

# References

- Alchian, A. A., & Demsetz, H. (1972). Production, information costs, and economic organization. *American Economic Review*, 62, 777–795.
- Algaba, E., Bilbao, J., Borm, P., & López, J. (2001). The Myerson value for union stable structures. *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research*, 54, 359–371.
- Aumann, R., & Drèze, J. (1974). Cooperative games with coalition structures. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 3, 217–237.
- Aumann, R., & Maschler, M. (1985). Game theoretic analysis of a bankruptcy problem from the Talmud. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 36, 195–213.
- Banzhaf, J. F. (1965). Weighted voting doesn't work: A mathematical analysis. *Rutgers Law Review*, 19, 317–343.
- Banzhaf, J. F. (1968). One man, 3,312 votes: A mathematical analysis of the Electoral College. *Villanova Law Review*, 13, 303–346.
- Bondareva, O. (1963). Certain applications of the methods of linear programming to the theory of cooperative games. *Problemy Kibernetiki*, 10, 119–139 (in Russian).
- Borel, E. (1921). La Théorie du jeu et les équations intégrales à noyau symétrique gauche. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 173, 1304–1308.
- Borm, P., van den Brink, R., & Slikker, M. (2002). An iterative procedure for evaluating digraph competitions. *Annals of Operations Research*, 109, 61–75.
- Calvo, E., & Santos, J. C. (1997). Potentials in cooperative TU-games. *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 34, 175–190.
- Coase, R. H. (1937). The nature of the firm. *Economica*, 4, 386–405.
- Davis, M., & Maschler, M. (1965). The Kernel of a cooperative game. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 12, 223–259.
- Deegan, J., & Packel, E. W. (1979). A new index of power for simple  $n$ -person games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 7, 113–123.
- Demange, G. (1994). Intermediate preferences and stable coalition structures. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 23, 45–58.
- Derks, J., & Gilles, R. P. (1995). Hierarchical organization structures and constraints on coalition formation. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 24, 147–163.
- Derks, J., Haller, H., & Peters, H. (2000). The selectope for cooperative games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 29, 23–38.
- Derks, J., & Peters, H. (1993). A Shapley value for games with restricted coalitions. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 21, 351–366.
- Derks, J., & Reijnen, H. (1998). On the core of a collection of coalitions. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 27, 451–459.
- Dimand, M. A., & Dimand, R. W. (1996). *The history of game theory, volume 1: From the beginnings to 1945*. London: Routledge Research.
- Dragan, I. (1996). New mathematical properties of the Banzhaf value. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 95, 451–463.

- Edgeworth, F. Y. (1881). *Mathematical psychics*. London: C. Kegan Paul & Co.
- Faigle, U. (1989). Core of games with restricted cooperation. *Zeitschrift für Operations Research*, 33, 405–422.
- Faigle, U., & Kern, W. (1993). The Shapley value for cooperative games under precedence constraints. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 21, 249–266.
- Fudenberg, D., & Tirole, J. (1991). *Game theory*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gilles, R. P. (1996). *Economic exchange and social organization: The Edgeworthian foundations of general equilibrium theory*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Gilles, R. P., & Owen, G. (1999). *Cooperative games and disjunctive permission structures*. Unpublished working paper, Department of Economics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA.
- Gilles, R. P., Owen, G., & van den Brink, R. (1992). Games with permission structures: The conjunctive approach. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 20, 277–293.
- Gilles, R. P., & Sarangi, S. (2009). *A belief-based approach to network formation*. Working paper, Management School, Queen's University, Belfast, UK.
- Gillies, D. B. (1953). *Some theorems on n-person games*. Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University.
- Gillies, D. B. (1959). Solutions to general non-zero-sum games. In R. Luce & A. Tucker (Eds.), *Contributions to the theory of games* (vol. IV, pp. 47–85). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Goyal, S. (2007). *Connections: An introduction to the economics of networks*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Greenberg, J. (1990). *The theory of social situations: An alternative game-theoretic approach*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Greenberg, J. (1994). Coalition structures. In R. J. Aumann & S. Hart (Eds.), *Handbook of game theory* (vol. II, chap. 37). Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Greenberg, J., & Weber, S. (1986). Strong Tiebout equilibrium under restricted preferences domain. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 38, 101–117.
- Hammer, P., Peled, U., & Sorensen, S. (1977). Pseudo-Boolean functions and game theory – I: Core elements and Shapley value. *Cahiers du CERO*, 19, 159–176.
- Harsanyi, J. C. (1963). A simplified bargaining model for the  $n$ -person cooperative game. *International Economic Review*, 4(2), 194–220.
- Hart, O. D., & Moore, J. (1990). Property rights and the nature of the firm. *Review of Economic Studies*, 66, 115–138.
- Hart, S., & Mas-Colell, A. (1988). The potential of the Shapley value. In A. E. Roth (Ed.), *The Shapley value: Essays in honor of Lloyd S. Shapley* (chap. 9). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Hart, S., & Mas-Colell, A. (1989). Potential, value, and consistency. *Econometrica*, 57, 589–614.
- Hendrickx, R., Borm, P., van den Brink, R., & Owen, G. (2009). The VL control measure for symmetric networks. *Social Networks*, 31, 85–91.
- Herings, P. J.-J., van der Laan, G., & Talman, D. (2005). The positional power of nodes in digraphs. *Social Choice and Welfare*, 24, 439–454.
- Hildenbrand, W., & Kirman, A. P. (1988). *Equilibrium analysis: Variations on themes by Edgeworth and Walras*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Ichiishi, T. (1981). Super-modularity: Applications to convex games and to the greedy algorithm for LP. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 25, 283–286.
- Ichiishi, T. (1983). *Game theory for economic analysis*. New York: Academic Press.
- Ichiishi, T. (1993). *The cooperative nature of the firm*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jackson, M. O. (2008). *Social and economic networks*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Jackson, M. O., & Wolinsky, A. (1996). A strategic model of social and economic networks. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 71, 44–74.
- Kalai, E., & Samet, D. (1988). Weighted Shapley values. In A. E. Roth (Ed.), *The Shapley value: Essays in honor of Lloyd S. Shapley*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kaneko, M., & Wooders, M. (1982). Cores of partitioning games. *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 3, 313–327.

- Lakatos, I. (1978). *The methodology of scientific research programmes*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Le Breton, M., Owen, G., & Weber, S. (1992). Strongly balanced cooperative games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 20, 419–427.
- Moon, J. W., & Pullman, N. J. (1970). On generalized tournament matrices. *SIAM Review*, 12, 384–399.
- Moulin, H. (1985). The separability axiom and equal-sharing methods. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 36, 120–148.
- Myerson, R. B. (1977). Graphs and cooperation in games. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 2, 225–229.
- Myerson, R. B. (1980). Conference structures and fair allocation rules. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 9, 169–182.
- Myerson, R. B. (1991). *Game theory: Analysis of conflict*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Nash, J. (1950). The bargaining problem. *Econometrica*, 18, 155–162.
- Naumova, N. I. (2005). Nonsymmetric values under Hart–Mas-Colell Consistency. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 33, 523–534.
- Ortmann, K. M. (1998). Conservation of energy in value theory. *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research*, 47, 423–449.
- Ortmann, K. M. (2000). The proportional value for positive cooperative games. *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research*, 51, 235–248.
- Osborne, M. J. (2004). *An introduction to game theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Osborne, M. J., & Rubinstein, A. (1994). *A course in game theory*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Owen, G. (1972). Multilinear extensions of games. *Management Science*, 18, P64–P79.
- Owen, G. (1975). Multilinear extensions and the Banzhaf value. *American Political Science Review*, 69, 947–953.
- Owen, G. (1986). Values of graph-restricted games. *SIAM Journal of Algebraic and Discrete Methods*, 7, 210–220.
- Owen, G. (1995). *Game theory* (3rd ed.). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Peleg, B., & Sudhölter, P. (2007). *Introduction to the theory of cooperative games* (2nd ed.). Berlin: Springer Verlag.
- Putterman, L. (Ed.). (1986). *The economic nature of the firm: A reader*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Radner, R. (1993). The organization of decentralized information processing. *Econometrica*, 62, 1109–1146.
- Rajan, R., & Zingales, L. (1998). Power in a theory of the firm. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 113, 387–432.
- Rockafellar, R. T. (1970). *Convex analysis*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Roth, A. E. (1977a). The Shapley value as a von Neumann–Morgenstern utility. *Econometrica*, 45, 657–664.
- Roth, A. E. (1977b). Utility functions for simple games. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 16, 481–489.
- Roth, A. E. (1988). The expected utility of playing a game. In A. E. Roth (Ed.), *The Shapley value: Essays in honor of Lloyd S. Shapley* (chap. 4). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Rubinstein, A. (1980). Ranking the participants in a tournament. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 38, 108–111.
- Sánchez, F. (1997). Balanced contributions axiom in the solution of cooperative games. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 20, 161–168.
- Schmeidler, D. (1969). The nucleolus of a characteristic function game. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 17, 1163–1170.
- Schwalbe, U., & Walker, P. (2001). Zermelo and the early history of game theory. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 34, 123–137.
- Shapley, L. S. (1953). A value for  $n$ -person games. In R. Luce & A. Tucker (Eds.), *Contributions to the theory of games* (vol. II). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

- Shapley, L. S. (1967). On balanced sets and cores. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*, 14, 453–460.
- Shapley, L. S. (1971). Cores of convex games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 1, 11–26.
- Shapley, L. S., & Shubik, M. (1954). A method for evaluating the distribution of power in a committee system. *American Political Science Review*, 48, 787–792.
- Shubik, M. (1959). Edgeworth's market games. In R. Luce & A. Tucker (Eds.), *Contributions to the theory of games* (vol. IV, pp. 267–278). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Shubik, M. (1962). Incentives, decentralized control, the assignment of joint costs, and internal pricing. *Management Science*, 8, 325–343.
- Slikker, M., Gilles, R. P., Norde, H., & Tijs, S. (2005). Directed networks, payoff properties, and hierarchy formation. *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 49, 55–80.
- Sobolev, A. (1975). The characterization of optimality principles in cooperative games by functional equations. In N. Vorob'ev (Ed.), *Mathematical methods in social sciences* (pp. 94–151). Vilnius: Academy of Sciences of the Lithuanian SSR (in Russian).
- Sprumont, Y. (1990). Population monotonic allocation schemes for cooperative games with transferable utilities. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 2, 378–394.
- van den Brink, R. (1997). An axiomatization of the disjunctive permission value for games with a permission structure. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 26, 27–43.
- van den Brink, R. (1999). An axiomatization of the conjunctive permission value for games with a hierarchical permission structure. In H. de Swart (Ed.), *Logic, game theory and social choice: Proceedings of LGS 99, May 13–16, 1999* (pp. 125–139). Tilburg, The Netherlands: Tilburg University Press.
- van den Brink, R. (2001). An axiomatization of the Shapley value using a fairness property. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 30, 309–319.
- van den Brink, R. (2006). *Split neutrality properties of permission values for games with a hierarchical permission structure*. Working paper, Department of Econometrics, Free University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- van den Brink, R. (2007). Null or nullifying players: The difference between the Shapley value and equal division solutions. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 136, 767–775.
- van den Brink, R., & Borm, P. (2002). Digraph competitions and cooperative games. *Theory and Decision*, 53, 327–342.
- van den Brink, R., Borm, P., Hendrickx, R., & Owen, G. (2008). Characterizations of the beta- and the degree network power measure. *Theory and Decision*, 64, 519–536.
- van den Brink, R., & Gilles, R. P. (1994). An axiomatic power index for hierarchically structured populations of economic agents. In R. P. Gilles & P. H. M. Ruys (Eds.), *Imperfections and behaviour in economic organizations*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- van den Brink, R., & Gilles, R. P. (1996). Axiomatizations of the conjunctive permission value for games with permission structures. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 12, 113–126.
- van den Brink, R., & Gilles, R. P. (2000). Measuring domination in directed networks. *Social Networks*, 22, 141–157.
- van den Brink, R., & Gilles, R. P. (2003). Ranking by outdegree for directed graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 271, 261–270.
- van den Brink, R., & Gilles, R. P. (2009). *Explicit and latent authority in hierarchical organizations*. Working paper, Management School, Queen's University, Belfast, UK.
- van den Brink, R., & van der Laan, G. (1998a). Axiomatization of a class of share functions for  $N$ -person games. *Theory and Decision*, 44, 117–148.
- van den Brink, R., & van der Laan, G. (1998b). The normalized Banzhaf value and the Banzhaf share function. In L. Petrosjan & V. Mazalov (Eds.), *Game theory and applications* (vol. 4, pp. 11–31). New York: Nova Science.
- van den Brink, R., & van der Laan, G. (2007). Potentials and reduced games for share functions. *Discrete Mathematics*, 307, 2385–2399.
- Van Zandt, T. (1999). Real-time decentralized information processing as a model of organizations with boundedly rational agents. *Review of Economic Studies*, 66, 633–658.

- Vega-Redondo, F. (2003). *Economics and the theory of games*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Vega-Redondo, F. (2007). *Complex social networks, econometric society monographs #44*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- von Neumann, J. (1928). Zur Theorie der Gesellschaftsspiele. *Mathematische Annalen*, 100, 295–320.
- von Neumann, J. (1938). Über ein Ökonomisches Gleichungssystem und eine Verallgemeinerung des Brouwerischen Fixpunktsatzes. In K. Menger (Ed.), *Ergebnisse eines Mathematischen Seminars*. Vienna, Austria: Franz Deuticke.
- von Neumann, J., & Morgenstern, O. (1953). *Theory of games and economic behavior* (3rd ed.). Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Vorob'ev, N. N., & Liapounov, A. (1998). The proper Shapley value. In L. Petrosjan & V. Mazalov (Eds.), *Game theory and applications* (vol. 4, pp. 155–159). New York: Nova Science.
- Wasserman, S., & Faust, K. (1994). *Social network analysis: Methods and applications*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Weber, R. J. (1988). Probabilistic values for games. In A. E. Roth (Ed.), *The Shapley value: Essays in honor of Lloyd S. Shapley* (chap. 7). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Weintraub, E. R. (1985). *General equilibrium analysis: Studies in appraisal*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Williamson, O. (1975). *Markets and hierarchies*. New York: The Free Press.
- Winter, E. (1989). A Value For Cooperative Games With Level Structures Of Cooperation. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 18, 227–240.
- Young, H. (1985). Monotonic solutions of cooperative games. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 14, 65–72.
- Zermelo, E. (1913). Über eine Anwendung der Mengenlehre auf die Theorie des Schachspiels. *Proceedings of the Fifth Congress of Mathematicians, Cambridge 1912* (pp. 501–504). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.



# Index

## A

- Action, 1
  - set, 8
  - tuple, 7
- Addition
  - disjunctive, 203
- $\mu$ -additivity, 122
- Additivity, 77
  - component, 165
  - over independent partitions, 149
- Adjacency matrix, 161
- Adverse selection problem, 221
- Agency problem, 221
- Agency relationship, 221
- Aggregation method
  - defensive-equilibrium, 26
  - Harsanyi, 26
  - maximin, 10
  - minimax, 10
- Aggregator, 10
  - Rawlsian, 12
  - utilitarian, 11
- Allocation rule, 165
- Anonymity, 86
- Arc, 145
- Authority, 3, 189
- Authority cycle, 192
- Authority path, 192
- Axiom, 72
- Axiomatization, 76

## B

- Balanced payoff property, 92, 114
  - $w$ -, 166
- Balancedness, 30, 37
- Balancing coefficient, 38
- Basis
  - standard, 15
  - unanimity, 15–17

- Bernoulli, Daniel, 108
- Binary relation, 84
- Binding agreement, 9
- Blocking, 31, 36
- Bondareva, Olga, 37
- Bondareva-Shapley Theorem, 4, 30, 38
- B-monotonicity, 102

## C

- Calvo, Emilio, 108, 119
- Certainty equivalent, 88
- Characteristic function, 3
  - $\alpha$ -characteristic function, 11
  - $\beta$ -characteristic function, 11
- Closed network collection, 165
- Coalition, 9–10
  - autonomous
    - conjunctively, 49, 194
    - disjunctively, 199
  - consecutive, 41
  - empty, 10
  - grand, 10, 40
  - institutional, 30, 40
  - neighboring, 40
  - probabilistic, 20
  - supportable, 90
- Coalition structure, 40
- Coalitional bargaining process, 7
- Coalitional incentive constraint, 32
- Coalitional monotonicity, 80
- Coase, Ronald, 220
- Collection
  - balanced, 30, 37
    - minimal, 38
    - strongly, 47
  - discerning, 50
  - non-degenerate, 45
- Complete control, 214

$\Omega$ -component, 90  
   global, 90  
   grand, 90  
 Component additivity, 165  
 Component efficiency, 91  
   u-, 166  
 Conjunctive approach, 49, 194  
 Conjunctive fairness, 214  
 Conjunctively autonomous coalition, 194  
 Conjunctively autonomous cover, 195  
 Conjunctively autonomous part, 195  
 Connectedness (network), 41  
 Consistency, 115  
   HM-, 116  
 Cooperative network situation, 165  
 Cooperative potential, 4, 109  
 Core, v, 9  
    $\Omega$ -, 42  
    $\varepsilon$ -, 69  
   allocation, 30  
   anchored, 34  
   dual, 70  
   existence, 37  
   imputation, 30  
   interior, 33  
   least, 69  
 $\Omega$ -Core, 42  
 $\varepsilon$ -Core, 69  
 Core cover, 51  
 Cycle, 48, 146  
 Cycle regularity, 172

## D

Degree  
   normalization, 148  
 Degree (network), 147  
 Degree measure, 148  
   axiomatization, 149  
 Derks, Jean, 45  
 Derks-Gilles Theorem, 53  
 Difference system, 112  
   compatible, 112  
   preservation of, 112  
 Disjunctive addition, 203  
 Disjunctive approach, 198  
 Disjunctive fairness, 216  
 Disjunctively authorizing cover, 199  
 Disjunctively autonomous coalition, 199  
 Disjunctively autonomous part, 199  
 Dominance, 144  
 Dominance normalization, 152  
 Domination, 35  
 Dual Core, 70

Dual game, 54  
 Dummy position property, 149

## E

Edgeworth, Francis Y., 31, 35  
 Edgeworthian equilibrium, 31  
 Efficiency, 19, 77  
 Efficient sharing, 122  
 Equal allocation of non-separable costs, 119  
 Equal surplus division rule, 102  
 Equal treatment property, 82  
 Equilibrium, 9  
   Edgeworthian, 31  
   hybrid, 220  
 Equipoised players, 77  
 Essentiality index, 237  
 Executive player, 193  
 Expected utility function, 84

## F

Facet, 19  
 Fairness, 82, 91  
   conjunctive, 214  
   disjunctive, 216  
 Firm theory, 3

## G

Game  
   additive, 13  
   bridge, 68  
   characteristic function form, 6  
   constant-sum, 14  
   convex, 53  
   cooperative, 13  
   description of a, 1  
   DM-reduced, 118  
   dual, 54  
   essential, 18  
   extensive form, 6  
   HM-reduced, 116  
   M-reduced, 118  
   monotone, 14  
   multilinear extension, 21  
   negative, 54  
   network power, 186  
   normal form, 6, 8  
   null, 14  
    $\Omega$ -partitioning, 44  
   permuted, 77  
   positive, 54  
   restricted, 91  
   simple, 14, 34  
   standard basis, 15  
   superadditive, 13



- superadditive cover, 45
- symmetric, 14
- unanimity, 15
- with permission structure, 194
- with side payments, 13

Game position, 85

Game theory, 1

- early history of, 2

Gillies, Donald B., 31

Governance, 10

Greenberg, Joseph, 71

**H**

Harsanyi dividend, 16

Harsanyi, John, 16

Hart, Sergui, 107

Herings, P. Jean-Jacques, 161

Hierarchical payoff property, 168

- $\alpha$ -, 172

Hierarchical permission value, 210

$\alpha$ -hierarchical value, 174

Hierarchy

- line, 207

HLT-measure, 162

**I**

Iff, 18

Imputation, 19

- blocked, 36
- dominated, 35
- undominated, 35

Increasing returns to scale, 13

Independent multiplication, 202

Individual rationality, 19

Inessential player property

- strong, 241
- weak, 212

Inessential relation property, 241

Initiator (communication), 164

Interactive decision situation, 1

Isolated player

- $\Omega$ -, 90

Isolated player property, 92

**K**

Kaneko-Wooders Theorem, 30, 47

**L**

Lattice, 49

- discerning, 50

Least Core, 69

Linear vector space, 14

Link, 143

Long-path method, 161

Lottery, 84

**M**

Marginal contribution, 108

Mas-Colell, Andreu, 107

Measure, 148

- $\beta$ -, 151
  - iterated, 160
  - modified, 156
- Copeland score, 187
- degree, 148
- global, 161
- HLT-, 162
- iterated, 158
- long-path, 162
- positional power, 163

$\beta$ -measure, 151

- axiomatization, 152
- equivalence, 155
- iterated, 160
- modified, 156

Methodological individualism, 9

Middleman contestability, 206

Monotonicity

- B-, 102
- coalitional, 80
- strong, 81

Moral hazard problem, 221

Morgenstern, Oskar, 2

Moulin, Hervé, 118

Multilinear extension, 21

- probabilistic interpretation, 23

Myerson value, 4, 89

- network, 167

Myerson, Roger, 40, 41, 82, 89, 114

**N**

Necessary player property, 212

Network, 41, 143

- acyclic, 48, 146, 170
- authority, 144
- communication, 143
- cycle regular, 172
- degree, 147
- directed, 3, 143, 145
  - binary, 186
- domination, 144
- hierarchical, 147, 172
  - weakly, 170
- information, 144
- middleman position, 44
- partition, 148
- trade, 143

transitive closure, 146  
 undirected, 143  
 Network benefit function, 165  
   basic, 180  
 Network collection  
   closed, 165  
 Network Myerson value, 167  
 Network power game, 186  
 Network situation, 103  
 Neumann, John, *see* von Neumann, John  
 No-exploitation property, 47  
 (0, 1)-normalization, 18  
 Nucleolus, 78  
 Null additivity, 102  
 Null game, 14  
 Null player, 76  
 Null player independence, 125  
 Null player property, 77, 123  
 Nullifying player, 102

## O

Ortman, K. Michael, 119, 140  
 Owen, Guillermo, 20, 190, 194, 198

## P

Pairwise stability, 219  
 $\Omega$ -partition, 44  
 Partnership, 177  
 Partnership consistency, 177  
 Path  
   authority, 192  
   in a network, 48, 146  
   U-, 164  
 Payoff function, 8  
 Permission structure, 48, 144, 191  
   hierarchical  
     strictly, 193  
     weakly, 193  
   strict, 48, 193  
 Permission value, 5, 210  
   conjunctive, 210  
   disjunctive, 216  
 Player, 2  
 Potential function, vi, 108  
   admittance of, 119  
   Deegan-Packel, 121  
   HM-, 109  
   share, 124  
 Potential value, 107  
 Power measure, 5  
   positional, 163  
 Predecessor, 146  
 Preference relation, 84  
   continuity, 85

  substitutability, 85  
 Principal-agent theory, 144

## R

Reduction  
   DM-, 118  
   HM-, 116  
   M-, 118  
 Regularity index, 170  
 Research Program, 1  
 Respondent (communication), 164  
 Restriction, 108  
   conjunctive, 196  
   disjunctive, 201  
   S-, 165  
 $\Omega$ -restriction, 91  
 Risk neutrality  
   ordinary, 87  
   strategic, 88  
 Roth, Alvin, 84

## S

Santos, Juan Carlos, 108, 119  
 Selectope, 54  
 Selector, 54  
 S-equivalency, 17  
 Shapley mapping, 104  
 Shapley value, 4, 72, 112  
   MLE formulation, 75  
   probabilistic formulation, 75  
   standard formulation, 75  
   weighted, 166  
 Shapley, Lloyd, 4, 37, 53, 71, 72  
 Shapley-Shubik index, 72  
 Share function, 119  
   Banzhaf, 142  
   BL-, 122  
   Deegan-Packel, 124  
   Shapley, 122  
 Share potential, 124  
 Shubik, Martin, 31, 72  
 Side payment  
   stable, 43  
 Simple game, 14  
 Span, 45  
 Sprumont property, 139  
 Sprumont, Yves, 139  
 Standard basis game, 15  
 Standard of behavior, 71  
 Standardness for two players, 117  
 Strategic risk, 88  
 Strong inessential player property, 241  
 Strong monotonicity, 81

- Structural monotonicity, 212
    - weak, 214
  - Subnetwork, 147
    - simple, 155
  - Subordinate, 48, 189, 192
    - direct, 191
  - Successor, 145
  - Successor coalition, 153
  - Successor game
    - conservative, 153
    - modified, 156
    - optimistic, 153
  - Summation of games, 14
  - Superadditive cover, 45
  - Superadditivity, 13
  - Superior, 48, 189
    - direct, 192
  - Support, 90
  - Symmetry, 77, 123, 149
- T**
- Talman, Dolf, 161
  - Tournament, 151
  - Transferable utilities, 13
  - Transitive closure, 192
- U**
- U-component, 165
    - efficiency, 166
  - U-connectedness, 165
  - Union stable cover, 90
  - Unit simplex, 19
  - U-path, 164
- V**
- Value, vi, 72
    - axiomatic, 72
    - axiomatization
      - Shapley's, 79
      - standard, 80
      - Van den Brink's, 83
      - Young's, 82
  - $\alpha$ -hierarchical, 174
  - CIS, 106
  - Deegan-Packel, 121
  - egalitarian, 78, 102
  - hierarchical, 5
  - invariant, 103
  - Kikuta-Milnor, 105
  - marginal, 139
  - Myerson, 92
  - network Myerson, 167
  - permission, 210
  - probabilistic, 80
  - proportional, 140
  - reservation, 8
  - Shapley, 72
    - proper, 104
    - weighted, 166
  - Value theory, 4, 71
  - van den Brink, René, 82, 108, 119, 122, 194, 210
  - van der Laan, Gerard, 108, 119, 122, 161
  - Veto power, 189
  - von Neumann, John, 2, 10
- W**
- Weak inessential player property, 212
  - Weber set, 52
  - Weber string, 52
  - Williamson, Oliver, 221
- Y**
- Young, Peyton, 80
- Z**
- Zermelo, Ernst F.F., 2