 (6), 1380–1394.
- Gen-Ethischer Informationsdienst (2009). PID für Hautfarbe. *GID* (Nr. 192), 26–28.
- Gen-Ethischer Informationsdienst (2015). GB: Erstes Baby nach Karyomapping geboren. *GID* (Nr. 230), 27–28.
- Graumann, S. (2016). Neue Technik, alte Strategien. *Gen-ethischer Informationsdienst* (Nr. 234), 15–16.

- Graumann, S. & Schneider, I. (Hg.) (2003). *Verkörperte Technik – Entkörperte Frau. Biopolitik und Geschlecht*. Frankfurt am Main/New York: Campus.
- Grüber, K., de Guisbourne, B. & Pömsl, J. (2016). *Präimplantationsdiagnostik in Deutschland – Handreichung*. Online verfügbar unter: http://www.imew.de/fileadmin/Dokumente/dokumente_2016/HandreichungZurPID_20160301.pdf.
- Gupta, K. & Freeman, S. M. (2013). Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis for Intersex Conditions: Beyond Parental Decision Making. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 13(10), 49–51.
- Haarhoff, H. (2. Februar 2016). Gen-Forschen an Embryos genehmigt. *taz*, 2.
- Habermas, J. (2002). *Die Zukunft der menschlichen Natur. Auf dem Weg zu einer liberalen Eugenik?* Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.
- Hallowell, N. (1999). Doing the right thing: genetic risk and responsibility. In P. Conrad & J. Gabe (Hg.), *Sociological Perspectives on the New Genetics*. Oxford: Blackwell, 97–120.
- Hank, K., & Kohler, H.-P. (2000). Gender Preferences for Children in Europe. *Demographic Research*, 2.
- Haramia, C. (2013). PGD and Parental Obligations: What Parents Owe to Communities That Do Not Yet Exist. *American Journal of Bioethics*, 13 (10), 41–42.
- Haraway, D. (1995). Situieretes Wissen. Die Wissenschaftsfrage im Feminismus und das Privileg einer partialen Perspektive. In: Dies. *Die Neuerfindung der Natur. Primaten, Cyborgs und Frauen*. Frankfurt/New York: Campus, 73–97.
- Haraway, D. (1997). *Modest Witness@Second Millennium. FemaleMan Meets Oncomouse*, Routledge, New York/London: Routledge.
- Harper, J.C., Coonen, E., Handyside, A.H., Winston, R.M.L., Hopman, A.H.N. & Delhanty, J.D.A. (1995). Mosaicism of autosomes and sex-chromosomes in morphologically normal, monospermic preimplantation human embryos. *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 15 (1), 41–49.
- Harper, J., Coonen, E., De Rycke, M., Fiorentino, F., Geraedts, J., Goossens, V., et al. (2010). What next for preimplantation genetic screening (PGS)? A position statement from the ESHRE PGD Consortium Steering Committee. *Human Reproduction*, 25 (4), 821–823.
- Harper, J.C., Wilton, L., Traeger-Synodinos, J., Goossens, V., Moutou, C., SenGupta, S.B., et al. (2012). The ESHRE PGD Consortium: 10 years of data collection. *Human Reproduction Update*, 18 (3), 234–247.
- Hashiloni-Dolev, Y. & Shkedi, S. (2007). On new reproductive technologies and family ethics: pre-implantation genetic diagnosis for sibling donor in Israel and Germany. *Social Science & Medicine*, 65 (10), 2081–2092.
- Hashiloni-Dolev, Y., & Shkedi, S. (2010). The Regulation of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis for Sibling Donors in Israel, Germany and England: A Comparative Look at Balancing Risk and Benefits. In D. Birenbaum-Carmeli & Y. S. Carmeli (Hg.), *Kin, Gene, Community: Reproductive Technologies among Jewish Israelis* (S. 61–83). New York: Berghahn Books.
- Hashiloni-Dolev, Y., Hirsh-Yechzkel, G., Boyko, V., Wainstock, T., Schiff, E. & Lerner-Geva, L. (2010). Attitudes toward sex selection: a survey among potential users in Israel. *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 30 (11), 1019–1025.

- Henneman, L., Borry, P., Chokoshvili, D., Cornel, M.C., van El, C.G., Forzano, F., Hall, A., Howard, H.C., Janssens, S., Kayserili, H., Lakeman, P., Lucassen, A., Metcalfe, S.A., Vidmar, L., de Wert, G., Dondorp, W.J. & Peterlin, B. (2016). Responsible implementation of expanded carrier screening. *European Journal of Human Genetics* 24, e1–e12
- Hershberger, P.E. & Pierce, P.F. (2010). Conceptualizing couples' decision making in PGD: emerging cognitive, emotional, and moral dimensions. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 81 (1), 53–62.
- Heyen, N. B. (2011). *Gendiagnostik als Therapie. Die Behandlung von Unsicherheit in der prädiktiven genetischen Beratung*. Frankfurt am Main/New York: Campus.
- Ho, P.C. (2009). New frontiers of assisted reproductive technology (Chien Tien Hsu Memorial Lecture 2007). *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research*, 35 (1), 1–8.
- Hollingsworth, L. D. (2005). Ethical considerations in prenatal sex selection. *Health & Social Work*, 30 (2), 126–134.
- Holm, S. (2004). Like a frog in boiling water: the public, the HFEA and sex selection. *Health care analysis. journal of health philosophy and policy*, 12 (1), 27–39.
- Honegger, C. (1991). *Die Ordnung der Geschlechter. Die Wissenschaften vom Menschen und das Weib*. Frankfurt am Main u.a.: Campus.
- Hurley, K., Rubin, L.R., Werner-Lin, A., Sagi, M., Kemel, Y., Stern, R., et al. (2012). Incorporating information regarding preimplantation genetic diagnosis into discussions concerning testing and risk management for BRCA1/2 mutations: a qualitative study of patient preferences. *Cancer*, 118 (24), 6270–6277.
- Jones, B. & McMahon, C. (2003). Social representations of stem cell research and preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 7 (3), 268–275.
- Järholm, S., Broberg, M. & Thurin-Kjellberg, A. (2014). The choice of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis (PGD), a qualitative study among men and women. *Journal of Reproductive and Infant Psychology*, 32 (1), 57–69.
- Kalfoglu, A.L. (2005). PGD patients' and providers' attitudes to the use and regulation of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 11 (4), 486–496.
- Karatas, J.C., Barlow-Stewart, K., Strong, K.A., Meiser, B., McMahon, C. & Roberts, C. (2010a). Women's experience of pre-implantation genetic diagnosis: a qualitative study. *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 30 (8), 771–777.
- Karatas, J.C., Strong, K.A., Barlow-Stewart, K. McMahon, C., Meiser, B. & Roberts, C. (2010b). Psychological impact of preimplantation genetic diagnosis: a review of the literature. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 20 (1), 83–91.
- Karatas, J.C., Barlow-Stewart, K., Meiser, B., McMahon, C., Strong, K.A., Hill, W., Roberts, C. & Kelly, P. (2010c). Psychological adjustment, knowledge and unmet information needs in women undergoing PGD. *Human Reproduction*, 25 (6), 1481–1489.
- Katz, M.G., Fitzgerald, L., Bankier, A., Savulescu, J. & Cram, D.S. (2002). Issues and concerns of couples presenting for preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD). *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 22 (12), 1117–1122.

- Kentenich, H., Sibold, C. & Tandler-Schneider, A. (2013). In vitro fertilization and intracytoplasmic sperm injection: current medical aspects. *Bundesgesundheitsblatt, Gesundheitsforschung, Gesundheitsschutz*, 56 (12), 1653–1661.
DOI: 10.1007/s00103-013-1853-8.
- Kettner, M. (Hg.) (2009). *Wunscherfüllende Medizin. Ärztliche Behandlung im Dienst von Selbstverwirklichung und Lebensplanung*. Frankfurt am Main/New York: Campus.
- Kevels, D.J. (1995). *In the Name of Eugenics: Genetics and the Uses of Human Heredity*. Cambridge, MA/London: Harvard University Press.
- King, D.S. (1999). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis and the ‘new’ eugenics. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 25 (2), 176–182.
- Klitzman, R., Thorne, D., Williamson, J., Chung, W. & Marder, K. (2007). Decision-making about reproductive choices among individuals at-risk for Huntington’s disease. *Journal of Genetic Counseling*, 16 (3), 347–362.
- Klitzman, R., Chung, W., Marder, K., Shanmugham, A., Chin, L.J., Stark, M., et al. (2013). Views of internists towards uses of PGD. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 26 (2), 142–147.
- Knecht, M., Klotz, M. & Beck, S. (2012). Reproductive Technologies as Global Form: Introduction. In: Dies. (Hg.), *Reproductive Technologies as Global Form. Ethnographies of Knowledge, Practices, and Transnational Encounters*. Frankfurt am Main/New York: Campus, 11–26.
- Kollek, R. (2000). *Präimplantationsdiagnostik. Embryonenselektion, weibliche Autonomie und Recht*. Tübingen und Basel: A. Francke Verlag.
- Kollek, R. & Lemke, T. (2008). *Der medizinische Blick in die Zukunft. Gesellschaftliche Implikationen prädiktiver Gentests*. Frankfurt am Main/New York: Campus.
- Krampl-Bettelheim, E. (2011). Mehrlinge. In: H. Schneider, P.-W. Husslein & K.T.M. Schneider (Hg.), *Die Geburtshilfe*. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer, 923–939.
- Kuhlmann, A. (15. November 1999). Alte Eugenik – neue Eugenik? Die Zukunft der Fortpflanzungskontrolle und die „Selektion“. *Frankfurter Rundschau*, 12.
- Kuhlmann, E. & Kollek, R. (2002). Konfiguration des Menschen - Entwicklung in den Biowissenschaften und feministischen Theorien. In E.K.R. Kuhlmann (Hg.), *Konfigurationen des Menschen. Biowissenschaften als Arena der Geschlechterpolitik*. Opladen: Leske und Budrich, 7–17.
- Kühnel, W. (2013). Schwangerschaft. In: H. Fritsch & W. Kühnel (Hg.), *Taschenatlas der Anatomie, Band 2: Innere Organe*. Stuttgart: Thieme, 294–309.
- Lammens, C., Bleiker, E., Aaronson, N., Vriends, A., Ausems, M., Jansweijer, M., et al. (2009). Attitude towards pre-implantation genetic diagnosis for hereditary cancer. *Familial Cancer*, 8 (4), 457–464.
- Lander, E.S. (2015). Brave New Genome. *The New England journal of medicine*, 373 (1), 5–7.
- Lavery, S.A., Aurell, R., Turner, C., Castello, C., Veiga, A., Barri, P.N., et al. (2002). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis: patients’ experiences and attitudes. *Human Reproduction*, 17 (9), 2464–2467.

- Lemke, T. (2000). Die Regierung der Risiken – Von der Eugenik zur genetischen Gouvernamentalität. In: U. Bröckling, S. Krasmann & T. Lemke (Hg.), *Gouvernamentalität der Gegenwart. Studien zur Ökonomisierung des Sozialen*, Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 227–265.
- Lemke, T. (2014). Die Tyrannei der Zukunft. Gilbert Keith Chesterton und die Paradoxien der Eugenik. In: T. Lemke (Hg.), *Gilbert K. Chesterton: Eugenik und andere Übel*, Berlin: Suhrkamp, 9–65.
- Lengwiler, M. & Madarász, J. (Hg.). (2010). *Das präventive Selbst. Eine Kulturgeschichte moderner Gesundheitspolitik*. Bielefeld: transcript.
- Lohmann, G. (2003). On the relation between moral, legal and evaluative justifications of pre-implantation genetic diagnosis. *Ethical Perspectives*, 10 (3-4), 196–203.
- Lupton, D. (2007). *Risk*. London u.a.: Routledge.
- Malhi, P., Raina, G., Malhotra, D. & Jerath, J. (1999). Preferences for the Sex of Children and its Implications for Reproductive Behaviour in Urban Himachal Pradesh. *The Journal of Family Welfare*, 45 (1), 23–30.
- Mand, C., Duncan, R.E., Gillam, L., Collins, V. & Delatycki, M.B. (2009). Genetic selection for deafness: the views of hearing children of deaf adults. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 35 (12), 722–728.
- Manegold-Brauer, G., Kang Bellin, A., Hahn, S., De Geyter, C., Buechel, J., Hoesli, I., et al. (2014). A new era in prenatal care: non-invasive prenatal testing in Switzerland. *Swiss Medical Weekly*, 144, w13915.
- Mastenbroek, S. & Repping, S. (2014). Preimplantation genetic screening: back to the future. *Human Reproduction*, 29 (9), 1846–1850.
- Mastenbroek, S., Twisk, M., van Echten-Arends, J., Sikkema-Raddatz, B., Korevaar, J.C., Verhoeve, H.R., et al. (2007). In vitro fertilization with preimplantation genetic screening. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 357 (1), 9–17.
- Meister, U., Finck, C., Stobel-Richter, Y., Schmutzer, G. & Braehler, E. (2005). Knowledge and attitudes towards preimplantation genetic diagnosis in Germany. *Human Reproduction*, 20 (1), 231–238.
- Middleton, A., Hewison, J. & Mueller, R. (2001). Prenatal Diagnosis for Inherited Deafness. What is the Potential Demand? *Journal of Genetic Counseling*, 10 (2), 121–131.
- Munné, S., Grifo, J. & Wells, D. (2016). Mosaicism: “survival of the fittest“ versus “no embryo left behind“. *Fertility and Sterility*, 105 (5), 1146–1149.
- Montag, M., Toth, B. & Strowitzki, T. (2013). Polkörperper- und Präimplantationsdiagnostik. In: K. Diedrich, M. Ludwig & G. Griesinger (Hg.), *Reproduktionsmedizin*. Berlin u.a.: Springer, 269–286.
- Morgan, E.R., Girod, J. & Rinehart, J.S. (2007). Having a child to save a sibling: reassessing risks and benefits of creating stem cell donors. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, 48 (3), 249–253.
- Natesan, S.A., Bladon, A.J., Coskun, S., Qubbaj, W., Prates, R., Munne, S., et al. (2014). Genome-wide karyomapping accurately identifies the inheritance of single-gene defects in human preimplantation embryos in vitro. *Genetics in Medicine*, 16 (11), 838–845.

- Nekkebroeck, J., Bonduelle, M., Desmyttere, S., van den Broeck, W. & Ponjaert-Kristoffersen, I. (2008). Socio-emotional and language development of 2-year-old children born after PGD/PGS, and parental well-being. *Human Reproduction*, 23 (8), 1849–1857.
- Nippert, I. (2006). *Präimplantationsdiagnostik - ein Ländervergleich. Die aktuelle Situation hinsichtlich der gesetzlichen Regelung, der Anwendung und der gesellschaftlichen Diskussion in Belgien, Frankreich und Großbritannien*. Berlin: Stabsabteilung der Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung.
- Nuffield Council on Bioethics (2012). *Novel techniques for the prevention of mitochondrial DNA disorders: an ethical review*. Online verfügbar unter: http://nuffieldbioethics.org/wpcontent/uploads/2014/06/Novel_techniques_for_the_prevention_of_mitochondrial_DNA_disorders_compressed.pdf
- Ormondroyd, E., Donnelly, L., Moynihan, C., Savona, C., Bancroft, E., Evans, D.G., et al. (2012). Attitudes to reproductive genetic testing in women who had a positive BRCA test before having children: a qualitative analysis. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 20 (1), 4–10.
- Palomba, M.L., Monni, G., Lai, R., Cau, G., Olla, G. & Cao, A. (1994). Psychological implications and acceptability of preimplantation diagnosis. *Human Reproduction*, 9 (2), 360–362.
- Paul, D. (1998). *The Politics of Heredity. Essays on Eugenics, Biomedicine, and the Nature-Nurture Debate*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Pennings, G., Autin, C., Decler, W., Delbaere, A., Delbeke, L., Delvigne, A., et al. (2009). Cross-border reproductive care in Belgium. *Human Reproduction*, 24 (12), 3108–3118.
- Pergament, E. (1991). Preimplantation diagnosis: A patient perspective. *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 11 (8), S. 493–500.
- Pessach, N., Glasser, S., Soskolne, V., Barash, A. & Lerner-Geva, L. (2014): The Israeli National Committee for sex selection by pre-implantation genetic diagnosis: a novel approach (2005-2011). *Israel journal of health policy research*, 3 (1), 33.
- Pickering, S.J., et al. (2003). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis as a novel source of embryos for stem cell research. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 7 (3), 353–364.
- Picoult, J. (2005). *My Sister's Keeper. A Novel*. New York: Washington Square Press.
- Quinn, G.P., et al. (2009a). Conflict between values and technology: perceptions of pre-implantation genetic diagnosis among women at increased risk for hereditary breast and ovarian cancer. *Familial Cancer*, 8 (4), 441–449.
- Quinn, G.P., et al. (2009b). Decisions and ethical issues among BRCA carriers and the use of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Minerva Medica*, 100 (5), 371–383.
- Quinn, G.P., Pal, T., Murphy, D., Vadaparampil, S.T. & Kumar, A. (2012). High-risk consumers' perceptions of preimplantation genetic diagnosis for hereditary cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Genetics in Medicine*, 14 (2), 191–200.
- Ray, P.F., Munnich, A., Nisand, I., Frydman, R., Vekemans, M., Viville, S., et al. (2003). Is preimplantation genetic diagnosis for 'social sexing' desirable in today's and tomorrow's society? *Human Reproduction*, 18 (2), 463–464.

- Raz, A., Schues, C., Wilhelm, N. & Rehmann-Sutter, C. (2016). Saving or Subordinating Life? Popular Views in Israel and Germany of Donor Siblings Created through PGD. *Journal of Medical Humanities*. Published online before print on March 22, 2016.
- Rechitsky, S., Kuliev, A., Tur-Kaspa, I., Morris, R. & Verlinsky, Y. (2004). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis with HLA matching. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 9 (2), 210–221.
- Ricci, M.L. (2009). Assisted procreation and its relationship to genetics and eugenics. *Human Reproduction and Genetic Ethics*, 15 (1), 9–29.
- Rich, T.A., Liu, M., Etzel, C.J., Bannon, S.A., Mork, M.E., Ready, K., et al. (2014). Comparison of attitudes regarding preimplantation genetic diagnosis among patients with hereditary cancer syndromes. *Familial Cancer*, 13 (2), 291–299.
- Roberts, C. & Franklin, S. (2004). Experiencing new forms of genetic choice: findings from an ethnographic study of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Human Fertility*, 7 (4), 285–293.
- Roberts, J.C. (2002). Customizing Conception: A survey of preimplantation genetic diagnoses and the resulting social, ethical, and legal dilemmas. *Duke law and technology review*, E1.
- Robertson, J.A. (2003). Is preimplantation genetic diagnosis for ‘social sexing’ desirable in today’s and tomorrow’s society? – View of the ASRM ethics committee. *Human Reproduction*, 18 (2), 464–464.
- Rödel, M. (2015). *Geschlecht im Zeitalter der Reproduktionstechnologien. Natur, Technologie und Körper im Diskurs der Präimplantationsdiagnostik*. Bielefeld: transcript.
- Rothgang, H. & Preuss, M. (2008). Ökonomisierung der Sozialpolitik? Neue Begründungsmuster sozialstaatlicher Tätigkeit in der Gesundheits- und Familienpolitik. In: A. Evers & R.G. Heinze (Hg.), *Sozialpolitik. Ökonomisierung und Entgrenzung*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften, 31–48.
- Rothman, B.K. (1989). *Schwangerschaft auf Abruf. Vorgeburtliche Diagnose und die Zukunft der Mutterschaft*. Marburg: Metropolis.
- Rubin, L.R., Werner-Lin, A., Sagi, M., Cholst, I., Stern, R., Lilienthal, D., et al. (2014). ‘The BRCA clock is ticking!’: negotiating medical concerns and reproductive goals in preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Human Fertility*, 17 (3), 159–164.
- Ruhl, L. (1999). Liberal governance and prenatal care: risk and regulation in pregnancy. *Economy & Society*, 28 (1), 95–117.
- Sadler, T.W. (2014). *Taschenlehrbuch Embryologie. Die normale menschliche Entwicklung und ihre Fehlbildungen*. 12., überarb. und erw. Aufl. Stuttgart u.a.: Thieme.
- Sänger, E. & Rödel, M. (Hg.). (2012). *Biopolitik und Geschlecht: zur Regulierung des Lebendigen*. Münster: Verl. Westfälisches Dampfboot.
- Savulescu, J. (2002). Deaf lesbians, ”designer disability” and the future of medicine. *British Medical Journal*, 325 (7367), 771–773.
- Savulescu, J. & Dahl, E. (2000). Sex Selection and Preimplantation Diagnosis. A response to the Ethics Committee of the American Society of Reproductive Medicine. *Human Reproduction*, 15 (9), 1879–1880.
- Schaaf, C.P. & Zschocke, J. (2013). *Basiswissen Humangenetik*. 2., überarb. Aufl. Berlin u.a.: Springer.

- Schickltanz, S. & Schweda, M. (Hg.) (2012). *Pro-Age oder Anti-Aging? Altern im Fokus der modernen Medizin*. Frankfurt am Main u.a.: Campus.
- Schmid, M. (2014). Pränatale genetische Beratung im 21. Jahrhundert – idealerweise präkonzeptionell. *Der Gynäkologe*, 47 (8), 554–558.
- Schröer, A. & Weichert, J. (2013). Mehrlingsschwangerschaften. In: K. Diedrich, M. Ludwig & G. Griesinger (Hg.), *Reproduktionsmedizin*. Berlin u.a.: Springer, 329–338.
- Schultz, S. (2010). Geschlechtstests. *Gen-Ethischer Informationsdienst* (Nr. 2000), 28–29.
- Scully, J.L., Banks, S. & Shakespeare, T.W. (2006). Chance, choice and control: lay debate on prenatal social sex selection. *Social Science & Medicine*, 63 (1), 21–31.
- Seif, R. (2003). Sex selection by preimplantation genetic diagnosis: should it be carried out for social reasons? *Human Reproduction*, 18 (2), 461–462.
- Sensibaugh, C.C. & Yarab, P.E. (1997). Newlyweds' Family-Formation Preferences. *The Journal of Psychology*, 131 (5), 530–540.
- Sermon, K. (2003). Sex selection by preimplantation genetic diagnosis: should it be carried out for social reasons? – A personal view. *Human Reproduction*, 18 (2), 462–463.
- Shenfield, F., de Mouzon, J., Pennings, G., Ferraretti, A.P., Andersen, A.N., de Wert, G., et al. (2010). Cross border reproductive care in six European countries. *Human Reproduction*, 25 (6), 1361–1368.
- Sills, E.S. & Palermo, G.D. (2002). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis for elective sex selection, the IVF market economy, and the child – Another long day's journey into night? *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 19 (9), 433–437.
- Snowdon, C. & Green, J.M. (1997). Preimplantation diagnosis and other reproductive options: Attitudes of male and female carriers of recessive disorders. *Human Reproduction*, 12 (2), 341–350.
- Soini, S. (2007). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) in Europe: diversity of legislation a challenge to the community and its citizens. *Medicine and Law*, 26, 309–323.
- Sparrow, R. (2013a). Gender eugenics? The ethics of PGD for intersex conditions. *American Journal of Bioethics*, 13 (10), 29–38.
- Sparrow, R. (2013b). Queerin' the PGD clinic: human enhancement and the future of bodily diversity. *Journal of Medical Humanities*, 34 (2), 177–196.
- Spiewak, M. & Viciano, A. (25. April 2002). Wunschkind. *Die Zeit*, 27.
- Stephenson, E. et al. (2013). PGD and Human Embryonic Stem Cell Technology. In: El-Toukhy, T., Braude, P. (Hg.), *Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis in Clinical Practice*. London: Springer, 153–164.
- Süddeutsche Zeitung (9. Juli 2013). Ganzes Genom. Neue PID-Methode vorgestellt. *Süddeutsche Zeitung*, 16.
- Sureau, C. (1999). Gender selection. A crime against humanity or the exercise of a fundamental right? *Human reproduction*, 14 (4), 867–868.
- Testart, J. & Sèle, B. (1995). Toward an Efficient Medical Eugenics: Is the Desirable always the Feasible?, *Human Reproduction*, 12, 3086–3090.

- Thomaidis, L., Kitsiou-Tzeli, S., Critselis, E., Drandakis, H., Touliatou, V., Mantoudis, S., et al. (2012). Psychomotor development of children born after preimplantation genetic diagnosis and parental stress evaluation. *World journal of pediatrics*, 8 (4), 309–316.
- Thornhill, A.R., Handyside, A.H., Ottolini, C., Natesan, S.A., Taylor, J., Sage, K., et al. (2015). Karyomapping – a comprehensive means of simultaneous monogenic and cytogenetic PGD: comparison with standard approaches in real time for Marfan syndrome. *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 32 (3), 347–356.
- Trafimow, D. (2013). The Ethics of PGD for Intersex Conditions: Problems With the Diversity Argument. *American Journal of Bioethics*, 13 (10), 53–55.
- Tur-Kaspa, I., Aljadeff, G., Rechitsky, S., Grotjan, H.E. & Verlinsky, Y. (2010). PGD for all cystic fibrosis carrier couples: novel strategy for preventive medicine and cost analysis. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*, 21 (2), 186–195.
- Ullrich, C. (2012). *Medikalisierte Hoffnung? Eine ethnographische Studie zur reproduktionsmedizinischen Praxis*. Bielefeld: transcript.
- Van Balen, F. (2006). Attitudes towards sex selection in the Western world. *Prenatal Diagnosis*, 26 (7), 614–618.
- Van Rij, M.C., Gielen, M., Lulofs, R., Evers, J.L., van Osch, L., Muntjewerff, N., et al. (2011). Profiles and motives for PGD: a prospective cohort study of couples referred for PGD in the Netherlands. *Human Reproduction*, 26 (7), 1826–1835.
- Vanneste, E., Voet, T., Le Caignec, C., Ampe, M., Konings, P., Melotte, C., et al. (2009). Chromosome instability is common in human cleavage-stage embryos. *Nature Medicine*, 15 (5), 577–583.
- Vergeer, M.M., van Balen, F. & Ketting, E. (1998). Preimplantation genetic diagnosis as an alternative to amniocentesis and chorionic villus sampling: psychosocial and ethical aspects. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 35 (1), 5–13.
- Verlinsky, Y., Cieslak, J., Ivakhnenko, V., Evsikov, S., Wolf, G., White, M., et al. (1999). Prevention of age-related aneuploidies by polar body testing of oocytes. *Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics*, 16 (4), 165–169.
- Verlohren, S. (2015). Update Ersttrimesterscreening – was ist neu in 2015? *Frauenheilkunde up2date*, 9 (04), 243–255.
- Wagenmann, U. (2012). Freigabe via Verfahrensregeln? *Gen-Ethischer Informationsdienst* (Nr. 215), 41–43.
- Wang, C.W. & Hui, E.C. (2009). Ethical, legal and social implications of prenatal and preimplantation genetic testing for cancer susceptibility. *Reproductive Biomedicine Online*, 19, 23–33.
- Wehling, P. (2014). Kinderwunsch als genetisches Risiko? Gesellschaftliche Implikationen erweiterter präkonzeptioneller Anlageträgerscreenings. *Medizinische Genetik*, 26, 411–416.
- Weingart, P., Kroll, J. & Bayertz, K. (1992). *Rasse, Blut und Gene. Geschichte der Eugenik und Rassenhygiene in Deutschland*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp
- Weir, L. (1996). Recent developments in the government of pregnancy. *Economy & Society*, 25 (3), 373–392.
- Wendel, S. (2003). *Feministische Ethik zur Einführung*. 1. Aufl. Hamburg: Junius.
- Wienke, S., Brown, K., Farmer, M. & Strange, C. (2014). Expanded carrier screening panels – does bigger mean better? *Journal of Community Genetics*, 5, 191–198.

- Whittaker, A. (2015). Media debates and ‘ethical publicity’ on social sex selection through preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) technology in Australia. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 17 (8), 962–976.
- Wilkinson, S. (2008). ”Eugenics talk“ and the language of bioethics. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 34 (6), 467–471.
- Winter, C., van Acker, F., Bonduelle, M., Desmyttere, S. & Nekkebroeck, J. (2015). Psychosocial development of full term singletons, born after preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) at preschool age and family functioning: a prospective case-controlled study and multi-informant approach. *Human Reproduction*, 30 (5), 1122–1136.
- Wright, C. (2009). *Cell-free fetal nucleic acids for non-invasive prenatal diagnosis: report of the UK expert working group* (Hg.: PHG Foundation). Cambridge UK.
- Wüstner, K. (2006). Technological development and society: The discourse on PGD in Germany. In: P. Law, L. Fortunati & S. Yang (Hg.), *New Technologies in Global Societies*, 75–103.
- Wüstner, K. & Heinze, U. (2007). Attitudes towards Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis – a German and Japanese comparison. *New Genetics and Society*, 26 (1), 1–27.
- Zeiler, K. (2004). Reproductive autonomous choice – A cherished illusion? Reproductive autonomy examined in the context of preimplantation genetic diagnosis. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, 7, 175–183.
- Zikant, K. (2. Februar 2016). Erstmals Eingriffe ins menschliche Erbgut erlaubt. *Süddeutsche Zeitung*, 1.
- Zlotogora, J. (2009). Population programs for the detection of couples at risk for severe monogenic genetic diseases. *Human Genetics*, 126 (2), 247–253