

APPENDIX

Central extensions of affine group schemes

In this Appendix, the ground field k is arbitrary, and all group schemes are affine.

A.0. Our purpose here is to outline an elementary theory of central extensions of affine group schemes over an arbitrary ground field. Results summarized here are mostly known, but some are proven only over algebraically closed ground fields while others are known to be true in a more general context. (Cf. [5; Appendix], DG-III, §6 and [14; Chap. VII].) One of the two exact sequences below, A.8, is probably new, though it is modeled after an erroneously stated result by Miyanishi [5; Prop. 2, page 649].

A.1. A sequence $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ of k -group schemes H, E, G is said to be k -exact or, plainly, exact if (i) all arrows represent k -homomorphisms, (ii) for any k -algebra R the sequence $1 \rightarrow H(R) \rightarrow E(R) \rightarrow G(R)$ of abstract groups is exact, and (iii) $E \rightarrow G$ is a faithfully flat epimorphism. The sequence, or often E itself, is referred to as an extension of G by H . If $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ is k -exact and if the image of H is contained in the center of G , then we say the sequence is central k -exact or is a central

extension of G by H . We also say that E is a central extension of G by H , by a slight abuse of language.

A.2. Let $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be k -exact. The sequence is said to be k -split if there is a k -homomorphism $G \rightarrow E$ such that $(G \rightarrow E \rightarrow G) = \text{id}_G$. The sequence is geometrically k -split, by definition, if there exists a k -morphism $\bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{E}$ such that $(\bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{G}) = \text{id}_{\bar{G}}$. Then, obviously, $\bar{E} \simeq \bar{H} \times G$.

SPLITTING LEMMA. An exact sequence $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ of k -group schemes is geometrically k -split whenever H is k -isomorphic to G_a .

This is implied by DG-III, §4, 6.6. Within the classical framework, this was first proved by Rosenlicht [9; Th. 1, p.99]. Let us, however, prove it in a few lines by another method:

Proof. Let $\bar{H} = \text{Spec } k[T]$, $\bar{E} = \text{Spec } A$ and $\bar{G} = \text{Spec } B$. Since $H \simeq G_a$ acts freely on \bar{E} with the quotient \bar{G} , we have $A \simeq k[T] \otimes B \simeq B[T]$ by virtue of [4; Lemma 2, p. 403], and the ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ given by $T \mapsto 0$ evidently splits the sequence geometrically over K .

A.3. Remarks. The consequences of this Lemma are important. Firstly, we immediately derive the fact that the underlying scheme of a unipotent k -group of dimension n is k -isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^n if k is perfect, or more

generally if the group is k-solvable (see DG-IV, §4, 4.1 and [9; Cor. 2, p.101]). Secondly, this lemma is the key to make Serre's induction argument [14; VII, No. 10, pp. 175-177] valid over non-algebraically closed fields, thereby establishing Chevalley's theorem over a perfect field k : To wit, every commutative unipotent algebraic k -group is k -isogenous to a unique (up to order) product of Witt vector groups. (Analyzing Serre's argument [14; loc. cit], one can see directly that what fails the argument in case k is merely assumed perfect is the inability to affirm, in that set-up, that the extensions $0 \rightarrow G_a \rightarrow E \rightarrow W_n \rightarrow 0$ over k correspond to $H_{\text{reg}}^2(W_n, G_a)_S$. This one can now ascertain, possessing a regular cross section $W_n \rightarrow E$ defined over k , thanks to the Lemma. This gives a proof of Chevalley's theorem without resort to the theory of Dieudonné modules, as done in DG-V, §3, 6.11.)

A.4. If a central extension $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ of k -group schemes is geometrically k -split, the group law on E is determined by 2-cocycles $G \times G \rightarrow H$ in the well-known manner. To wit, the group multiplication on $\bar{E} = \bar{G} \times \bar{H}$ is given by

$$(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2) = (g_1 g_2, h_1 + h_2 + \gamma(g_1, g_2))$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in G(R)$, $h_1, h_2 \in H(R)$, where $\gamma: G \times G \rightarrow H$ is a

k-morphism satisfying

$$\gamma(g_1, g_2) + \gamma(g_1 g_2, g_3) = \gamma(g_1, g_2 g_3) + \gamma(g_2, g_3)$$

for all $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G(R)$. Conversely, given a 2-cocycle $\gamma: G \times G \rightarrow H$, one can construct a central extension $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ by defining a group law on $\bar{G} \times \bar{H}$ in the above fashion by making use of γ . The extension thus obtained will be denoted by $G \times_\gamma H$.

A.5. Let $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$, $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be extensions of G by H . We say that these extensions are equivalent if there is a k-homomorphism $E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & E_1 & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1 \\ & & & & \parallel & \downarrow & \parallel \\ 1 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & E_2 & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

commutative. The set of equivalence classes of extensions of G by H is denoted by $\text{Ext}(G, H)$. In case H is commutative, we consider the set of equivalence classes of central extensions of G by H and denote it by $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G, H)$, which is a subset of $\text{Ext}(G, H)$. If in addition G is commutative, the set of all equivalence classes of commutative extensions is represented as $\text{Ext}_{\text{com}}(G, H)$.

A.6. Let G be a k -group scheme, H a commutative k -group scheme. Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a central extension, and suppose given a k -homomorphism $\phi: G' \rightarrow G$ of k -group schemes. Then, one can construct a central extension $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E' \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 1$ unique up to equivalence subject to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & E' & \rightarrow & G' \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

We write ϕ^*E in place of E' . In a like manner, for a given k -homomorphism $\psi: H \rightarrow H'$, one can construct ψ_*E uniquely up to equivalence subject to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & H' & \rightarrow & \psi_*E & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1. \end{array}$$

Possessing ϕ^*E and ψ_*E , one can proceed to introduce a structure of additive group on the set $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G, H)$ in the usual fashion, and $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G, H)$ becomes a right $\text{End}_{k\text{-gr}}(G)$ - and left $\text{End}_{k\text{-gr}}(H)$ -bimodule. The constructions and verifications pertaining to the foregoing are found in [14; Chap. VII, §1], [5; Appendix], DG-III, §6 and SGAD-III, VI_A,

XVII-App.1., though with various degrees of generality.

A.7. LEMMA. Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a k -homomorphism of k -group schemes G, H . If the subgroup $\phi(G(R))$ is normal (resp. central) in $H(R)$ for every $R \in \text{Alg}_k$, then the image $\phi(G)$ is a k -closed normal (resp. central) subgroup of H .

In fact, the image $\phi(G)$ is the (fpqc)-sheafification of the k -group functor $R \mapsto \phi(G(R))$, so that if $x \in \phi(G)(R)$ and $h \in H(R)$ then there is a faithfully flat R -algebra R' such that $x' \in \phi(G(R'))$, where $x \mapsto x'$ under $\phi(G)(R) \rightarrow \phi(G)(R')$. By assumption, $(h')^{-1}x'h' \in \phi(G(R')) \subseteq \phi(G)(R')$, and then, by (fpqc)-descent, one gets $h^{-1}xh \in \phi(G)(R)$. Because k is a field, $\phi(G)$ is clearly k -closed. Similar argument for the centrality of $\phi(G)$ when each $\phi(G(R))$ is central.

A.8. THEOREM. Let $0 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\tau} E \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$ be a central extension of k -group schemes, H being commutative. For every commutative k -group scheme A , consider the sequence of additive groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(G, A) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(E, A) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(H, A) \\ & & \gamma & & \pi^* & & \tau^* \\ & & \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G, A) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(E, A) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(H, A) \quad (1) \end{array}$$

where γ sends $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(H, A)$ to the extension class of

ϕ_*E . Then:

(i) The sequence (1) is exact except possibly at
 $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(E,A)$, where only $\tau^*\pi^* = 0$ holds in general.

(ii) Assume that G, H and A are k -smooth and that
 $\text{Hom}_{k_S\text{-gr}}(H,A) = \{0\}$. Then, the sequence (1) is exact
throughout.

Proof. (i) We shall make quick verification of exactness at each spot, leaving out all routine procedures.

a) The exactness at $\text{Hom}(G,A)$ and at $\text{Hom}(E,A)$ is trivial.

b) Next, at $\text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(H,A)$, suppose $\phi \in \text{Hom}(H,A)$ factors through τ so that $\phi = \psi\tau$ with $\psi: E \rightarrow A$. Then define a k -homomorphism $E \rightarrow A \times E$ by $x \mapsto (-\psi x, x)$ for all $x \in E(R)$ and compose it with the canonical homomorphism $A \times E \rightarrow \phi_*E$. The composed homomorphism $E \rightarrow \phi_*E$ vanishes on the closed subgroup scheme H and thereby gives a k -homomorphism $G \rightarrow \phi_*E$. It is immediate that $(G \rightarrow \phi_*E \rightarrow G) = \text{id}_G$, so ϕ_*E represents the zero in $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G,A)$. Suppose now that ϕ_*E is trivial. Then we have a canonical projection $\rho: \phi_*E \simeq A \times G \rightarrow A$ with the property $(A \rightarrow \phi_*E \rightarrow A) = \text{id}_A$. Thus, in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \tau & & \pi & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & H & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda & & \parallel \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow[\leftarrow]{\tau'} & \phi_*E & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & & & \rho & &
 \end{array}$$

we have $(\rho\lambda)\tau = \rho(\tau'\phi) = \phi$, whence ϕ factors through τ via $\rho\lambda$.

c) At $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G,A)$, first it is clear that the composition $\text{Hom}(H,A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G,A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(E,A)$ is zero, as $\phi \mapsto \phi_*E \mapsto \pi^*(\phi_*E) = \phi_*(\pi^*E)$ and π^*E is trivial. Next let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a central extension such that π^*X is trivial, and let us show that $X = \phi_*E$ for an appropriate $\phi: H \rightarrow A$. So, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & G \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & \pi^*X & \rightarrow & E \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & & & \swarrow -\phi & & \uparrow \tau \\
 & & & & H & = & H
 \end{array}$$

in which $\pi^*X \simeq A \times E$ admits a projection to A . Set $-\phi$ to be the said projection preceded by the k -monomorphism $H \rightarrow \pi^*X$, as shown above. Then, under the identification of π^*X with $A \times E$, the monomorphism $H \rightarrow \pi^*X$ is given by $h \in H(R) \mapsto (-\phi h, \tau h)$ and X is the quotient of $\pi^*X = A \times E$ by the image of H . This shows that $X = \phi_*E$.

d) Finally, at $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(E,A)$, it is obvious that $\tau^*\pi^* = (\pi\tau)^* = 0$.

(ii) Assume now $\text{Hom}_{k_S\text{-gr}}(H,A) = \{0\}$ and G,H,A (and hence E) are k -smooth. Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow X \rightarrow E \rightarrow 1$ be

a central extension such that τ^*X splits. Then we have a k -homomorphism $\psi : H \rightarrow X$ obtained by composing the section on H with the canonical homomorphism $\tau^*X \rightarrow X$, and clearly $\rho\psi = \tau$ where $\rho : X \rightarrow E$ is the given faithfully flat homomorphism. Let R be a k_S -algebra, $x \in X(k_S)$ and $h \in H(R)$. Then, as H is central in E , we have $\rho[x(\psi h)x^{-1}(\psi h)^{-1}] = (\rho x)(\tau h)(\rho x)^{-1}(\tau h)^{-1} = e \in E(R)$, so that we may write $x(\psi h)x^{-1} = (\alpha h)(\psi h)$ with $\alpha h \in A(R)$. One verifies right away the relation $\alpha(h + h') = \alpha h + \alpha h'$ and thereby obtains a k_S -homomorphism $\alpha : H_{k_S} \rightarrow A_{k_S}$ which is constant by assumption. Consequently, $\psi(H(R))$ commutes with $X(k_S)$. But, A and E being k -smooth, X , too, is k -smooth so that $X(k_S)$ is dense in X , whence follows that $\psi(H(R))$ is central in $X(R)$. Now, since the image functor $R \mapsto \psi(H(R))$ is central in X , the image itself is central by virtue of A.6. Let $Y = X/\text{Im}\psi$. It is routine to check that Y is a central extension of G by A such that $\pi^*Y = X$, as desired.

Q.E.D.

A.9. THEOREM. Let $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\tau} C \xrightarrow{\pi} A \rightarrow 0$ be a commutative extension of commutative k -group schemes and let G be a k -group scheme. Then, the following sequence of additive groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(G, B) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(G, C) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(G, A) \\
 & & \uparrow \gamma & & \uparrow \tau^* & & \uparrow \pi^* \\
 & & \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G, B) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G, C) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G, A)
 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

is exact, where γ sends $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}(G,A)$ to the extension class of ϕ^*C .

Proof of this theorem is omitted, as it is routine, similar to that of A.8. Besides, the theorem is essentially known — cf. SGAD, loc. cit. and DG, loc. cit.

A.10. Example. Consider $0 \rightarrow \alpha_p \rightarrow G_a \xrightarrow{F} G_a \rightarrow 0$. Coupling the three group schemes with G_a , one obtains two complexes as in A.8 and A.9. The second is exact. As for the first, the part

$$\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G_a, G_a) \xrightarrow{F^*} \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G_a, G_a) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(\alpha_p, G_a)$$

is not exact. Indeed, note that $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(\alpha_p, G_a) \cong k$, while $\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(G_a, G_a)$ is a free left $k[F]$ -module with a countable basis $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots$ such that $F^*(u_i) = Fu_i$ for all $0 \leq i < \infty$. (See 3.6.1.) The non-exactness of the sequence above is therefore evident.

INDEX OF TERMINOLOGY

A	(free) action	63, 114
	admissible pair	25
	affine k -group scheme	6
	affine k -scheme	3, 5
	affine space	1
	algebraic group	1
	$(k-)$ algebra of type $(*)$	135
	arithmetic genus	3, 77
B	base change theorem	77
	biadditive	29
C	canonical divisor	78
	central extension	2, 146
	central series	2
	(divisor) class group	59
	2-cocycle	35, 148
	coaction	108
	coalgebra	143
	cohomology	
	Demazure-Hochschild	3
	first derived	73
	(fpqc)-	73
	commutative extension	34
	comodule	108
	$(k$ -normal) completion	72
	comultiplication	14
	connected	1

	cross section	148
	curve	
	rational	40
	k-normal	72
D	Demazure-Hochschild cohomology	3
	derived functor	119
	(Galois) descent	42
	Dieudonné module	148
	division algorithm	8
	divisor	7
	canonical	78
	Weil	75
	divisor class group	59
	dual Hopf algebra	141
E	effaceable	119
	exponent	14
	extension	
	commutative	34
	central	2, 146
	modular	69
	of G by H	146
F	faisceau dur	108
	faithfully flat	12
	finite k-group scheme	63
	finite morphism	40
	first derived cohomology	73
	(k-)form	2, 15
	(fppf)-sheaf	109

	(fpqc)-cohomology	73
	(fpqc)-sheaf	108
	(fpqc)-sheafification	151
	free action	63, 114
	Frobenius homomorphism	6, 10
	function field	7
G	Galois descent	42
	generalized Jacobian variety	81
	generically separable	71
	genus	3
	arithmetic	77
	geometric	90
	geometrically k -split	31, 147
	$(k-)$ group	4, 6
	$(k-)$ group functor	5
	$(k-)$ group of Russell type	2, 27
	$(k-)$ group scheme	2
	affine	4
	finite	63
	Gorenstein ring	78
H	height	25, 46, 63
	Hochschild cohomology group	108
	Hopf algebra	6
	dual	141
	hyperalgebra	4, 141
I	$(k-)$ closed immersion	12
	$(p-)$ independent	93
	$(k-)$ integral scheme	7

	invertible sheaf	58
	irreducible	121
	(k-)isogeneous	148
J	(generalized) Jacobian variety	81
K	Krull domain	61
L	(p-)Lie algebra	13
	linear system	78
	logarithmic derivative	62
M	minimum splitting field	51
	modular extension	69
	module	
	Dieudonné	148
	k[F]-	3, 8, 17
	(G-)module functor	110
N	Nakayama's Lemma	18
	(k-)normal	40
	(k-)normal curve	72
	normalization	40
P	PBW (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt) Theorem	14
	Picard group	3, 58
	PID (principal ideal domain)	17
	place	40
	plane cubic	97
	pointed irreducible coalgebra	143
	p-polynomial	41
	presheaf	109
	proper scheme	73
Q	quotient ring	9

R	ramification index	62
	(abelian) rank	76
	rational curve	40
	(k -)rational	3
	reduced	11
	Riemann-Roch Theorem	76
	(k -group of) Russell type	2, 27
S	scheme	3
	affine k -	3, 5
	k -	3, 5
	k -integral	7
	proper	73
	k -group	2
	(generically) separable	74
	sheaf	
	dualizing	77
	(fppf)-	109
	(fpqc)-	108
	invertible	58
	((fpqc)-)sheafification	151
	shift	12
	(k -)smooth	4
	spectral sequence	73
	(geometrically k -)split	31, 147
	split torus	6
T	torsion-free	9
U	unipotent algebraic group	6
	(k -wound) unipotent k -group	3, 45

	unique factorization domain	61
	universal enveloping algebra	14
V	variety	1
	vector group	2
	Verschiebung homomorphism	11, 142
W	Weil divisor	75
	Witt vector group	148
	(k-)wound unipotent k-group	3, 45

TABLE OF NOTATION

A^n	1, 8	\tilde{C}_k	109	G_a	2
Alg_k	5	$C_n(,)$	111	Gr	5
Ab	5	\dim	2	\bar{G}	6
Aff.Sch_k	5	\deg	8	G_m	6
$\text{Alg}_k \text{Gr}$	5	Δ	12, 101	\times_Y	15, 149
α_p	6	$\underline{D}(M)$	14	$\text{GL}_m()$	20
A^\times	7	$d(K)$	51	$\underline{\text{GL}}(V)$	110
$A^{,D}$	7	D_ξ	103	\tilde{G}/K	122
A^G	7	∂^n	111	$\text{Hom}_{k\text{-gr}}$	13
$(A, \psi) \otimes M$	9	$\text{Ext}_{\text{cent}}(B, A)$	2, 149	$\text{ht}(G)$	46
α_{p^n}	37	\underline{E}	5	$H^0(,)$	73
$\text{Aut}_{K\text{-gr}}$	51	$\text{End}_{k\text{-gr}}$	8	$H^p(,)$	73
$\underline{\text{Aut}}_G$	52	$\text{Ext}_{\text{com}}(B, A)$	30, 149	$H_0^n(,)$	111
A_ξ	104	E^2	33	H^0	141
$(\text{Alg}_k \underline{E})^\sim$	108	\underline{E}	47	$\text{hy}(G)$	141
$(\text{Alg}_k \underline{E})^\sim$	109	\tilde{E}	47	H^+	141
$\mathcal{B}(B, A)$	29	$E_2^{p, q}$	73	$H_{\text{reg}}^2(,)_s$	148
$\mathcal{B}^0(B, A)$	29	E_ξ	104	id	10
$B(n, (\alpha_{ij}), Z)$	133	$\text{Ext}(G, H)$	149	Im	35
Comod	111	F	6	$J_{\underline{m}}$	75
CD	5	\mathbb{F}_p	70	$k[F]$	3, 8
card	7	F_M	10	$k \otimes_{p^n} M$	10
$C(v)$	20	F_X	11	k_s	5
$C(A)$	59	ϕ^V	12	$k(X)$	7
\tilde{C}_k	108	ϕ_*	31, 150	$k^{1/p}$	10
\underline{C}_k	109	ϕ^*	150	$\text{Lie}(G)$	141

\mathcal{L}	73	$R^1 f_* ()$	73
$[\Lambda]$	126	Spec	5
$\mathbb{M}_m(k)$	7	$\Sigma^p X$	12
$M(p^n)$	10	Sch_k	5
$\text{Mod}_k[F]$	13	\mathbb{S}^1	59
$\underline{M}(G)$	13	$U(M)$	14
μ_p	15	V_G	13
$M^{[n]}$	18	\underline{V}_k	13
$M(n, A)$	19	v_P	62
n^M	37	$V_{\frac{a}{*}}$	110
\mathbb{N}	8	V^*	145
$O(G)$	6	W_n	148
$\mathcal{O}(D)$	7	$X^{(p)}$	11
\mathcal{O}_Y	7	$X_{a,n}$	82
$\underline{\mathbb{P}}$	80	$X^{p(v)}$	94
ω	77	X/\tilde{G}	108
$\mathcal{O}_{Q,Y}$	90	\mathbb{Z}^+	8
$P(C)$	32	\mathbb{Z}	6
$\text{Pic}(X)$	58	\times	2
$\underline{\text{Pic}}$	59, 72	\otimes	5
$p(v(i))$	69	$:=$	7
P_∞	72	\wedge^2	28
pr_1	115	\oplus	30
\mathbb{P}^n	8	$[,]$	38
Proj	8	\implies	73
$ \mathcal{Q} $	78	\rightarrow	112
$\text{rk}(G)$	63		

REFERENCES

- DG: M. Demazure-P. Gabriel, "Groupes Algébriques, tome I," 1970, Masson & Cie, Éditeur-Paris; North-Holland Publ. Co.-Amsterdam.
- SGAD: "Schémas en groupes, I, II, III, dirigés par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck," 1970, Springer-Verlag—Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- FGA: A. Grothendieck, "Fondements de la Géométrie Algébriques — extraits du séminaire Bourbaki, 1957-62," Secrétariat Mathématique — Paris.
- EGA: A. Grothendieck, "Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique," 1960ff, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. — Paris.
- [1] N. Bourbaki, "Algèbre, Chapitres 6 et 7," 1964, Hermann — Paris.
- [2] T. Kambayashi, A note on groups in a category, Math. Zeitschr. 93(1966), 289-293.
- [3] M. Lazard, Sur nilpotence de certains groupes algébriques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 241(1955), 1687-1689.
- [4] M. Miyanishi, On the vanishing of the Demazure cohomologies and the existence of quotient preschemes, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 11(1971), 399-414.
- [5] _____, Une caractérisation d'un groupe algébrique simplement connexe, III. J. Math. 16(1972), 639-650.
- [6] _____, Some remarks on the polynomial rings, to appear in Osaka J. Math.

- [7] _____, "Introduction à la théorie des sites et son application à la construction des préschemas quotients" No.47, Publ. du Séminaire de Math. Sup., 1971, Les Presses de l'Univ. de Montréal-Montréal.
- [8] M. Rosenlicht, Some rationality questions on algebraic groups, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 43(1957), 25-50.
- [9] _____, Questions of rationality for solvable algebraic groups over nonperfect fields, Annali Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 61(1963), 97-120.
- [10] _____, Automorphisms of function fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1955), 1-11.
- [11] P. Russel, Forms of the affine line and its additive group, Pacific J. Math. 32(1970), 527-539.
- [12] P. Samuel, "On unique factorization domain," Lectures on Math. and Physics 28(1967), Tata Inst. Fund. Research-Bombay.
- [13] M. Sweedler, "Hopf Algebras," 1969, W. A. Benjamin & Co. — New York.
- [14] J. -P. Serre, "Groupes algébriques et corps de classes," 1959, Hermann - Paris.
- [15] J. Tits, "Lectures on Algebraic Groups," 1966/67, Dept. of Math., Yale Univ. - New Haven.
- [16] M. Takeuchi, Tangent coalgebras and hyperalgebras, I, to appear in J. Math. Soc. Japan.
- [17] A. Grothendieck, Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique, Tôhoku Math. J. 9(1957), 119-221.