

## Literaturverzeichnis

- Aberdour, M. (2007). Achieving quality in open source software. In: IEEE Software 24(1), S. 58-64.
- Accenture (2010). Investment in Open Source Software Set to Rise, Accenture Survey Finds. Heruntergeladen am 2010-12-12 von [http://newsroom.accenture.com/article\\_display.cfm?article\\_id=5045](http://newsroom.accenture.com/article_display.cfm?article_id=5045).
- Alpar, P., R. Alt, F. Bensberg, H. L. Grob, P. Weimann und R. Winter (2011). Anwendungsorientierte Wirtschaftsinformatik. 6. Auflage. Wiesbaden, Vieweg+Teubner.
- Alpar, P., S. Blaschke und S. Keßler (2007). Web 2.0 - Neue erfolgreiche Kommunikationsstrategien für kleine und mittlere Unternehmen. Wiesbaden, Hessisches Ministerium für Wirtschaft, Verkehr und Landesentwicklung; Geschäftsstelle Hessen Media; c/o Hessen Agentur GmbH.
- Apiwattanapong, T., A. Orso und M. J. Harrold (2006). JDiff: A differencing technique and tool for object-oriented programs. In: Automated Software Engineering 14(1), S. 3-36.
- April, A., J. H. Hayes, A. Abran und R. Dumke (2004). Software Maintenance Maturity Model (SMmm): The software maintenance process model. In: Journal of Software Maintenance and Evolution: Research and Practice 17(3), S. 197-223.
- Arthur, L. J. (1988). Software Evolution. New York, John Wiley & Sons.
- Asundi, J. (2005). The need for effort estimation models for open source software projects. Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Open Source Software Engineering, St Louis, MO, S. 1-3.
- Avison, D., R. L. Baskerville und M. D. Myers (2007). The structure of power in action research projects. In: Information Systems Action Research. N. Kock. Laredo, Springer Science+Business Media, S. 19-41.
- Avison, D., F. Lau, M. Myers und P. A. Nielsen (1999). Action Research. In: Communication of the ACM 42(1), S. 94-97.
- Balzert, H. (2000). Lehrbuch der Softwaretechnik: Software-Entwicklung. 2. Auflage. Heidelberg u. Berlin, Spektrum Akademischer Verlag.

- Balzert, H. (2008). Lehrbuch der Softwaretechnik: Softwaremanagement. 2. Auflage. Heidelberg, Spektrum Akademischer Verlag.
- Balzert, H. (2011). Lehrbuch der Softwaretechnik: Entwurf, Implementierung, Installation und Betrieb. 3. Auflage. Heidelberg, Spektrum Akademischer Verlag.
- Baskerville, R. L. (1997). Distinguishing action research from participative case studies. In: *Journal of Systems and Information Technology* 1(1), S. 24-43.
- Baskerville, R. L. (1999). Investigating information systems with action research. In: *Communications of the AIS* 2(3es).
- Baskerville, R. L. (2007). Educing theory from practice. In: *Information Systems Action Research*. N. Kock. Laredo, Springer Science+Business Media.
- Baskerville, R. L. und M. D. Myers (2009). Fashion waves in information systems research and practice. In: *MIS Quarterly* 33(4), S. 647-662.
- Baskerville, R. L. und A. T. Wood-Harper (1996). A critical perspective on action research as a method for information systems research. In: *Journal of Information Technology* 11(3), S. 235-246.
- Bennett, K. und V. Rajlich (2000). Software maintenance and evolution: A roadmap. *Proceedings of the Conference on The Future of Software Engineering*, Limerick, S. 73-87.
- Benz, B. (2010). Oracle kehrt OpenSolaris den Rücken. Heruntergeladen am 2010-12-01 von <http://www.heise.de/newsticker/meldung/Oracle-kehrt-OpenSolaris-den-Ruecken-1059146.html>.
- Berdou, E. (2006). Insiders and outsiders: paid contributors and the dynamics of cooperation in community led F/OS projects. In: *Open Source Systems*. E. F. Damiani, B.; Scacchi, W.; Scotto, M. Como, Italy, Springer, S. 201-208.
- Bianco, V. d., L. Lavazza, S. Morasca, D. Taibi und D. Tosi (2010). An investigation of the users' perception of OSS quality. In: *Open Source Software: New Horizons*. P. Ågerfalk, C. Boldyreff, J. M. Gonzalez-Barahona, G. R. Madey und J. Noll. Notre Dame, IN, Springer.
- Boehm, B. (1981). *Software Engineering Economics*. Eglewood Cliffs, Prentice Hall.

- Boehm, B. (2006). A view of 20th and 21st century software engineering. Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Software Engineering, Shanghai, S. 12-29.
- Bommer, C., M. Spindler und V. Barr (2008). Softwarewartung: Grundlagen, Management und Wartungstechniken. Heidelberg, d.punkt Verlag.
- Böttcher, R. (2010). IT-Service-Management mit ITIL V3. 2. Auflage. Hannover, Heise Zeitschriften Verlag.
- Brandstätter, M. und T. Peruzzi (2006). Open-ITIL - ein Ansatz zur Akzeptanz-Verstärkung für den Einsatz von IT-Service Management nach ITIL in Klein und Mittelunternehmen. Heruntergeladen am 2010-03-18 von [http://lisog.org/projekte/abgeschlossene-projekte/openitil/thesenpapier\\_openitil.pdf](http://lisog.org/projekte/abgeschlossene-projekte/openitil/thesenpapier_openitil.pdf).
- Brügge, B., D. Harhoff, A. Picot, O. Creighton, M. Fiedler und J. Henkel (2004). Open-Source-Software - Eine ökonomische und technische Analyse. Berlin u. a., Springer.
- Burstein, F. und S. Gregor (1999). The system development or engineering approach to research in information systems: An action research perspective. Proceedings of the 10th Australasian Conference on Information Systems, Wellington, S. 122-134.
- Carnegie Mellon SEI (1995). The Capability Maturity Model: Guidelines for Improving the Software Process. Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Addison Wesley.
- Carnegie Mellon SEI (2010). CMMI for Development, Version 1.3. Heruntergeladen am 2011-04-16 von <http://www.sei.cmu.edu/reports/10tr033.pdf>.
- CDU, CSU und FDP (2009). WACHSTUM. BILDUNG. ZUSAMMENHALT. Koalitionsvertrag zwischen CDU, CSU und FDP - 17. Legislaturperiode. Heruntergeladen am 2012-03-12 von <http://www.cdu.de/doc/pdfc/091026-koalitionsvertrag-cducsu-fdp.pdf>.
- Central Computer and Telecommunications Agency (1995). IT Infrastructure Library Practice in Small IT Units. London, HMSO.
- Chapin, N. (2000). Do we know what preventive maintenance is? Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance, San Jose, S. 15-17.
- Checkland, P. und S. Holwell (1998). Action research: Its nature and validity. In: Systematic Practice and Action Research 11(1), S. 9-21.

- Checkland, P. und S. Holwell (2007). Action research: Its nature and validity. In: Information Systems Action Research: An Applied View of Emerging Concepts and Methods. N. Kock. Laredo, Springer Science+Business Media, S. 3-17.
- Coldewey, J. (2002). Agile Entwicklung Web-basierter Systeme. In: Wirtschaftsinformatik 44(3), S. 237-248.
- Cusumano, M. A. und D. B. Yoffie (1999). Software development on internet time. In: IEEE Computer 32(10), S. 62-69.
- D'Agostino, D. (2006). Case study: Backcountry.com. In: CIO Insight 05/2006, S. 44-54.
- de Villiers, M. R. (2005). Three approaches as pillars for interpretive information systems research: Development research, action research and grounded theory. Proceedings of the Annual Research Conference of the South African Institute of Computer Scientists and Information Technologists on IT Research in Developing Countries, White River, S. 141-151.
- Dedrick, J. und J. West (2004). An exploratory study into open source platform adoption. Proceedings of the 37th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii, USA, o. S.
- Desharnais, J., M. Frappier und A. Mili (2006). State transition diagrams. In: Handbook on Architectures of Information Systems. P. Bernus, K. Mertins und G. Schmidt. Berlin u. a., Springer.
- Disterer, G. und M. Rose (2007). Die Überleitung von Neuentwicklungen in den Betrieb bleibt problematisch. In: Information Management & Consulting 22(4), S. 84-87.
- Dörner, C. und M. Rohde (2009). Softwareanpassungspraxis von kleinen und mittelständischen Unternehmen. In: HMD - Praxis der Wirtschaftsinformatik (269), S. 87-95.
- Dreiling, A., H. Klaus, M. Rosemann und B. Wyssusek (2005). Open source enterprise systems: Towards a viable alternative. Proceedings of the 38th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii, o. S.
- Duijnhouwer, F.-W. und C. Widdows (2003). Open Source Maturity Model. Heruntergeladen am 2008-02-29 von [http://kb.cospa-project.org/retrieve/1097/GB\\_Expert\\_Letter\\_Open\\_Source\\_Maturity\\_Model\\_1.5.31.pdf](http://kb.cospa-project.org/retrieve/1097/GB_Expert_Letter_Open_Source_Maturity_Model_1.5.31.pdf).

- Dumke, R. (2003). *Software Engineering*. 4. Auflage. Braunschweig, Vieweg+Teubner.
- Dürr, C. und D. Weske (2004). Einfluss von Open-Source-Software in kommerziellen Softwareprojekten. In: *HMD - Praxis der Wirtschaftsinformatik* (238), S. 72-82.
- Ebert, C. und M. Ruffin (2004). Produkte entwickeln mit Open-Source-Software - Risiken und Erfahrungen. In: *HMD - Praxis der Wirtschaftsinformatik* (238), S. 27-40.
- Endres, A. und D. Rombach (2003). *A Handbook of Software and Systems Engineering: Empirical Observations, Laws and Theories*. Amsterdam, Addison Wesley Longman.
- Erenkrantz, J. R. (2003). Release management within open source projects. *Proceedings of the 3rd. Workshop on Open Source Software, Portland*, 51-55.
- Fernandez-Ramil, J., A. Lozano, M. Wermelinger und A. Capiluppi (2008). Empirical studies on open source evolution. In: *Software Evolution*. T. Mens und S. Demeyer. Berlin u. Heidelberg, Springer, S. 263-290.
- Fitzgerald, B. (2008). Open source software adoption: Anatomy of success and failure. In: *International Journal of Open Source Software & Processes* 1(1), S. 1-23.
- Fitzgerald, B. (2011). Open source software: Lessons from and for software engineering. In: *Computer* 44(10), S. 25-30.
- Fitzgerald, B. und P. J. Ågerfalk (2005). The mysteries of open source software: Black and white and red all over? *Proceedings of the 38th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii*, o. S.
- Fitzgerald, B. und T. Kenny (2003). Open Source Software can Improve the Health of the Bank Balance - The Beaumont Hospital Experience. Heruntergeladen am 2010-11-03 von [http://pascal.case.unibz.it/retrieve/2758/fitzgerald\\_kenny.pdf](http://pascal.case.unibz.it/retrieve/2758/fitzgerald_kenny.pdf).
- Fluri, B., M. Würsch, M. Pinzger und H. C. Gall (2007). Change distilling: Tree differencing for fine-grained source code change extraction. In: *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering* 33(11), S. 725-743.

- Fox, L. und S. Plummer (2006). Opening the lines of communications with open source software. Proceedings of the 34th Annual ACM SIGUCCS Conference on User services, Edmonton, S. 114-117.
- Free Software Foundation (2007). The GNU General Public License v3.0. Heruntergeladen am 2011-12-09 von <http://www.gnu.org/copyleft/gpl.html>.
- Free Software Foundation (2010). The Free Software Definition. Heruntergeladen am 2010-05-10 von <http://www.gnu.org/philosophy/free-sw.html>.
- Fricke, W. (1983). Industriesozologie und Beteiligung. In: Beteiligung von Betroffenen bei der Entwicklung von Informationssystemen. P. Mambrey und R. Oppermann. Frankfurt/Main u. New York, Campus Verlag, S. 86-115.
- German, D. M. (2004). The GNOME Project: A case study of open source, global software development. In: Software Process: Improvement and Practice 8(4), S. 201-215.
- German, D. M. und J. M. González-Barahona (2009). An empirical study of the reuse of software licensed under the GNU General Public License. Proceedings of the 5th IFIP WG 2.13 International Conference on Open Source Systems, Skövde, S. 185-198.
- Godfrey, M. W. und Q. Tu (2000). Evolution in open source software: A case study. Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance, San Jose, S. 131-142.
- Golden, B. (2005). Succeeding with Open Source. Boston, Addison-Wesley.
- Goldman, R. und R. P. Gabriel (2005). Innovation Happens Elsewhere. San Francisco, Morgan Kaufmann.
- Goode, L. (2009). Social news, citizen journalism and democracy. In: New Media & Society 11(8), S. 1287-1305.
- Gulden, N. (2007). Evaluationshilfe und Fragenkataloge zu Incident- und Problem Management. Heruntergeladen am 2011-09-21 von [http://www.lisog.org/images/stories/PDF\\_Files/artikel%20in%20der%20computerwoche%20linux-clients%20-%20grenzen%20der%20freiheit.pdf](http://www.lisog.org/images/stories/PDF_Files/artikel%20in%20der%20computerwoche%20linux-clients%20-%20grenzen%20der%20freiheit.pdf).
- Hang, J., H. Hohensohn, K. Mayr und T. Wieland (2005). Benefits and pitfalls of open source in commercial contexts. In: Free/Open Source Software Development. S. Koch. Hershey, London, Idea Group Publishing S. 222-241.

- Hansen, H. R. und G. Neumann (2009). *Wirtschaftsinformatik 1: Grundlagen und Anwendungen*. Stuttgart, Lucius&Lucius.
- Harrison, W. (2004). Best practices: Who says? In: *IEEE Software* 21(1), S. 8-10.
- Henrich, A. (2002). *Management von Softwareprojekten*. München, Oldenbourg Verlag.
- Hoffmann, M., V. Kitz und S. Leible (2009). *IT-Compliance - IT und öffentliche Sicherheit - Open Source*. Stuttgart, Richard Boorberg Verlag.
- Holck, J. und N. Jørgensen (2004). Do not check in on red: Control meets anarchy in two open source projects. In: *Free/Open Source Software Development*. S. Koch. Hershey, London, Idea Group Publishing, S. 1-26.
- Hörmann, K., L. Dittmann, B. Hindel und M. Müller (2006). *SPICE in der Praxis: Interpretationshilfe für Anwender und Assessoren*. Heidelberg, d.punkt Verlag.
- Hubbard, J. (2004). Open source to the core. In: *ACM Queue* May 2004, S. 24-31.
- Information Technology & People (2001). *Special Issue: Information Systems Action Research*. Band 14, Ausgabe 1.
- ISO/IEC 12207:1995/AMD.1:2002(E) (2002). *ISO/IEC 12207:1995 Amendment 1:2002: Information Technology - Software Life Cycle Processes*. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC 12207:1995/AMD.2:2004(E) (2004). *ISO/IEC 12207:1995 Amendment 2:2004: Information Technology - Software Life Cycle Processes*. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC 15504-1 (2004). *ISO/IEC 15504-1:2004(E): Information Technology - Process Assessment - Part 1: Concepts and Vocabulary*. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC 15504-2 (2003). *ISO/IEC 15504-2:2003(E): Information Technology - Process Assessment - Part 2: Performing an Assessment*. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC 15504-3 (2004). *ISO/IEC 15504-3:2004(E): Information Technology - Process Assessment - Part 3: Guidance on Performing an Assessment*. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC 15504-4 (2004). *ISO/IEC 15504-4:2004(E): Information Technology - Process Assessment - Part 4: Guidance on Use for Process Improvement and Process Capability Determination*. Switzerland.

- ISO/IEC 15504-5 (2006). ISO/IEC 15504-5:2006(E): Information Technology - Process Assessment - Part 5: En Exemplar Process Assessment Model. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC 20000-1 (2005). ISO/IEC 20000-1:2005(E): Information Technology - Service management - Part 1: Specification. Switzerland.
- ISO/IEC 20000-2 (2005). ISO/IEC 20000-2:2005(E): Information Technology - Service management - Part 2: Code of Practice. Switzerland.
- IT Governance Institute (2007). COBIT 4.1. Heruntergeladen am 2011-08-20 von [http://www.isaca.org/Knowledge-Center/cobit/Documents/Cobit\\_4.1.pdf](http://www.isaca.org/Knowledge-Center/cobit/Documents/Cobit_4.1.pdf).
- Izquierdo-Cortazar, D. (2010). Software evolution and human resources: Knowledge gap left by developers due to turnover. Proceedings of the OSS 2010 Doctoral Consortium, Notre Dame, IN, S. 15-23.
- Jackson, D. und D. A. Ladd (1994). Semantic diff: A tool for summarizing the effects of modifications. Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Maintenance, Victoria, BC, S. 243-252.
- Jansen, S. und S. Brinkkemper (2006). Definition and validation of the key process areas of release, delivery and deployment for product software vendors: Turning the ugly duckling into a swan. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance, Philadelphia, PA, o. S.
- Johannsen, W. und M. Goeken (2007). Referenzmodelle für IT-Governance. Heidelberg, d.punkt Verlag.
- Joode, R. v. W. d., H. d. Bruijn und M. v. Eeten (2008). Software development and coordination tools in open source communities. In: Emerging Free and Open Source Software Practices. S. K. Sowe, I. G. Stamelos und I. M. Samoladas. Hershey, IGI Publishing, S. 96-119.
- Karels, M. J. (2003). Commercializing open source software. In: ACM Queue July/August 2003, S. 46-55.
- Kemerer, C. F. und S. Slaughter (1999). An empirical approach to studying software evolution. In: IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering 25(4), S. 493-509.
- Kilamo, T., T. Aaltonen, I. Hammouda, T. J. Heinimäki und T. Mikkonen (2010). Evaluating the readiness of proprietary software for open source development. In: Open Source Software: New Horizons. P. Ågerfalk, C. Boldyreff,



- J. M. Gonzalez-Barahona, G. R. Madey und J. Noll. Notre Dame, IN, Springer.
- Kleen, A. (o. J.). On submitting kernel patches. Heruntergeladen am 2011-06-23 von <http://halobates.de/on-submitting-patches.pdf>.
- Kneuper, R. (2003). CMMI. Heidelberg, d.punkt Verlag.
- Koch, M. und A. Richter (2007). Enterprise 2.0. München, Oldenbourg Wissenschaftsverlag.
- Koch, S. (2003). Das Open-Source-Entwicklungsmodell: Grundprinzipien, Fragen und Erfahrungen. In: HMD - Praxis der Wirtschaftsinformatik (231), S. 55-62.
- Kock, N. (2007). The three threats of organizational action research. In: Information Systems Action Research. N. Kock. Laredo, Springer Science+ Business Media.
- Kohler, T., J. Fueller, K. Matzler und D. Stieger (2011). Co-creation in virtual worlds: The design of the user experience. In: MIS Quarterly 35(3), S. 773-788.
- Koponen, T. und V. Hotti (2005). Open source maintenance process framework. Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Open Source Software Engineering, St. Louis, MO, S. 30-34.
- Koru, A. G. und J. Tian (2004). Defect handling in medium and large open source projects. In: IEEE Software 21(4), S. 54-61.
- Krogh, G. v. und S. Spaeth (2007). The open source software phenomenon: Characteristics that promote research. In: Journal of Strategic Information Systems 16(3), S. 236-253.
- Kuri, J. (2010). Oracle klagt gegen Google wegen Patent- und Urheberrechtsverletzung. Heruntergeladen am 2010-09-12 von <http://www.heise.de/newsticker/meldung/Oracle-klagt-gegen-Google-wegen-Patent-und-Urheberrechtsverletzung-1058227.html>.
- Kütz, M. (2007). Grundelemente des IT-Controllings. In: HMD-Praxis der Wirtschaftsinformatik (254), S. 6-15.
- Kwan, S. K. und J. West (2005). A conceptual model for enterprise adoption of open source software. In: The Standards Edge. S. Bolin. Michigan, Sheridan Books.

- Lange, C. (2009). Erfahrungen zum V-Modell XT. Heruntergeladen am 2009-06-01 von [http://www.hisolutions.com/33665/level2/hiscout\\_datan/VMXT-Erfahrungen-IT-Standards-DrChristianLange\\_33703.pdf](http://www.hisolutions.com/33665/level2/hiscout_datan/VMXT-Erfahrungen-IT-Standards-DrChristianLange_33703.pdf).
- Lee, A. S. (2007). Action is an artifact: What action research and design science offer to each other. In: Information Systems Action Research. N. Kock. Laredo, Springer Science+Business Media, S. 43-60.
- Leemhuis, T. (2010). Aufzuchtstation: Wie erfolgreich ist Linux-Staging? In: c't Magazin für Computertechnik 22/2010.
- Lehman, M. M. (1980). Programs, life cycles, and laws of software evolution. In: Proceedings of the IEEE 68(9), S. 1060-1076.
- Lehman, M. M., D. E. Perry und W. M. Turski (1997). Metrics and laws of software evolution - The nineties view. Proceedings of the 4th International Software Metrics Consortium, Albuquerque, NM, S. 20-32.
- Lehman, M. M. und J. F. Ramil (2001). Rules and tools for software evolution planning and management. In: Annals of Software Engineering 11(1), S. 15-44.
- Lehman, M. M. und J. F. Ramil (2002). Software evolution and software evolution process. In: Annals of Software Engineering 14(1-4), S. 275-309.
- Lehmbach, J. (2007). Vorgehensmodelle im Spannungsfeld traditioneller, agiler und Open-Source-Softwareentwicklung. Stuttgart, Ibidem Verlag.
- Lewin, K. (1946). Action research and minority problems. In: Journal of Social Issues 2(4), S. 34-46.
- Lientz, B. P. und E. B. Swanson (1980). Software Maintenance Management. Boston, Addison-Wesley Longman.
- Lovett, J. (2007). Open source - A practical solution. Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM SIGUCCS conference on User services, Orlando, FL, S. 221-223.
- Lungo, J. H. (2006). Critical issues associated with adoption and use of open source software in public sector: Insights from Tanzania. Proceedings of the Fourteenth European Conference on Information Systems, Göteborg, S. 732-744.
- Lussier, S. (2004). New tricks: How open source changed the way my team works. In: IEEE Software 21(1), S. 68-72.

- MacCormack, A., R. Verganti und M. Iansiti (2001). Developing products on "internet time": The anatomy of a flexible development process. In: *Management Science* 47(1), S. 133-150.
- Madanmohan, T. R. und R. De' (2004). Open source reuse in commercial firms. In: *IEEE Software* 21(6), S. 62-69.
- Maletic, J. I. und M. L. Collard (2004). Supporting source code difference analysis. *Proceedings of the 20th IEEE International Conference on Software Maintenance*, Chicago, IL, S. 210-219.
- Mambrey, P. und R. Oppermann (1983). *Beteiligung von Betroffenen bei der Entwicklung von Informationssystemen*. Frankfurt/Main u. New York, Campus Verlag.
- Mangler, J. und M. Derntl (2004). CEWebS - Cooperative Environment Web Services. *Proceedings of the I-KNOW '04*, Graz, S. 617-624.
- Mannaert, H. und K. Ven (2005). The use of open source software Platforms by independent software vendors: Issues and opportunities. *Proceedings of the Fifth Workshop on Open Source Software Engineering*, St. Louis, MO, o. S.
- Marquardt, C. (2004). Open-Source-Software-Strategie der deutschen Verwaltung. In: *HMD - Praxis der Wirtschaftsinformatik* (238), S. 58-61.
- Martin, K. und B. Hoffman (2007). An open source approach to developing software in a small organization. In: *IEEE Software* 24(1), S. 46-53.
- McDermid, J., Ed. (1991). *Software Engineer's Reference Book*. Oxford, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Mealy, G. H. (1955). A method for synthesizing sequential circuits. In: *Bell System Technical Journal* 34(5), S. 1045-1079.
- Melrose, M. J. (2001). Maximizing the rigor of action research: Why would you want to? How could you? In: *Field Methods* 13(2), S. 160-180.
- Mens, T. (2008). Introduction and roadmap: History and challenges of software evolution. In: *Software Evolution*. T. Mens und S. Demeyer. Berlin u. Heidelberg, Springer.
- MERIT (2006). Economic impact of open source software on innovation and the competitiveness of the Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) sector in the EU. Final Report 2006-11-20. Heruntergeladen am 2009-06-01 von <http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/ict/policy/doc/2006-11-20-flossimpact.pdf>.

- Michaelson, J. (2004). There's no such thing as a free lunch software. In: ACM Queue 2(3), S. 41-47.
- Michlmayr, M., F. Hunt und D. Probert (2005). Quality practices and problems in free software projects. Proceedings of the First International Conference on Open Source Systems, Genova, S. 24-28.
- Michlmayr, M., G. Robles und J. M. Gonzales-Barahona (2008). Volunteers in large libre software projects: A quantitative analysis over time. In: Emerging Free And Open Source Software Practices. S. K. Sowe, I. G. Stamelos und I. M. Samoladas. Hershey, IGI Publishing, S. 1-24.
- Milev, R., S. Muegge und M. Weiss (2009). Design evolution of an open source project using an improved modularity metric. Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Open Source Systems, Skövde, S. 20-33.
- MIS Quarterly (2004). Special Issue on Action Research in Information Systems. Band 28, Ausgabe 3.
- Moonen, L., A. v. Deursen, A. Zeidman und M. Bruntink (2008). On the interplay between software testing and evolution and its effect on program comprehension. In: Software Evolution. T. Mens und S. Demeyer. Berlin u. Heidelberg, Springer.
- Moore, E. F. (1956). Gedanken experiments on sequential machines. In: Automata Studies. C. E. Shannon und W. R. Ashby. Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, S. 129-153.
- Morgan, L. und P. Finnegan (2007). How perceptions of open source software influence adoption: An exploratory study. Proceedings of the 15th European Conference on Information Systems, St. Gallen, S. 973-984.
- Morrison, G. (2008). The rise and fall of an open source project: A case study. In: Emerging Free and Open Source Software Practices. S. K. Sowe, I. G. Stamelos und I. M. Samoladas. Hershey u. New York, IGI Publishing.
- Moser, H. (1977). Methoden der Aktionsforschung: Eine Einführung. München, Kösel-Verlag.
- Motschnig-Pitrik, R., M. Derntl und J. Mangler (2004). Developing cooperative environment web services based on action research. Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Practical Aspects of Knowledge Management, Vienna, S. 453-462.

- Mundhenke, J. (2007). Wettbewerbswirkungen von Open-Source-Software und offenen Standards auf Softwaremärkten. Berlin u. Heidelberg, Springer.
- Münz, S. und W. Nefzger (2005). HTML Handbuch. Überarbeitete und aktualisierte Neuauflage (Studienausgabe). Poing, Franzis.
- Navica (o. J.). Open Source Maturity Model (OSMM). Heruntergeladen am 2008-03-10 von <http://www.navicasoft.com/pages/osmm.htm>.
- Niessink, F. und H. van Vliet (2000). Software maintenance from a service perspective. In: Journal of Software Maintenance: Research and Practice 12(2), S. 103-120.
- Norris, J. (2004). Mission-critical development with open source software: Lessons learned. In: IEEE Software 21(1), S. 2-9.
- Nosek, J. T. und P. Palvia (1990). Software maintenance management: Changes in the last decade. In: Software Maintenance: Research and Practice 2(3), S. 157-174.
- Oberhem, C. (2008). Vertrags- und Haftungsfragen beim Vertrieb von Open-Source-Software. Hamburg, Verlag Dr. Kovač.
- Oesterreich, B. (2009). Analyse und Design mit UML 2.3. 9. Auflage. München, Oldenbourg Wissenschaftsverlag.
- Office of Government Commerce (2007a). Service Transition. Deutsche Übersetzung. Norwich, The Stationary Office.
- Office of Government Commerce (2007b). Service Strategy. Deutsche Übersetzung. Norwich, The Stationary Office.
- Office of Government Commerce (2007c). Service Operation. Deutsche Übersetzung. Norwich, The Stationary Office.
- Office of Government Commerce (2008a). Continual Service Improvement. Deutsche Übersetzung. Norwich, The Stationary Office.
- Office of Government Commerce (2008b). Service Design. Deutsche Übersetzung. Norwich, The Stationary Office.
- Office of Government Commerce (2009). ITIL V3 Small-Scale Implementation Book. Norwich, The Stationary Office.
- Open Source Initiative (2010). The Open Source Definition. Heruntergeladen am 2010-05-10 von <http://opensource.org/docs/osd>.

- Parker, L. (2004). Qualitative research. In: *Surviving Your Thesis*. S. Burton und P. Steane. New York, Routledge, S. 159-177.
- Parnas, D. L. (1994). Software aging. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Software Engineering, Sorrento*, S. 279-287.
- Paulk, M. C. (2004). Surviving the quagmire of process models, integrated models, and standards. *Proceedings of the Annual Quality Congress, Toronto, Ontario*, S. 429-438.
- Peters, M. und V. Robinson (1984). The origins and status of action research. In: *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science* 20(2), S. 113-124.
- Petrinja, E., A. Sillitti und G. Succi (2010). Comparing OpenBRR, QSOS and OMM assessment models. In: *Open Source Software: New Horizons*. P. Ågerfalk, C. Boldyreff, J. M. Gonzalez-Barahona, G. R. Madey und J. Noll. Notre Dame, IN, Springer.
- Pilone, D. und N. Pitman (2006). *UML 2.0 in a Nutshell*. Köln, O'Reilly.
- Pizka, M. (2004). Adaptation of large-scale open source software - An experience report. *Proceedings of the 8th European Conference on Software Maintenance and Engineering, Tampere, Finland*, S. 147-153.
- Raymond, E. S. (2001). *The Cathedral & the Bazaar*. Revised Edition. Sebastapol, CA, O'Reilly.
- Robles, G., J. M. González-Barahona, D. Izquierdo-Cortazar und I. Herraiz (2009). Tools for the study of the usual data sources found in libre software projects. In: *International Journal of Open Source Software & Processes* 1(1), S. 24-45.
- Royce, W. W. (1970). Managing the development of large software systems: Concepts and techniques. *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Software Engineering, Monterey*, S. 328-338.
- Sager, T., A. Bernstein, M. Pinzger und C. Kiefer (2006). Detecting similar java classes using tree algorithms. *Proceedings of the 2006 International Workshop on Mining Software Repositories, Shanghai*, S. 65-71.
- Saleck, T. (2005). *Chefsache Open Source*. Wiesbaden, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn Verlag.
- Scacchi, W. (2006). Understanding open source software evolution. In: *Software Evolution and Feedback: Theory and Practice*. N. H. Madhavji, J.

- Fernandez-Ramil und D. E. Perry. Chichester, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., S. 181-205.
- Schneidewind, U., M. Landsberger und H. Eggers (2002). Mythos Linux? - Zur Übertragbarkeit der Koordinations- und Anreizmechanismen der Linux-Entwicklung auf Unternehmen. In: zfo - Zeitschrift Führung + Organisation 71(4), S. 226-232.
- Schoder, D., M. Bichler, U. Buhl, T. Hess, H. Kremer und E. Sinz (2011). Profil der Wirtschaftsinformatik. Einstimmiger Beschluss der gemeinsamen Sitzung der Wissenschaftlichen Kommission Wirtschaftsinformatik (WKWI) im Verband der Hochschullehrer für Betriebswirtschafts e.V. und des Fachbereichs Wirtschaftsinformatik (FB WI) in der Gesellschaft für Informatik e. V. (GI) vom 18. Februar 2011. Heruntergeladen am 2012-03-05 von [http://wi.vhbonline.org/fileadmin/Kommissionen/WK\\_WI/Profil\\_WI/Profil\\_WI\\_final\\_ds26.pdf](http://wi.vhbonline.org/fileadmin/Kommissionen/WK_WI/Profil_WI/Profil_WI_final_ds26.pdf).
- Schofield, A. und G. S. Cooper (2008). Perceptions of F/OSS community: Participants' views on participation. In: Emerging Free and Open Source Software Practices. S. K. Sowe, I. G. Stamelos und I. M. Samoladas. Hershey, IGI Publishing, S. 68-94.
- Simonsen, J. (2009). A concern for engaged scholarship. In: Scandinavian Journal of Information Systems 21(2), S. 111-128.
- Sinnott, C. J. und T. Bar (2004). OSU helpdesk: A cost-effective helpdesk solution for everyone. Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM SIGUCCS Conference on User Services, Baltimore, Maryland, S. 209-216.
- Sommerville, I. (2007a). Software Engineering. 8. Auflage. München, Pearson Studium.
- Sommerville, I. (2007b). Software Engineering. 8. Auflage. Essex, Pearson Education.
- Spinnellis, D. und C. Szyperski (2004). How is open source affecting software development? In: IEEE Software 21(1), S. 28-33.
- Stahlknecht, P. und U. Hasenkamp (2005). Einführung in die Wirtschaftsinformatik. 11. Auflage. Berlin u. a., Springer.
- Staudt, J. L. (2010). Unternehmensmodellierung. Heidelberg u. a., Springer.
- Stol, K.-J. und M. A. Babar (2010). A comparison framework for open source software evaluation methods. In: Open Source Software: New Horizons. P.

- Ägerfalk, C. Boldyreff, J. M. Gonzalez-Barahona, G. R. Madey und J. Noll. Notre Dame, IN, Springer.
- Störle, H. (2005). UML 2 für Studenten. München, Pearson Studium.
- Strahinger, S. (2003). Im Zentrum neuer Konzepte: Die Änderbarkeit von Software. In: HMD - Praxis der Wirtschaftsinformatik (231).
- Suryn, W., A. Abran und A. April (2003). ISO/IEC SQuaRE. The second generation of standards for software product quality. Proceedings of the Seventh IASTED International Conference on Software Engineering and Applications, Marina del Rey, CA, S. 807-814.
- Swanson, E. B. (1976). The dimensions of maintenance. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering, San Francisco, CA, S. 492-497.
- Tawileh, A., O. Rana, W. Ivins und S. McIntosh (2008). Evidence-based assurance to support process quality in the F/OSS community. In: Emerging Free and Open Source Software Practices. S. K. Sowe, I. G. Stamelos und I. M. Samoladas. Hershey u. New York, IGI Publishing, S. 120-146.
- Teupen, C. (2007). "Copyleft" im deutschen Urheberrecht - Implikationen von Open Source Software (OSS) im Urhebergesetz. Berlin, Duncker & Humblot.
- Thomas, K. W. und W. G. Tymon, Jr. (1982). Necessary properties of relevant research: Lessons from recent criticisms of the organizational sciences. In: The Academy of Management Review 7(3), S. 345-352.
- Torvalds, L. und D. Diamond (2001). Just for Fun: The Story of an Accidental Revolutionary. New York, HarperCollins Publishers.
- Tsang, E. W. K. (1997). Organizational learning and the learning organization: A dichotomy between descriptive and prescriptive research. In: Human Relations 50(1), S. 73-89.
- van Antwerp, M. (2010). Evolution of open source networks. Proceedings of the OSS 2010 Doctoral Consortium, Notre Dame, IN, S. 25-39.
- Ven, K., D. v. Nuffel und J. Verelst (2008). The migration of public administrations towards open source desktop software: Recommendations from research and validation through a case study. In: Emerging Free and Open Source Software Practices. S. K. Sowe, I. G. Stamelos und I. M. Samoladas. Hershey, New York, IGI Publishing, S. 191-214.



- Ven, K. und J. Verelst (2008). The organizational adoption of open source server software: A quantitative study. Proceedings of the 16th European Conference on Information Systems, Galway, S. 1430-1441.
- von Ahn, L., M. Blum, N. J. Hopper und J. Langford (2003). CAPTCHA: Using hard AI problems for security. Proceedings of the Eurocrypt 2003, Warschau, S. 294-311.
- Walter, S. M. und H. Krcmar (2006). Reorganisation der IT-Prozesse auf Basis von Referenzmodellen - eine kritische Analyse. In: *itService Management* 1(2), S. 3-10.
- Warmington, A. (1980). Action research: Its method and its implications. In: *Journal of Applied Systems Analysis* 7(4), S. 23-39.
- Weiss, M. und G. Moroiu (2008). Ecology and dynamics of open source communities. In: *Emerging Free and Open Source Software Practices*. S. K. Sowe, I. G. Stamelos und I. M. Samoladas. Hershey, IGI Global.
- Wichmann, T. (2002). Free/Libre Open Source Software: Survey and Study. Heruntergeladen am 2007-10-15 von [http://www.berlecon.de/studien/downloads/20027FLOSS\\_Use.pdf](http://www.berlecon.de/studien/downloads/20027FLOSS_Use.pdf).
- Wurster, L. (2011). Open Source Software Hits a Strategic Tipping Point. Heruntergeladen am 2012-03-16 von [http://blogs.hbr.org/cs/2011/03/open\\_source\\_software\\_hits\\_a\\_st.html](http://blogs.hbr.org/cs/2011/03/open_source_software_hits_a_st.html).
- Ye, Y., K. Nakakoji, Y. Yamamoto und K. Kishida (2005). The co-evolution of systems and communities in free and open source software development. In: *Free/Open Source Software Development*. S. Koch. Hershey, London, Idea Group Publishing, S. 59-82.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case Study Research - Design and Methods*. 3. Auflage. Thousand Oaks u. a., Sage Publications.
- Zuber-Skerritt, O. (1993). Improving learning and teaching through action learning and action research. In: *Higher Education Research & Development* 12(1), S. 45-58.