
Literatur

- Aalen, O.O., Borgan, Ø. & Gjessing, H. (2008). *Survival and event history analysis*. New York: Springer.
- AAPOR (2011) Standard definitions. <www.aapor.org>.
- Aellig, S. (2004). *Flow. Über den Sinn des Unsinn: Flow-Erleben und Wohlbefinden als Anreize für autotelische Tätigkeiten*. Münster: Waxman.
- Ahmavaara, Y. (1954a). The mathematical theory of factorial invariance under selection. *Psychometrika*, 19, 27-38.
- Ahmavaara, Y. (1954b). Transformational analysis of factorial data. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae*, 88, 1-150.
- Ajzen, I. (2005). *Attitudes, personality and behavior* (2nd edition). Maidenhead, UK: McGraw-Hill International Open University Press.
- Ajzen, I. & Krebs, D. (1994). Attitude theory and measurement. In I. Borg & P.P. Mohler (Eds.), *Trends and perspectives in empirical social research* (pp. 250-265). New York: de Gruyter.
- Alonso, G. et al. (2004). *Web services: Concepts, architecture, and applications*. New York: Springer.
- Alwin, D.F. (2011). Evaluating the reliability and validity of survey interview data using The MTMM approach. In J. Madans et al. (Eds.), *Question evaluation methods* (pp. 263-293) Hoboken: John Wiley.
- Alwin, D.F. (2014). Investigating response errors in survey data. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 43, 3-14.
- Alwin, D.F. (2007). *Margins of error: A study of reliability in survey measurement*. Hoboken NJ: John Wiley
- Alwin, D.F. & Jackson, D.J. (1980). Measurement models for response errors in surveys: Issues and applications. In K. Schuessler (Ed.), *Sociological Methodology 1980*. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Alwin, D.F. & Krosnick, J.A. (1991). The reliability of survey attitude measurement: The influence of question and respondent attributes. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 20, 139-181.
- Amaya, A. et al. (2015). Where to start. An evaluation of primary data-collection modes in an Address-based sampling design. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 79, 420-442.
- Andréß, H.-J., Golsch, K. & Schmidt, A.W. (2013). *Applied panel data analysis for economic and social surveys*. New York: Springer.
- Andrews, F.M. (1984). Construct validity and error components of survey measures: A structural equation approach. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 48, 409-442.
- Aquilino, W.S. (1992). Telephone vs. face-to-face interviewing for household drug use surveys. *International Journal of Addiction*, 27, 71-91.
- Aquilino, W.S. & LoSciuto, L.A. (1990). Effects of interview mode on self-reported drug use. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 54, 362-395.
- Aquilino, W.S., Wright, D.L. & Supple, A.J. (2000). Response effects due to bystander presence in CASI and Paper-and-Pencil surveys of drug use and alcohol use. *Substance Use & Misuse* 35, 845-867.

- Arbeitsgruppe Regionale Standards (2013). *Regionale Standards*. Köln: GESIS-Leibniz- Institut für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Arbeitskreis Deutscher Markt- und Sozialforschungsinstitute e.V. (2013). *Stichprobenverfahren in der Umfrageforschung* (2. Auflage). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Arbuckle, J.L. (2011). Amos 20 reference guide. Amos development Corporation.
- Arminger, G., Clogg, C.C. & Sobel, M.E. (1995). *Handbook of statistical modeling for the social and behavioral sciences*. London: Plenum Press.
- Arminger, G. & Stein, P. (1997). Finite mixtures of covariance structure models with regressors. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 26, 148-182.
- Atkins, L. & Jarret, D. (1979). The significance of "significance tests". In J. Irvine, I. Miles & J. Evans (Eds.). *Desmystifying social statistics* (pp. 87-109). London: Pluto.
- Austin, J.L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ayidiya, S.A. & McClendon, M.J. (1990). Response effects in mail surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 54, 229-247.
- Bacher, J. (2002). Statistisches Matching: Anwendungsmöglichkeiten, Verfahren und Ihre Umsetzung in SPSS. *ZA-Informationen*, 51, 38-66.
- Backhaus, K. et al. (2006). *Multivariate Analysemethoden* (11. Auflage). New York: Springer.
- Baio, G., Blangiardo, G.C. & Blangiardo, M. (2011). Centre sampling techniques for foreign migration surveys: A methodological note. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27, 451-465.
- Baker, R.P., Bradburn, N.M. & Johnson, R.A. (1995). Computer-assisted personal interviewing: An experimental evaluation of data quality and costs. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 11, 413-431.
- Bandilla, W. (1999). WWW-Umfragen - Eine alternative Erhebungstechnik für die empirische Sozialforschung? In B. Batinic et al. (Hrsg.), *Online Research. Methoden, Anwendungen und Ergebnisse* (S. 9-19). Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Bandilla, W. & Bosnjak, M. (2000). Online-surveys als Herausforderung für die Umfrageforschung: Chancen und Probleme. In P. Mohler & P. Lüttinger (Hrsg.), *Querschnitt: Festschrift für Max Kaase* (S. 9-28). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Bankier, M.D. (1986). Estimators based in several stratified samples with applications to 'to multiple frame surveys. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 81, 1074-1079.
- Barrios, M. et al. (2010). Response rates and data quality in web and mail surveys administered to PhD Holders. *Social Science Computer Review*, 29, 208-220.
- Bartels, L.M. (2002). Question order and declining faith in elections. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 66, 67-79.
- Bassili, J.N. (1996) The "How" and "Why" of Response Latency Measurement in Survey Research. In N. Schwarz & S. Sudman (Eds.), *Answering questions: Methodology for determining cognitive and communicative processes in survey research* (S. 319-346). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Batinic, B. & Bosnjak, M. (2000). Fragebogenuntersuchungen im Internet. In B. Batinic (Hrsg.), *Internet für Psychologen* (S. 287-318). Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Baumeister, R.F., Masicampo, E.J. & Vohs, K.D. (2011). Do conscious thoughts cause behavior? *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 331-361.
- Baumrind, D. (1983). Specious causal attributions in the social sciences: The reformulated Steeping-stone theory of heroin drug use as exemplar. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 1289-1298.

- Bavdaž, M. (2010). The multidimensional integral business survey response model. *Survey Methodology*, 36, 81-93.
- Beatty, P. (1995). Understanding the standardized/non-standardized interviewing controversy. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 11, 147-160.
- Beebe, T.J. et al. (2007). Mixing web and mail methods in a survey of physicians. *Health Services Research*, 42, 1219-1932.
- Behr, D. et al. (2012). Asking probing questions in web surveys: Which factors have an impact on the quality of responses. *Social Science Computer Review*, 30, 487-498.
- Belli, R.F., Bilgen, I. & Al Baghal, T. (2013). Memory, communication, and data quality in calendar interviews. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 194-219.
- Belli, R.F., Shay, W.L. & Stafford, F.P. (2001). Event history calendars and question list surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 65, 45-74.
- Belson, W.A. (1966). The effects of reversing the presentation order of verbal rating scales. *Journal of Advertising Research*, 6, 30-37.
- Benford, F. (1938). The law of anomalous numbers. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 78, 551-572.
- Bentler, P.M. (1988). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107, 238-246.
- Bentler, P. M. (2006). *EQS 6 structural equations program manual*. Encino, CA: Multivariate Software
- Bentler, P.M. & Bonett, D.G. (1980). Significance tests and goodness of fit in the analysis of covariance structures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88, 588-606.
- Bentler, P.M. & Chou, C.-P. (1987). Practical issues in structural equation modeling. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 16, 78-117.
- Bentler, P.M. & Weeks, D.G. (1980). Linear structural equations with latent variables. *Psychometrika*, 45, 289-307.
- Bentler, P.M. & Woodward, J.A. (1980). Inequalities among lower bounds to reliability: With applications to test construction and factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 45, 249-267.
- Bergmann, L.R. et al. (1994). Decentralised CATI versus paper and pencil interviewing: Effects of the results in the Swedish labor force surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 10, 181-195.
- Bernstein, R., Chada, A. & Montjoy, R. (2001). Overreporting voting: Why it happens and why it matters. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 65, 22-44.
- Bethlehem, J.G. (1999). The routing structures of questionnaires. In C. Christie & J. Francis (Eds.), *Compilation* (pp. 405-418). London: Association of Survey Computing.
- Bethlehem, J.G. (2000). The routing structure of questionnaires. *International Journal of Market Research*, 42, 95-110.
- Bethlehem, J.G. (2004). TADEQ: A tool for the documentation and analysis of electronic questionnaires. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 20, 233-264.
- Bethlehem, J.G. (2010). Selection bias in web surveys. *International Statistical Review*, 78, 161-188.
- Bethlehem, J.G., Cobben, F. & Schouten, B. (2011). *Handbook of nonresponse in household surveys*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Biemer, P.P. & Berzofsky, M. (2011). Some issues in the application of latent class models for questionnaire design. In J. Madans et al. (Eds.), *Question evaluation methods* (pp. 153-185). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.

- Biemer, P.P. & Lyberg, L.E. (2003). *Introduction to survey quality*. New York: John Wiley.
- Biemer, P.P. et al. (Eds.) (2017). *Total Survey Error in Practice*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Bien, W. & Marbach, J.W. (Hrsg.) (2008). *Familiale Beziehungen, Familienalltag und soziale Netzwerke*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Billiet, J. (2003). Cross-cultural equivalence with structural equation modeling. In J.A. Har- kness, F.J.R. Van de Vijver, & P.Ph. Mohler, (Eds.) (2003). *Cross-cultural survey methods* (pp. 247-263). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Birkett, N.J. (1986). Selecting the Number of Response Categories for a Likert-type scale. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association 1987 Annual Meetings, Section on Survey Research Methods*.
- Birnbaum, M.H. (2000a). Decision making in the lab and on the web. In M.H. Birnbaum (ed.), *Psychological experiments in the internet* (pp. 3-34). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Birnbaum, M.H. (2000b). SurveyWiz and FactorWiz: JavaScript web pages that make HTML forms for research in the internet. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*, 32, 339-346.
- Birnbaum, M.H. (2001). A web-based program of research on decision making. In U.-D. Reips & M. Bosnjak (Eds.), *Dimensions of internet science* (pp. 32-55). Lengerich: Pabst.
- Birnbaum, M.H. (2004). Human research and data collection via internet. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 803-832.
- Birnholtz, J.P. et al. (2004). The effects of cash, electronic, and paper gift certificates as incen- tives for a web-based survey of technologically sophisticated respondents. *Social Science Computer Review*, 22, 355-362.
- Bishop, G. (1990). Issue involvement and response effects in public opinion surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 54, 209-218.
- Bishop, G. F. Oldendick, R.W. & Tuchfarber, A.J. (1983). Effects of filter questions in public opinion surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 47, 528-46.
- Bishop, G., Oldendick, R. & Tuchfarber, A. (1986). Opinions on fictitious issues: The pressure of answer survey questions. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 50, 240-250.
- Bishop, G. et al. (1988). A comparison of response effects in self-administered and telephone surveys. In Groves, R.M. et al. (Eds.), *Telephone survey methodology* (pp. 321-340). New York: John Wiley.
- Blair, J. et al. (2006). *The effect of sample size on cognitive interview findings*. Paper presen- ted at the Annual conference of the American Association of Public Opinion Research, Montreal.
- Blair, G., Imai, K. & Zhou, Y.-Y. (2015). Design and analysis of the randomized response technique. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 110, 1304-
- Blair, E. & Blair, J. (2006). Dual frame web-telephone sampling for rare groups. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22, 211-229.
- Blair, J. & Conrad, F.G. (2011). Sample size for cognitive interview pretesting. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75, 636-658.
- Blair, J. & Czaja, R. (1982). Locating a special population using random digit dialing. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 46, 585-590.
- Blank, T. & Wasmer, M. (1996). Gastarbeiter oder Ausländer? Ergebnisse des Splits mit den reformulierten Gastarbeiterfragen im ALLBUS. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 38, 45-69.
- Blau, P. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: John Wiley.

- Blohm, M. & Koch, A. (2013). Der Einsatz von Befragten-Incentives in einer bundesweiten face-to-face-Umfrage. *mda*, 7, 89-122.
- Blohm, M., Hox, J. & Koch, A. (2006). The influence of interviewer's contact behavior on the Contact and cooperation rate in face-to-face household surveys. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 19, 97-111.
- Blossfeld, H.P. & Rohwer, G. (2002). *Techniques of event history modeling*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum
- Blossfeld, H.P., Hamerle, A. & Mayer, K.U. (1988). *Ereignisanalyse*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus.
- Blumer, H. (1956). Sociological analysis and the variable. *American Sociological Review*, 22, 689-690.
- Blyth, B. (1997). Developing a speech recognition application for survey research. In L. Lyberg et al. (Eds.), *Survey measurement and process quality* (pp. 249-266). New York: John Wiley.
- Börkan, B. (2009). The mode effect in mixed mode surveys: Mail and web surveys. *Social Science Computer Review*, 28, 371-380.
- Börsch-Supan, A. et al. (2004). *Correcting the participation bias in an online survey*. (Report). München: Universität München.
- Bohrnstedt, G.W. & Knoke, D. (1988). *Statistics for social data analysis*. Itasca, Ill: Peacock.
- Bohrnstedt, G.W., Mohler, P. Ph. & Müller, W. (Eds.) (1987). *An empirical study of the reliability and stability of survey research items*. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 15.
- Bollen, K.A. (1989). *Structural equations with latent variables*. New York: Wiley.
- Borg, I. (2000). *Explorative multidimensionale Skalierung* (ZUMA How-to-Reihe Nr. 1). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Borg, I. (2003). *Führungsinstrument Mitarbeiterbefragung* (3. überarbeitete Auflage). Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Borg, I. & Groenen, P. (2010). *Modern multidimensional scaling* (2. Auflage). New York: Springer.
- Borg, I. & Shye, S. (1995). *Facet theory: Form and content*. Newbury Hill, CA: Sage.
- Bortz, J. & Döring, N. (2006). *Forschungsmethoden und Evaluation* (4. Auflage). Berlin: Springer.
- Boruch, R.F. (1971). Assuring confidentiality of responses in social research: A note on strategies. *American Sociologist*, 6, 308-311.
- Bosnjak, M. (1997). *Internetbasierte, computervermittelte Fragebogenuntersuchungen*. St. Augustin: Gadez Verlag.
- Bosnjak, M. (2002). *(Non)Response bei Web-Befragungen*. Aachen: Shaker.
- Bosnjak, M., Metzger, G. & Gräf, L. (2010). Understanding the willingness to participate in mobile surveys: Exploring the role of utilitarian, affective, hedonic, social, self-expressive and trust-related factors. *Social Science Computer Review*, 28, 350-370.
- Bosnjak, R., Tuten, T.L. & Bandilla, W. (2001). Participation in Web-surveys – A typology. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 48, 7-17.
- Bosnjak, M. et al. (2008). Prenotification in Web-based access panel surveys: The influence of mobile text messaging versus e-Mail on response rates and sample composition. *Social Science Computer Review*, 26, 213-223.
- Boulianne, J. (2013). Examining the gender effects of differential incentive amounts in a web survey. *Field Methods*, 25, 91-104.

- Boudon, R. (1979). Generating models as a research strategy. In R.K. Merton, J.S. Coleman & P.H. Rossi (Eds.), *Qualitative and quantitative research* (pp. 51-64). New York: The Free Press.
- Boyle, T. et al. (2012). Response fraction: A study and meta-analysis. *Field Methods*, 24, 112-132.
- Braun, M. (2000). *Evaluation der Äquivalenz eines gemeinsamen Satzes an Indikatoren in der interkulturell vergleichenden Sozialforschung*. (ZUMA How-to-Reihe Nr. 3). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Braun, M. & Harkness, J. (2005). Text and context: Challenges to comparability in survey Questions (ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial Band 11, S. 95-107). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Bredenkamp, J. (1969). Experiment und Feldexperiment. In C.F. Graumann, (Hrsg.), *Handbuch der Psychologie, 7. Band: Sozialpsychologie. 1. Halbband: Theorien und Methoden* (S.322-374). Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Brick, J.M. & Lepkowski, J.M. (2008). Multiple mode and frame telephone surveys. In J.M. Lepkowski et al. (Eds.), *Advances in telephone survey methodology* (pp. 149-169). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Brick, J.M. et al. (2007). Cell phone survey feasibility in the U.S.: Sampling and calling cell numbers versus landline numbers. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 71, 23-39.
- Brick, J.M. & Williams, D. & Montaquila, J.M. (2011). Address-based sampling of subgroups. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75, 409-428.
- Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend (2012). *Familienatlas 2012*. Berlin: Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend.
- Burger, C. et al. (2010). Reaching the mobile respondent. Determinants of high-level mobile phone use among a high-coverage group. *Social Science Computer Review*, 28,336-349.
- Burt, R.S. (1984). Network items and the General Social Survey. *Social Networks*, 6, 293-339.
- Buskirk, T.D. & Andrus, C.H. (2014). Making mobile browser surveys smarter: Results from a randomized experiment comparing online surveys completed via computer or smartphone. *Field Methods*, 26, 322-342.
- Byrne, B.M. (1998). *Structural equation modeling with LISREL, PRELIS, and SIMPLIS*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Byrne, B.M. (2006). *Structural equation modeling with EQS* (2nd edition). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Byrne, B.M. (2010). *Structural equation modeling with AMOS*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Byrne, B.M. (2012). *Structural equation modeling with Mplus. Basic concepts, applications, and programming*. New York, NY: Routledge
- Callegaro, M. et al. (2007). Fitting disposition codes to mobile phone surveys: Experiences of studies in Finland, Slovenia and the USA. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Association A*, 170, 647-670.
- Campanelli, P. & O'Muirheartaigh, C. (2002). The importance of experimental control in testing the impact of interviewer continuity on panel survey nonresponse. *Quality and Quantity*, 36, 129-144.
- Campbell, D.T. & Fiske, D.W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multi-trait-multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, 56, 81-105.
- Campbell, D.T. & Stanley, J.C. (1963). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*. Chicago: Rand MacNally.

- Cannell, C.F., Fowler, F.J. & Marquis, K.H. (1968). *The influence of interviewer and respondent psychological and behavioral variables in the reporting of household interviews*. Vital and Health Statistics, Series 2, No. 26.
- Carley-Baxter, L.S., Peytchev, A. & Black, M.C. (2010). Comparison of cell phone and land-line survey: A design perspective. *Field Methods*, 22, 3-15.
- Carnap, R. (1956). The methodological character of theoretical concepts. In H. Feigl & M. Scriven (Eds.), *Minnesota Studies in the Philosophy of Science I* (pp. 38-76). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Casady, R.J. & Lepkowski, J.M. (1991). Optimal allocation for stratified telephone survey design. *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods* (111-116). American Statistical Association.
- Catlin, G. & Ingram, S. (1988). The effects of CATI on costs and data quality: A comparison CATI and paper methods on centralized interviewing. In R.M. Groves et al. (Eds.), *Telephone survey methodology* (pp. 437-450). New York: John Wiley.
- Caviglia-Harris, J. et al. (2012). Improving household surveys through computer-assisted data Collection: Use of touchscreen laptops in challenging environments. *Field Methods*, 24, 74-94.
- Champney, H. & Marshall, H. (1939). Optimal refinement of rating scales. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 23, 323-331.
- Chapman, D.W. & Weinstein, R.B. (1990). Sampling design for a monitoring plan for CATI interviewing. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 6, 205-211.
- Chaudhuri, A. (2010). *Randomized response and indirect questioning techniques in surveys*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Cherry, C. (1957). *On human communication. A review, a survey and a criticism*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Christen, P. (2012). *Data matching*. New York: Springer.
- Church, A. (1993). Estimating the effect of incentives on mail survey response rates: A meta-analysis. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 57, 62-79.
- Cliff, N. (1959). Adverbs as multipliers. *Psychological Review*, 66, 27-44.
- Cochran, W.G. (1977). *Sampling techniques* (3rd edition). New York: John Wiley.
- Cochran, W.G. (1983). *Planning and analysis of observational studies*. New York: John Wiley.
- Collins, A.M. & Quillian, M.R. (1970). Facilitating retrieval from semantic memory: The effect of repeating part of an inference. *Acta Psychologica*, 33, 304-314.
- Committee of Advances in Collecting and Utilizing Biological Indicators and Genetic Information in Social Sciences Surveys, Weinstein, M., Vaupel, J.W. & Wachter, K.W. (Eds.). *Biosocial surveys*. New York: The National Academies Press.
- Conklin, E.S. (1923). *The scale of values method for studies in genetic psychology*. University of Oregon Publications, 2, No. 1.
- Connett, W.E. (1998). Automated management of survey data: An overview. In M.P. Couper et al. (Eds.), *Computer-assisted survey information collection* (pp. 245-262). New York: John Wiley.
- Conrad, F.G. & Schober, M.F. (2000). Clarifying question meaning in a household telephone survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 64, 1-28.
- Conrad, F.G., Schober, M.F. & Coiner, T. (2007). Bringing features of human dialogue to web surveys. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 21, 165-187

- Conrad, F.G. et al. (2013). Interviewer speech and the success of survey invitations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 176, 191-210.
- Converse, J.M. (1964). The nature of belief systems in mass publics. In D. Apter (ed.), *Ideology and discontent* (S. 206-261). New York: Free Press.
- Corkrey, R. & Parkinson, L. (2002). Interactive voice response: review of studies 1989-2000. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 36, 342-353.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16, 297-334
- Cronbach, L.J. & Gleser, G.C. (1965). *Psychological tests and personal decisions*. Urbana. University of Illinois Press.
- Couper, M.P. (2008). *Designing effective web surveys*. New York: John Wiley.
- Couper, M.P. & Groves, R.M. (1992). Interviewer reactions to alternative hardware for computer-assisted personal interviewing. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 8, 201-210.
- Couper, M.P. & Nicholls II, W.L. (1998). The history and development of computer-assisted survey information collection methods. In M.P. Couper et al. (Eds.), *Computer-assisted survey information collection* (pp. 1-21). New York: John Wiley.
- Couper, M.P. & Rowe, B. (1996). Evaluation of a computer-assisted Self-interviewing (CASI) component in a CAPI survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 60, 89-105.
- Couper, M.P. & Wagner, J. (2011). Using paradata and responsive design to manage survey nonresponse. Proceedings of the World Statistics Congress of the International Statistical Institute.
- Couper, M.P., Mathiowetz, N.A. & Singer, E. (1995). Related households, mail handlings, and returns to the 1990 census. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 7, 172-177.
- Couper, M.P., Singer, E. & Tourangeau, R. (2004). Does voice matter? An interactive voice response (IVR) experiment. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 20, 551-570
- Couper, M. P., Tourangeau, R., & Kenyon, K. (2004). Picture this! An analysis of visual effects in web surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 68, 255-266.
- Couper, M.P., Tourangeau, R. & Conrad, F.G. (2007). Evaluating the effectiveness of visual analogue scales. *Social Science Computer Review*, 24, 227-245.
- Couper, M.P., Traugott, M.W. & Lamias, M.J. (2001). Web survey design and administration. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 65, 235-253.
- Coxon, A.P.M. (1999). *Sorting data: Collection and analysis*. Thousand Oakes: Sage.
- Crites, S.L. et al. (1995). Bioelectrical echoes from evaluative categorization: II. A late positive brain potential that varies as a function of attitude registration rather than attitude report. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68, 997-1013.
- Crowne, D. & Marlowe, D. (1964). *The approval motive*. New York: John Wiley.
- Curtin, R., Presser, S. & Singer, E. (2005). Changes in telephone survey nonresponse over the the past quarter century. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 69, 87-98.
- Curtin, R., Singer, E. & Presser, S. (2007). Incentives in random digit dial telephone surveys: A replication and extension. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 23, 91-105.
- D'Agostino, R.B. Jr. (1998). Propensity score methods for bias reduction for the comparison of a treatment to a non-randomized control group. *Statistics in Medicine*, 17, 2265-2281.
- Dalton, D.R., Daily, C.M & Wimbush, J.C. (1997). Collecting 'sensitive' data in business ethics research: A case for the Unmatched Count Technique (UCT). *Journal of Business Ethics*, 16, 1049-1057.

- Dalton, D.R., Wimbush, J.C. & Daily, C.M. (1994). Using the Unmatched Count Technique (UCT) to estimate base rates for sensitive behavior. *Personnel Psychology* 47, 817–828.
- Das, M. (2012). Innovation der Online-Datenerhebung für wissenschaftliche Forschungen: Das niederländische MESS-Projekt. In Faulbaum, F., Stahl, M. & Wiegand, E. (Hrsg.), *Qualitätssicherung in der Umfrageforschung* (S. 75-101). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Das, M. & Couper, M.P. (2014). Optimizing opt-out consent for record linkage. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 30, 479-497.
- Davidov, E. et al. (2014). Measurement equivalence in cross-national research. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 40, 55-75.
- Davern, M. et al. (2003). Prepaid monetary incentives in data quality in face-to-face interviews. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 67, 139-147.
- de Bruijne, M. & Wijnant, A. (2013). Comparing survey results via mobile devices and computers: An experiment with a mobile web survey on a heterogeneous group of mobile devices versus a computer-assisted web survey. *Social Science Computer Review*, 31, 482-504.
- De Heer, W. (1999). International response trends: Results of an international survey. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 15, 129-142.
- De Heer, W., De Leeuw, E.D., Van der Zouwen, J. (1999). Methodological issues in survey research: A historical review. *BMS Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique*, 64, 25-48.
- De Leeuw, E.D. (2005). To mix or not to mix data collection modes in surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 21, 233–255
- De Leeuw, E.D. (2008). Choosing the method of data collection. In E.D. De Leeuw, J.J. Hox & D.A. Dillman, (Eds.). *International handbook of survey methodology* (pp. 113-135). New York: Erlbaum.
- De Leeuw, E.D. & Collins, M. (1997). Data collection methods and survey quality: An overview (pp. 199-220). In L. Lyberg et al. (Eds.), *Survey measurement and process quality*. New York: John Wiley.
- De Leeuw, E.D. & De Heer, W. (2002). Trends in household survey nonresponse: A longitudinal and international perspective. In R.M. Groves et al. (Eds.), *Survey nonresponse* (pp. 41-54). New York: John Wiley.
- De Leeuw, E.D., Hox, J.J. & Dillman, D. (2008). Mixed-mode surveys: When and why? in E.D. De Leeuw, J.J. Hox & D.A. Dillman (Eds.). *International handbook of survey methodology* (pp. 299-316). New York: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- De Leeuw, E.D. & Nicholls II, W. (1996). Technological innovations in data collection: Acceptance, data quality and costs. *Sociological Research Online*, 1. <<http://www.socresonline.org.uk/1/4/leeuw.html>>
- De Leeuw, E.D. et al. (1998). Interviewer opinions, attitudes and strategies regarding survey participation and their effect on response. In A. Koch & R. Porst (Eds.), *Nonresponse in survey research* (ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial Nr. 4, pp. 239-248). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- De Leeuw, E.D. et al. (2007). The influence of advance letters on response in telephone surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 71, 413-443.
- De Pijper, W.M. & Saris, W.E. (1986). Computer assisted interviewing using home computers. *European Research*, 14, 144-150.
- Dean, E. et al. (2005). Developing a low-cost technique for parallel cross-cultural instrument development (pp. 31-40). In Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J.H.P. & J. Harkness (Eds.), *Methodological aspects in Cross-National research* (ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial Bd. 11). Mannheim: GESIS-ZUMA.

- DesRoches, D. (2008). Establishment survey. In P.J. Lavrakas (ed.) (2008). *Encyclopedia of survey research methods* (pp. 240-244). Thousand Oakes, CA: Sage.
- DeRouvray, C., & Couper, M. P. (2002). Designing a strategy for reducing "no opinion" responses in web-based surveys. *Social Science Computer Review*, 20, 3-9.
- De Waal, T. (2013). Selective editing: A quest for efficiency and data quality. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29, 473-488.
- De Waal, T., Pannekoek, J. & Scholtus, S. (2011). *Handbook of statistical editing and imputation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Denk, C.E. & Hall, J.W. (2000). Respondent selection in RDD surveys: A randomized trial of selection performance. *Paper presented at the annual of the American Association of Public Opinion Research*, Portland, OR.
- Denscombe, M. (2008). The length of responses to open-ended questions: A comparison of online and paper questionnaires in terms of a mode effect. *Social Science Computer Review*, 26, 389-368.
- Deutschmann, M. & Faulbaum, F. (2001). The recruitment of online samples by CA-TI-screening: Problems of Non-response. In Westlake, A. et al. (Eds.), *The challenge of the internet* (pp. 69-77). Chesham, Bucks, UK: Association for Survey Computing.
- Dickinson, T.L., & Zellinger, P.M. (1980). A comparison of the behaviorally anchored rating and mixed standard scale formats. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 65, 147-154.
- Dijkstra, W. (1987). Interviewing style and respondent behavior: An experimental study of the survey-interview. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 16, 309-334.
- Dillman, D.A. (1978). *Mail and telephone surveys: The total design method*. New York: John Wiley.
- Dillman, D.A. (2007). *Mail and internet surveys*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Dillman, D., Smyth, J.D. & Christian, L.M. (2014). *Internet, phone, mail, and mixed-mode surveys*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Dillman, D.A. et al. (1996). Effects of benefits, appeals, mandatory appeals, and variations in statements of confidentiality on completion rates for census questionnaires. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 60, 376-389.
- Dillman, D.A. et al. (2009). Response rate and measurement differences in mixed-mode Surveys using mail, telephone, interactive voice response (IVR) and the Internet. *Social Science Research*, 38, 1-18.
- Droitcour, J. et al. (2001). The Item Count Technique as a method of indirect questioning: A review of its development and a case study application. In P.B. Biemer et al. (Eds.), *Measurement errors in surveys* (pp. 185-210). New York: Wiley.
- Duffy, J.C. & Waterton, J.J. (1984). Under-reporting of alcohol consumption in sample surveys: The effect of computer interviewing in field work. *British Journal of Addiction*, 79, 303-308.
- Durrant, G.B. & Steele, F. (2007). Multi-level modeling of refusal and non-contact in Household surveys: evidence from six UK Government surveys. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A*, 172, 361-381.
- Durrant, G.B. et al. (2010). Effects of interviewer attitudes and behaviors on refusal in household surveys, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 1-36.
- Dykema, J. et al. (2012). ACASI gender-of-interviewer voice effects on reports to questions about sensitive behaviors among young adults. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 76, 311-325.

- Dykema, J. et al. (2017). Factors associated with participation in the collection of saliva samples by mail in a survey of older adults. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 81, 57-85.
- Eckman, S. et al. (2014). Assessing the mechanisms of misreporting to filter questions in surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 78, 721-733
- Edwards, A.L. (1957). *The social desirability variable in personality assessment and research*. New York: Dryden.
- Edwards, T.P., Suresh, R. & Weeks, M.F. (1998). Automated call scheduling: Current systems and practices. In M.P. Couper et al. (Eds.), *Computer assisted survey information collection* (pp. 285-306). New York: John Wiley.
- Edwards, W. (1955). The prediction of decisions among bets. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 50, 201-214.
- Enders, C.K. (2010). *Applied missing data analysis*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Engel, U. (1998). *Einführung in die Mehrebenenanalyse*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Engel, U. & Reinecke, J. (1994). *Panelanalyse*. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Engel, U. et al. (2013). *Wissenschaftliche Umfragen. Methoden und Fehlerquellen*. Frankfurt am Main: Campus.
- Engel, U. et al. (Eds.) (2015). *Improving survey methods*. New York: Routledge.
- Epstein, J.F., Barker, P.R. & Kroutil L.A. (2001). Mode effects in self-reported mental health data. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 65, 529-549.
- Esser, H. (1986). Können Befragte lügen? *Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie*, 38, 314-336.
- European Social Survey (2014). *ESS Round 7 Translation Guidelines*. London: ESS ERIC Headquarters, Centre for Comparative Social Surveys, City University London.
- Evans, J.St.B.T. (2008). Dual-processing accounts of reasoning, judgment and social cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 255- 278.
- Evans, R.L., Hansen, W.B. & Mittlemark, M.B. (1977). Increasing the validity of self-reports of smoking behavior in children. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 62, 521-523.
- Fahrmeir, L. et al. (2004). *Statistik* (5. Auflage). Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer.
- Fahrmeier, L., Hamerle, A. & Tutz, G. (Hrsg.) (1996). *Multivariate statistische Verfahren*. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Faulbaum, F. (1984a). *Ergebnisse der Methodenstudie zur internationalen Vergleichbarkeit von Einstellungsskalen in der Allgemeinen Bevölkerungsumfrage der Sozialwissenschaften (ALLBUS) 1982 (ZUMA-Arbeitsbericht Nr. 04/84)*. Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Faulbaum, F. (1984b). Zur Konstruktinvarianz numerischer und verbaler Kategorienskalen. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 14, 46-59.
- Faulbaum, F. (1986). Projektberatung in Jordanien: ein Erfahrungsbericht. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 10, 58-63.
- Faulbaum, F. (1987a). Panelanalyse im Überblick. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 23, 26-44.
- Faulbaum, F. (1987b). Intergroup comparisons of latent means across waves. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 15, 317-335.
- Faulbaum, F. (1990). Der internationale Vergleich von Messmodellen unter verallgemeinerten Verteilungsbedingungen. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 26, 56-71.
- Faulbaum, F. (1991). Von der Variablenanalyse zur empirischen Evaluation von Handlungsparadigmen. In H. Esser & K.G. Troitzsch (Hrsg.), *Modellierung sozialer Prozesse* (S. 111-138). Bonn: Informationszentrum Sozialwissenschaften.

- Faulbaum, F. (2004a). Computer Assisted Pretesting of CATI-Questionnaires. *Bulletin de Methodologie Sociologique*, 83, 5-17.
- Faulbaum, F. (2004b). Computer Assisted Pretesting of CATI-Questionnaires. In P. Prüfer, M. Rexroth, & F.J. Fowler (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Question Evaluation Standards* (ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial Nr.9, S. 129-141). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Faulbaum, F. (2014). Total survey error. In J. Blasius & N. Baur (Hrsg.), *Handbuch der empirischen Sozialforschung* (S. 439-453). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Faulbaum, F. (2016). Ausbildungsqualität als Ergebnisoptimierung. In König, C., Stahl, M. & Wiegand, E. (Hrsg.), *Human Resources* (S. 13-22). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Faulbaum, F. & Kaase, M. (1994). Wohlfahrtsansprüche und politische Legitimität. In R. Hauser, U. Hochmuth & J. Schwarze (Hrsg.), *Mikroanalytische Grundlagen der Gesellschaftspolitik. Band I* (S. 59-91). Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Faulbaum, F. & Stein, P. (2000). Wie homogen sind Einstellungen gegenüber Ausländern? Zur Aufdeckung und Modellierung unbeobachteter Heterogenität in Umfragedaten. In R. Alba, P. Schmidt, P. & M. Wasmer (Hrsg.), *Deutsche und Ausländer: Freunde, Fremde oder Feinde?* (S. 485-518). Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Faulbaum, F., Kelleter, K. & Stein, P. (2001). Die statistische Aufdeckung kausalstrukturell unterschiedener Gruppen am Beispiel des politischen Teilnahmeverhaltens. *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 48, 28-48.
- Faulbaum, F., Prüfer, P. & Rexroth, M. (2009). *Was ist eine gute Frage?* Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Fellegrì, I.P. & Holt, D. (1976). A systematic approach to automatic edit and imputation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 71, 17-35.
- Ferneer, H. & Scherpenzeel, A.C. (2013). The smartphone in survey research: Experiments for time use data. *The Survey Statistician*, 67, 19-25.
- Fisher, R.A. (1946). *Statistical methods for research workers* (10th edition). Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
- Fillmore, C.J. (1968). The case for case. In E. Bach & R.T. Harms (Eds.), *Universals in Linguistic theory* (1-25). New York: Holt, Rhinehart & Winston.
- Finn, J.D. (1972). Expectations and the educational environment. *Review of Educational Research*, 42, 387-410.
- Fitzgerald, R. (2011). Identifying sources of error in cross-national questionnaires: Application of an error source typology to cognitive interview data. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27, 569-599.
- Fleming, C.B. et al. (2013). Use of web and phone survey modes to gather data from adults about their young adult children: An evaluation based on randomized design. *Field Methods*, 15, 388-404.
- Forsyth, B. & Hubbard, M. (1992). A method for identifying cognitive properties of survey items (pp. 470-475) In *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods, American Statistical Association*. Alexandria: American Statistical Association.
- Fowler, F.J. (1992). How unclear terms affect survey data. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 56, 218-231.
- Fowler, F.J. (2001). Why it is easy to write bad questions? *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 48, 49-66.
- Fowler, E.J. (2004). More on the value of split ballots. In P. Prüfer, M. Rexroth & F.J. Fowler (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 4th International conference on Question Evaluation Standards* (ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial Nr. 9, S. 43-51). Mannheim: ZUMA.

- Fowler, F.J. (2011). Coding the behavior interviewer and respondents to evaluate survey questions. In J. Madans et al. (Eds.), *Question evaluation methods* (pp. 7-22. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Fowler F.J., Roman, A.M. & Xiao Di, Z. (1998) Mode effects in a survey of medicare prostate surgery patients. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 62, 29-46.
- Fox, J.A. & Tracy, P.E. (1986) *Randomized response. A method for sensitive surveys* (Sage University Paper Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences Vol. 07-058). Newbury Park, CA.: Sage.
- Frege, G. (1892). Über Sinn und Bedeutung. *Zeitschrift für Philosophie und philosophische Kritik*, NF 100, 25-50.
- Freitag, M. & Bauer, C.P. (2013). Testing for measurement equivalence in surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 24-44.
- Fricker, S. et al. (2005). An experimental comparison of web and telephone surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 69, 370-392.
- Fricker, S. & Tourangeau, R. (2010). Examining the relationship between nonresponse propensity and data quality in two national household surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 934-955
- Freitsch, R. & Wirth, H. (2001). Die Übertragung der Magnitude-Prestigeskala von Wegener auf die Klassifizierung der Berufe. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 48, 139-163.
- Fuchs, M. (2008). Mobile web survey: A preliminary discussion of methodological Implications. In M.F. Schober & F.G. Conrad (Eds.), *Envisioning the survey interview of the future* (pp. 77-94). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Fuchs, M. (2009). Asking for numbers and quantities: Visual design effects in paper & pencil surveys. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 21, 65-84.
- Fuchs, M. (2012). Der Einsatz von Mobiltelefonen in der Umfrageforschung, In F. Faulbaum, M. Stahl, & E. Wiegand (Hrsg.), *Qualitätssicherung in der Umfrageforschung* (S. 51-73). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Fuchs, M. & Busse, B. (2009). The coverage bias of mobile web surveys across European Countries. *International Journal of Internet Science*, 4, 21-33.
- Gabler, S. & Häder, S. (1997). Überlegungen zu einem Stichprobendesign für Deutschland. *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 41, 7-18
- Gabler, S. & Häder, S. (1998). Probleme bei der Anwendung von RLD-Verfahren. S. 58-68 in: S. Gabler, S. Häder & J. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik (Hrsg.), *Telefonstichproben in Deutschland*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag
- Gabler, S. & Häder, S. (1999). Erfahrungen beim Aufbau eines Auswahlrahmens für Telefonstichproben in Deutschland. *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 44, 45-61.
- Gabler, S., Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J. & Krebs, D. (Hrsg.) (1994). *Gewichtung in der Umfragepraxis*. Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Gabler, S., Häder, S. & Lynn, P. (2006). Design effects for multiple design samples. *Survey Methodology*, 32, 115-120.
- Gabler, S. & Quatember, A. (2013). Repräsentativität von Subgruppen bei geschichteten Zufallsstichproben. *AStA Wirtschafts- und Sozialstatistisches Archiv*, 7, 105-119.
- Gadamer, H.-G. (1990). *Wahrheit und Methode*. Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr.
- Galesic, M. (2006). Dropouts on the web: Effects of interest and burden experience during an online survey. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22, 313-328.

- Galesic, M. et al. (2008). Eye-tracking data: New insights in response-order effects and other cognitive shortcuts in survey responding. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 72, 892-913.
- Galvez, G. et al. (2009). Development of an iPod audio computer assisted self-interview to increase the representation of low-literacy populations in survey research. *Field Methods*, 21, 407-415.
- Ganter, B. & Wille, R. (1996). *Formal concept analysis*. New York: Springer.
- Gaskell, G.D., O'Muircheartaigh, C.A. & Wright, D.B. (1994). Survey questions about the frequency of vaguely defined events. *Public Opinion Research*, 58, 241-254.
- Gaziano, C. (2005). Comparative analysis of within-household respondent selection techniques. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 69, 124-157.
- Gfroerer, J. C. & Hughes, A.L. (1991). The feasibility of collecting drug abuse data by telephone. *Public Health Reports*, 106, 384-393.
- Gigerenzer, G. (1991). From tools to theories: A heuristic of discovery in cognitive psychology. *Psychological Review*, 98, 254-267.
- Gilbert, N. & Troitzsch, K.G. (2005). *Simulation for the social scientist* (2n edition). Berkshire: Open University Press.
- Goeritz, A.S. (2006a). Incentives in web studies: Methodological issues and review. *International Journal of Internet Science*, 1, 58-70.
- Goeritz, A.S. (2006b). Cash lotteries in online panels. *Social Science Computer Review*, 24, 445-459.
- Goeritz, A.S. (2008). The long-term effect of material incentives on participation in online panels. *Field Methods*, 20, 211-225.
- Goeritz, A.S. & Luthe, S.C. (2013). Effects of lotteries on response behavior in online panels. *Field Methods*, 25, 219-237.
- Goeritz, A.S. & Wolff, H.-G. (2007). Lotteries as incentives in longitudinal web studies. *Social Science Computer Review*, 25, 99-110.
- Goldstein, K.M. & Jennings, M.K. (2002). The effect of advance letters on cooperation in a list sample telephone survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 66, 608-617.
- Gordoni, G. & Schmidt, P. (2010). The decision to participate in social surveys: The case of The Arab minority in Israel – An application of the theory of reasoned action. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 22, 364-391.
- Gordoni, G., Schmidt, P. & Gordoni, Y. (2012). Measurement invariance across face-to-face and telephone modes: The case of minority-status collectivistic oriented-groups. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 24, 185-207.
- Graesser, A.C., et al. (2006). Question understanding AID (QAID): A web facility that tests question comprehensibility. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 70, 3-22.
- Granda, P., Wolf, Ch. & Hadorn, R. (2010). Harmonizing survey data. In Harkness, J. et al. (Eds.) *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional, and multicultural contexts* (pp. 315-322). New York: John Wiley.
- Granquist, L. (1997). The new view on editing. *International Statistical Review*, 65, 381-387.
- Granquist, L. & Kovar, J. (1997). Editing of survey data: How much is too much? In L. Lyberg et al. (Eds.), *Survey Measurement and Process Quality* (pp. 425-435). New York: John Wiley.
- Gravlee, C.C. et al. (2013). Mode effects in free-list elicitation: Comparing oral, written, and web-based data collection. *Social Science Computer Review*, 31, 119-132.

- Greenberg, B.G. et al. (1971). Application of the randomized response technique in obtaining quantitative data. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 66, 243-250.
- Gribble, H.P. et al. (2000). The impact of T-ACASI interviewing on reporting drug use among men who have sex with men. *Substance Use and Misuse*, 80, 869-890.
- Grice, H. (1975). Logic and conversation. In P. Cole & T. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics* (Vol 3, Speech acts, pp. 41-58). New York: Seminar Press.
- Groeben, N. (1986). *Handeln, Tun, Verhalten*. Tübingen: Francke.
- Groen, J.A. (2012). Sources of error in survey and administrative data: The importance of reporting procedures. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 28, 173-198.
- Groves, R.M. (1989) *Survey errors and survey costs*. New York: John Wiley.
- Groves, R.M. (1991). Measurement error across discipline. In P.P. Biemer et al. (Eds.), *Measurement errors in surveys* (pp. 1-25). New York: John Wiley.
- Groves, R.M. (2006). Nonresponse rates and nonresponse bias in household surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 70, 646-675.
- Groves, R.M., Cialdini, R.B. & Couper, M.P. (1992). Understanding the decision to participate in a survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 56, 475-495.
- Groves, R.M. & Couper, M.P. (1998). *Nonresponse in Household interview surveys*. New York: John Wiley.
- Groves, R.M. & Fultz, N.H. (1985). Gender effects among telephone interviewers in a survey of economic attitudes. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 14, 31-52.
- Groves, R.M. & Kahn, R.L. (1979). *Surveys by telephone: A national comparison with personal interviews*. New York: Academic Press.
- Groves, R.M. & Heeringa, S.G. (2006). Responsive design for household surveys: Tools for actively controlling survey errors and costs. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A*, 169, 439-457
- Groves, R.M. & Lyberg, L. (2010). Total survey error: Past, present, and future. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 849-879.
- Groves, R.M. & Magilavy, L.J. (1986). Measuring and explaining interviewer effects in centralized telephone surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 50, 251-266.
- Groves, R.M. & McGonagle, K.A. (2001). A theory-guided interviewer training protocol regarding survey participation. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 17, 249-265.
- Groves, R.M. & Nicholls II, W.L. (1986). The status of computer-assisted telephone interviewing: Part II-Data quality issues. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 2, 117-134.
- Groves, R.M. & Peytcheva, E. (2008). The impact of nonresponse rates on nonresponse bias. A meta-analysis. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 72, 167-189.
- Groves, R.M., Singer, E. & Corning, A. (2000). Leverage saliency theory of survey participation. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 64, 299-308.
- Groves, R.M. et al. (2009). *Survey methodology (2nd edition)*. New York: John Wiley.
- Guilford, J.P. (1954). *Psychometric methods*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gwartney, P.A. (2007). *The telephone interviewer's handbook: How to conduct standardized*
- Haas, H. (2009). Übersetzungsprobleme in der interkulturellen Befragung. Tagungsband Probleme empirischer Kulturforschung. *Interculture Journal*, 8, 61-77.
- Haberstroh, S. et al. (2002). Is the interdependent self more sensitive to question context than The independent self? Self-construal and the observation of conversational norms. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 38, 323-329.

- Häder, M. (2009). *Der Datenschutz in den Sozialwissenschaften* (Working Paper No. 90). Berlin: Rat für Sozial- und Wirtschaftsdaten.
- Häder (2014). *Delphi-Befragungen*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Häder, S. (2000). *Telefonstichproben* (ZUMA How-to-Reihe Nr. 6). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Häder, S. & Gabler, S. (2003). Sampling and estimation. In J.A. Harkness, F.J.R., Van de Vijver & P. Ph. Mohler (Eds.) (2003). *Cross-cultural survey methods* (pp. 117-134). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Häder, M. & Häder, S. (Hrsg.) (2009). *Telefonbefragungen über das Mobilfunknetz*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften
- Häder, M., Häder, S. & Schmich, P. (Hrsg.) (2018). *Telefonumfragen in Deutschland*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Häder, S. & Lynn, P. (2007). How representative can a multi-nation survey be? In R. Jowell et al. (Eds.), *Measuring attitudes cross-nationally: Lessons from the European social survey*. (pp. 33-52). London: Sage.
- Hagan, D.E. & Collier, C.M. (1983). Must respondent selection procedures for telephone surveys be invasive? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 47, 547-556.
- Hagennars, J.A. & McCutcheon, A.L. (2002). *Applied latent class analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hakel, M.D. (1968). How often is often? *American Psychologist* 23, 533-534
- Hambleton, R.K., Merenda, P.F. & Spielberger, C.D. (Eds.) (2005). *Adapting educational and psychological tests for cross-cultural assessment*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hammerton, M. (1976). How much is a large part? *Applied Ergonomics*, 10-12.
- Han, S. et al. (2013) A cultural neural science approach to the biosocial nature of the brain. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 335-359.
- Hank, K., Jürges, H. & Schaan, B. (2009). Die Erhebung biometrischer Daten im Survey of Health, Aging and Retirement in Europe. *Methoden-Daten-Analysen*, 3, 97-108.
- Hansen, M. (2006). The effects of incentive. Interview length, and interviewer characteristics on response rates in a CATI study. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 19, 112-121.
- Hansen, S.E. & Couper, M.P. (2004). Usability testing to evaluate computer-assisted instruments. In S. Presser et al. (2004). *Methods of testing and evaluating survey questionnaires* (S. 357-384). Hoboken NJ: John Wiley.
- Hansen, M., Hurwitz, W. & Bershada, M. (1961). Measurement errors in censuses and surveys. *Bulletin of the International Statistical Institute (32nd session 38, Part 2)*, 359-374.
- Harkness, J.A., Van de Vijver, F.J.R. & Mohler, P.Ph. (Eds.) (2003). *Cross-cultural survey methods*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Harkness, J.A., Pennell, B.E. & Schoua-Glucksberg, A. (2004). Survey questionnaire translation and assessment. In S. Presser et al. (2004). *Methods of testing and evaluating survey questionnaires* (pp. 453-473). Hoboken NJ: John Wiley.
- Harkness, J. (2007). *Round 3 Translation Guidelines*. Online-Dokument: http://www.europeansocialsurvey.org/index.php?option=com_docman&task=doc_download&gd=178&Itemid=80.
- Harkness, J.A. et al. (Eds.) (2010). *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional, and Multi-cultural contexts*. Hoboken: John Wiley.

- Harkness, J.A., Villar, A. & Edwards, B. (2010). Translation, adaptation, and design. In J.A. Harkness et al. (Eds.) (2010). *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional, and multicultural contexts* (pp. 117-140). Hoboken: John Wiley.
- Hartley, H.O. (1974). Multiple frame methodology and selected application. *Sankhya*, 36, 99-118.
- Harris, L.E., Weinberger, M. & Tierney, W.M. (1997). Assessing inner-city patients' hospital experiences. A controlled trial of telephone interviews versus mailed surveys. *Medical Care*, 35, 70-76.
- Hartung, J., Elpelt, B. & Klösener, K.-H. (2009). *Statistik* (15. Auflage). München: Oldenbourg.
- Hartung, J. & Elpelt, B. (2007). *Multivariate Statistik* (6. Auflage). München: Oldenbourg.
- Hatcher, L. (1998). *A step-by-step approach to using the SAS-system for factor analysis and structural equation modeling*. Cary: SAS Institute.
- Hatchett, S. & Schuman, H. (1975). White respondents and race-of-interviewer effects. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 39, 523-528.
- Haunberger, S. (2011). Explaining Unit-Nonresponse in online panel surveys: An application of the extended theory planned behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 41, 2999-3025.
- Hayes, A.F. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach*. Ney York NY: The Guilford press.
- Heberlein, T.A. & Baumgartner, R. (1978). Factors affecting nonresponse rates to mailed questionnaires: A quantitative analysis of the published literature. *American Sociological Review*, 43, 447-462.
- Hedlin, D. (2003). Score functions to reduce business survey editing at the U.K. Office for National Statistics. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 19, 177-199.
- Heeringa, S.G. & O'Muircheartaigh, C. (2010). Sampling designs for cross-cultural and cross-national survey programs. In J.A. Harkness et. al. (Eds.). *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional and multicultural contexts* (pp. 251-267). New York: John Wiley.
- Heerwegh, D. (2009). Mode differences between face-to-face and web surveys: An experimental investigation of data quality and social desirability effects. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 21, 111-121.
- Heerwegh, D. & Loosveldt, G. (2002). An evaluation of the effect of response formats on data quality in web surveys. *Social Science Computer Review*, 20, 471-484.
- Heerwegh, D. & Loosfeldt, G. (2006). An experimental study on the effects of personalization survey length statements, progress indicators and survey sponsor logos in web surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22, 191-210.
- Heckathorn, D.D. (1997). Respondent-driven sampling: A new approach to the study of hidden populations. *Social Problems*, 44, 174-199.
- Heckel, C. (2002). Erstellung der ADM-Telefonauswahlgrundlage. In S. Gabler & S. Häder (Hrsg.), *Telefonstichproben* (S. 11-31). Münster: Waxman.
- Heckel, C. (2007). Weiterentwicklung der CATI-ADM-Auswahlgrundlagen. In S. Gabler & S. Häder (Hrsg.), *Mobilfunk-Technologie - Eine Herausforderung für die Umfrageforschung* (S. 25-37) (ZUMA- Nachrichten Spezial Band 13). Mannheim: GESIS-ZUMA.
- Heerwegh, D. & Loosfeldt, G. (2011). Assessing mode effects in a national crime Victimization survey using structural equation models: Social desirability bias and acquiescence. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27, 49-63.

- Heike, H.-D. & Sauerbier, Th. (1997): MISTRAL - a new object-based micro simulation language. In Bandilla, W. and Faulbaum, F. (Eds.): *SoftStat'97 - Advances in Statistical Software 6* (pp. 403-410). Stuttgart: Lucius & Lucius.
- Heise, D.R. (1969). Separating reliability and stability in test-retest correlation. *American Sociological Review*, 34, 93-101.
- Heise, D.R. (1975). *Causal analysis*. New York: John Wiley.
- Hembroff, L.A. et al. (2005). The cost-effectiveness of alternative advance mailings in a telephone survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 69, 232-245.
- Hempel, C.G. & Oppenheim, P. (1948). *Studies in the logic of explanation*. Philosophy of Science, 15, 135-175.
- Higgins, C.A., Dimnik, T.P. & Greenwood, H.P. (1987). The DiskQ survey method. *Journal of Market Research Society*, 37, 437-445.
- Himmelfarb, S. & Lickteig, C. (1982). Social desirability and the randomized response technique. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 43, 710-717.
- Hippler, H.-J. (1985). Schriftliche Befragung bei allgemeinen Bevölkerungsstichproben. Untersuchungen zur Dillmanschen „Total Design Method“. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 16, 39-56.
- Hippler, H.-J., Schwarz, N. & Sudman, S. (Eds.) (1987). *Social information processing and survey methodology*. New York: Springer.
- Hippler, H.-J. et al. (1991). Der Einfluss numerischer Werte auf die Bedeutung verbaler Skalenendpunkte. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 28, 54-65.
- Hoffmann, H. (2007). Kombinierte Stichproben für Telefonumfragen – Ansätze in Europa. In S. Gabler & S. Häder (Hrsg.), *Mobilfunktelefonie - Eine Herausforderung für die Umfrageforschung* (S. 47-57) (ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial Band 13). Mannheim: GESIS.
- Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J. & Wolf, Ch. (Eds.) (2003). *Advances in cross-national comparison*. New York: Kluwer.
- Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J. & Warner, U. (2012). *Harmonisierung demographischer und sozioökonomischer Variablen*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J. & Warner, U. (2013). *Harmonising demographic and socio-demographic variables for cross-national comparative research*. New York: Springer.
- Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik, J. & Warner, U. (2013). *Soziodemographische Standards für Umfragen in Europa*. München und Mering: Rainer Hampp Verlag.
- Hofmans, J. et al. (2007). Bias and changes in perceived intensity of verbal qualifiers effected by scale orientation. *Survey Research Methods*, 1, 97-108
- Hofstätter, P.R. (1957). Die amerikanische und die deutsche Einsamkeit – American and European loneliness. Verhandlungen des 13. Deutschen Soziologentages in Bad Meinberg (S. 87-106). Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Hofstätter, P.R. (1963). *Einführung in die Sozialpsychologie* (3. Auflage). Stuttgart: Alfred Kröner.
- Hofstätter, P.R. & Lübbert, H. (1958). Die Untersuchung von Stereotypen mit Hilfe des Polaritätsprofils. *Zeitschrift für Markt- und Meinungsforschung*, 3, 127-135.
- Holbrook, P.R. & Krosnick, J.A. (2010). Social desirability bias in voter turnout. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 37-67.
- Holbrook, A.L. et al. (2007). Response order effects in dichotomous categorical questions Presented orally. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 71, 325-348.

- Holbrook, P.R., Green, M.C. & Krosnick, J.A. (2003). Telephone vs. face-to-face interviewing of national probability samples with long questionnaires. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 67, 79-125.
- Holland, P.W. (1986). Statistics and causal inference. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 81, 945-970.
- Homans, G. (1958). Social behavior as exchange. *American Journal of Sociology*, 62, 597-606.
- Hormuth, S. (1986). The sampling of experiences in situ. *Journal of Personality*, 54, 262-293.
- Horvitz, D.G. & Thompson, D.J. (1952). A generalization of sampling without replacement from a finite universe. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 47, 663-685.
- Houtkoop-Steenstra, H. & Van den Bergh, H. (2000). Effects of introductions in large-scale telephone survey interviews. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 28, 281-300.
- Howe, E.S. (1962). Probabilistic adverbial qualifications of adjectives. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 1, 225-242.
- Hox, J. (1994). Hierarchical regression models for interviewer and respondent effects. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 22, 300-318.
- Hox, J. (1997). From theoretical concepts to survey questions. In L. Lyberg et al. (Eds.), *Survey measurement and process quality* (pp. 47-69). New York: John Wiley.
- Hox, J. (2010). *Multilevel analysis: Techniques and applications* (2nd edition). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum
- Hox, J. & De Leeuw, E.D. (2002). The influence of interviewer's attitude on behavior in Household survey nonresponse: An international comparison. In R.M. Groves et al. (Eds.), *Survey nonresponse* (pp. 103-120). New York: John Wiley.
- Hox, J., De Leeuw, E. & Kreft, I.G.G. (1991). The effect of interviewer and respondent characteristics on the quality of survey data: A multilevel model. In P. Biemer et al. (Eds.), *Measurement errors in surveys*. New York: John Wiley.
- Hox, J., De Leeuw, E. & Vorst, H. (1995). Survey participation as reasoned action: A behavioral paradigm for survey nonresponse? *Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique*, 47, 52-67.
- Hox, J., De Leeuw, E. & Zijlmans, A.O.E. (2016). Measurement equivalence in mixed mode-surveys. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 1-11.
- Hsiao, C. (2003). *Analysis of panel data* (2nd edition). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Hume, D. (1981). *Untersuchungen über den menschlichen Verstand* (Original erschienen 1748: An inquiry concerning human understanding). Stuttgart: Reclam.
- Humpert, A. (2004). Erfahrungen mit Personennamen zur Bildung von Stichproben für Betriebsbefragungen. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 54, 141-153.
- Humpert, A. & Schneiderheinze, K. (2000). Stichprobenziehung für telefonische Zuwandererumfragen. Einsatzmöglichkeiten der Namensforschung (Onomastik). *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 47, 36-59.
- Huttenlocher, J., Hedges, L., & Bradburn, N. (1990). Reports of elapsed time: Bounding and rounding processes in estimation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 16, 196-213.
- Hyman, H. et al. (1954). *Interviewing in social research*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Jäckle, A. (2008). Dependent interviewing: effects on respondent burden and efficiency of data collection. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 24, 1-21.
- Jäckle, A. (2009) Dependent interviewing: A framework and application to current research. In P. Lynn (ed.), *Methodology of longitudinal surveys* (pp. 93-111). Chichester: John Wiley.

- Jänich, K. (2013). *Lineare Algebra* (11. Auflage). Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer.
- Jagodzinski, W., Kühnel, S.M. & Schmidt, P. (1987). Is there a „Socratic Effect“ in nonexperimental panel studies? *Sociological Methods & Research*, 15, 259-302.
- Jahoda, M., Lazarsfeld, P.F. & Zeisel, H. (1975). *Die Arbeitslosen von Marienthal*. Frankfurt am Main. (Original erschienen 1933). Suhrkamp.
- Jakhu, S. & Sauer, M.E. (2002). New data collections using touchtone data entry. *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 1600-1605). Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.
- James, J.M. & Bolstein, R. (1992). Large monetary incentives and their effect on mail survey response rates. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 56, 442-453.
- Janetzko, D. (1999). *Statistische Anwendungen im Internet. In Netzumgebungen Daten erheben, auswerten und präsentieren*. München: Addison-Wesley.
- Jann, B. (2005). *Einführung in die Statistik* (2. Auflage). München: Oldenbourg.
- Jann, B., Jerke, J. & Krumpal, I. (2012). Asking sensitive questions using the crosswise model. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 76, 32-49.
- Japek, L. et al. (2015). Big data in survey research. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 79, 839-880.
- Jenkins, C.R. & Dillman, D.A. (1997). Towards a theory of self-administered questionnaires. In L. Lyberg et al. (Eds.), *Survey measurement and process quality* (pp. 165-196). New York: John Wiley.
- John, O.P., Naumann, L.P. & Soto, C.J. (2008). Paradigm shift to the integrative big five trait Taxonomy. In O.P. John, R.W. Robins & L.W. Pervin (Eds.), *Handbook of Personality: Theory and Research* (3rd edition) (pp. 114-117). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Johnson, T.P. (2003). Approaches to equivalence in cross-cultural and cross-national survey research. In J.A. Harkness (ed.), *Cross-cultural survey equivalence*. (ZUMA-Nachrichten Spezial No. 3, S. 1-40). Mannheim: ZUMA
- Jöreskog, K.G. & Sörbom, D. (2015). LISREL 9.20 for Windows. Skokie, IL: Scientific Software International, Inc.
- Jöreskog, K.G. (1971). Simultaneous factor analysis in several populations. *Psychometrika*, 57, 409-426.
- Jöreskog, K.G. (1973). A general method for estimating a linear structural equation system. In A.S. Goldberger & O.D. Duncan (Eds.), *Structural equation models in the social Sciences* (pp. 83-112). New York: Plenary Press.
- Jöreskog, K.G. (1994). On the estimation of polychoric correlations and their asymptotic covariance matrix. *Psychometrika*, 59, 381-389.
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (2004). LISREL (version 8.7). Lincolnwood, IL: Scientific Software International.
- Joinson, A.N. & Reips, U.-D. (2007). Personalized salutation, power of sender, and response rates to web-based surveys. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23, 1372-1383.
- Kaase, M. (Hrsg.) (1999). *Qualitätskriterien in der Umfrageforschung*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Kahnemann, D. & Frederick, S. (2002). Representativeness revisited: Attribute substitution in intuitive judgment. In T. Gilovich, D. Griffin & D. Kahnemann (Eds.), *Heuristics and biases: The psychology of intuitive judgment* (S. 267-294). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kalton, G. (1983). *Introduction to survey sampling*. Thousand Oakes, CA: Sage.

- Kalton, G. (2009). Methods for oversampling rare populations in social surveys. *Survey Methodology*, 35, 125-141.
- Kalton, G. & Anderson, D.W. (1986). Sampling rare populations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (Series A)*, 149, 65-82.
- Kalton, G. & Flores-Cervantes, I. (2003). Weighting methods. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 19, 81-97.
- Kaminska, O., McCutcheon, A.L. & Billiet, J. (2010). Satisficing among reluctant respondents in a cross-national context. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 956-984.
- Kane, E. W., & Macaulay, L. J. (1993). Interviewer gender and gender attitudes. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 57, 1-28.
- Kaplan, D. (2008). *Structural equation modeling*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Kaplowitz, M.D., Hadlock, T.D. & Levine, R. (2004). A comparison of web and mail survey response rates. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 68, 94-101.
- Keeter, S. et al. (2006). Gauging the impact of growing nonresponse on estimates from a National RDD telephone survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 70, 759-779.
- Kendall, M. & Stuart, A. (1976). *The advanced theory of statistics. Vol. 3* (3rd ed.). London: Charles Griffin.
- Kenny, D.A. (1979). *Correlation and causality*. New York: John Wiley.
- Keuschnigg, M. & Wolbring, T. (Hrsg.) (2015). *Experimente in den Sozialwissenschaften. (Soziale Welt, Sonderband 22)*. Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Kieruj, N.D. & Moors, G. (2010). Variations in response style behaviour by scale format in attitude Research. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 22, 320-342.
- Kim, J. M. & Warde, W. D., (2005). A mixed randomized response model. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 133, 211-221.
- Kim, J.M. et al. (2010). Comparison between Self-administered questionnaire, and computer assisted self-interview for supplementary survey nonresponse. *Field Methods*, 22, 57-69.
- Kirk, R.E. (1995). *Experimental design; Procedures for the social sciences* (3rd edition). Pacific Grove: Brooks/Cole.
- Kish, L. (1949). A procedure for objective respondent selection within a household. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 44, 380-387.
- Kish, L. (1965). *Survey sampling*. New York: John Wiley.
- Kish, L. (1987). *Statistical design for research*. New York: John Wiley.
- Klausch, T., Hox, J.J. & Schouten, B. (2013). Measurement effects of survey mode on the equivalence of attitudinal rating scale questions. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 42, 227-263.
- Klein, D.M. (1987). Causation in sociology today: A revised review. *Sociological Theory*, 5, 19-26.
- Knobloch, S. et al. (2003). Imagery effects on the selective reading of internet newsmagazines. *Communication Research*, 30, 3-29.
- Knocke, D., Bohrnstedt, G.W. & Mee, A.P. (2002). *Statistics for social data analysis* (4th edition). Itasca, Ill: F.E. Peacock Publ.
- Koch, A. (1998). Warum „mehr“ nicht gleichbedeutend mit „besser“ ist: Ausschöpfungsquoten und Stichprobenverzerrungen in allgemeinen Bevölkerungsumfragen. *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 42, 66-90.
- König, C., Stahl, M. & Wiegand, E. (Hrsg.) (2011). *Umfrageforschung. Entscheidungsgrundlage für Politik und Wissenschaft* (GESIS-Schriftenreihe Band 8). Bonn: GESIS-Leibniz-Institut für Sozialwissenschaften.

- Kolenikov, S. & Kennedy, C. (2014). Evaluating three approaches to statistical adjust for mode effects. *Journal of Survey Statistics and Methodology*, 2, 126–158.
- Komorita, S.S. & Graham, W.K. (1965). Number of scale points and the reliability of scales. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 25, 987-995.
- Kooreman, F. & Scherpenzeel, A. (2014). Advanced body mass measurement, feedback and health behaviors. *Economics and Human Biology*, 14, 141-153.
- Kowalsky, H.-J. & Michler, G.O. (2003). *Lineare Algebra* (12. Auflage). Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Krantz, D.H. et al. (1971). *Foundations of measurement I*. New York: Academic Press.
- Krantz, D.H. et al. (1974). *Foundations of measurement II*. New York: Academic Press.
- Kreuter, F. (2013a). Facing the nonresponse challenge. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* Vol. 645, 32-35.
- Kreuter, F. (ed.) (2013b). *Improving surveys with paradata: Analytic uses of process information*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Kreuter, F., Presser, S. & Tourangeau, R. (2008). Social desirability bias in CATI, IVR, and web surveys: The effects of mode and question sensitivity. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 72, 847-865.
- Kreuter, F. et al. (2011). The effects of asking filter questions in interleaved versus grouped format. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 40, 80–104.
- Kristof, W. (1966). Das Cliffische Gesetz im Deutschen. *Psychologische Forschung*, 29, 22-31.
- Krosnick, J.A. (1991). Response strategies for coping with the cognitive demands of attitude measures in surveys. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 5, 213-236.
- Krosnick, J.A. (1999). Survey research, *Annual Review of Psychology*, 50, 537-567.
- Krosnick, J.A. (2011). Experiments for evaluating survey questions. In J. Madans et al. (Eds.), *Question evaluation methods* (pp. 215-238. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Krosnick, J.A. & Alwin, D.F. (1987). An evaluation of a cognitive theory of response-order effects in survey measurement. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 51, 201-219.
- Krosnick, J.A. & Berent, M.K. (1990). The impact of verbal labeling of response alternatives and branching on attitude measurement reliability in surveys. *Paper presented at the American Association for Public Opinion Research, Annual Meeting*, Lancaster, Pennsylvania.
- Krosnick, J.A. & Fabrigar, L.R. (Eds.) (2013). *The handbook of questionnaire design*. Oxford University Press.
- Krosnick, J.A. & Schuman, H. (1988). Attitude intensity, importance, and certainty and susceptibility to response effects. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 940-952.
- Krosnick, J.A. et al. (2002). The impact of „No Opinion“ response options on data quality. Non-attitude reduction or an invitation to satisfice? *Public Opinion Quarterly* 66, 371-403
- Krosnick, J.A. & Presser, S. (2010). Question and questionnaire design. In P.V. Marsden & J.D. Wright (Eds.), *Handbook of survey research* (2nd edition) (pp. 263-313). Bingley, UK: Emerald.
- Kruskal, W. & Mosteller, F. (1979a). Representative sampling I: Non-scientific literature excluding statistics. *International Statistical Review*, 47, 13-24.
- Kruskal, W. & Mosteller, F. (1979b). Representative sampling II: Scientific literature excluding statistics. *International Statistical Review*, 47, 111-123.
- Kruskal, W. & Mosteller, F. (1979c). Representative sampling III: Current statistical literature. *International Statistical Review*, 47, 245-265.

- Krueger, B.S. & West, B.T. (2014). Assessing the potential of paradata and other auxiliary data for nonresponse adjustments. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 78, 795-831.
- Krysan, M. et al. (1994). Response rates and response content in mail versus face-to-face surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 58, 381-399.
- Krysan, M., & Couper, M. P. (2003.) Race in the live and the virtual interview: Racial deference, social desirability, and activation effects in attitude surveys. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 66, 364-383.
- Krysan, M. & Couper, M.P. (2006). Race of interviewer effects: What happens on the web? *International Journal of Internet Science*, 1, 17-28.
- Kühnel, S.-M. & Krebs, D. (2012). *Statistik für die Sozialwissenschaften* (6. Auflage). Reinbeck: Rowohlt.
- Lakoff, G. (1971). On generative semantics. In D.D. Steinberg & L.A. Jacobovits (Eds.), *Semantics* (pp. 232-296). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lau, R., Sears, D.O. & Jessor, T. (1990). Fact or artifact revisited: Survey instrument effects and pocket books politics. *American Political Science Review*, 83, 399-42
- Lavrakas, P.J., Stasny, E.A. & Harpuder, B. (2000). A further investigation of the last-birthday random selection method and within-unit coverage error. *Proceedings of the Survey Research Section Method, American Statistical Association* (pp. 890-895).
- Lazarsfeld, P.F. & Henry, N.W. (1968). *Latent structure analysis*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Leary, M.R. (2007). Motivational and emotional aspects of the self. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 317-344.
- Le, K.T. et al. 2012). Within-household sampling conditioning on household size. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 25, 108-118.
- Lee, S. (2006). Propensity score adjustment as a weighting scheme for volunteer panel web surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22, 29-49.
- Lee, G., Benoit-Bryan, J. & Johnson, T.P. (2011). Survey research in public administration: Assessing mainstream journals with a total survey error framework. *Public Administration Review*, 72, 87-97.
- Lee, S. & Hershberger, S. (1990). A simple rule for generating equivalent models in Covariance structure modeling. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 25, 313-334.
- Leim, I. (2008). *Die Modellierung der Fertilitätsentwicklung als Folge individueller Entscheidungsprozesse mit Hilfe der Mikrosimulation*. Marburg: Metropolis Verlag.
- Lepkowski, J.M. (1988). Telephone sampling methods in the United States. In R.M. Groves et al. (Eds.), *Telephone survey methodology* (pp. 73-98). New York: John Wiley.
- Lessler, J. T. & Forsyth, B.H. (1996). A coding system for appraising questionnaires (pp. 259-292). In N. Schwarz & S. Sudman (Eds.), *Answering questions: Methodology for determining cognitive and communicative processes in survey research*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Lessler, J.T. & O'Reilly, J.M. (1995). Literacy limitations and solution for Self-administered Questionnaires to enhance privacy. *Statistical Policy Working Paper 23* (pp. 453-469). Bethesda, MD: Council of Professional Associations for Federal Statistics.
- Lewis, D. (1973). *Counterfactuals*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Liebig, S., Sauer, C. & Friedhoff, S. (2015). Empirische Gerechtigkeitsforschung mit dem faktoriellen Survey. In M. Keuschnigg & T. Wolbring (Hrsg.), *Experimente in den Sozialwissenschaften* (S. 321 – 339). Soziale Welt Sonderband 22.

- Likert, R. (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives for Psychology*, 22, 1-55.
- Lillard, L.A. & Farmer, M.M. (1997). Linking Medicare and national survey data. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 127, 691-695.
- Lilly, R.S. (1968a). Multiplying values of intensive probabilistic, and frequency adverbs when combined with potency adjectives. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 7, 854-858.
- Lilly, R.S. (1968b). The qualification of evaluative adjectives by frequency adverbs. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior* 7, 333-336.
- Link, M.W. & Burks, A.T. (2013). Leveraging auxiliary data, differential incentives, and survey mode to target hard-to-reach groups in an address-based sample design. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 696-713.
- Link, M.W. & Mokdad, A. (2005). Advance letters as a means of improving respondent cooperation in random digit dialing studies. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 69, 572-587.
- Link, M.W. et al. (2009). Measuring the quality of real-time telephone survey interpreters. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 21, 271-292.
- Little, R.A. & Rubin, D.B. (2002). *Statistical analysis of missing data* (2nd edition). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Liu, M. & Stainback, K. (2013). Interviewer gender effects on survey response responses to marriage-related questions. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 606-618.
- Lodge, M. (1981). *Magnitude scaling, quantitative measurement of opinions*. London: Sage.
- Lohr, S.L. (2009). Multiple frame surveys. In D. Pfefferman & J.N.K. Rao (Eds.), *Handbook of Statistics Vol. 29A: Sample surveys: Design, methods and applications* Burlington, MA: Elsevier.
- Lord, F.M. & Novick, M.R. (1968). *Statistical theories of mental test scores*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Lütgepohl, H. (2006). *New introduction to multiple time series analysis*. New York: Springer.
- Lutig, P. & Lensvelt-Mulders, G.J.L.M. (2014). Evaluating the effect of dependent interviewing on the quality of measures of change. *Field Methods*, 26, 172-190.
- Lundquist, P. & Särndal, C.-E. (2013). Aspects of responsive design with applications to the Swedish Living Conditions Survey. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29, 557-582.
- Lyberg, L. et al. (Eds.) (1997). *Survey measurement and process quality*. New York: John Wiley.
- Lynch, J.P. (1993): The effects of survey design on reporting in victimization surveys – The United States Experience. In W. Bilsky, C. Pfeiffer & P.Wetzels (Hrsg), *Fear of crime and criminal victimization* (pp. 159-185). Stuttgart: Enke,
- Lynch, P.J. & Horton, S. (2008). *Web Style Guide*. New Haven: Yale University Press
- Lynn, P. (ed.) (2009). *Longitudinal surveys*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Lynn, P. (2012). The impact of questioning method on measurement error in panel survey Measures of benefit receipts: Evidence of a validation study. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A*, 175, 289-308.
- Lynn, P. et al. (2002). The effect of extended interviewer efforts on nonresponse bias. In Groves, R.M. et al. (Eds.), *Survey nonresponse* (pp. 135-147). New York: John Wiley.
- Lynn, P. et al. (2006). The effects of dependent interviewing on responses to questions on income sources. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22, 357-384.
- Lynn, P. et al. (2007). Methods for achieving equivalence of samples in cross-national surveys: The European Social Survey experience. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 23, 107-124.

- Madans, J. et al. (Eds.) (2011). *Question evaluation methods*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Madden, J.M. & Bourdon, R.D. (1964). Effects of variations in scale format on judgment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 48, 147-151.
- Mair, P., Wu, E. & Bentler, P.M. (2010) EQS Goes R: Simulations for SEM Using the Package REQS. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 17, 333-349
- Manfreda, K.L., Vehovar, V. & Hlebec, V. (2004). Collecting ego-centered network data via the web. *Metodološki zvezki*, 1, 295-321.
- Manfreda, K.L., et al. (2008). Web surveys versus other survey modes: A meta-analysis comparing response rates. *International Journal of Market Research*, 50, 79-104.
- Mangat, N. S. & Singh, R. (1990). An alternative randomized response procedure. *Biometrika*, 77, 439-442.
- Mann, C.B. (2005). Do advance letters improve preelection forecasts accuracy? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 69, 561-571.
- Massey, J.T., O'Connor, D.J. & Krotki, K. (1997). Response rates in random digit dialing (RDD) telephone surveys. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association*, Section on Survey Research Methods (pp. 202-712).
- Masters, E.R. (1974). The relationship between type number of response categories and reliability of Likert-Type questionnaires. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 11, 49-53.
- Mathiowetz, N.A. & McGonagle, K.A. (2000). An assessment of the current state of dependent interviewing in household surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 16, 401-441.
- Mayer, K.U. & Huinink, J. (1990). Alters-, Perioden- und Kohorteneffekte in der Analyse von Lebensverläufen: oder: Lexis ade? in K.U. Mayer (Hrsg.), *Lebensläufe und sozialer Wandel* (S. 442-459). Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Mayerl, J. & Urban, D. (2008). *Antwortreaktionszeiten in Survey-Analysen*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- McCallister, J.L. & Fischer, C.S. (1978). A procedure for surveying personal networks. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 7, 131-148.
- McCutcheon, A.L. (1987). *Latent class analysis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- McDonald, M.P. & Thornburg, M.P. (2012). Interview mode effects. The case of exit polls and early voting. *Public Opinion quarterly*, 76, 326-349.
- McFall, S.L., Conolly, A. & Burton, J. (2014). Collecting biomarkers and biological samples using trained interviewers. Lessons from a pilot study. *Survey Research Methods*, 8, 57-66.
- McHorney, C.A., Kosinski, M. & Ware, J.E. (1994). Comparisons of the costs and quality of norms for the SF-36 Survey collected by mail versus telephone interview: Results from a national survey. *Medical Care*, 32, 551-567.
- McKelvie, S.J. (1978). Graphic rating scales - How many categories? *British Journal of Psychology*, 69, 185-202.
- McLachlan, G. & Peel, D. (2000). *Finite mixture models*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Mead, H. (1934). *Mind, self, and society*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Medway, R.L. & Fulton, J. (2012). When more gets you less: A meta-analysis of the effect of concurrent web options on Mail Survey response rates. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 76, 733-746.
- Mercer, A. et al. (2015). How much gets you how much? Monetary incentives and response rates in household surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 79, 105-129.
- Meitinger, K. (2017). Necessary but insufficient Why measurement invariance tests need online probing as a complementary tool. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 8, 447-472.

- Merz, J. (1983). Die konsistente Hochrechnung von Mikrodaten nach dem Prinzip des minimalen Informationsverlustes. *Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv*, 67, 342-366
- Metschke, R. & Wellbrock, R. (2002). Datenschutz in Wissenschaft und Forschung. Berlin: Berliner Beauftragter für Datenschutz und Informationsfreiheit (Hrsg.). *Materialien zum Datenschutz Nr. 28*. <http://www.datenschutz-berlin/attachments/47/Materialien28.pdf?1166527077>.
- Meyers, L.S., Gamst, G. & Guarino, A.J. (2013). *Applied multivariate research*. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage.
- Millar, M.M. & Dillman, D. (2011). Improving response to web and mixed-mode surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75, 249-269.
- Miller, G.A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review*, 63, 81-97.
- Miller, K. (2011). Cognitive interviewing. In J. Madans et al. (Eds.), *Question evaluation methods* (pp. 51-75). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Miller, K. et al. (2014). *Cognitive interviewing methodology*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Mitchell, D.L. (1993). *A multivariate analysis of the effects of gender and computer vs. Paper/pencil modes of administration on survey results*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation of the College Administration and Business, Louisiana Technical University, Ruston. LA. ACM Digital Library.
- Mitofski, W. (1970). *Sampling of telephone households* (unpublished CBS memorandum.)
- Mohorko, A., De Leeuw, E. & Hox, J. (2013). Internet coverage and coverage bias in Europe: Developments across countries and over time. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29, 609-622.
- Morris, C.W. (1938). Foundations of the theory of signs. In O. Neurath (ed.), *International Encyclopedia of Unified Science* (Vol. I). Chicago: Chicago University Press
- Morrison, D.E. & Henkel, R.E. (1970). *The significance test controversy: A reader*. London: Butterworth.
- Morrison, R.L., Dillman, D.A. & Christian, L.M. (2010). Questionnaire design guidelines for establishment surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 26, 43-85.
- Moxey, L.M. & Sanford, A.J. (2000). Communicating quantities: A review of psycholinguistic evidence of how expressions determine perspectives. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 14, 237-255.
- Münz, S. & Nefzger, W. (2004). *HTML und Web-Publishing Handbuch*. Poing: Franzis Verlag.
- Muthén, B.O. (1984). A general structural equation model with dichotomous, ordered categorical, and continuous latent variable indicators. *Psychometrika*, 49, 115-132.
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2015). *Mplus (version 7.4)*. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.
- Narayan, S. & Krosnick, J.A. (1996). Education moderates some response effects in attitude Measurement. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 60, 58-88.
- Nauck, B. & Bertram, H. (1995). *Kinder in Deutschland: Lebensverhältnisse von Kindern im Regionalvergleich*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Namboodiri, K. (1984). *Matrix algebra. An introduction*. Newbury Park, CA.: Sage.
- Neale, M.C. et al. (2016). OpenMx 2.0: Extended structural equation and statistical modeling. *Psychometrika*, 81, 535-549.
- Neon (2003). *Anforderungen an Online-Umfrage-Software*. Berlin: BVM Berufsverband Deutscher Markt und Sozialforscher e.V.

- Neter, J. & Waksberg, J. (1964). A study of response errors in expenditures data from household interviews. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 59, 17-55.
- Oberski, D., Saris, W.E. & Hagenaars, J.A. (2010). Categorization errors and differences in the quality of Questions in Comparative Surveys. In J.A. Harkness et al. (Eds.), *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional, and multicultural contexts* (pp. 435-473). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Oh, H.L. & Scheuren, F. (1983). Weighting adjustments for unit nonresponse. In W.G. Madow, I. Olkin & D. Rubin (Eds.), *Incomplete data. Volume 2: Theory and Bibliographies*. New York: Academic Press.
- Olsson, U., Drasgow, F. & Dorans, N.J. (1982). The polyserial correlation coefficient. *Psychometrika*, 47, 337-347.
- Österreichisches Normungsinstitut (2010). *ÖNORM ISO 26362: 2010: Access Panels in der Markt-, Meinungs- und Sozialforschung*. Austrian Standard Publ.
- Oksenberg, L. & Cannell, C. (1988). Effects of vocal characteristics on nonresponse. In R.M. Groves et al. (Eds.), *Telephone survey methodology* (pp. 257-273). New York: John Wiley.
- Oksenberg, L., Coleman, L. & Cannell, C.F. (1986). Interviewer's voices and refusal rates in telephone surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 50, 97-111.
- Olson, K. (2013). Do non-response follow-ups improve or reduce data quality? A review of the existing literature. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A*, 176, 129 - 145.
- Olson, K., Smyth, J. D., & Wood, H. (2012). Does giving people their preferred survey mode actually increase survey participation? An experimental examination. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 76, 611-635.
- Olsson, U., Drasgow, F. & Dorans, N.J. (1982). The polyserial correlation coefficient. *Psychometrika*, 47, 337-347.
- O'Muircheartaigh, C., Krosnick, J. A., & Helic, A. (1999). Middle alternatives, acquiescence, and the quality of questionnaire data. *Paper presented at the American Association for Public Opinion Research annual meeting*, St. Petersburg, FL
- O'Reilly et al. (1994). Audio and Video computer assisted Self-interviewing: Preliminary test of new technologies for data collection. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 10, 197-214.
- O'Rourke, D. & Blair, J. (1983). Improving random respondent selection in telephone surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 20, 428-432.
- Ongena, Y.P. & Dijkstra, W. (2006). Methods of behavior coding of survey interviews. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22, 419-451.
- Orth, B. (1974). *Einführung in die Theorie des Messens*. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.
- Osgood, C.E., Suci, G.J, & Tannenbaum, P.H. (1957). *The measurement of meaning* Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Ostrom, T. & Gannan, K. (1996). Exemplar generation: Assessing how respondents give meaning to rating scales. In N. Schwarz & S. Sudman (Eds.), *Answering questions Methodology for determining cognitive and communicative processes in survey research* (pp. 293-318). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Oyserman, D. & Lee, S.W.S. (2008). Does culture influence what and how we think? *Psychological Bulletin*, 132, 311-342.
- Pannekoek, J., Scholtus, S. & Van der Loo, M. (2013). Automated and manual data editing: A view on process design and methodology. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29, 511-537.
- Parducci, A. (1965). Category judgment: A range-frequency model. *Psychological Review*, 72, 407-418.

- Parducci, A. (1983). Category ratings and the relational character of judgment. In H. G. Geissler, H. F. J. M. Buffort, E. L. J. Leeuwenberg, & V. Sarris (Eds.), *Modern issues in perception* (pp. 89-105). Berlin: VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften.
- Park, D. & Schwarz, N. (2000). *Cognitive aging: A primer*. Philadelphia: Psychology Press.
- Paulhus, D. L. (1984). Two-component models of socially desirable responding. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46, 598-609.
- Paulhus, D. L. (2002). Socially desirable responding: the evolution of a construct. In H. I. Braun, D. N. Jackson, & D. E. Wiley (Eds.), *The role of constructs in psychological and educational measurement* (pp. 46-69). Mahwah NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Payne, S.L. (1951). *The art of asking questions*. Princeton: Princeton University Press
- Pearson, J. & Levine, R.A. (2003). Salutations and response rates to online surveys. *Paper Presented at the fourth international conference on the impact of technology on the survey process*. University of Warwick, UK.
- Pepper, S., & Prytulak, L. S. (1974). Sometimes frequently means seldom: Context effects in the interpretation of quantitative expressions. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 8, 95-101.
- Peytchev, A. (2013). Consequences of survey nonresponse. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* Vol. 645, 88-111.
- Peytchev, A. & Hill, C.A. (2010). Experiments in mobile web survey design. Similarities to other modes and unique considerations. *Social Science Computer Review*, 28, 319-335.
- Peytchev, A., Baxter, R.K. & Carley-Baxter, L.R. (2009). Not all survey effort is equal – Reduction of nonresponse bias and nonresponse error. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 73, 785-806.
- Peytchev, A., Carley-Baxter, L.R. & Black, M.C. (2010). Coverage bias in variances, associations, and total error from exclusion of the cell phone-only population in the United States. *Social Science Computer Review*, 28, 287-302.
- Peytchev, A. Peytcheva, E. & Groves, R.M. (2010). Measurement error, unit nonresponse, and self-reports of abortion experiences. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 319-327.
- Peytchev, A. et al. (2010). Increasing respondents' use of definitions in web surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 26, 633-650.
- Pfister, R., Nussbaum, P. & Schallberger, U. (1999). *Konzeption und Durchführung der Datenerhebung, die Stichproben und das Commitment der Untersuchungspersonen* (Arbeitsbericht Nr. 3 aus dem Projekt „Qualität des Erlebens in Arbeit und Freizeit“). Zürich: Psychologisches Institut.
- Pickery, J. & Loosveldt, G. (1999). An evaluation of a typology of respondents with a multilevel-multinomial logit model. *Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique*, 63, 47-61.
- Pickery, J. & Loosfeldt, G. (2001). An exploration of question characteristics that mediate interviewer effects on item nonresponse. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 17, 337-350.
- Pickery, J. & Loosfeldt, G. (2004). A simultaneous analysis of interviewer effects on various Data quality indicators with identification of exceptional interviewers. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 20, 77-89.
- Pierzschala, M. (1990). A review of the state of the art in automated data editing and imputation. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 6, 355-377.
- Plewis, I. (1985). *Analyzing change*. New York: John Wiley.
- Poe, G.S. et al. (1988). "Don't know"-boxes in factual questions in a mail questionnaire. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 52, 212-22
- Popper, K. (1976). *Logik der Forschung* (6. verbesserte Auflage). Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr.

- Porst, R. (1996). *Ausschöpfungen bei sozialwissenschaftlichen Umfragen. Die Sicht der Institute* (ZUMA-Arbeitsbericht 96/07). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Porst, R. (1999). Thematik oder Incentives? Zur Erhöhung der Rücklaufquoten bei Postalischen Befragungen. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 23, 72-87.
- Porst, R. (2001). *Wie man die Rücklaufquote bei postalischen Befragten erhöht* (ZUMA How-to-Reihe Nr. 9). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Porst, R. (2011). *Fragebogen: Ein Arbeitsbuch* (3. Auflage). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag.
- Porst, R. & Jers, C. (2007). Die ALLBUS-„Gastarbeiter-Frage“. Zur Geschichte eines Standard-Instruments in der Allgemeinen Bevölkerungsumfrage der Sozialwissenschaften (ALLBUS)., *Soziale Welt*, 58 145-161.
- Porst, R. Schmidt, P. & Zeifang, K. (1987). Comparisons of subgroups by models with multiple indicators. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 15, 303-315.
- Porter, S.R. & Withcomb, M.E. (2003). The impact of content type on web survey response rates. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 67, 579-588.
- Presser, S. et al. (Eds.) (2004a). *Methods for testing and evaluating survey questions*. New York: John Wiley.
- Presser, S. et al. (2004b). Methods for testing and evaluating survey questions. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 68, 109-130.
- Preston, M.G. & Baratta, Ph. (1948). An experimental study of the auction-value of an uncertain outcome. *American Journal of Psychology*, 61, 183-193.
- Prüfer, P. & Rexroth, M. (1996). Verfahren zur Evaluation von Survey-Fragen. Ein Überblick. *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 39, 95-116
- Prüfer, P. & Rexroth, M. (2005). *Kognitive Interviews* (ZUMA-How-to-Reihe, Nr. 15). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Prüfer, P. & Stiegler, A. (2002). Die Durchführung standardisierter Interviews: Ein Leitfaden (ZUMA How-to-Reihe Nr. 11). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Przeworski, A. & Teune, H. (1968). Equivalence in cross-national research. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 30, 33-43.
- Przeworski, A. & Teune, H. (1970). *The logic of comparative social inquiry*. New York: Wiley.
- Quillian, M.R. (1968). Semantic memory. In M. Minsky (Ed.), *Semantic information processing*. (pp. 227-270). Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Rässler, S. (2002). Statistical matching: *A frequentist theory, practical applications, and Alternative Bayesian approaches* (Lecture Notes in Statistics 168). New York: Springer.
- Raento, M., Oulasvita, A. & Eagle, N. (2009). Smartphones: An emerging tool for the social scientists. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 37, 426-454.
- Raffalovich, L.E. & Bohrnstedt, G.W. (1987). Common, specific, and error variance Components of factor models: Estimation with longitudinal data. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 15,385-405.
- Ramos, M., Sedivi, B.M. & Sweet, E.M. (1998). Computerized self-administered Questionnaires (pp. 389-408). In M.P. Couper et al. (Eds.), *Computer-assisted survey information collection*. New York: John Wiley.
- Rammstedt, B. & John, P. (2007). Measuring personality in one minute or less: A 10-item short version of the Big Five Inventory in English and German. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 41, 203-212.
- Rammstedt, B. et al. (2013). Eine kurze Skala zur Messung der fünf Dimensionen der Persönlichkeit. *Mda*, 7, 233-249.

- Rao, K., Kaminska, O. & McCutcheon, A.L. (2010). Recruiting probability samples for a multi-mode research panel with internet and mail components. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 68-84.
- Redline, C. (2013). Clarifying categorical concepts in a web survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 89-105.
- Reinecke, J. (2014). *Strukturgleichungsmodelle in den Sozialwissenschaften* (2. Auflage). München: Oldenbourg
- Reips, U.-D. (1997). Das psychologische Experimentieren im Internet. In B. Batinic (ed.), *Internet für Psychologen* (S. 245-265). Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Reips, U.-D. & Funke, F. (2008). Interval level measurement with visual analogue scales in internet-based research: VAS generator. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40, 699-704.
- Reuband, K.-H. (1990). Interviews, die keine sind. *Kölner Zeitschrift für Soziologie und Sozialpsychologie*, 42,
- Rhemtulla, M., Brosseau-Liard, P.É. & Savalei, V. (2012). When can categorical variables be treated as continuous? A comparison of robust continuous and categorical SEM estimation methods under suboptimal conditions. *Psychological Methods*, 17, 354-373.
- Rizzo, L., Brick, J.M. & Park, I. (2004). A minimal intrusive method for sampling persons in random digit dialing surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 68, 267-274.
- Rodgers, W.L., Andrews, F.M. & Herzog, A.R. (1992): Quality of survey measures: A structural modeling approach. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 8, 251-275.
- Roduner, K. et al. (2001). *Anleitung zum Arbeiten mit der Experience Sampling Method (ESM)* (Arbeitsbericht Nr. 4 aus dem Projekt „Qualität des Erlebens in Arbeit und Freizeit“). Zürich Psychologisches Institut.
- Rogelberg, S.G. et al. (2001). Attitudes toward surveys: Development of a measure and its relationship to respondent behavior. *Organizational Research Methods*, 4, 2-25.
- Rohrmann, B. (1978). Empirische Studien zur Entwicklung von Antwortskalen für die psychologische Forschung. *Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie*, 9, 222-245.
- Rohrmann, B. (2007). *Verbal qualifiers for rating scales: Sociolinguistic considerations and psychometric data* (Project Report). University of Melbourne.
Available at <http://www.rohrmannresearch.net/pdfs/rohrmann-vqs-report.pdf>.
- Rosch, E. (1975). Cognitive reference points, *Cognitive Psychology* 7, 532-547.
- Rosen, S. & Tesser, A. (1970). On reluctance to communicate undesirable information: The Mum effect. *Sociometry*, 33, 253-263.
- Rosenbaum, P.R. & Rubin, D.B. (1983). The central role of the propensity score of observational studies for causal effects. *Biometrika*, 70, 41-55.
- Rosenbaum, P.R. & Rubin, D.B. (1984). Reducing bias in observational studies using subclassification on the propensity score. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 79, 516-524.
- Ross, B.H. & Murphy, G.L. (1999). Food for Thought: Cross-classification and category organization in a complex real-world domain. *Cognitive Psychology* 38, 495-553
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48, 1-36
- Rossi, P.H. (1979). Vignette analysis. Uncovering the normative structure of complex judgments. In R. K. Merton, J. S. Coleman und P. H. Rossi (Eds.), *Qualitative and quantitative social research. Papers in honour of Paul F. Lazarsfeld* (pp. 176-186). New York: Free Press

- Rossi, P. H. & Anderson, A.B. (1982). The factorial survey approach. An introduction. In P. H. Rossi & S.L. Nock (Eds.), *Measuring social judgments. The factorial survey approach* (pp. 15-67). Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Rothgeb, J.M., Willis, G. & Forsyth, B. (2007). Questionnaire pretesting methods: Do different techniques and different organizations produce similar results? *Bulletin de méthodologie sociologique*, 96, 1-16.
- Rubin, D.B. (1974). Estimating causal effects of treatments in randomized and nonrandomized studies. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 66, 688-701.
- Rubin, D.B. (1976). Inference and missing data. *Biometrika*, 63, 581-592.
- Rubin, D.B. (1987). *Multiple imputation for nonresponse in surveys*. New York: John Wiley.
- Rubin, D.B. (1997). Estimating causal effects from large data sets using propensity scores. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 127, 757-763.
- Särndal, C.-E. and Lundström, S. (2005). *Estimations in Surveys with Nonresponse*. New York: Wiley.
- Särndal, C.-E., Swensson, B. & Wretman, J. (1992). *Model assisted survey sampling*. New York: Springer.
- Sakshaug, J.W. et al. (2012). Linking survey and administrative records. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 41, 535-569.
- Sakshaug, J.W. & Kreuter, F. (2011). Using paradata and other auxiliary data to examine mode switch nonresponse in a "Recruit and Switch" telephone survey. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27, 338-357.
- Sakshaug, J.W. & Kreuter, F. (2014). The effect of benefit wording on consent to link survey and administrative records in a web survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 78, 166-177.
- Sakshaug, J.W. et al. (2015). Characteristics of Physical Measurement Consent in a Population-Based Survey of Older Adults. *Medical Care*, 48, 64-71.
- Sala, E., Burton, J. & Knies, G. (2012). Correlates of obtaining informed consent to data linkage: Respondent, Interview, and interviewer characteristics. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 41, 414-439.
- Salmon, C.T. & Nichols, J.S. (1983). The next-birthday method of respondent selection. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 47, 270-276.
- Sand, M. (2014). *Dual-Frame-Telefonstichproben - Entwicklung, Handhabung und Gewichtung*. (GESIS - Technical Reports 2014, 2). Mannheim: GESIS.
- Sand, M. (2016). Evaluierung von HLR-Lookup-Verfahren. Erste Ergebnisse aus dem Projekt VermIn. In S. Eifler & F. Faulbaum (Hrsg.) *Methodische Probleme von Mixed-Mode-Ansätzen in der Umfrageforschung* (S. 203-229). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Saris, W.E. (1988). *Variations in response functions: A source of measurement error in attitude research*. Amsterdam: Sociometric Research Foundation.
- Saris, W.E. (2003a). Response function equality. In J.A. Harkness, F.J.R. Van de Vijver, & P.Ph. Mohler, (Eds.) (2003). *Cross-cultural survey methods* (pp. 275-288). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Saris, W.E. (2003b). Multitrait-Multimethod studies. In J.A. Harkness, F.J.R. Van de Vijver, & P.Ph. Mohler, (Eds.) (2003). *Cross-cultural survey methods* (pp. 265-274). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Saris, W. E. & Gallhofer, I.N. (2014). *Design, evaluation and analysis of questionnaires for survey research* (2nd edition). Hoboken: John Wiley.

- Särndal, C.-E., Swensson, B. & Wretman, J. (1992). *Model assisted survey sampling*. New York: Springer.
- Särndal, C.-E. & Lundström, S. (2005). *Estimation in surveys with nonresponse*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Saunders, M.N.K. (2012). Web versus mail: The influence of survey distribution mode on employees' response. *Field Methods*, 24, 56-73.
- Sauerbier, Th. (2002). UMDBS – A new tool for dynamic microsimulation. *Journal of Artificial Societies*, 5 (2).
- Savage, L.J. (1954). *The foundations of statistics*. New York: John Wiley.
- Schafer, J.L. (1997). *Analysis of incomplete multivariate data*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hill.
- Schaeffer, N.C. & Maynard, D.W. (2008). The contemporary standardized survey interview for social research. In F.G. Conrad & M.F. Schober (Eds.), *Envisioning the survey interview of the future* (pp. 31-57). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Scherpenzeel, A. (2016). Mixing online panel data collection with innovative methods. In S. Eifler & F. Faulbaum (Hrsg.), *Methodische Probleme von Mixed-Mode-Ansätzen in der Umfrageforschung* (S. 27-49). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Scherpenzeel, A. C. & Saris, W.E. (1997). The validity and reliability of survey questions: A meta-analysis of MTMM studies. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 25, 341-383.
- Scheuch, E.K. (1968). The cross-cultural use of sample surveys: Problems of comparability. In S. Rokkan (Ed.) *Comparative research across cultures and nations* (pp. 176-179). The Hague: Mouton.
- Schlittgen, R. & Streitberg, B.H.J. (2001). *Zeitreihenanalyse*. München: Oldenbourg.
- Shumway, R.H. & Stoffer, D.S. (2011). *Time series analysis and its applications*. New York: Springer.
- Schneekloth, U. & Leven, I. (2003). Woran bemisst sich eine „gute“ allgemeine Bevölkerungsumfrage? Analysen zu Ausmaß, Bedeutung und zu den Hintergründen von Nonresponse in zufallsbasierten Stichprobenerhebungen am Beispiel des ALLBUS. *ZUMA-Nachrichten* 53, 16-57.
- Schneid, M. (1995). Disk by Mail. *Eine Alternative zur schriftlichen Befragung* (ZUMA- Arbeitsbericht 95/02). ZUMA: Mannheim.
- Schneid, M. (2004). Zum Einsatz stationärer Rechner, Notebooks und PDAs bei der Erhebung im Feld. *Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie*, 35, 3-13.
- Schnell, R. (1991). Der Einfluss gefälschter Interviews auf Survey-Ergebnisse. *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 20, 25-35.
- Schnell, R. (1997). *Nonresponse in Bevölkerungsumfragen*. Opladen: Leske und Budrich.
- Schnell, R. (2009). Biometrische Daten. In C. König, M. Stahl und E. Wiegand (Hrsg.), *Nicht-reaktive Erhebungsverfahren* (S. 45-60). Bonn: GESIS-Leibniz-Institut der Sozialwissenschaften.
- Schnell, R. (2012). *Survey-Interviews. Methoden standardisierter Befragungen*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Schnell, R. (2013). *Linking surveys and administrative data*. German RCL Working Paper No. wp-grcl-2013-03.
- Schnell, R. & Kreuter, F. (2005). Separating interviewer and sampling-point effects. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 21, 389-410.

- Schober, M.F. (1999). Making sense of questions: An interactional approach. In M.G. Sirken et al. (Eds.), *Cognition and survey research* (pp. 77-93). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Schober, M.F. & Conrad, F.G. (1997). Does conversational interviewing reduce survey measurement error? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 61, 576-602.
- Schober, M.F. & Conrad, F.G. (2002). A collaborative view of standardized survey interviews. In D. Maynard et al. (Eds.), *Standardization and tacit knowledge: Interaction and practice in the survey interview* (pp. 67-94). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Schober, M.F. & Conrad, F.G. (2008). Survey interviews and new communication technologies. In M.F. Schober & F.G. Conrad (Eds.), *Envisioning the survey interview of the future* (pp. 1-30). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Schober, M.F., Conrad, F.G. & Fricker, S.S. (2004). Misunderstanding standardized language in research interviews. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 18, 169-188.
- Schouten, B., Cobben, F. & Bethlehem, J.G. (2009). Indicators for the representativeness of survey response. *Survey Methodology*, 35, 101-113.
- Schreckenberg, D. & Schümer, R. (2010). The impact of acoustical, operational and non-auditory factors on short-term annoyance due to aircraft noise. Proceedings of internoise 2010: Noise and Sustainability, Lisbon, Portugal, CDRom: Paper No. 333.
- Schröter, J. (2007). *Grundwissen Perl*. München: Oldenbourg.
- Schuman, H. & Kalton, G. (1985). Survey methods. In G. Lindzey & E. Aronson (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (3rd edition pp. 635-697). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Schuman, H. & Converse, J.M. (1968). The effects of black and white interviewers on white respondents in 1968. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 35, 44-68;
- Schwarz, N. (1995). What respondents learn from questionnaires: the survey interview and the logic of conversation. *International Statistical Review*, 63, 153-177.
- Schwarz, N. (1996). *Cognition and communication: Judgmental biases, research methods, and the logic of conversation*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Schwarz, N. (1997). Questionnaire Design: The Rocky Root from Concepts to Answers. In L. Lyberg et al. (Eds.), *Survey measurement and process quality* (pp. 29-46). New York: John Wiley.
- Schwarz, N. (2007). Cognitive aspects of survey methodology. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 21, 277-287.
- Schwarz, N. & Lee, S.W.S. (2012). Metaphor in judgment and decision making. In M.J. Landau, D. Robinson, & P. Meier (Eds.), *Metaphorical thought in social life* (pp. 85-108). Washington D.C.: Psychological Association.
- Schwarz, S. & Sudman, S. (1993). *Autobiographical memory and the validity of retrospective reports*. New York: Springer.
- Schwarz, N., Oyserman, D. & Peytcheva, E. (2010). Cognition, communication, and culture: Implications for the survey response process. In J. Harkness et al. (Eds.), *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional, and multicultural contexts* (pp. 177-301). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Schwarz, N. et al. (1993). Rating scales: numeric values may change the meaning of scale labels. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 55, 570-582.
- Shapiro, A. (1985). A note on the asymptotic distribution of the greatest lower bound to reliability. *Psychometrika*, 50, 243-244.
- Sheeran, P. (2002). Intention-behavior relations: A conceptual and empirical review. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 12, 1-36.

- Shih, X. & Fan, T. (2002). Response rates and mode preferences in web-mail mixed-mode surveys: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Internet Science*, 2, 59–82.
- Shin, E., Johnson, J.P. & Rao, K. (2013). Survey mode effects on data quality: Comparison of web and mail modes in a U.S. national panel survey. *Social Science Computer Review*, 30, 212-228.
- Sihm, J.S., Chhabra, A. & Gupta, S.N. (2016). An optional unrelated question RRT model. *INVOLVE*, 9, 195-209.
- Silvia, P.J. et al. (2013). Missed beeps and missing data: Dispositional and situational predictors of nonresponse in experience sampling research. *Social Science Computer Review*, 31, 471-481.
- Singer, E. (2011). Toward a benefit-cost theory of survey participation: Evidence, further tests, and implications. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27, 379-392.
- Singer, J.D. & Willett, J.B. (2003). *Applied longitudinal data analysis*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Singer, E., Groves, R.M. & Corning, A.D. (1999). Differential incentives. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 63, 251-260.
- Singer, E., Hippler, H.-J. & Schwarz, N. (1992). Confidentiality assurances: Reassurance or threat? *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 4, 256-268.
- Singer, E., Van Hoewyk, J. & Maher, M.P. (1998). Does the payment of incentives create expectation effects? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 62, 152-164.
- Singer, E., Van Hoewyk, J. & Maher, M.P. (2000). Experiments with incentives in telephone surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 64, 171-188.
- Singer, E., Van Hoewyk, J. & Neugebauer, R.J. (2003). Attitudes and behavior. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 67, 386-384.
- Singer, E., Von Thurn, D.R. & Miller, E.R. (1995). Confidentiality assurances and response. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 59, 66-77.
- Sinibaldi, J., Durrant, G.B. & Kreuter, F. (2013). Evaluating measurement error of interviewer observed paradata. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 173-193.
- Skrondal, A. & Rabe-Hesketh, S. (2004). *Generalized latent variable modeling: Multilevel, longitudinal, and structural equation Models*. London: Chapman.
- Sless, D. (1994). Public forums: Designing and evaluating forms in larger organizations. *Paper presented at the International Symposium on Public Graphics*, Lunteren, Netherlands.
- Smith, T.W. (2003). Developing comparable questions in cross-national surveys. In J.A. Harkness, F.J.R. Van de Vijver & P.Ph. Mohler (Eds.) (2003). *Cross-cultural survey methods* (pp. 69-91). Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley
- Smith, T.W. (2004). Developing and evaluating cross-national survey instruments. In S. Presser et al. (Eds.), *Methods for testing and evaluating survey questionnaires* (pp. 431-452). New York: John Wiley.
- Smith, T. W. (2011). Refining the total error perspective. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 23, 464-484.
- Snijders, T.A.B. & Bosker, R.J. (2012). *Multilevel analysis*. London: Sage.
- Singer, E. (2011). Toward a benefit-cost theory of survey participation: Evidence, further tests, and implications. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27, 379-392.
- Singh, A.C. & Mecatti, F. (2011). Generalized multiplicity-adjusted Horvitz-Thompson Estimation as a unified approach to multiple frame surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27, 633-650.

- Skinner, C.J. & Rao, J.N.K. (1996). Estimation in dual frame surveys with complex designs. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91, 349-435.
- Skogan, W.G. (1986): Methodological issues in the study of victimization. In: E.A. Fattah & A. Ezzat (Hrsg.): *From crime policy to victim policy: Restoring the justice system*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Snijders, G. et al. (2013). *Designing and conducting business surveys*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Stadtmüller, S. (2009). Rücklauf gut, alles gut? Zu erwünschten und unerwünschten Effekten monetärer Anreize bei postalischen Befragungen. *mda*, 3, 167-185.
- Stadtmüller, S. & Porst, R. (2005). *Zum Einsatz von Incentives bei postalischen Befragungen*. (ZUMA How-to-Reihe Nr. 14). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Stanovich, K.E. (1999). *Who is rational? Studies of individual differences in reasoning*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Statistisches Bundesamt, ADM & ASI (Hrsg.) (2013). *Regionale Standards* (2. Auflage). Wiesbaden: Statistisches Bundesamt.
- Stegmüller, W. (1974). *Theorie und Erfahrung* (1. Halbband: Begriffsformen, Wissenschaftssprache, empirisch Signifikanz und theoretische Begriffe). Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer.
- Steiger, J.W. (1994). SEPATH-A STATISTICA for Windows structural equations modeling program. In Faulbaum, F. (Ed.), *Softstat'93: Advances in statistical software 4*. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer.
- Stelzl, I. (1986). Changing a causal hypothesis without changing fit: Some rules for generating equivalent path models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 21, 309-331.
- Stenger, H. (1994). Anforderungen an eine repräsentative Stichprobe. In S. Gabler & J. Hoffmeyer-Zlotnik (Hrsg.), *Gewichtung in der Umfragepraxis* (S. 42-45). Wiesbaden: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Stern, M.J., Bilgen, I. & Dillman, D.A. (2014). The state of survey methodology: Challenges, Dilemmas, and new frontiers in the era of tailored design. *Field Methods*, 26, 284-301.
- Stern, M.J, Dillman, D.A. & Smyth, J.D. (2007). Visual design, order effects, and respondent characteristics in a Self-administered survey. *Survey Research Methods*, 1, 121-138.
- Stevens, S.S. (1946). On the theory of scales of measurement. *Science*, 103,677-680.
- Stevens, S.S. (1957). On the psychophysical law. *Psychological Review*, 64, 153-181.
- Stevens, S.S. (1959). Cross-modality validation of subjective scales for loudness, vibration, and electric shock. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 57, 201-209.
- Stevens, J.C. & Marks, L.E. (1965). Cross-modality matching of brightness and loudness. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 54, 407-411.
- Stinchcombe, A.L. (1968). *Constructing social theories*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Stocké, V. (2004). Entstehungsbedingungen von Antwortverzerrungen durch soziale Erwünschtheit *Zeitschrift für Soziologie*, 33, 303-320
- Sudman, S. (1972). On sampling of very rare human populations. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 67, 335-339.
- Sudman, S. (1976). *Applied survey sampling*. New York: Academic Press.
- Sudman, S. & Bradburn, N. (1982). *Asking questions: A practical guide to questionnaire design*. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Suessbrick, A., Schober, M.F. & Conrad, F.G. (2000). Different respondents interpret Ordinary questions quite differently. ? *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 907-912). Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.

- Suessbrick, A., Schober, M.F. & Conrad, F.G. (2001). When Do Respondent Misconceptions lead to Survey Response Error? *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 3982-3887). Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.
- Suppe, F. (Ed.) (1977). *The structure of scientific theories*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.
- Suppes, P. & Zinnes, J.L. (1963). Basic measurement theory. In R.D. Luce, R.R. Bush & E. Galanter (Eds.). *Handbook of mathematical psychology I* (pp. 1-76). New York: John Wiley.
- Survey Quality Predictor (2015). *SQP Users' Manual*. Barcelona, Universitat Pompeu Fabra.
- Sutcliffe, J.P. (1965). A probability model for errors of classification. I. General considerations. *Psychometrika*, 30, 73-96.
- Sykes, M. & Collins, M. (1988). Effects of mode of interview: Experiments in the UK. In R.M. Groves, P.P. Biemer & L.E. Lyberg (Eds.), *Telephone survey methodology* (S. 301-320). New York: John Wiley.
- Szklo, M. & Nieto, F.J. (2013). *Epidemiology. Beyond the basics*. Madison: Jones and Bartlett Learning.
- Tarnai, J. & Moore, D.L. (2004). Methods for testing and evaluating computer-assisted questionnaires. In S. Presser et al., *Methods for testing and evaluating survey questions* (pp. 319-335). New York: John Wiley.
- Theis, T. (2012). *Einstieg in PHP 5.4 und MySQL* (8. Auflage). Bonn: Galileo Press.
- Thome, H. (2005). *Zeitreihenanalyse*. München: Oldenbourg.
- Thompson, S.K. & Seber, G.A.F. (1996). *Adaptive sampling*. New York: John Wiley.
- Toepol, V. & Couper, M.P. (2011). Can verbal instructions counteract visual context effects in web surveys? *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75, 1-18.
- Toepol, V. & Lugtig, P. (2015). Online surveys are mixed-device surveys. Issues associated with the use of different (mobile) devices in web surveys. *mda*, 9, 155-162.
- Toepol, V., Das & Van Soest (2009). Design of web questionnaires: The effects of the number of items per screen. *Field Methods*, 21, 200-213.
- Troitzsch, K.G. & Möhring, M. (1988). Simulationsverfahren in den Sozialwissenschaften. In F. Faulbaum & H.-M. Uehlinger (Hrsg.) (1988). *Fortschritte der Statistik-Software 1* (S. 433-447). Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer.
- Tourangeau, R. (1984). Cognitive science survey methods: a cognitive perspective. In T. Jabin, M. Straf, J. Tanur & R. Tourangeau (Eds.), *Cognitive aspects of survey methodology: Building a bridge between disciplines* (S. 73-100). Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Tourangeau, R. (1987). Attitude measurement: A cognitive perspective. In H.-J. Hippler, N. Schwarz & S. Sudman (Eds.), *Social information processing and survey methodology* (S. 149-162). New York: Springer.
- Tourangeau, R., Couper, M. P., & Conrad, F. (2004). Spacing, position, and order. Interpretive heuristics for visual features of survey questions. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 68, 368-393.
- Tourangeau, R., Couper, M.P. & Steiger, D.B. (2003). Humanizing self-administered surveys: Experiments in social presence in Web and IVR surveys. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 19, 1-24.
- Tourangeau, R., Conrad, F. & Couper, M.P. (2013). *The science of web surveys*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Tourangeau, R., Groves, R.M. & Redline, C.D. (2010). Sensitive topics and reluctant respondents. Demonstrating a link between nonresponse bias and measurement error. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 423-432.

- Tourangeau, R. & Smith, T.W. (1998). Collecting sensitive information with different modes of data collection. In M.P. Couper et al. (Eds.), *Computer assisted survey information collection* (pp. 431-454). New York: John Wiley.
- Tourangeau, T. & Yan, T. (2007). Sensitive questions in surveys. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133, 859-883.
- Tourangeau, R., Rips, L.J. & Rasinski, K. (2000). *The psychology of survey response*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Tourangeau, R., Steiger, D.M. & Wilson, D. (2002). Self-administered questions by telephone. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 66, 265-278.
- Tourangeau, R. et al. (2006). Everyday concepts and classification errors: Judgments of Disability and residence. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22, 385-418.
- Tourangeau, R. et al. (Eds.) (2014). *Hard-to-survey populations*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tracy, D. & Mangat, N. (1996). Some development in randomized response sampling during the last decade - a follow up of review by Chaudhuri and Mukerjee. *Journal of Applied Statistical Sciences*, 4, 533-544.
- Triandis, H. 2004. Dimensions of culture beyond Hofstede. In H. Vinken, J. Soeters, & P. Ester (Eds.), *Comparing Cultures. Dimensions of Culture in a Comparative Perspective*. (pp. 28-42). Leiden: Brill Academic Publications.
- Trohdahl, V. & Carter, R. (1964). Random selection of respondents within households in telephone surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 1, 71-76.
- Tucker, C., Lepkowski, J.M. & Piekarski, L. (2002). The current efficiency of list-assisted telephone sampling designs. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 66, 321-338.
- Turner, C.F. et al. (1998). Automated self-interviewing and the survey measurement of sensitive behaviors. In M.P. Couper et al. (Eds.), *Computer-assisted survey information collection* (pp. 457-474). New York: John Wiley.
- Tuten, T.L., Galesic, M. & Bosnjak, M. (2004). Effects of immediate versus delayed notification of prize draw results on response behavior in web surveys: An experiment. *Social Science Computer Review*, 22, 377-384.
- Tutz, G. (2000). *Die Analyse kategorialer Daten: Anwendungsorientierte Einführung in die in Logit-Modellierung und kategoriale Regression*. München: Oldenbourg.
- Tutz, G. & Berger, M. (2016). Response styles in rating scales: Simultaneous modeling of content-related effects and the tendency to middle or extreme Categories. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 41, 239-268.
- Uskul, A.K., Oyserman, D. & Schwarz, N. (2010). Cultural emphasis on honor, modesty, or self-enhancement: Implications for the survey response process. In J. Harkness et al. (Eds.), *Survey methods in multinational, multiregional, and multicultural contexts* (pp. 191-201). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Vaerenbergh, Y.V. & Thomas, T.D. (2013). Response styles in survey research: A literature review of antecedents, consequences, and remedies. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 25, 195-217.
- Valliant, R., Dever, J.A. & Kreuter, F. (2013). *Practical tools for designing and weighting survey samples*. New York: Springer.
- Van Buuren, S. (2012). *Flexible imputation of missing data*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall.

- Van den Brakel, J.A., Vis-Visschers, R. & Schmeets, J.J.G. (2006). An experiment with data collection modes and incentives in the Durch family and fertility survey for young Moroccans and Turks. *Field Methods*, 18, 321-334.
- Van de Heer, W., de Leeuw, E.D. & van der Zouwen, J. (1999). Methodological issues in Survey research: A historical review. *Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique*, 64, 25-48.
- Van de Pol, F. & De Leeuw, J. (1986). A latent Markov model to correct for measurement error. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 15, 118-141.
- Vannieuwenhuyze, J.T.A. & Loosveldt, G. (2013). Evaluating relative mode effects in mixed-mode surveys: Three methods to disentangle selection and measurement effects. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 42, 82-104.
- Vannieuwenhuyze, J. T. A., Loosveldt, G. & Molenberghs, G. (2010). A method for evaluating mode effects in mixed mode surveys. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 74, 27-45.
- Vigderhouse, G. (1981). Scheduling telephone interviews. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 45, 250-259.
- Von der Heyde, C. (2002). Das ADM-Stichproben-Modell. In S. Gabler & S. Häder (Hrsg.), *Telefonstichproben* (S. 32-45). Münster: Waxman.
- Voogt, Robert J.J. & Saris, Willem E. (2005). Mixed mode designs: finding the balance between nonresponse bias and mode effects. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 21, 367-388.
- Wänke, M. (2002). Conversational norms and the interpretation of vague quantifiers. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 16, 301-307.
- Wagner, S. et al. (2014). Does sequence matter in multimode surveys: Results from an experiment. *Field Methods*, 26, 141-155.
- Waksberg, J. (1978). Sampling methods for random digit dialing. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 73, 40-46.
- Warner, S.L. (1965). Randomized response: A survey technique for eliminating evasive eliminating evasive answer bias. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 60, 63-69.
- Warriner, K. et al. (1996). Charities, no; lotteries, no; cash, yes. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 60, 542-562.
- Wedell, D. H. (1990). Methods for determining the locus of context effects in judgment. In J. P. Caverni, J. M. Fabre, & M. Gonzalez (Eds.), *Cognitive biases* (pp. 285-302). New York: Elsevier Science.
- Wedell, D.H. (1994). Contextual contrast in evaluative judgments: A test of pre- versus postintegration models of contrast. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66, 1007-1019.
- Wedell, D.H. & Parducci, A. (1988). The category effect in social judgment: Experimental ratings of happiness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58, 319-329.
- Wedell, D.H., Parducci, A. & Geiselman, R.E. (1987). A formal analysis of ratings of physical attractiveness: Successive contrast and simultaneous assimilation. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 23, 230-249.
- Weeks, M.F. (1988). Call scheduling with CATI: Current capabilities and methods. In R.M. Groves et al. (Eds.), *Telephone survey methodology* (pp. 403-420). New York: John Wiley.
- Weeks, M. F. et al. (1983). Personal versus telephone surveys for collecting household health data at the local level. *American Journal of Public Health*, 73, 1389-1394.
- Weeks, M.F., Kulka, R.A. & Pierson, S.A. (1987). Optimal call scheduling for al telephone survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 51, 540-549.
- Wegener, B. (1978). Einstellungsmessung in Umfragen: Kategorische vs. Magnitude-Skalen. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 3, 3-27.

- Wegener, B. (1982). Fitting category to magnitude scales for a dozen survey-assessed attributes. In B. Wegener (Ed.), *Social attitudes and psycho-physical measurement* (S. 379-399). Hilldale, NJ.: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Wegener, B. (1983). Category-rating and magnitude estimation scaling techniques. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 12, 31-75.
- Wegener, B., Faulbaum, F. & Maag, G. (1982a). Die Wirkung von Antwortvorgaben bei Kategorienskalen. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 10, 3-20.
- Wegener, B., Faulbaum, F. & Maag, G. (1982b). Die Wirkung adverbialer Antwortvorgaben. *Psychologische Beiträge* 24, 343-345.
- Weisberg, H. F. (2005). *The total survey error approach*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Weisberg, H.F. (2010). *Bias and causation*. Hoboken, N.J.: John Wiley.
- West, B.T. & Groves, R.M. (2013). A propensity-adjusted interviewer performance indicator. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77, 352-374.
- Wheeler, L. & Reis, H.T. (1991). Self-recording of everyday life events: Origins, types and uses. *Journal of Personality*, 59, 339-354.
- Wiegand, E. (2012). Berufsnormen und Qualitätssicherung. In F. Faulbaum, M. Stahl & E. Wiegand (Hrsg.), *Qualitätssicherung in der Umfrageforschung* (S. 161-221). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Wiley, D.E. & Wiley, J.A. (1970). The estimation of measurement error in panel data. *American Sociological Review*, 35, 112-117.
- Willis, G.B. (2004). Cognitive interviewing revisited: A useful technique. In theory? In S. Presser et al. (Eds.), *Methods for testing and evaluating survey questionnaires* (pp. 23-43). Hoboken NJ: John Wiley.
- Willis, G.B. (2005). *Cognitive interviewing: A tool for improving questionnaire design*. London: Sage.
- Willis, G. (2015). *Analysis of the cognitive interview in questionnaire design*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Willis, G.B. & Lessler, J.T. (1999). *Question Appraisal System*. Research Triangle Institute.
- Willis, G.B. & Miller, K. (2011). Cross-cultural cognitive interviewing: Seeking Comparability and enhancing understanding. *Field Methods*, 23, 331-341.
- Willis, G. et al. (2014). Overview of the special issue on surveying the hard-to-reach. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 30, 71-176
- Wilson, T.P. (1970). Normative and interpretive paradigms in sociology. In J.D. Douglas (Ed.), *Toward the reconstruction of sociological knowledge* (pp. 57-79). Chicago: Aldine.
- Winer, B.J., Brown, D.R. & Michels, K.M. (1991). *Statistical principles in experimental design*. (3rd edition). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Witte, J. C. et al. (2004). Instrument effects of images in web surveys. *Social Science Computer Review*, 22, 363-369.
- Wojcik, M.S. & Baker, R.P. (1992). Interviewer and respondent acceptance of CAPI. *Proceedings of the Annual Research conference* (pp. 619-621). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Bureau of the Census.
- Wolf, C. & Best, H. (Hrsg.) (2010). *Handbuch der sozialwissenschaftlichen Datenanalyse*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Wolf, C. et al. (Eds.) (2016). *The Sage handbook of survey methodology*. London: Sage.

- Wolf, K.E. (1994). A first course in formal concept analysis. In F. Faulbaum (Hrsg.), *Softstat'93: Advances in statistical software 4* (S. 429-438). Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer.
- Wolf, K.E., Gabler, S. & Borg, I. (1994). Formale Begriffsanalyse von Arbeitswelten in Ost- und Westdeutschland. *ZUMA-Nachrichten*, 34, 69-82.
- Wolter, F. & Preisdorfer, P. (2013). Asking sensitive questions: An evaluation of the randomized response technique versus direct questioning using individual validation data. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 42, 321-353.
- Yamaguchi, K. (1999). *Event history analysis* (Nachdruck). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Yan, T. & Keusch, F. (2015). The effects of the direction of rating scales on survey responses in a telephone survey. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 79, 145-165
- Yan, T., Kreuter, F. & Tourangeau, R. (2012). Evaluating survey questions: A comparison of Methods. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 28, 503-529
- Yan et. al. (2010). Should I stay or should I go: The effects of progress feedback, promised task duration, and length of questionnaire on completing web surveys. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, 23, 131-147.
- Ye, C., Fulton, J. & Tourangeau, R. (2011). Research synthesis: More positive or more extreme? A meta-analysis of mode differences in response choice. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 75, 349-365.
- Yeager, D.S. et al. (2011). Comparing the accuracy of RDD telephone surveys and internet surveys conducted with probability and non-probability samples. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 74, 709-747.
- Yu, J.-W., Tian, G.-L. & Tang, M.-L. (2008). Two new models for survey sampling with Sensitive characteristic: design and analysis. *Metrika*, 67, 251-263.
- Zhang, C.J.Z. & Schwarz, N. (2014). *Past on the left, future on the right: How metaphorical time-space mapping influences consumer choice* (Working Paper).
- Zio, M.D. & Guarnera, U. (2013). A contamination model for selective editing. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29, 539-555.