

# References

- Alonso, J.A., and M.T. Lamata. 2006. Consistency in the analytic hierarchy process: a new approach. *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems* 14 (4): 445–459.
- Alonso, S., F. Chiclana, F. Herrera, E. Herrera-Viedma, E. Alcalá-Fdez, and C. Porcel. 2008. A consistency-based procedure to estimate missing pairwise preference values. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems* 23: 155–175.
- Baas, S.M., and H. Kwakernaak. 1977. Rating and ranking of multiple aspect alternative using fuzzy sets. *Automatica* 13 (1): 47–58.
- De Baets, B., H. De Meyer, and B. De Schuymer. 2006. Cyclic evaluation of transitivity of reciprocal relations. *Social Choice and Welfare* 26 (2): 217–238.
- Barzilai, J. 1997. Deriving weights from pairwise comparison matrices. *The Journal of the Operational Research Society* 48 (12): 1226–1232.
- Basile, L., and L. D'Apuzzo. 2002. Weak consistency and quasi-linear means imply the actual ranking. *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems* 10 (3): 227–239.
- Bellman, R., and L.A. Zadeh. 1970. Decision making in a fuzzy environment. *Management Science* 17B (4): 141–164.
- Belton, V., T. Stewart. 2002. *Multiple criteria decision analysis*. Springer. ISBN 978-1-4613-5582-3.
- Bezdek, J.C., B. Spillman, and R. Spillman. 1978. A fuzzy relation space for group decision theory. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 1 (4): 255–268.
- Blaquero, R., E. Carrizosa, and E. Conde. 2006. Inferring efficient weights from pairwise comparison matrices. *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research* 64 (2): 271–284.
- Bond, S.D., K.A. Carlson, and R.L. Keeney. 2008. Generating objectives: Can decision makers articulate what they want? *Management Science* 54 (1): 56–70.
- Brunelli, M., and M. Fedrizzi. 2015. Axiomatic properties of inconsistency indices for pairwise comparisons. *Journal of Operational Research Society* 66 (1): 1–15.
- Buckley, J.J. 1985a. Fuzzy hierarchical analysis. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 17 (3): 233–247.
- Buckley, J.J. 1985b. Ranking alternatives using fuzzy numbers. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 15 (1): 21–31.
- Cabrerizo, J., M.R. Ureña, W. Pedrycz, and E. Herrera-Viedma. 2014. Building consensus in group decision making with an allocation of information granularity. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 255 (16): 115–127.
- Cavallo, B., and L. D'Apuzzo. 2016. Ensuring reliability of the weighting vector: Weak consistent pairwise comparison matrices. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 296 (1): 21–34.
- Chang, D.Y. 1996. Applications of the extent analysis method on fuzzy AHP. *European Journal of Operational Research* 95 (3): 649–655.
- Chang, P.T., and E.S. Lee. 1995. The estimation of normalized fuzzy weights. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 29 (5): 21–42.

- Chen, Q., and E. Triantaphillou. 2001. Estimating data for multi-criteria decision making problems: optimization techniques. In *Encyclopedia of optimization*, ed. P.M. Pardalos, and C. Floudas. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Chen, S.J., and C.L. Hwang. 1992. *Fuzzy multiple attribute decision making—methods and applications*. Berlin: Springer.
- Chiclana, F., F. Herrera, and E. Herrera-Viedma. 1998. Integrating three representation models in fuzzy multipurpose decision making based on fuzzy preference relations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 97 (1): 33–48.
- Chiclana, F., E. Herrera-Viedma, S. Alonso, and F. Herrera. 2009. Cardinal consistency of reciprocal preference relations: A characterization of multiplicative transitivity. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems* 17 (1): 14–23.
- Cook, D., and M. Kress. 1988. Deriving weights from pairwise comparison matrices: An axiomatic approach. *European Journal of Operational Research* 37 (1): 35–362.
- Crawford, G., and C. Williams. 1985. A note on the analysis of subjective judgment matrices. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* 29 (2): 387–405.
- Csutora, R., and J.J. Buckley. 2001. Fuzzy hierarchical analysis: The Lambda-Max method. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 120 (2): 181–195.
- Dijkstra, T.K. 2013. On the extraction of weights from pairwise comparison matrices. *Central European Journal of Operational Research* 21 (1): 103–123.
- Dubois, D., and H. Prade. 1986. Recent models of uncertainty and imprecision as a basis for decision theory: Towards less normative frameworks. *Intelligent decision support in process environments*. Berlin: Springer.
- Efstathiou, J., and C. Rajkovic. 1979. Multiattribute decisionmaking using a fuzzy heuristic approach. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, Cybernetics* 9 (6): 326–333.
- Enea, M., and T. Piazza. 2004. Project selection by constrained fuzzy AHP. *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making* 3 (1): 39–62.
- Fedrizzi, M. 1990. On a consensus measure in a group MCDM problem. In *Multiperson decision making models using fuzzy sets and possibility theory*, ed. J. Kacprzyk, and M. Fedrizzi, 231–241. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Fedrizzi, M., and M. Brunelli. 2009. On the normalisation of a priority vector associated with a reciprocal relation. *International Journal of General Systems* 38 (5): 579–586.
- Fedrizzi, M., and M. Brunelli. 2010. On the priority vector associated with a reciprocal relation and a pairwise comparison matrix. *Soft Computing* 14 (6): 639–645.
- Fedrizzi, M., and S. Giove. 2007. Incomplete PC and consistency optimization. *European Journal of Operational Research* 183 (1): 303–313.
- Fedrizzi, M., and S. Giove. 2013. Optimal sequencing in incomplete pairwise comparisons for large-dimensional problems. *International Journal of General Systems* 42 (4): 366–375.
- Fedrizzi, M., and J. Krejčí. 2015. A note on the paper ‘Fuzzy analytic hierarchy process: Fallacy of the popular methods’. *International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-Based Systems* 23 (6): 965–970.
- Fichtner, J. 1986. On deriving priority vectors from matrices of pairwise comparisons. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences* 20 (6): 341–345.
- Figueira, J., S. Greco, and M. Ehrogott. 2005. *Multiple criteria decision analysis: State of the art surveys*. New York: Springer.
- Gavalec, M., J. Ramík., and K. Zimmermann. 2015. *Decision making and optimization*. Springer. ISBN 978-3-319-08322-3.
- Genç, S., F.E. Boran, D. Akay, and Z. Xu. 2010. Interval multiplicative transitivity for consistency, missing values and priority weights of interval fuzzy preference relations. *Information Sciences* 180 (24): 4877–4891.
- Harker, P.T. 1987a. Alternative modes of questioning in the Analytic Hierarchy Process. *Mathematical Modelling* 9 (3–5): 353–360.
- Harker, P.T. 1987b. Incomplete PCs in the analytic hierarchy process. *Mathematical Modelling* 9 (11): 837–848.

- Harker, P.T. 1987c. Derivatives of the Perron root of a positive reciprocal matrix with application to the analytic hierarchy process. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 22 (1): 217–232.
- Harker, P.T., and I. Millet. 1990. Globally effective questioning in the analytic hierarchy process. *European Journal of Operational Research* 48 (1): 88–97.
- Herrera-Viedma, E., F. Herrera, F. Chiclana, and M. Luque. 2004. Some issues on consistency of fuzzy preference relations. *European Journal of Operational Research* 154 (1): 98–109.
- Hu, M., P. Ren, J. Lan, J. Wang, and W. Zheng. 2014. Note on “Some models for deriving the priority weights from interval fuzzy preference relations”. *European Journal of Operational Research* 237 (2): 771–773.
- Ishizaka, A. 2014. Comparison of Fuzzy logic, AHP, FAHP and Hybrid Fuzzy AHP for new supplier selection and its performance analysis. *International Journal of Integrated Supply Management* 9 (1–2): 1–22.
- Ishizaka, A., and N.H. Nguyen. 2013. Calibrated fuzzy AHP for current bank account selection. *Expert Systems with Applications* 40 (9): 3775–3783.
- Jandová, V., and J. Talašová. 2013. Weak consistency: A new approach to consistency in the Saaty’s Analytic Hierarchy Process. *Acta Universitatis Palacianae Olomucensis, Facultas Rerum Naturalium, Mathematica* 52 (2): 71–83.
- Jandová, V., J. Krejčí, J. Stoklasa., and M. Fedrizzi. 2017. Computing interval weights from incomplete pairwise comparison matrices of large dimension - a weak consistency based approach. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, 25(6):1714–1728.
- Javanbarg, M.B., C. Scawthorn, J. Kiyono, and B. Shahbodaghkhan. 2012. Fuzzy AHP-based multicriteria decision making systems using particle swarm optimization. *Expert Systems with Applications* 39 (3): 6960–9665.
- Jiménez, A., S. Ríos-Insua, and A. Mateos. 2003. A decision support systems for multiattribute utility evaluation based on imprecise assignments. *Decision Support Systems* 36 (1): 65–79.
- Kacprzyk, J. 1986. Group decision making with a fuzzy linguistic majority. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 18 (2): 105–118.
- Klir, G.J. 1997. Fuzzy arithmetic with requisite constraints. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 91 (2): 165–175.
- Klir, G.J., and Y. Pan. 1998. Constrained fuzzy arithmetic: Basic questions and some answers. *Soft Computing* 2 (2): 100–108.
- Klir, J., and B. Yuan. 1995. *Fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic*. Prentice Hall PTR. ISBN 0-13-101171-5.
- Krejčí, J. 2016. Obtaining fuzzy priorities from additive fuzzy pairwise comparison matrices. *IMA Journal of Management Mathematics*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imaman/dpw006>.
- Krejčí, J. 2017a. On additive consistency of interval fuzzy preference relations. *Computers and Industrial Engineering* 107 (1): 128–140.
- Krejčí, J. 2017b. On multiplicative consistency of interval and fuzzy reciprocal preference relations. *Computers and Industrial Engineering* 111 (1): 67–78.
- Krejčí, J. 2017c. Fuzzy eigenvector method for obtaining normalized fuzzy weights from fuzzy pairwise comparison matrices. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 315 (1): 26–43.
- Krejčí, J. 2017d. On extension of multiplicative consistency to interval fuzzy preference relations. *Operational Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12351-017-0307-8>.
- Krejčí, J. 2017e. Additively reciprocal fuzzy pairwise comparison matrices and multiplicative fuzzy priorities. *Soft Computing* 21 (12): 3177–3192.
- Krejčí, J. 2018. Fuzzy eigenvector method for deriving normalized fuzzy priorities from fuzzy multiplicative pairwise comparison matrices. *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, resubmitted after minor revisions.
- Krejčí, J., and J. Stoklasa. 2016. Fuzzified AHP in the evaluation of scientific monographs. *Central European Journal of Operational Research* 24 (2): 353–370.
- Krejčí, J., and J. Talašová. 2013. A proper fuzzification of Saaty’s scale and an improved method for computing fuzzy weights in fuzzy AHP. In *Proceedings of the 31th international conference on mathematical methods in economics 2013*, 452–457. Jihlava. ISBN 978-80-87035-76-4.

- Krejčí, J., O. Pavlačka, and J. Talašová. 2017. A fuzzy extension of Analytic Hierarchy Process based on the constrained fuzzy arithmetic. *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making* 16 (1): 89–110.
- Kubler, S., J. Robert, W. Derigent, A. Voisin, and Y.L. Traon. 2016. A state-of-the-art survey and testbed of fuzzy AHP (FAHP) applications. *Expert Systems with Applications* 65 (1): 398–422.
- Kwakernaak, H. 1979. An algorithm for rating multiple-aspect alternatives using fuzzy sets. *Automatica* 15 (5): 615–616.
- Kwiesielewicz, M. 1996. The logarithmic least squares and the generalised pseudoinverse in estimating ratios. *European Journal of Operational Research* 93 (1): 611–619.
- Kwiesielewicz, M., and E. van Uden. 2003. Ranking decision variants by subjective paired comparisons in cases with incomplete data. In *Computational science and its applications ICCSA 2003*, ed. V. Kumar, et al., 208–215. Lecture Notes in Computer Science Berlin: Springer.
- Van Laarhoven, P.J.M., and W. Pedrycz. 1983. A fuzzy extension of Saaty's priority theory. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 11 (1–3): 199–227.
- Li, K.W., Z.J. Wag, and X. Tong. 2016. Acceptability analysis and priority weight elicitation for interval multiplicative comparison matrices. *European Journal of Operational Research* 250 (2): 628–638.
- Liu, F. 2009. Acceptable consistency analysis of interval reciprocal comparison matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 160 (18): 2686–2700.
- Liu, F., W.G. Zhang, and J.H. Fu. 2012a. A new method of obtaining the priority weights from an interval fuzzy preference relation. *Information Sciences* 185 (1): 32–42.
- Liu, F., W.-G. Zhang, and L.-H. Zhang. 2014. Consistency analysis of triangular fuzzy reciprocal preference relations. *European Journal of Operational Research* 235 (3): 718–726.
- Liu, X.W., Y.W. Pan, Y.J. Xu, and S. Yu. 2012b. Least square completion and inconsistency repair methods for additively consistent fuzzy preference relations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 198 (1): 1–19.
- Lodwick, W.A., and O.A. Jenkins. 2013. Constrained intervals and interval spaces. *Soft Computing* 17 (8): 1393–1402.
- Luce, R.D., and P. Suppes. 1965. Preferences, utility and subject probability. In *Handbook of mathematical psychology*, vol. III, ed. R.D. Luce, et al., 249–410. New York: Wiley.
- Meng, F., X. Chen, and Y. Zhang. 2016. Consistency-based linear programming models for generating the priority vector from interval fuzzy preference relations. *Applied Soft Computing* 41 (1): 247–264.
- Mikhailov, L. 2003. Deriving priorities from fuzzy pairwise comparison judgements. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 134 (3): 365–385.
- Miller, G.A. 1956. The magical number seven plus or minus two: some limits on our capacity for processing information. *The Psychological Review* 63 (2): 81–97.
- Nurmi, N. 1981. Approaches to collective decision making with fuzzy preference relations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 6 (3): 249–259.
- Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic. 2011. *Zásady a pravidla financování veřejných vysokých škol pro rok 2012, [Principles and rules of financing public universities for 2012]*. Praha: Czech Republic.
- Orlovski, S.A. 1978. Decision-making with a fuzzy preference relation. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 1 (3): 155–167.
- Pan, N.F. 2008. Fuzzy AHP approach for selecting the suitable bridge construction method. *Automation in Construction* 17 (8): 958–965.
- Pavlačka, O. 2014. On various approaches to normalization of interval and fuzzy weights. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 243 (1): 110–130.
- Qian, W.Y., K.W. Li, and Z.J. Wang. 2014. Approaches to improving consistency of interval fuzzy preference relations. *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering* 23 (4): 460–479.
- Ra, J.W. 1999. Chainwise paired comparisons. *Decision Sciences* 30 (2): 581–599.
- Ramík, J. 2016. Incomplete preference matrix on alo-group and its application to ranking of alternatives. *International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research* 9 (4): 412–422.

- Ramík, J., and P. Korviny. 2010. Inconsistency of pair-wise comparison matrix with fuzzy elements based on geometric mean. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 161 (11): 1604–1613.
- Ruspini, E. 1969. A new approach to clustering. *Information Control* 15 (1): 22–32.
- Saaty, T.L. 1977. A scaling method for priorities in hierarchical structures. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* 15 (3): 234–281.
- Saaty, T.L. 1980. *The analytic hierarchy process*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Saaty, T.L. 1994. *Fundamentals of decision making and priority theory with the AHP*. Pittsburgh: RWS Publications.
- Saaty, T.L. 2006. There is no mathematical validity for using fuzzy number crunching in the analytic hierarchy process. *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering* 15 (4): 457–464.
- Saaty, T.L. 2008. Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering* 1 (1): 83–98.
- Saaty, T.L., and G. Hu. 1998. Ranking by eigenvector versus other methods in the analytic hierarchy process. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 11 (4): 121–125.
- Saaty, T.L., and L.T. Tran. 2007. On the invalidity of fuzzifying numerical judgments in the analytic hierarchy process. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 46 (7–8): 962–975.
- Saaty, T.L., and L.G. Vargas. 1984. Comparison of eigenvalue, logarithmic least squares and least squares methods in estimating ratios. *Mathematical Modelling* 5 (5): 309–324.
- Sanchez, P.P., and R. Soyer. 1998. Information concepts and pairwise comparison matrices. *Information Processing Letters* 68 (1): 185–188.
- Sevastjanov, P., L. Dymova, and P. Bartosiewicz. 2012. A new approach to normalization of interval and fuzzy weights. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 198 (1): 34–45.
- Shiraishi, S., T. Obata, and M. Daigo. 1998. Properties of a positive reciprocal matrix and their application to AHP. *Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan* 41 (3): 412–422.
- Siebert, J., and R.L. Keeney. 2015. Creating more and better alternatives for decisions using objectives. *Operations Research* 63 (5): 1144–1158.
- Stoklasa, J. 2014. *Linguistic models for decision support*. Acta Universitatis Lappeenrantaensis 604. ISBN 978-952-265-687-2. Dissertation.
- Stoklasa, J., V. Jandová, and J. Talašová. 2013. Weak consistency in Saaty's AHP - evaluating creative work outcomes of Czech Art Colleges. *Neural Network* 23 (1): 61–77.
- Stoklasa, J., T. Talášek, and J. Talašová. 2016. AHP and weak consistency in the evaluation of works of art - a case study of a large problem. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research* 11 (1): 75–60.
- Takagi, T., and M. Sugeno. 1985. Fuzzy identification of systems and its application to modeling and control. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* 15 (1): 116–132.
- Tanino, T. 1984. Fuzzy preference orderings in group decision making. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 12 (2): 117–131.
- Tesfamariam, S., and R. Sadiq. 2006. Risk-based environmental decision-making using fuzzy analytic hierarchy process (F-AHP). *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment* 21 (1): 35–50.
- Thurstone, L.L. 1927. A law of comparative judgment. *Psychological Review* 34 (4): 273–286.
- Tsaur, S.-H., T.-Y. Chang, and C.-H. Yen. 2002. The evaluation of airline service quality by fuzzy MCDM. *Tourism Management* 23 (1): 107–115.
- Vahidnia, M.H., A.A. Alesheikh, and A. Alimohammadi. 2009. Hospital site selection using fuzzy AHP and its derivatives. *Journal of Environmental Management* 90 (10): 3048–3056.
- Wang, J., J. Lan, P. Ren, and Y. Luo. 2012. Some programming models to derive priority weights from additive interval fuzzy preference relations. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 27 (1): 67–77.
- Wang, Y.M., and K.S. Chin. 2006. An eigenvector method for generating normalized interval and fuzzy weights. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 181 (2): 1257–1275.
- Wang, Y.M., and T.M.S. Elhag. 2006. On the normalization of interval and fuzzy weights. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 157 (18): 2456–2471.
- Wang, Y.M., J.B. Yang, and D.L. Xu. 2005a. A two-stage logarithmic goal programming method for generating weights from interval comparison matrices. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 152 (3): 475–498.

- Wang, Y.M., J.B. Yang, and D.L. Xu. 2005b. Interval weight generation approaches based on consistency test and interval comparison matrices. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 167 (1): 252–273.
- Wang, Y.M., Y. Luo, and Z. Hua. 2008. On the extent analysis method for fuzzy AHP and its applications. *European Journal of Operational Research* 186 (2): 735–747.
- Wang, Z.J. 2014. A note on “Incomplete interval fuzzy preference relations and their applications”. *Computers and Industrial Engineering* 77 (1): 65–69.
- Wang, Z.J. 2015a. A note on “A goal programming model for incomplete interval multiplicative preference relations and its application in group decision-making”. *European Journal of Operational Research* 247 (3): 867–871.
- Wang, Z.J. 2015b. Consistency analysis and priority derivation of triangular fuzzy preference relations based on modal value and geometric mean. *Information Sciences* 314 (1): 169–183.
- Wang, Z.J., and K.W. Li. 2012. Goal programming approaches to deriving interval weights based on interval fuzzy preference relations. *Information Science* 193 (1): 180–198.
- Wedley, W.C., B. Schoner, and T.S. Tang. 1993. Starting rules for incomplete comparisons in the Analytic Hierarchy Process. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 17 (4–5): 93–100.
- Wu, J., and F. Chiclana. 2014a. A social network analysis trust-consensus based approach to group decision-making problems with interval-valued fuzzy reciprocal preference relations. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 59 (1): 97–107.
- Wu, J., and F. Chiclana. 2014b. Multiplicative consistency of intuitionistic reciprocal preference relations and its application to missing values estimation and consensus building. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 71 (1): 187–200.
- Xia, M., and Z. Xu. 2011. Some issues on multiplicative consistency of interval reciprocal relations. *International Journal of Information Technology and Decision Making* 10 (6): 1043–1065.
- Xu, Y., K.W. Li, and H. Wang. 2014a. Incomplete interval fuzzy preference relations and their applications. *Computers and Industrial Engineering* 67 (1): 93–103.
- Xu, Y.J., Q.L. Da, and L.H. Liu. 2009. Normalizing rank aggregation method for priority of fuzzy preference relation and its effectiveness. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 50 (8): 1287–1297.
- Xu, Y.J., Q.L. Da, and H.M. Wang. 2011. A note on group decision-making procedure based on incomplete reciprocal relations. *Soft Computing* 15 (7): 1289–1300.
- Xu, Y.J., K.W. Li, and H. Wang. 2014b. Consistency test and weight generation for additive interval fuzzy preference relations. *Soft Computing* 18 (8): 1499–1513.
- Xu, Z. 2004. Goal programming models for obtaining the priority vector of incomplete fuzzy preference relation. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 36 (3): 261–270.
- Xu, Z. 2007a. Multiple-attribute group decision making with different formats of preference information on attributes. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics—Part B* 37 (6): 1500–1511.
- Xu, Z. 2007b. A survey of preference relations. *International Journal of General Systems* 36 (2): 179–203.
- Xu, Z., and J. Chen. 2008a. Some models for deriving the priority weights from interval fuzzy preference relations. *European Journal of Operational Research* 184 (1): 266–280.
- Xu, Z., and J. Chen. 2008b. Group decision-making procedure based on incomplete reciprocal relations. *Soft Computing* 12 (6): 515–521.
- Xu, Z.S. 2005. A procedure for decision making based on incomplete fuzzy preference relation. *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making* 4 (3): 175–189.
- Zadeh, L.A. 1965. Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control* 8 (3): 338–353.
- Zadeh, L.A. 1975a. Concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning I. *Information Science* 8 (3): 199–249.
- Zadeh, L.A. 1975b. Concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning II. *Information Science* 8 (4): 301–357.
- Zadeh, L.A. 1975c. Concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning III. *Information Science* 9 (1): 43–80.

- Zheng, G., N. Zhu, Z. Tian, Y. Chen, and B. Sun. 2012. Application of a trapezoidal fuzzy AHP method for work safety evaluation and early warning rating of hot and humid environments. *Safety Science* 50 (18): 228–239.
- Zhū, K. 2014. Fuzzy analytic hierarchy process: Fallacy of the popular methods. *European Journal of Operational Research* 236 (1): 209–217.
- Zimmermann, H.J. 1987. *Fuzzy set, decision making, and expert system*. The Netherlands: Kluwer.