
Glossary of Analytical Terms

Globalization in science, technology and economy causes, amongst other things, problems in derivation, adaptation and acceptance of technical terms in general, and in Analytical Chemistry, too. Both vague and contradictory definitions have been developed and established over the years. Therefore, in the last decade the efforts have been increased to harmonize the use of analytical terms. In particular international organisations like ISO, IUPAC render outstanding services to the fixing and harmonization of essential analytical terms. But also additional activities have been done in this field, e.g., by the Royal Society of Chemistry, the EURACHEM Education and Training Working Group and the Federation of European Chemical Societies (FECS), Division on Analytical Chemistry (DAC) as well as by publications in form of books (e.g., PRICHARD et al. [2001]) and other publications, e.g. the series “*Glossary of Analytical Terms*” (GAT) in the journal *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, see GAT I to X [1996-1998], where multilingual terms are given, and HOLCOMBE [1999/2000].

In the following, definitions of essential analytical terms are compiled, if possible on the basis of international agreements. Attached are sparse references and cross-references. The symbols, being used here, means: → “see also” (cross-references to terms, additional references as well as paragraphs, chapters, equations and figures of this book), and × is a warning notice.

Accuracy

“Closeness of agreement between the result of a measurement and a true value of the measurand”.

ISO 3534-1 [1993]

→ Sect. 7.1

→ *Trueness*

× Do not confuse with
Precision

Analysis (of a sample)

Investigation of a *sample* to identify and/or determine (an) analyte(s) or assay a material.

→ Quotation from ANAL
CHEM [1975] at the end of the
Glossary

Analyte

“The chemical entity being investigated (qualitatively or quantitatively)”.

According to PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

Analytical function (evaluation function)

Inverse of the calibration function, $x = f^{-1}(y)$, describing the dependence of the analytical values from the measured values.

Analytical method

“Logical sequence of operations, described generally, used in the performance of measurements”, e.g., the links of a given analytical technique with particular excitation and detection.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]
→ Fig. 7.1

Analytical procedure

“Set of operations, described specifically, used in the performance of particular analytical measurements according to a given method”.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]
PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
→ Fig. 7.1

Analytical process

Logic sequence of objects linked by general analytical standard operations.

→ Fig. 2.1

Analytical result

Analytical value attributed to a measurement, obtained by measurement and completed by information on the uncertainty of measurement.

According to ISO 3524-1 [1993]
→ 8.1

Analytical quantity

“Particular quantity subject to analytical measurement”.

According to ISO 3524-1 [1993]
→ *Measurand*

Analytical technique

“Generic analytical application of a scientific principle”.

According to PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
→ Fig. 7.1

Assay

Determination of how much of a sample is the material indicated by the name.

→ Quotation from ANAL CHEM [1975] at the end of the Glossary
→ e.g. analysis of ores

Analytical value

Magnitude of an analytical quantity, x , measured at test samples on the one hand and given for reference samples used for calibration on the other hand.

Background (instrumental background, background signal)

Instrumental background is the null signal, obtained in the absence of any analyte- or interference-derived signal.

→ IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
→ Background may be set to zero, on the average, for certain instruments

Baseline

“Summation of the instrumental background plus signals in the analyte (peak) region of interest due to interfering species”.

IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]

Bias

“The difference between the expectation of the test results and an accepted reference value”.

According to GAT VIII [1997]

Blank

A value, y_B , obtained by measuring a blank sample (in calibration, the intercept of the calibration curve is considered to be equal to the blank). Blanks may be differentiated into *instrumental blank* (*background* and *baseline*, respectively) and *chemical blank* (*analyte blank*).

→ IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
→ *Background*
→ *Baseline*
→ *Chemical blank*

Blank measurement

Procedure by which a measured value is obtained with a sample in that the analyte of interest is intentionally absent.

According to PRITCHARD et al. [2001]; TAYLOR [1987]; SHARAF et al. [1986]

→ *Blank sample*

Blank sample

A sample whose analyte concentration is below the limit of decision of the analytical procedure being used.

According to PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

→ *Blank measurement*

Calibration

Set of operations that establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between values of quantities indicated by a measuring system and the corresponding values of quantities represented by a material both in form of reference materials and samples. In a wider sense, calibration represents a set of operations that establish relationships between quantities in the sample domain with quantities in the signal domain, viz $y = f(x)$ and $z = f(Q)$.

→ ISO 3524-1 [1993]

→ GAT IV [1996]

→ PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

→ IUPAC [1998]

→ Sect. 6.1

→ *Sample domain*

→ *Signal domain*

Calibration function

Equation for the estimation of the values of a measuring quantity from given values of an analytical quantity. The calibration function may be known a priori by natural laws or estimated experimentally by means of calibration samples.

The calibration function represents that segment of the response function that is chosen for estimating the analytical value of an unknown sample.

→ PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

→ IUPAC [1998]

→ SHARAF et al. [1986]

→ *Sensitivity*

→ *Response function*

Calibration samples

Set of samples characterized by accurate and precise values of the measurand. In a concrete case, calibration samples may be portions of (certified) reference materials, in-house reference materials (laboratory standard samples), or spiked samples, and, in addition, blank samples.

- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
- *Reference material*
- *Certified reference material*

Certified reference material (CRM)

“A reference material, accompanied by a certificate, one or more of whose property values are certified by a procedure which establishes traceability to an accurate realization of the unit in which the property values are expressed, and for which each certified value is accompanied by an uncertainty at a stated level of confidence”.

- ISO 3524-1 [1993]
- GAT IV [1996]
- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

Chemical blank (analyte blank)

“Blank which arises from contamination from the reagents, sampling procedure, or sample preparation steps which correspond to the very analyte being sought”.

- IUPAC [1995], CURRIE [1999]

Coefficient of variation: The term is not recommended by IUPAC;
→ *Relative standard deviation*

Concentration domain → Sample domain

One of the dimensions of the sample domain.

Confidence interval (CI)

Statistical interval, e.g., of a mean, \bar{y} , $cnf(\bar{y}) = \bar{y} \pm \Delta\bar{y}_{cnf}$, which express the uncertainty of measured values. CIs are applied for significance tests and to establish quantities for limit values (CV).

- Sect. 7.5
- *Critical value*
- *Prediction interval*

Conventional true value

“Value attributed to a particular quantity and accepted, sometimes by convention, as having an uncertainty appropriate for a given purpose”.

ISO 3534-1 [1993]

→ *True value*

Correlation

Stochastic relationship between random variables in such a way that one depends on the other. The degree of relationship may be estimated by the *correlation coefficient*.

Correlation coefficient

The correlation coefficient, r_{xy} , is given by the covariance of two random variables x and y , $\text{cov}(x, y) = s_{xy}$, divided by the standard deviations s_x and s_y , see Eq. (6.3). The correlation coefficient becomes $r_{xy} = 0$ if there is no relationship between x and y , and $r_{xy} = \pm 1$ if there exist a stringent deterministic dependence.

→ Sect. 6.1.3

✗ The correlation coefficient is not of any relevance in calibration, as a rule. This is because only the measured value is a random variable and, in contrast, the analytical value is a fixed value and not selected randomly

Correlation matrix

Matrix formed by a set of correlation coefficients related to m variables in multivariate data sets, $\mathbf{R} = (r_{x_i, x_j})$. It is relevant in multicomponent analysis.

→ Eqs. (6.4) and (8.14)

Critical value (CV)

Limit in the signal domain, estimated from the average blank plus its uncertainty, generally according to $y_c = \bar{y}_{BL} + U(\bar{y}_{BL})$, in analytical chemistry frequently according to $y_c = \bar{y}_{BL} + 3s_{BL}$. If the critical value is exceeded, the respective analyte is reliably detected (except for a remaining risk of error α). Therefore, the CV stands for the *guarantee of presence* of an analyte.

EHRlich and DANZER [2006];

CURRIE [1999]

→ Sect. 7.5

→ Fig. 7.8

→ *Decision limit*

Cross sensitivity (partial sensitivity)

Dependence of the measured value (signal intensity), y_A , from other constituents than the analyte A , present in the measuring sample, quantitatively expressed by the respective partial differential quotient.

- KAISER [1972]; DANZER [2001]
- Sect. 7.2
- Eq. (3.11)
- *Sensitivity*
- *Sensitivity matrix*
- *Total sensitivity*

Determination

Analysis of a sample to estimate quantitatively the amount (content, concentration) of (an) analyte(s).

- Quotation from ANAL CHEM [1975] at the end of the Glossary

Evaluation function (analytical function)

Inverse of the calibration function, $x = f^{-1}(y)$, describing the dependence of the analytical values from the measured values, being so the basis of analytical evaluation.

Homogeneity

A qualitative term used to describe that the analyte is uniformly distributed through the sample. The degree of homogeneity may also be characterized quantitatively as a result of a statistical test.

- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
- *Inhomogeneity*
- Sect. 2.1
- Eq. (2.9)

Hyphenated techniques

Coupling of two (or more) separate analytical techniques via appropriate interfaces and computer with the goal to obtain faster a higher amount of information on the subject under investigation.

- HIRSCHFELD [1980]
- KELLNER et al. [1998]
- By hyphenating analytical methods, the dimension of analytical information will be increased (usually by one)
- Sect. 3.4

Identification

Recognizing of (an) unknown constituent(s) in an analytical test sample. In contrast, by qualitative analysis it is tested whether (a) known constituent(s) are present or absent.

- Sect. 9.3

Imprecision

A quantitative term to describe the (lack of) “precision” of an analytical procedure (e.g. by *standard deviation*).

→ IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
 → *Precision*
 → *Imprecision of analytical results*, see Sect. 7.1
 → *Standard deviation*

Inaccuracy

A quantitative term to describe the (lack of) accuracy of an analytical procedure which comprises the imprecision *and* the bias.

→ IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
 → *Accuracy*
 × *Inaccuracy* should not be confused with *uncertainty*, see IUPAC [1994a]

Inhomogeneity

“Term used to describe situations where the analyte is unevenly distributed through the sample matrix”.
 The degree of inhomogeneity may be characterized quantitatively by Eq. (2.9) the value of which becomes negative with the transition from homogeneity to inhomogeneity.

→ PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
 → Sect. 2.1
 × The term *inhomogeneity* should not be confused with *heterogeneity*

Interlaboratory study

“A study in which several laboratories measure a quantity in one or more identical portions of homogeneous, stable materials under documented conditions, the results of which are compiled into a single report”.

According to the evaluation types, it is differentiated between:

- (1) Method-performance studies.
- (2) Laboratory-performance studies.
- (3) Material-certification studies.

IUPAC [1994b]
 → A minimum of five laboratories should be used to provide meaningful statistical conclusions from interlaboratory studies
 → Sect. 8.2.4

Limit of decision (“ 3σ -limit of detection”)

The analytical value (e.g. the concentration) that corresponds to the critical value. The limit of decision is of minor importance in analytical chemistry because the detection at this level of concentration succeeds only in 50% of all cases.

EHRlich and DANZER [2006]; IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
 → Sect. 7.5
 → Fig. 7.7
 → *Critical value*
 → *Detection limit*
 × The decision limit should not be used as a performance characteristic of analytical methods and also not as a limit of guarantee of an analyte

Limit of detection (LD)

The analytical value, x_{LD} , that always produce a signal which can be distinguished from the blank (except for a remaining risk of error β).

LD is the limit in the sample domain (analyte domain). It characterizes analytical procedures, in particular with regard to the limit concentration that can be detected. Therefore, the *LD* stands for the *guarantee of absence* of an analyte.

EHRlich and DANZER [2006]; IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
 → Sect. 7.5
 → Fig. 7.7
 → *Critical value*

Limit of determination → **Limit of quantitation****Limit of quantitation (LQ)**

An analytical value, x_{LQ} , above which quantitative determinations are possible with a given minimum precision. The condition on precision must be declared in each case. For a given precision $k = x_{LQ}/\Delta x_{LQ}$, the limit of quantification can be estimated by Eqs. (7.48) and (7.49).

EHRlich and DANZER [2006]; IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
 → *Precision*
 → For factual reasons, the limit of quantification cannot be lower than the limit of detection
 → The declaration of precision must always be given because it is an inherent component of *LQ*

Linear dynamic range

The range of concentration in which the response varies linearly with the analyte concentration.

→ SHARAF et al. [1986]

Linearity

Ability of an analytical method to give a response which depends linearly on the analyte concentration.

→ PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

→ SHARAF et al. [1986]

Matrix

All of the constituents of a sample except the analyte. The matrix is the carrier of the analyte.

→ IUPAC ORANGE BOOK

→ [1997, 2000]

→ *Analyte*

Matrix effect

Influence of one or more matrix constituent(s) on the analyte under study. Matrix influences may affect the analyte signal directly by interferences or indirectly by signal depression or amplification.

→ Sect. 3.5

→ Eqs. (3.12)–(3.14); (3.16); (3.17)

Measurand

“Particular quantity subject to measurement”.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]

Measured result

Measured value, obtained by measurement and completed by information on the uncertainty of measurement.

→ Sect. 8.1

→ *Measured value*

→ *Uncertainty*

Measured value

“Outcome of an analytical measurement” or “value attributed to a measurand”. A measured value is a “Magnitude of a measuring quantity generally expressed as a unit of measurement multiplied by a number”.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]

IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]

→ *Measuring quantity*

Measuring quantity

“Attribute of a phenomenon . . . that may be distinguished qualitatively and determined quantitatively”.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]

Measuring sample

Sample that is directly introduced into analytical measurement. A measured sample is created from a test sample by conversion into a measurable form by means of a procedure of sample preparation.

→ Sect. 2.2

Metrology

“Science of measurement”.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]

Monitoring

Continuous or repeated observation, measurement, and evaluation of a process in a certain field of application (e.g., environmental surveillance, health checking, foodstuff inspection, quality assurance in manufacturing), according to given schedules in space and time.

Multicomponent analysis (multispecies analysis)

Simultaneous determination of several analytes (species) by means of a multicomponent sensing technique or hyphenated techniques.

→ IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]

→ IUPAC [2004]

Noise

Fluctuations of the baseline- or background record of an (analytical) instrument. Noise do not provide meaningful information, on the contrary, it degrades the quality of signals and, therefore their detectability.

→ *Background*

→ *Baseline*

→ *Signal-to-noise ratio, R/N*, is a measure of the quality of signals

→ Sect. 7.5

→ Figs. 7.9B and 7.10

Population

“Finite or infinite set of individuals (objects, items). A population implicitly contains all the useful information for calculating the true values of the population parameters”, e.g., the mean μ and the standard deviation σ .

FRANK and TODESCHINI [1994]

→ *Sample* (in the statistical sense)

Precision

“The closeness of agreement between independent test results obtained under stipulated conditions”.

“The precision of a set of results of measurements may be quantified as a standard deviation”.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]; GAT II [1996]

→ KAISER and SPECKER [1956]

× In fact, standard deviation characterizes *imprecision*

→ Sect. 7.1.1; Eqs. (7.8) and (7.9)

Prediction interval (PI)

Statistical interval, e.g., of a mean, \bar{x} , $prd(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} \pm \Delta\bar{x}_{prd}$, that express the uncertainty of analytical values which are predicted on the basis of experimental calibration. *PIs* are applied for significance tests and to establish quantities for limit values (*LD*, *LQ*).

→ Sect. 7.5

→ *Limit of detection*

→ *Limit of quantification*

→ *Confidence interval*

Proficiency test

“Study of laboratory performance by means of ongoing interlaboratory test comparisons”.

ISO GUIDE 33 [1989]

PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

→ *Interlaboratory study*

Qualitative analysis

Testing whether (a) known constituent(s) are present or absent in test samples.

In contrast, identification means recognizing of (an) unknown constituent(s) in a test sample.

→ Sect. 9.3

Quantitative analysis

Determination of the amount(s) of (an) analyte(s) in a test sample.

→ *Assay*

Random variable

A quantity that appears in a random experiment. Random variables relate events into a set of values.

→ SACHS [1992]

→ FRANK and TODESCHINI [1994]

Range (in the analytical sense)

“The interval between the upper and the lower concentration of the analyte in the sample for which it has been determined that the method is applicable”.

PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

Range (in the statistical sense)

Difference between the greatest and the smallest values of a series of measurements.

→ PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

→ Sect. 4.3.2

Recalibration

Updating of a calibration model in the case that details of the analytical procedure are changed.

→ *Standard operating procedure*

Reference material

“A material or substance one or more of whose property values are sufficiently homogeneous and well established to be used for the calibration of an apparatus, the assessment of a measurement method or for assigning values to materials”.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]

→ GAT IV [1996]

→ PRITCHARD et al. [2001]

Regression

Statistical method to model a mathematical equation that describes the relationship between random variables (usually x and y). The goal of regression analysis is both modelling and predicting.

→ SACHS [1992]

→ FRANK and TODESCHINI [1994]

→ *Regression model*

→ Sect. 6.1.3

Regression coefficients (*regression parameter*)

Coefficients of the predictors in a regression model, e.g., a_x and b_x or a_y and b_y , respectively, in linear regression models.

→ Eqs. (6.8) to (6.10)

Regression model

Mathematical model that describes the relationship between random variables (usually x and y) by means of regression coefficients and their uncertainties as well as uncertainties of model and the prediction.

In linear regression there are two different models:

that of the prediction of y from x

$$\hat{y} = a_x + b_x x \quad (6.6)$$

and that of the prediction of x from y

$$\hat{x} = a_y + b_y y \quad (6.7)$$

→ SACHS [1992]

→ FRANK and TODESCHINI [1994]

→ Sect. 6.1.3

× Eq. (6.7) is not the inverse of Eq. (6.6), viz

$$\hat{x} = a_y + b_y y \neq \frac{\hat{y} - a_x}{b_x}$$

though Eq. (6.7) approximates to Eq. (6.6) in the same degree as the correlation coefficient r_{xy} approximates to 1

Relative standard deviation (RSD)

Standard deviation expressed as a fraction of the mean $s_{rel} = s/\bar{x}$. RSD is a dimensionless quantity; sometimes it is multiplied by 100 and expressed as a percentage.

× The use of the term “*coefficient of variation*” (“*variation coefficient*”) is not recommended by IUPAC

→ IUPAC Orange Book [1997, 2000]

Reliability

A qualitative term that covers precision and accuracy as well as robustness (ruggedness).

Repeatability (of results of measurements)

“Closeness of the agreement between the results of successive measurements of the same measurand carried out under the same conditions of measurement” (Precision under repeatability conditions). Repeatability may be expressed quantitatively in terms of suitable dispersion characteristics.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]

→ GAT I [1996]

→ Sect. 7.1.3

→ Repeatability conditions include the same measurement procedure, the same observer, the same measuring instrument, used under the same conditions, the same location, and repetition over a short period of time
→ *Repeatability standard deviation*

→ *Repeatability interval*

Repeatability standard deviation (s_{repeat})

Experimental standard deviation obtained from a series of n measurements under repeatability conditions.

- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
- The number of measurements should be about $n = 10$

Repeatability interval (*repeatability limit*)

A confidence interval representing the maximum permitted difference between two single test results under repeatability conditions:

$$r = t_{1-\alpha, \nu} \sqrt{2} \cdot s_{repeat}$$

- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
- In the given formula, $t_{1-\alpha, \nu}$ is the quantile of the respective t -distribution (the degrees of freedom ν relates to the number of replicates by which s_{repeat} has been estimated)

Reproducibility (of results of measurements)

“Closeness of the agreement between the results of measurements of the same measurand carried out under changed conditions of measurement” (Precision under reproducibility conditions).

Reproducibility may be expressed quantitatively in terms of suitable dispersion characteristics.

- ISO 3524-1 [1993]
- GAT I [1996]
- Sect. 7.1.3
- Reproducibility conditions are characterized by changing conditions such as: observer, measuring instrument, conditions of use, location, time, but applying the same method
- *Reproducibility standard deviation*
- *Reproducibility interval*

Reproducibility standard deviation (s_{repro})

Experimental standard deviation obtained from a series of measurements under reproducibility conditions.

- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
- The number of measurements should be sufficiently large to estimate a representative reproducibility standard deviation

Reproducibility interval (*reproducibility limit*)

A confidence interval representing the maximum permitted difference between two single test results under reproducibility conditions:

$$R = t_{1-\alpha, \nu} \sqrt{2} \cdot s_{repro}$$

- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
- In the given formula, $t_{1-\alpha, \nu}$ is the quantile of the t -distribution (the degrees of freedom ν relates to the number of replicates by which s_{repro} has been estimated)

Resolution

Process by which a composite signal is split up into individual forms. The resolution can be related to:

- (i) Signal overlappings and fine structure (z -scale)
- (ii) Signals in close succession in time and space

→ Sect. 6.4.1

→ Sect. 7.6

Resolution limit

The smallest difference Δz at which two adjacent signals can be separately observed, i.e., their overlap does not exceed a threshold of 50% of the individual profiles.

→ SHARAF et al. [1986]

→ In case (ii) of resolution of the resolution problem, Δt and Δl are the crucial parameters

→ Sect. 7.6

Resolution power

Ability of an analytical procedure to detect signals of small differences as separate signals. Resolution power is inversely proportional to resolution limit, e.g., $R = z/\Delta z$

→ SHARAF et al. [1986]

→ Sect. 7.6

Response

Output of an analytical system as a reaction to a certain stimulus.

→ Stimulus

→ The output may be an observable or measurable effect

Response function

Relationship between the response of the analytical system and the amount of analyte. The overall response function is frequently nonlinear.

→ SHARAF et al. [1986]

→ Calibration function

Response variable (dependent variable)

→ *Measuring quantity, measured value*

Robustness

Property of an analytical procedure that indicates insensitivity against changes of known operational parameters on the results of the method and hence its suitability for its defined purpose.

- BURNS et al. [2005]
- ICH [1996]
- Robustness may be quantified by means of quantities characterizing signal effects
- Eq. (7.31)

Round robin test → *interlaboratory study*

Ruggedness

Property of an analytical procedure that indicates insensitivity against changes of known operational variables and in addition any variations (not discovered in intra-laboratory experiments) which may be revealed by inter-laboratory studies.

- BURNS et al. [2005]
- Ruggedness may be quantified by means of quantities characterizing signal effects
- Eq. (7.33)

Sample (in the analytical sense)

Portion of the object under study (the material submitted for analysis). A sample consists of the analyte and the matrix.

- PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
- There are various types of samples within given sampling schemes, e.g., bulk samples > primary samples > gross samples > subsamples > test samples > measuring samples
- Fig. 2.4

Sample (in the statistical sense)

“Subset of a population that is collected in order to estimate the properties of the underlying population”, e.g., the sample parameters mean \bar{x} and standard deviation s . In the ideal case of representative sampling, the sample parameter fit the parameter of the population μ and σ , respectively.

- FRANK and TODESCHINI [1994]

Sample domain (*analyte domain*)

Field of analytical operation that is characterized by samples' properties such as type of analytes, Q , and their amount, x_Q . The transition to signal domain is done by calibration and analytical measurement.

- Fig. 2.12
- *Signal domain*

Sampling

“Sequence of selective and non-selective operations ending with the selection of one or several test portions submitted to the analytical process in their entirety. Their physical properties (maximum particle size, mass, etc) are specified in the analytical procedure.” Sampling covers sampling (in the narrow sense) and sample reduction.

- Gy [1992]
- Sect. 2.1
- Fig. 2.4

Screening

Testing of (a large number of) objects in order to identify those with particular characteristics.

- Sect. 1.2
- Fig. 1.5

Selectivity

The extent to which n given analytes can be measured simultaneously by (a least) n sensors (detecting channels) without interferences by other components and, therefore, can be detected and determined independently and undisturbedly.

- KAISER [1972]; DANZER [2001]
- Sect. 7.3
- Eq. (7.24)
- × *Selectivity* should not be merged with *specificity*: *selectivity* relates to multicomponent analysis and *specificity* to single component analysis

Sensitivity

“Change in the response of a measuring instrument divided by the corresponding change in the stimulus”. In analytical measurements is this, in fact, the differential quotient of the measured value to the analytical value.

- ISO 3435-1 [1993]; GAT VII [1997]
- Sect. 7.2
- Eq. (7.12)
- × *Sensitivity* should not be confused with *limit of detection*

Sensitivity matrix (*Matrix of partial sensitivities*)

Matrix that contains all the sensitivities and cross sensitivities of a multicomponent (multidetector) analytical system.

- KAISER [1972]; DANZER [2001]
- Sect. 7.2
- Eq. (7.17)
- *Cross sensitivity*

Signal

“Response of a device (usually an instrument or a module of an instrument) to certain stimuli”. A signal is characterized by at least three parameters: position, intensity, and width (symmetry, shape).

- SHARAF et al. [1986]
- Sect. 3.3
- Fig. 3.6

Signal domain (*response domain*)

Field of analytical operation that is characterized by signal properties such as signal position, z , and signal intensity, y_z . The transition to sample domain is done by analytical evaluation (signal decoding).

- Fig. 2.12
- *Sample domain*

Signal function

Record of signal intensity in dependence of the signal position over a certain range of the z -scale: $y = f(z)$.

Signal-to-noise ratio

Measure of the precision of signal measurement, expressed mostly by the ratio of the net signal value to a noise parameter (standard deviation or peak-to-peak distance).

- Sect. 7.1, Fig. 7.2
- Eqs. (7.1)–(7.6)

Specificity

The extent to which *one individual* analyte can be measured undisturbedly in a real sample by a specific reagent, a particular sensor or a comparable specific measuring system.

- KAISER [1972]; DANZER [2001]
- Sect. 7.3
- Eq. (7.26)
- *Selectivity*
- × *Specificity* should not be merged with *selectivity*

Specimen

Fraction of a lot (batch sample) taken without respecting the rules for sampling correctness or under unknown conditions.

Gy [1992]

Standard deviation (SD)

Dispersion parameter for the distribution of measured values, s_y , or analytical results, s_x , for a given sample or the population, σ_y and σ_x . The SD is the square root of the variance.

→ IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
 → SACHS [1992]
 → DIXON and MASSEY [1969]
 → Sect. 4.1.2
 → Eqs. (4.12)–(4.14)

Standard error

The term “standard error” is not explicitly introduced. It is used sometimes (a) synonymously for standard deviation and (b) for the residual standard deviation in modelling and calibration.

→ SACHS [1992]
 → FRANK and TODESCHINI [1994]
 × The term standard error should be avoided

Stimulus

Property of an analytical system to produce a response of an observation- or measuring system. Rousing effect of an analyte that can be characterized qualitatively and quantitatively.

Standard operating procedure (SOP)

“A set of written instructions that document a routine or repetitive activity followed by an organization”.

EPA [2001]
 → PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
 → Fig. 7.1

Test

Process of analyzing the sample to recognize (an) analyte(s) and/or determine the amount(s) of (an) analyte(s).

→ PRITCHARD et al. [2001]
 → Quotation from ANAL CHEM [1975] at the end of the Glossary

Total sensitivity (*total multicomponent sensitivity*)

Sensitivity of a multicomponent analysis. In the simplest case it is given by the determinant of the sensitivity matrix.

- SHARAF et al. [1986]
- MASSART et al. [1988]
- Sect. 7.2
- Eqs. (7.18)–(7.20)

Traceability

“The property of a result of measurement whereby it can be related to appropriate standards, generally international or national standards, through an unbroken chain of comparisons”.

- GAT I [1996]
- ISO 3435-1 [1993]
- All the standards used should have stated uncertainties

Trackability

“The property of a result of a measurement whereby the result can be uniquely related to the sample”.

- GAT I [1996]

True value

“Value consistent with the definition of a given particular quantity” and “value which characterizes a quantity perfectly defined in the conditions which exist when that quantity is considered”.

- ISO 3534-1 [1993]
- GAT III [1996]
- *Conventional true value*
- Sect. 7.1

Trueness

“Closeness of agreement between the average value obtained from a large series of test results and an accepted reference value”.

Trueness has been referred to as “accuracy of the mean”.

- IUPAC ORANGE BOOK [1997, 2000]
- CODEX ALIMENTARIUS COMMISSION [1997]
- Sect. 7.1.3

Uncertainty of measurement

“Parameter, associated with the result of a measurement, that characterizes the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand”. The uncertainty should combine both statistical and non-statistical contributions to the variation of the measured values which may occur in all steps of the analytical process.

ISO 3524-1 [1993]
 EURACHEM [1995]
 GAT I [1996]
 → The uncertainty of measurement may be expressed by the combined or extended uncertainty, $u(y)$ or $U(y)$, respectively
 → Sect. 4.2
 → Eqs. (4.25), (4.26) and (4.29) to (4.32)

Uncertainty of an analytical result

Interval, e.g., of a mean, $U(\bar{x})$, that express the uncertainty of analytical values considering statistical and non-statistical variations within the measurement process plus uncertainties of experimental calibration.

→ Sect. 4.2
 → Eq. (4.32)

Validation (of an analytical method)

“Process by which it is established, by laboratory studies, that the performance characteristics of the method meet the requirements for the intended analytical applications”.

USP XXII < 1225 > [1990]
 WEGSCHEIDER [1996]
 EURACHEM [1998]
 → Typical performance characteristics that should be considered in the validation are: *precision, accuracy, limit of detection, limit of quantitation, selectivity, range, linearity, robustness, ruggedness*

Variable

“Characteristic of an object that may take on any value from a specified set”.

FRANK and TODESCHINI [1994]
 → There are several types of variables, e.g., *categorical, dependent and independent, experimental and theoretical, manifest and latent, random, standardized variables*

Variance

Dispersion parameter for the distribution of measured values, s_y^2 , or analytical results, s_x^2 , for a given sample or the population, σ_y^2 and σ_x^2 . Statistically defined as the second moment about the mean.

→ IUPAC [1995]; CURRIE [1999]
→ SACHS [1992]
→ DIXON and MASSEY [1969]
→ Sect. 4.1.2
→ Eqs. (4.8); (4.10)

Working range → *Range* (in the analytical sense)

Analysis, Identification, Determination, and Assay (quoted from ANAL CHEM [1975])

“While most chemists probably realize the difference between the terms *analyze*, *identify*, and *determine*, they are frequently careless when using them. Most frequently the term *analysis* is used when *determination* is meant.

A study of the nomenclature problem indicates that only samples are *analyzed*; elements, ions, and compounds are *identified* or *determined*. The difficulty occurs when the sample is nominally an element or compound (of unknown purity). ‘Analysis of ...’ (an element or compound) must be understood to mean the identification or determination of impurities. When the intent is to determine how much of such a sample is the material indicated by the name, *assay* is the proper word.”

References

- Anal Chem (1975), published in several issues amongst the Instructions for Authors, e.g., 47:2527
- Burns DT, Danzer K, Townshend A (2005) IUPAC, Analytical Chemistry Division. *Use of the terms “Robust” and “Rugged” and the associated characteristics of “Robustness” and “Ruggedness” in descriptions of analytical procedures*. Draft 2005
- Codex Alimentarius Commission (1997) ALINORM 97/23A, Appendix III: *Analytical terminology for codex use*
- Currie LA (1999) *Nomenclature in evaluation of analytical methods including detection and quantification capabilities (IUPAC Recommendations 1995)*. Anal Chim Acta 391:105
- Danzer, K (2001) *Selectivity and specificity in analytical chemistry. General considerations and attempt of a definition and quantification*. Fresenius J Anal Chem 369:397
- Dixon WJ, Massey, FJ (1969) *Introduction to statistical analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York
- Ehrlich, G, Danzer, K (2006) *Nachweisvermögen von Analysenverfahren. Objektive Bewertung und Ergebnisinterpretation*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York

- EPA (2001) Environmental Protection Agency. *Requirements for quality assurance project plans for environmental data operations* (EPA QA R/5)
- EURACHEM (1995) *Quantifying uncertainty in analytical measurement*. Teddington
- EURACHEM (1998) *The fitness for purpose of analytical methods*. Teddington
- Frank IE, Todeschini R (1994) *The data analysis handbook*. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- GAT: *Glossary of analytical terms*. Series in the journal "Accreditation and Quality Assurance"
- I. (1996) 1:41 (Fleming J, Neidhart B, Tausch C, Wegscheider W)
- II. (1996) 1:87 (Fleming J, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- III. (1996) 1:135 (Fleming J, Neidhart B, Albus H, Wegscheider W)
- IV. (1996) 1:190 (Fleming J, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- V. (1996) 1:233 (Fleming J, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- VI. (1996) 1:277 (Fleming J, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- VII. (1997) 2:51 (Fleming J, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- VIII. (1997) 2:160 (Fleming J, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- IX. (1997) 2:348 (Prichard E, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- X. (1998) 3:171 (Prichard E, Albus H, Neidhart B, Wegscheider W)
- Gy PM (1992) *Sampling of heterogeneous and dynamic material systems: theories of heterogeneity, sampling and homogenizing*. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Hirschfeld T (1980) *The hy-phen-ated methods*. *Anal Chem* 52(2):297A
- Holcombe D (1999/2000) *Alphabetical index of defined terms and where they can be found*. *Accr Qual Assur Part I: A-F* 4:525; *Part II: G-Q* 5:77; *Part III: R-Z* 5:159
- ICH (1996) ICH Topic Q2B (ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline). *Validation of analytical methods: methodology* (CPMP/ICH/281/95), ICH, London
- ISO 3534-1 (1993) International Organization for Standardization (BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, OIML), *International vocabulary of basic and general terms in metrology*. Geneva
- ISO Guide 33 (1989) International Organization for Standardization, *Use of certified reference materials*. Genova
- IUPAC (1994a) Analytical Chemistry Division, Commission on Analytical Nomenclature: *Recommendations for the presentation of results of chemical analysis*. Prepared for publication by LA Currie and G Svehla. *Pure Appl Chem* 66:595
- IUPAC (1994b) Analytical Chemistry Division, Commission on Analytical Nomenclature, Commission on General Aspects of Analytical Chemistry: *Nomenclature of interlaboratory analytical studies*. Prepared for publication by W Horwitz. *Pure Appl Chem* 66:1903
- IUPAC (1995) Analytical Chemistry Division, Commission on General Aspects of Analytical Chemistry: *Nomenclature in evaluation of analytical methods including detection and quantification capabilities (IUPAC Recommendations 1995)*. Prepared for publication by LA Currie. *Pure Appl Chem* 67:1699

- IUPAC (1998) Analytical Chemistry Division, Commission on General Aspects of Analytical Chemistry: *Guidelines for calibration in analytical chemistry*. Prepared for publication by K Danzer, LA Currie. Pure Appl Chem 70:993
- IUPAC (2004) Analytical Chemistry Division: *Guidelines for calibration in analytical chemistry. Part 2. Multispecies calibration (IUPAC Technical Report)*. Prepared for publication by K Danzer, M Otto, LA Currie. Pure Appl Chem 76:1215
- IUPAC Orange Book (1997, 2000)
– printed version: *Compendium of Analytical Nomenclature (Definitive Rules 1997)*, 3rd edn. Edited by J Inczédy, JT Lengyel, AM Ure, A Geleneser, A Hulanicki. Blackwell, Oxford, 1997
– web version (from 2000 on): www.iupac.org/publications/analytical_compendium/
- Kaiser H, Specker H (1956) *Bewertung und Vergleich von Analysenmethoden*. Fresenius Z Anal Chem 149:46
- Kaiser H (1972) *Zur Definition von Selektivität, Spezifität und Empfindlichkeit von Analysenverfahren*. Fresenius Z Anal Chem 260:252
- Kellner R, Mermet J-M, Otto M, Widmer HM (Eds) (1998) *Analytical chemistry. The approved text to the FECS curriculum analytical chemistry*. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, New York
- Massart DL, Vandeginste BGM, Deming SN, Michotte Y, Kaufman L (1988) *Chemometrics: a textbook*. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Prichard, E, Green, J, Houlgate, P, Miller, J, Newman, E, Phillips, G, Rowley, A (2001) *Analytical measurement terminology – handbook of terms used in quality assurance of analytical measurement*. LGC, Teddington, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge
- Sachs L (1992) *Angewandte Statistik 7th edn*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Sharaf MA, Illman DL, Kowalski BR (1986) *Chemometrics*. Wiley, New York
- Taylor JK (1987) *Quality assurance of chemical measurements*. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI
- USP XXII < 1225 > (1990), United States Pharmacopoeia, *Validation of compendial methods*
- Wegscheider W (1996) *Validation of analytical methods*. Chap 6 in: Günzler H (ed) *Accreditation and quality assurance in analytical chemistry*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York

Index

- Absolute measurement 35, 124
- Acceptance function 93, 94
- Accumulation 52
- Accuracy 141, 183, 184, 283
- Activation function 166, 168
- Alternative hypothesis 79
- Analysis 283, 305
- Analysis of variance 19, 21, 83, 101, 199
 - one-way 102, 103
 - three-way 104–107
 - two-way 21, 103–105
- Analyte 23, 284
- Analytical chemistry
 - definition 1, 3
 - development 2
 - field of operation 9
 - progress 5
 - repertoire 5
- Analytical data
 - dimensionality 59
- Analytical evaluation 28, 36
- Analytical function 33, 59, 284, 289
- Analytical image 59, 250, 252
- Analytical information 28
 - dimensionality 26
- Analytical measurement 27, 28, 31
- Analytical method 284
- Analytical procedure 284
- Analytical process 13, 14, 284
- Analytical quantity 32, 124, 284
- Analytical resolving power 209, 275
- Analytical result 36, 217, 218, 284
- Analytical sciences 4
- Analytical signal 2, 4, 44, 101
 - appearance 46
 - conversion 47
 - detection 47
 - generation 46
 - genesis 45, 46
 - time characteristics 45
- Analytical technique 178, 284
- Analytical trinity 5
- Analytical value 14, 218, 285
- Andrews plot 245
- ANOVA: analysis of variance 19, 21, 83, 101, 199
- Artificial intelligence 246
- Artificial neural networks 117, 120, 165, 248
- Assay 285, 305
- At-line analysis 15
- Attribute testing 92
- Autocorrelation 21, 52
- Autocorrelation function 22, 51

- Background 52, 207, 285
- Background noise 208
- Backpropagation networks 167, 248
- Baseline 285
- Benninghoven matrix 30
- Bias 66, 142, 184, 285
- Blank 35, 63, 125, 202, 285
- Blank correction 75
- Blank measurement 286
- Blank sample 286
- Bootstrapping 149
- Boxplots 243
- Box-Wilson optimization method 115
- Bulk analysis 6

- Calibration 28, 67, 123–125, 286
 - by artificial neural networks 165
 - individual three-dimensional 147
 - linear 130
 - orthogonal 140

- Calibration function 29, 34, 35, 124, 126, 127, 186, 286
- Calibration model 125
 general three-dimensional 126
 linear 130
- Calibration points 125
- Calibration samples 125, 287
- Categorical quantities 28
- Cause-effect diagrams 76
- Certified reference material 68, 125, 141, 148, 287
- Chemical blank 287
- Chemical information 43
- Chemical measurement process 13, 43, 44
- Chemical shift 60
- Chemometrics 4
- Chernoff face 245
- Classification 229
- Classification methods 235
- Cluster analysis 229, 231, 234, 246
- Clustering
 hierarchical 232
- Coding 28, 29, 44, 123
- Combined signal 48
- Combined uncertainty 36, 76, 218
- Comparison
 of standard deviations 82
 of measured values 82
- Composite sample 16
- Concentration function 16–18
- Concentration profile 250, 277
- Concordancy rate 87
- Condition number 159, 162
- Confidence band 136
- Confidence interval 66, 72, 73, 127, 134, 136, 147, 218, 287
- Confidence limit 73, 93
- Control limit 96
- Control parameters 98
- Conventional true value 183, 225, 288
- Convolution 30
- Correlation 22, 288
- Correlation analysis 127
- Correlation coefficient 50, 77, 128, 129, 288
- Correlation matrix 128, 129, 231, 288
- Correlation time 22
- Covariance 75, 77
- Covariance matrix 128, 129, 231
- Coverage factor 78, 218
- Critical level 224
- Critical limit 224
- Critical sample mass 19, 20
- Critical signal-to-noise ratio 207
- Critical value 90, 201, 202, 204, 209, 220, 288
- Cross sensitivity 61, 188, 193, 289
- Cross validation 163
- Cross-correlation function 50
- Cusum control 97
- Data bases 36
- Data evaluation 67
- Data interpretation 229
- Data matrix 229
- Data processing 253
- Decoding 29, 44, 123
- Definitive measurement 35, 124
- Dendrogram 232, 246
- Density function 234
- Depth resolving power 211, 278
- Detection capability 206
- Detection limit 63, 207
- Detection power 206
- Determination 289, 305
- Deviation
 additive 67
 multiplicative 67
- Digital filtering 52
- Dimensionality 53, 56, 58
- Dimensionality reduction 229
- Dimensions
 chemical 53
 measuring 53
 physical 53
 statistical 53
- Direct calibration 158
- Direct imaging 253
- Discriminant function 230, 235
- Discriminant variable 230
- Dispersion factor 74
- Distribution analysis 6
- Dynamic analysis 6
- Eigenanalysis 229
- Eigenvalue 159, 231
- Eigenvector 231
- Elastic interactions 27

- Element analysis 29
Elemental images 277
Encoding 28
Enrichment 24
Error of the first kind 87, 91
Error of the second kind 79, 87, 91
Error propagation 67, 75
Euclidean distance 230
Evaluation 123
Evaluation function 34, 49, 126, 127, 284, 289
Exceeding limit 224
Experimental calibration 124
Experimental design 62, 108, 162
Expert system 36, 246
Extended uncertainty 77, 203, 218
Extraction 24
- Factor analysis 229, 231, 239
Factor extraction 240
Factor loadings 239
Factor rotation 241
Factor scores 239
Factorial design
 complete 109, 114
 fractional 111
 partial 111
 two-level 109
False negative rate 87
False positive rate 87
False-positive 79
Fourier transformation 50
 two-dimensional 254, 255
Frequency domain 50
F-test 18–20, 81, 102, 104
Fuzzy set theory 37, 150
- Genetic algorithms 117, 118, 120, 246, 250
Geometrical mean 73, 74, 218, 219
Geometrical resolving power 277
Global optimization 116, 118
Global optimum 113, 116, 118
Gradient analysis 21
Grid experiments 114
Gross sample 16
- Hat matrix 163
Heterogeneity 16, 17
Heteroscedasticity 132, 137
- Hidden layer 165
Histograms 243, 244
Homogeneity 16–18, 20, 104, 289
Homogeneity value 20
Homoscedasticity 129, 131, 143
Hyphenated techniques 25, 289
Hypothesis testing 62
- Icon plot 244
Identification 7, 34, 124, 274, 289, 305
Image analysis 253, 276
Image information 277
Image processing 253, 255, 256
Imprecision 183, 290
In-line analysis 14, 15
Inaccuracy 184, 269, 290
Indirect calibration 150, 157, 158
Inelastic interactions 27
Influence factor 60, 61, 101
Information 43
 one-dimensional 55
Information amount 26, 47, 274, 278
 potential 275
Information capacity 280
Information content 266, 267, 270, 271, 273
 of qualitative analysis 267, 268
Information density 280
Information efficiency 279, 280
Information flow 279, 280
Information performance 279, 280
Information process 43, 44
Information profitability 279, 280
Inhomogeneity 16, 17, 20, 290
Inhomogeneity variance 18
Input layer 165
Inspection analysis 54
Interferences 62, 101, 154, 189
Interlaboratory comparisons 62
Interlaboratory study 179, 195, 227, 228, 273, 290
Interquartile ranges 74
Intrinsic energy 27, 30
Inverse calibration 157, 159
- k* nearest neighbours 238
Kinetic energy 28
Kohonen network 249
- Laboratory information management systems 248

- Laboratory performance study 227
Laboratory sample 15
Laboratory standards 125
Latent information 28, 43
Latent variable 160
Lateral profiles 277
Lateral resolving power 210, 211, 277
Latin square design 108
Learning rate 167
Least squares
 linear 137
 ordinary 131–134
 orthogonal 132
 weighted 132
Least trimmed squares 145
Level of significance 218
Leverage points 144
Library search 36
Limit of decision 291
Limit of detection 6, 201, 204, 205, 220, 291
 multivariate 164
Limit of determination 206, 291
Limit of discrimination 88
Limit of quantification 201, 206, 220
Limit of quantitation 291
Limit of specification 88, 89
Limit values 201
LIMS 248
Line analysis 58
Linear calibration 130, 134
Linear discriminant analysis 238
Linear dynamic range 291
Linearity 142, 292
Local analysis 6
Local frequency 18
Local optimum 116

Mass resolving power 210
Material certification study 227
Matrix 23, 60, 61, 292
Matrix effect 112, 292
Matrix influence 148
Matrix of partial sensitivities 188
Mean (mean value) 69, 71, 98
Measurand 124, 292
Measured result 292
Measured value 14, 292
Measuring function 29, 47
Measuring quantity 292

Measuring sample 14, 23, 24, 26, 27, 147, 293
Median 74, 218
Median absolute deviation 74
Median statistics 145
Method performance study 227
Metrology 4, 293
Micro analysis 6
Minimal spanning tree 246
Missing data
 completion 222, 223
Monitoring 21, 293
Moving averages 223
Multi-range calibration 151
Multi-stage techniques 25
Multicollinearities 156, 160
Multicomponent analysis 6, 155, 189, 273, 293
Multicomponent calibration 155, 157
Multicomponent sensitivity 188, 189
Multifactorial design 62, 108
Multilayer perceptron 166
Multisignal calibration 152, 154
Multisignal evaluation 187
Multispecies analysis 293
Multivariate analytical images 256
Multivariate calibration 157, 162
 uncertainty 159
 validation 162
Multivariate discriminant function 235
Multivariate distances 230
Multivariate image 254
Multivariate similarity 232
Multivariate variance and discriminant analysis 229, 231, 235

Nano analysis 6
Natural computation 116
Natural design 116
Net function 166
Neural networks 246
Neuron 165, 167
Noise 52, 293
Noise function 52
Nonlinear calibration 134
Nonlinear calibration functions 152
Normal distribution 69, 70
 logarithmic 73
Null hypothesis 79, 102

- Off-line analysis 14
On-line analysis 14, 15
Optimization 111, 112
Optimum 114
Order of instruments 59
Output function 167
Output layer 165
- Partial least squares 152, 160
Partial sensitivity 61, 289
Pattern recognition 21, 236
Peak-to-peak noise 181
Performance characteristic curve 89
Performance characteristics 177
Performance parameters 5
Pixel 277
Plausibility 267
Poisson distribution 74
Population 293
Potential function 234
Power spectrum 51
Precision 63, 65, 141, 142, 162, 184, 294
 of a complete analytical technique 180
 of a measuring system 180
 of an analytical procedure 179, 184
 of an analytical result 180, 184
 of analytical methods 179
 of analytical techniques 179
 of calibration 142
 of trace analyses 182
Precision analysis 6
Prediction band 136
Prediction interval 127, 137, 180, 218, 294
Prediction rate 88
Predictive residual sum of squares 163
Prevalency 87
Primary sample 16
Principal component analysis 140, 152, 160, 187, 229, 231, 239
Principal component plot 241
Principal component regression 160
Probability 86
 conditional 86
Process analysis 6, 58, 276
Process sampling 21
Proficiency test 228, 294
Propagation function 166
Qualitative analysis 7, 34, 58, 124, 294
Quality assurance 90, 91, 273
Quality control chart 96
Quality criteria 90
Quantitative analysis 58, 124, 294
- Radial basis function nets 168
Random deviations 65–67
Random samples 69
Random variable 294
Random variations 69
Range 98, 295
Recalibration 295
Recovery 68
Recovery function 68, 126, 127, 141, 164
Recovery rate 98
Recovery study 141
Reduction of dimensionality 230
Redundancy 275
Reference calibration 133
Reference data 36
Reference material 225, 295
Reference measurements 35
 direct 124
 indirect 124
Reference method 133
Reference spectra 36, 49
Reference value 225, 226
Regression 127, 295
Regression analysis 21, 127, 138
Regression coefficient 129, 295
Regression model 130, 296
Rejection function 93, 94
Relative standard deviation 72, 296
Reliability 296
Repeatability 65, 69, 179, 296
Repeatability standard deviation 297
Reproducibility 65, 179, 297
Reproducibility interval 297
Reproducibility standard deviation 297
Resampling techniques 204
Residual error 104
Residual standard deviation 135
Resolution 298
Resolution limit 298
Resolution power 209, 298
Response domain 301
Response function 298
Response surface 113, 114, 117
Response variable 298

- Reverse calibration 157
Robust calibration 133, 134, 144, 146
Robust regression 144
Robustness 63, 112, 195, 196, 198, 299
Root mean standard error of prediction 171
Round robin test 299
Ruggedness 63, 195, 197, 198, 299
- Sample 299
Sample domain 28, 29, 32, 33, 201, 300
Sample preparation 23, 24, 67
 chemical 24
Sample pretreatment
 physical 24
Sampling 15, 67, 300
 representativeness 19
Sampling theorem 253
Scanning 253
Scattering factor 74
Scatterplot matrix 243, 244
Schematic plot 243, 244
Score images 256
Screening 9, 85, 271, 300
Screening limit 88
Screening test 85, 86, 89
Selectivity 159, 162, 189–193, 195, 300
Semivariogram analysis 23
Sensitivity 35, 61, 63, 125, 185, 186, 191, 199, 217, 300
Sensitivity coefficient 35
Sensitivity factor 124
Sensitivity functions 152
Sensitivity matrix 158, 188, 192, 301
Separation 24
Sequential analysis 93, 94
Signal 43, 301
 fine structure 52, 60
 form 48
 hidden 46
 latent 45
 manifest 45
 pattern 48
 shape 52
Signal averaging 52
Signal background 51
Signal domain 28, 29, 32, 33, 47, 201, 301
Signal function 30, 33, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50–52, 58, 180, 207, 301
 three-dimensional 55
 two-dimensional 54
Signal generation 14, 43, 60
Signal half width 47, 51, 209
Signal intensity 28, 50, 51, 60, 61
Signal model 62
Signal parameters 51, 60
Signal position 28, 36, 50, 51, 60
Signal recordings 49
Signal resolving power 47, 209
Signal validation 44
Signal-to-noise ratio 52, 180–182, 184, 207, 208, 301
Significance level 203
Simplex optimization 115, 117
Simulated annealing 117
Slope 125
Soft modeling methods 160
Spatial interpolation 223
Spatial resolving power 210, 212
Species images 250
Specificity 63, 189–193, 195, 301
Specificity function 195
Specimen 302
Spectra interpretation 248
Spectral resolving power 210
Spline function 151
Spline interpolation 223
Standard 34
Standard addition method 133, 146, 147
Standard additions 68
Standard deviation 69, 72, 74, 75, 98, 302
 of noise 181
Standard error 302
Standard error of prediction 162
Standard normal distribution 70
Standard operating procedure 180, 302
Standard samples 141
Standard value 90
Standardized data 230
Standards 125
Stimulus 302
Stochastic process 22, 23
Stochastic sampling 21
Structure analysis 7, 9, 29, 124
Subsample 16
Supervised learning 235
Surface analysis 58
Surface resolving power 211, 277
Systematic deviations 66, 67

- Target value 90, 95, 98
t-distribution 70
Temporal resolution power 211
Test 302
 for normal distribution 80
 for outliers 81
 for trend 80
 statistical 78
Test efficiency 87
Test kits 86
Test portion 16
Test sample 15, 16, 24, 26
Test spectra 49
Theory of fractals 59
Thresholds 201
Time domain 50
Time resolving power 276
Time series analysis 21
Tolerance limits 92
Total sensitivity 303
Trace analysis 6
Traceability 125, 126, 303
Trackability 303
Trans-line analysis 15
Transfer function 166, 167
Trend surface analysis 223
True negative rate 86
True positive rate 86
True signal function 30, 52
True value 66, 183, 303
Trueness 164, 183, 184, 269, 303
t-test
 generalized 83
Ultra trace analysis 6
Uncertainty 17, 18, 36, 65, 67, 76, 204,
 205, 269
 at critical value 204
 at limit of detection 205
 at limit of quantification 205
 of an analytical result 304
Uncertainty interval 66, 78, 202, 217, 225,
 227
 unsymmetrical 219
Uncertainty of measurement 75, 180,
 304
Uncertainty of sampling 19
Unsupervised pattern recognition 231
Validation 68, 90, 140, 190, 304
Validation function 141
Variable 304
Variable testing 92
Variance 71, 102, 104, 305
 common 240
 residual 240
 true 240
 unique 240
Variance function 144
Variance-covariance matrix 231
Volume resolving power 211, 278
Voxel 278
Warning limit 96
Wavelength selection 120
Weighted calibration 144
Working range 305
z-scores 228