
Index

A

- Ableism, 20
- Academic competence evaluation scales, 7
- Academic enablers, 7
- Accessibility, 189–191, 233
 - assessments, 70, 71
 - case study, 2, 3
 - curriculum and instruction, 127
 - defined, 1
 - DOK, 129
 - educational principle, 1
 - formative assessment, 128
 - key uses, 129
 - language awareness, 128
 - learning, 128
 - NBT, 2
 - needs, 102
 - opportunities, 1
 - optimal, 1
 - strategies and resources, 1
 - student-centered approaches, 128
 - test, 2
 - UDL, 127
- Accessibility progress
 - academic and social development, 264
 - ASD, 264
 - child education, 267
 - CLT, 266
 - cognitive task, 265
 - computer-enabled innovations, 265
 - constructive disruption, 263
 - educational equity, 267
 - educational research and development
 - organizations, 265
 - ELLs, 264, 266
 - high-quality Tier 1 instruction, 265
 - instructional and testing practices, 263
 - item elements, 265
 - life vs. academic skills, 263
 - multistate large-scale assessment programs, 264
 - national assessment program, 264
 - OTL model, 265
 - PNPs, 266
 - professional development, 263, 267
 - progress update, 263
 - psychometricians, 264
 - student services, 265
 - SWDs, 263
 - targeted skills/knowledge question, 266
 - teacher professional development, 265
 - TEIs, 267
 - testing accommodations, 266
 - university-based special education researchers, 264
- Accessibility Rating Matrix (ARM), 9
- Accessibility resources, 78, 79, 81, 84, 86, 87
- Accessibility support
 - accessible test design, 249
 - assistive technology, 259
 - digital technology, 261
 - flexible presentation of content, 249, 250
 - guidelines, 259
 - implementation model, 250
 - interoperability standards, 261
 - item development process, 254
 - line segments, 256
 - next-generation assessment systems, 254
 - PARCC and Smarter Balanced, 254
 - PNP, 250, 251, 259, 260
 - policies, 252–254
 - QTI-APIP standard, 260, 261
 - sample access profile, 251
 - Smarter Balanced guidelines, 253
 - standardization, 251, 252, 258, 259
 - tailored delivery of content, 250
 - TEI, 255–258
 - terminology and methodology, 258
 - test content guidelines, 252
 - universal design and assessment, 248, 249
- Accessible computer-based national assessment, *see* Computer-based assessment
- Accessible instruction, 136
- Accessible Portable Item Protocol Standard (APIP), 252, 267
- Accessible test design, 249
- Accessible testing, 65–70
- Access skill question, 224
- Access skills, 95
 - appropriate adaptations, 4

- Access skills (*cont.*)
 assumptions, 3
 description, 3
 legislative basis, 12
 three-step process, 4
- Accommodation policy, 68, 69
- Accommodations, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9, 13, 79, 80, 98
- Achievement gap analyses
 family income, 123
 language community, 125
 “long-termer”, 124
 NAEP, 123
 NCLB, 122
 SES, 122, 123
 targets and student performance, 125
- Achievement testing, 107, 108, 181
- Actionable feedback, 174
- Advisory Committees, 85
- Alternate assessment based on modified academic
 achievement standards (AA-MAS), 29, 30,
 107, 190
- Alternate assessments for alternate academic
 achievement standards (AA-AAS), 27, 69, 189
- Alternative assessments, 47, 50, 54
- Alternative Scoring Methods, 194–195
- American Educational Research Association (AERA), 1,
 59, 213, 232
- American Psychological Association (APA), 1, 59, 213, 232
- American Sign Language (ASL), 83, 86, 248
- Americans with Disabilities Act, 68
- Anxiety, 109, 110
- Applied behavior analysis (ABA), 108, 110, 111
- Assessment accommodations checklist (AAC), 225
- Assessment design, 85
- Assistive technology (AT), 81, 259
- Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), 98
- Australia
 assessment policies, 50, 51
 inclusive assessment, 48–50
- Autism spectrum disorder (ASD), 264
 ABA, 110, 111
 anxiety, 109, 110
 assessment, 108
 behavioral momentum, 114, 115
 characteristics, 108
 consistency and predictability, 113
 diagnosis, 108
 DSM-V, 108
 DTT, 112
 EBPs, 114
 fluency practice, 114
 hyperspecificity, 114
 prevalence, 108
 schedules, routines and procedures, 113
 testing
 differential reinforcement, 111, 112
 discriminative stimulus, 112
 functional analysis, 111
 problem behavior, 111
 problem behaviors, 111
requisite skills, 112
 test-taking skills, 114
 test validity, 107
 transitions, 115, 116
- B**
- Behavioral momentum, 114–116
- Born accessible, 267
- Braille ASCII file (BRF), 261
- C**
- Carroll’s model, 139
- Case-based instruction, 99
- Center for Applied Special Technology (CAST), 119
- Channel capacity, 200
- Checklist of Learning and Assessment Adjustments for
 Students (CLASS), 147, 151
- China
 assessment policies, 53, 54
 inclusive assessment, 51–53
- Classroom connections, 13
- Coefficient alpha, 218
- Cognitive disabilities, 101
- Cognitive labs, 86
- Cognitive load theory (CLT), 8, 109, 110, 266, 267
 accessible assessments, 199
 applicability, 200
 channel capacity, 200
 cognitive skill demands, 200
 communication channel, 200
 completion, 204
 dual-channel assumption, 202
 element interactivity, 203
 future learning, 204
 grade 6 mathematics, 207
 human intellectual capacity, 201
 implications, 201
 instructional design strategies, 204
 knowledge acquisition, 200
 learning, 201
 limited capacity assumption, 203
 long-term memory, 201
 objective cognitive load measurement, 209
 origins and principles, 200–204
 PBTs, 203
 principles and assumptions, 200, 203
 proponents, 203
 split-attention effect, 204
 test accessibility, 199
 test event, 199
 test-taker characteristics, 199
- Cognitive neuroscience approach, 120
- Common Core State Standards (CCSS), 75, 147
- Comparative fit index (CFI), 243
- Complex multiple choice, 183
- Comprehension and collaboration, 150
- Computer-based assessments
 accessibility resources, 86

- AT, 89
 - cognitive labs, 86
 - context-specific information, 86, 89
 - expertise, 85
 - flexibility
 - accessibility resources, 79, 81
 - accommodations, 80
 - ASL resource, 83
 - AT, 81
 - color contrast, 80
 - english glossaries, 79
 - individual student, 79
 - ISAAP tool, 81, 83
 - translated glossaries, 83, 84
 - universal tools, 79
 - heterogeneity
 - assessment resources, 78
 - ELA assessments, 78–79
 - english proficient, 78
 - ethnicity, 77
 - gender, 77
 - ISAAP, 88
 - language development and disabilities, 88
 - reviewer feedback, 86
 - stakeholders, 88
 - UAAC, 84
 - UAAG, 88
 - Computer-based test delivery systems, 254, 266
 - Computer-based tests (CBTs), 192, 203
 - Computer-enabled innovations, 193
 - Confirmatory factor analysis (CFAs), 223, 242
 - Constructed-response formats, 182
 - Constructed-response guidelines, 186
 - Construct-irrelevant variance, 232
 - Construct under-representation, 232
 - Construct validity, 220
 - Content concerns, 187
 - Content coverage (CC), 144
 - Content standards, 1, 4–6
 - Content validity, 220
 - Context concerns, 187
 - Conventional multiple choice, 183
 - Council for Exceptional Children (CEC), 22
 - CTB/McGraw Hill's guidelines, 215
 - Cultural neutrality, 119, 120, 125, 130
 - Curriculum-based measurement (CBM)
 - assessments, 24, 162
- D**
- Data-based decision-making, 158
 - Department of Education (ED), 20
 - Depth of Knowledge (DOK), 129
 - DIBELS Next Nonsense Word Fluency
 - assessment, 158
 - Differential boost, 217, 224, 227
 - Differential item functioning (DIF)
 - analysis, 70, 221, 241
 - Differential reinforcement, 110
 - Digital Accessible Information System (DAISY), 261
 - Direct linguistic support, 236
 - Disabilities Education Act of 1997, 213
 - Disability category, 120
 - Discipline-specific language development, 119
 - Discrete trial training (DTT), 112
 - Discriminative stimuli, 110, 113
 - Dual-channel assumption, 202
 - Dual-function tests, 208
 - Dynamic learning maps (DLM), 239
- E**
- easyCBM, 150
 - Educational equity, 267
 - 1975 Education for All Handicapped Act, 247
 - Educational testing
 - accommodations, 233
 - construct
 - description, 232
 - irrelevant variance, 232
 - under-representation, 232
 - fairness in testing, 233
 - modifications, 233
 - validity, 232
 - Educational Testing Service (ETS), 186
 - Elementary algebra, 232
 - Elementary and Secondary Education
 - Act (ESEA), 21, 75, 122, 218
 - Element interactivity effect, 203, 207
 - Elimination testing, 194
 - Emotional and behavioral disorders (EBD), 98
 - English Language Arts (ELA), 147, 219
 - English Language Learner Advisory Committee
 - (ELLAC), 84
 - English language learners (ELLs), 86, 264
 - bilingualism, 125
 - cultural and linguistic misconceptions, 119
 - ESSA, 122
 - gap analyses (*see* Achievement gap analyses)
 - inclusion, 125
 - schooling access, 121, 122
 - sociocultural approaches, 120, 126, 127
 - teaching and learning systems (*see* Accessibility) UDL, 119
 - in United States, 121, 125
 - English learners (ELs), 61, 75, 78
 - accommodations, 231, 238
 - cognitive disabilities, 231
 - educational assessment, 231
 - standardization tests, 231
 - validity issues, 231
 - Ethnicity, 77
 - European Agency for Special Needs and inclusive
 - education, 40–42
 - Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), 12, 17, 31–33, 75, 107, 122, 167, 178, 213
 - Evidence-based practices (EBPs), 114
 - Expert working memory and environment
 - organizing and linking principle, 202
 - Extraneous load, 203, 205

F

Fairness and accessible tests
 accommodations and accessibility features, 64
 assessment programs, 70
 computer-/tablet-based test format, 64
 construct-irrelevant variance, 63
 content, 62
 device comparability and input, 64
 disability subtypes/categories, 61
 ELs, 61
 format, 63
 language, 62
 large-scale assessment, 64
 mathematics items, 63
 paper-and-pencil situations, 64, 65
 PARCC, 63
 response mode, 65
 TTS, 63
 universal tools, 63
 validity and score interpretability, 61
 Florida Center for Reading Research website, 165
 Formatting and style concerns, 185, 187
 Free and appropriate education (FAPE), 121

G

General instruction time (GET), 149

H

Handbook of Accessible Instruction and Testing
 Practices, 12, 13
 Handbook of Test Development, 184
 Historical test statistics, 115
 Hyperspecificity, 114

I

Inclusion
 accommodations, 98, 103
 benefits, 94
 children, 95
 classroom settings, 98
 defined, 94
 employment outcomes, 103
 IEP, 102
 opportunity to learn, 95
 postsecondary education, 102, 103
 summary of performance, 102
 transition research, 103
 Inclusive assessment
 accessible and appropriate assessments, 43, 44
 accommodations and adjustments, 47
 all children and young people, 43, 47
 alternate assessments, 47
 Australia, 38, 48–50
 China, 38, 51–53
 comparative studies, 37
 European Agency for Special Needs and inclusive
 education, 40–42

macro-policy initiatives, 38
 measure and document areas of relevance, 45, 48
 micro-policy initiatives, 45–46
 national, state and classroom assessment, 43
 OECD, 39, 40
 OTL, 47
 schools and school systems accountability, 37
 special education and inclusive education
 settings, 37
 Standards for Educational and Psychological
 Testing, 42, 43
 UNESCO, 39
 United Nations Convention, 38
 United States of America, 46, 47
 universally designed systems, 48
 Indirect linguistic support, 237
 Individualized Education Program
 (IEP), 19, 47, 77, 80, 97, 143, 220, 252
 Individual Student Assessment Accessibility Profile
 (ISAAP), 81–83, 258
 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act
 (IDEA), 47, 77, 108, 248
 Innovative assessment systems (IAS), 76
 Instructional coaching, 152
 Instructional Learning Opportunities Guidance System
 (MyiLOGS), 6, 141
 Instructional time (IT), 144
 Internal consistency, 218
 International Association for the Evaluation of
 Educational Achievement (IEA), 139
 International policies, *see* Inclusive assessment
 Interpretation of Achievement Test Scores (IATS)
 Paradigm, 2, 4–12
 Item development process, 185
 AA-AAS, 190
 AA-MAS, 190
 accessibility, 189–191
 constructed-response formats, 182
 CR formats, 182, 184
 fundamentals, 182
 GRE and TOEFL, 193
 incorrect response options, 189
 item-writing process, 184
 legislation and federal regulations, 182
 MC, 183
 multiple true/false item, 195
 SR and CR items, 184, 185
 standardized student achievement
 tests, 181
 TAMI, 190, 193
 Item quality, 191–192
 Item response theory (IRT), 241, 242

J

Judgmental policy capturing (JPC), 152

K

Key uses, academic language, 129, 130

L

Large-scale testing, 181
 Learning in Regular Classrooms (LRC) program, 52
 Least restrictive environment (LRE), 19
 Legislation and federal regulations, 182
 Limited capacity assumption, 202, 203
 Linguistic simplification, 237
 Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC), 51
 Long-term memory, 201

M

Macro-policy initiatives, 38
 Metric invariance tests, 243
 Micro-policy initiatives, 45–46
 Minnesota Center for Reading Research website, 165
 Modality effect, 205
 Motivating operations, 110
 Multidimensional scaling (MDS), 241, 242
 Multiple-choice (MC) items, 183

N

National Accessible Reading Assessment Project (NARAP), 193
 National Assessment of Education Progress (NAEP), 20, 123, 124, 221
 National Assessment Program for Literacy and Numeracy (NAPLAN), 49
 National Center and State Collaborative (NCSC), 239, 250
 National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO), 22, 69, 217
 National Center on Intensive Intervention website, 164
 National Center on Response to Intervention website, 164
 National Commission on Excellence in Education, 20
 National Council on Measurement in Education (NCME), 1, 213, 232
 National Disability Insurance Screen (NDIS), 51
 National Educational Technology Plan, 167
 National Governors Association (NGA), 75
 Nationally Consistent Collection of Data on School Students with Disability (NCCDSS), 50
 Nemeth code, 63
 New England Comprehensive Assessment Program (NECAP), 259
 NimbleTools®, 250
 2002 No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), 26, 27, 75, 248
 Novice working memory and narrow limits of change, 202

O

Observational methods, 192
 Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), 21
 Online smarter mathematics summative assessments
 accessibility resources, 79
 ethnicity, 77
 gender, 77
 LEP, IDEA, 504/low SES, 78
 translated glossaries, 79

Opportunity to learn (OTL), 5–7, 47, 53, 95, 97, 115, 128, 135, 137–142, 265

See also Tier 1 instruction

Optical character recognition (OCR), 65
 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 38–40

P

PARCC and smarter balanced assessment, 214
 Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC), 63, 76, 213
 Path to Reading Excellence in School Site (PRESS), 165
 Peabody Journal of Education, 190
 Personal accessibility needs profile, 250, 251
 Personal needs profile (PNP), 214, 251, 258, 266
 Primary processing categories, 120
 Problem-solving and the randomness as genesis principle, 201
 Problem-solving process, 99
 Program for International Student Assessment (PISA), 39, 139
 Psychometric oxymoron, 233, 234, 266

Q

Question and Test Interoperability–Accessible Portable Item Protocol (QTI-APIP), 249
 Question Test Interoperability (QTI), 251, 267

R

“Race to the Top” (RTT) assessment initiatives, 30–31, 247
 Rasch model, 223
 Redundancy effect, 205
 Response to intervention (RTI) model, 28, 99, 135, 265
 accessibility, 157–160
 comprehensive assessment approach, 158
 decision-making criteria, 163, 164
 efficacy, 157
 evidence-based and specialized instruction/intervention, 160
 evidence-based resources, 164, 165
 existing research support, 162
 facilitative administrative support and team-based leadership, 161
 guide instructional decisions, 162
 high-quality instruction, 160–162
 implementation drivers/engines, 161
 implementation fidelity assessment, 162
 job-embedded coaching, 161
 multi-stakeholder involvement, 160
 multi-tiered supports, 157–160, 163
 organizational structures, 157
 school personnel, 163
 second grade decision tree, 159
 service delivery models, 157, 165
 standardized data-based decision-making, 158
 teacher professional development, 164
 unresponsive students, 164
 Root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), 243

S

- Schema theory, 201
 - Scholastic Aptitude Reasoning Test, 220
 - Score comparability, 68
 - Second International Mathematics Studies (SIMS), 139
 - Seductive detail effect, 205
 - Selected-response (SR) formats, 183, 185
 - Short-term memory, 200
 - Significant disabilities
 - access to testing, 101
 - accommodations and supports, 101
 - assessment methods, 102
 - communication methods, 102
 - inclusive settings, 101
 - peer support, 101
 - Smarter Accessibility and Accommodations Framework, 76
 - Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (Smarter Balanced), 63, 77, 90, 213, 237
 - Sociocultural approaches, 126, 127
 - Socioeconomic status (SES), 122
 - Special educational needs (SEN), 52
 - Special populations, 13
 - Specific learning disability (SLD), 25
 - Speech therapy, 108
 - Split-attention effect, 204
 - Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing, 10, 42, 43, 61, 62, 135, 181, 213, 232
 - State testing programs, 247
 - Statistical methods, 191
 - Structural equation modeling (SEM), 241–243
 - Student without disabilities (SWOD), 214
 - Students with additional needs (SWANs), 49
 - Students with disabilities (SWDs)
 - AA-AAs, 27
 - access skills, 95
 - access to instruction, 99
 - access to testing, 100, 101
 - accommodations, 98, 99, 235, 237
 - achievement growth, 93
 - alternate assessments, 238–240
 - assessment policies, 1960s and 1970s, 17, 19, 20
 - augmentative and assistive technologies, 28
 - “catalogue approach”, 25
 - CBM, 24
 - CEC, 22
 - classroom-based formative assessments, 28
 - college admissions, assessments, 20
 - comprehension reading, 94, 95
 - content and performance standards, 23
 - Department of Education (ED), 20
 - “dynamic” assessment techniques, 25
 - educational landscape, 244
 - ELs, 231
 - ESEA, 21, 27
 - ESSA, 17
 - “equal playing field”, 23
 - functional skills, 25
 - general education classroom, 24
 - goals 2000, 21
 - grade-level general education curriculum, 26
 - high- and low-performing students, 28
 - high-stakes end-of-the-year assessments, 17
 - IDEA 2004 and assessments, 25, 28, 29
 - IEPs, 95
 - inclusion, 93, 94
 - instruction and assessment, 95, 244
 - item and test structure levels, 244
 - legislative milestones, 17
 - NAEP, 20
 - National Commission on Excellence in Education, 20
 - National Longitudinal Transition Study, 21
 - “nonstandard” accommodations, 23
 - norm-referenced tests, 22
 - “out-of-level” assessment, 26
 - OSEP, 21
 - participation guidelines and sampling plans, 20
 - performance-based assessments, 22
 - physical disability/limited proficiency, 244
 - policy experts, 23
 - policy-makers, 23, 24
 - probes, use of, 24
 - professional development, 100
 - “relative contributions”, 25
 - RTI, 99
 - self-contained classrooms, 21
 - severe-profound disabilities, 24
 - significant disabilities, 101, 102
 - Smarter Balanced and PARCC, 236
 - special education services, 93
 - standards-based accountability movement, 22
 - technology-enhanced accommodations, 235
 - test fairness, 17
 - testing accommodations, 23
 - trainable mentally handicapped, 24
 - Students with Disabilities Advisory Committee (SWDAC), 84
 - Students with limited/interrupted formal education (SLIFE), 121
- T**
- Targeted skills, 3, 8
 - Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), 84
 - Technical Assistance Center on Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports website, 165
 - Technology Assisted Reading Assessment (TARA), 193
 - Technology-enhanced items (TEI), 267
 - APIP, 257
 - construct irrelevant factors, 255
 - drag-and-drop task, 255
 - food web/water cycle, 255
 - graphical content, 256
 - manipulations, 255
 - tab-entering, 255
 - virtual environment, 256, 257
 - Test accessibility, 7–11, 199
 - Test Accessibility and Modification Inventory (TAMI), 9, 200
 - Test accommodations

- absolute/authoritative, 238
- advocacy, 247
- ASL, 248
- CFI, 243
- computer-based delivery systems, 235
- configural and metric models, 243
- construct-irrelevant variance, 237
- DIF, 241
- direct and indirect linguistic support, 237
- educational assessment, 247
- evolution, 248
- goals, 237
- interaction hypothesis and differential boost, 240
- internal structure/dimensionality, 241, 243
- IRT, 242
- MDS, 242, 243
- physical and visual disabilities, 247
- scoring and reporting process, 248
- SEM, 242, 243
- Smarter Balanced and PARCC consortia, 236
- SWDs, 234
- universal design principles, 235
- validity evidence, 240
- Test anxiety, 108, 109
- Test characteristic curve, 242
- Test-criterion relationships, 223
- Test-delivery systems, 113
- Test development process, 184
- Test event, 199
- Test information function, 242
- Testing accommodations, 100
- Testing adaptations, 9, 10
 - AAC, 225
 - academic assessment, 216
 - accommodations, 214, 225
 - CTB/McGraw Hill, 215
 - DIF analyses, 223
 - functional impairment, 216
 - guidelines, 213
 - internal consistency, 219
 - internal structure, 221
 - knowledge question, 225
 - modifications, 214
 - NAEP, 221
 - NCEO, 217
 - PARCC and Smarter Balanced, 214
 - practitioners and researchers, 224
 - reliability and validity, 218, 227
 - response processes, 221
 - SWD-Es, 220
 - SWD-NEs, 220
 - SWDs, 214, 218
 - SWODs, 217
 - test-taker characteristics, 216
 - theory and practice, 213–214
- Testlets, 239
- Test scores, 11, 12, 66–68
- Test-taker's cognitive capacity, 208
- Test-taking skills, 114
- Test validity, 107
- Text-to-speech (TTS) rendering, 63
- Third International Mathematics Studies (TIMS), 139
- Tier 1 instruction
 - accessibility, 152
 - adequacy challenges, 152
 - CCSS, 149
 - characterization, 136, 137
 - CLASS, 150
 - classroom instruction, 137
 - cognitive process, 141
 - content overlap, 139
 - day-to-day instruction, 137
 - easyCBM, 150
 - ELA classroom, 147
 - elements, 147
 - enacted curriculum, 138, 142, 146, 151
 - evidence-based practices, 136
 - feasibility challenges, 152
 - formative assessments, 151
 - general/differentiated instruction, 148
 - GET, 149
 - high-quality, 136
 - inadequate response and implementation, 135
 - instructional priority setting, 150
 - instructional time and screening procedures, 137
 - instructional types, 149
 - integration, 150
 - intended curriculum, 143
 - K-8 curriculum, 147
 - multitiered approach, 136
 - MyiLOGS
 - content coverage bar chart, 149
 - instructional calendar excerpt, 147
 - lesson planner excerpt, 148
 - operational definition and measurement, 140–142
 - opportunity to learn and quality of instruction, 136
 - OTL, 135–142
 - assessment, 144
 - CC, 144
 - cognitive processes, 145
 - educational environment, 142
 - enacted curriculum, 143, 144, 146
 - IEP, 143, 144
 - instructional accommodations, 145
 - intended curriculum, 142
 - IT, 144
 - large-scale research, 144
 - operational definitions, 146
 - progress monitoring, 146
 - quality-related scores, 145
 - research-based reading/mathematics program, 144
 - RTI literature, 145
 - students with and without disabilities, 143
 - universal screening, 145
 - quality, 139, 140
 - resource-constrained environment, 135
 - RTI approaches, 136
 - self-reflection, 152
 - short-term strategic thinking, 148
 - student learning, 152

- Tier 1 instruction (*cont.*)
 students' achievement, 150
 test score interpretations, 152
 timing
 academic learning, 138
 allocated, 138
 Cohen's *d* effect size, 139
 engaged, 138
 instructional, 138
 quantity of instruction, 138
 student achievement, 138, 139
 universal screening, 135
- Time-Life Study, 206
- Translated glossaries, 79, 83
- Two-class mixture modeling, 222
- U**
- United Nations Convention, 38
- United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), 39
- United States of America, 46, 47
- Universal design (UD), 76, 248–249
- Universal design for assessment (UDA), 8, 9
- Universal design for learning (UDL), 6, 62, 96–98, 119, 179, 239, 265, 267
 achievement gaps, 171
 acquisition of knowledge, 179
 administrators, 177, 178
 authentic environments, 173
 automatic engagement detectors, 172
 classrooms, 167
 comprehensive assessment instruments, 173
 construct-irrelevant and relevant problem, 170
 educational institutions, 175
 educational policies and practices, 167
 emotional intrusions, 170
 executive systems, 171
 expert learners, 173
 knowledgeable learners, 179
 purposeful, motivated learners, 179
 strategic and goal-directed learners, 179
 external signals, 171
 from extrinsic to intrinsic, 173–175
 from individuals to interactions, 168–170
 instructional approaches, 168
 interactive affective response tool, 172
 knowledge and skills, 167
 multiple substantive roots, 167
 non-construct-relevant skills, 176
 norm-referenced standardized tests, 176
 parents, 177
 power of technology, 171
 principles, 168
 self-affirmation exercises, 170, 171
 self-determination, 168
 socially mediated assessment, 170
 stereotype threats, 171
 student learning and performance, 172
 students, 176, 177
 teachers, 176
 test makers/developers, 178
 universally design, 179
 white coat effect, 170
- Universal design theory, 1, 6, 8
- Universal test design (UTD), 233
- Universal tools, 79
- Universally designed systems, 44, 48, 50
- Usability, Accessibility, and Accommodations Committee (UAAC), 84
- Usability, Accessibility, and Accommodations Guidelines (UAAG), 80
- V**
- Virtual Performance Scientific Inquiry
 Assessment task, 257
- W**
- Walberg's model, 139
- Webb's Depth-of-Knowledge (DOK), 140
- Weighted MDS (WMDS), 242
- Working memory load, 203
- Writing Test Items to Evaluate Higher Order Thinking, 184
- Writing the directions/stimulus, 187
- Y**
- Yale Center for Emotional Intelligence, 172