

# **Appendix**

## **Rules for the Open Digital Innovation Contest “Olympic City Transport Challenge”**

### **Introduction**

The Olympic City Transport Challenge is designed to encourage all interested parties (each a “Contestant”) to use their creativity to develop new innovative digital services, helping citizens and visitors to transport themselves around Rio, both during the Rio Olympic Games and beyond. Viktoria Swedish ICT (“Viktoria”) is responsible for and arranges the Contest. The City of Rio de Janeiro will actively participate and promote the winning service under the brand Cidade Olimpica<sup>1</sup> that the winner will use after the Contest. Evry AB (“Evry”) will provide the technology and support of the developers’ platform.

### **Who Can Participate?**

Any company, individual or team of individuals is welcome to participate in the Olympic City Transport Challenge. However, companies or individuals with ties to the jury members are not eligible to participate.

### **Important Dates**

The Contest is divided into several stages, encompassing a number of milestones and related activities. The Contest Period begins on November 1, 2015 and is scheduled as follows:

- 2015-11-03 Registration opens
- 2015-12-07 Concept submission

---

<sup>1</sup><http://www.cidadeolimpica.com.br/en/>

- 2015-12-21 Announcement of finalists
- 2016-03-15 Application Submission, including non-mandatory links to published apps
- 2016-04-01 Finale and Categories Winners are appointed.

## **Submission Requirements**

The aim of the Contest is to facilitate development of smartphone apps helping citizens and visitors at the Olympic Games in Rio de Janeiro 2016. All submissions are done through the contest website.

## **Overall Requirements**

All contestants will be granted access to the developers' platform. Information on how to obtain access to the platform can be retrieved from the contest website.

The winning app should be able to support two languages (English and Portuguese). The language in the submitted app should therefore either be English or Portuguese, or both English and Portuguese.

The contest includes two submissions, one for the concept phase and one for the implementation phase.

## **Concept Phase Submission**

The concept phase submission should include an idea description and be submitted through the contest website. The idea description could consist of text, pictures or other media and must include:

- Description of the app
- User benefits—how the app addresses the challenge
- Feasibility and potential stoppers
- A selected number of participants will be invited to continue into the implementation phase.

## **Implementation Phase Submission**

The implementation phase submission must include an description of the service and may also include, if applicable, information about the published app in an application marketplace or a link to a web site. The submissions in done through Contest website.

The app description could consist of text, pictures or other media and must include:

- Description of the app
- User benefits—how the app addresses the challenge.

If the submission is a smartphone app the submission could include a link to the published app in or more of the following application marketplaces:

- Apple Appstore
- Google Play
- Windows Marketplace.

If the submission is a web application the submission could include a link to the web site.

Since it is crucial that the service is launched prior to the Olympics, contestants that have not published their service by March 15 need to include convincing arguments that the service will be ready before June 1st.

## Evaluation Criteria

The evaluation of the Implementation Phase Submissions will be done in two steps.

1. First, the Jury (appointed by Viktoria) will assess which of the concept phase submissions that are qualified to meet the challenge of the contest. No more than 50 submissions will go through to the implementation phase.
2. Second, a winner will be appointed based on criteria related to (a) the degree which the app meets the challenge (b) usability (c) contestants ability to finalize and sustain the service (d) innovative use of open data.

These assessments are final and cannot be appealed.

## Prizes

The prize for the winning team is the usage of the Cidade Olímpica brand, controlled by the Rio de Janeiro City Hall. The use of the brand will effectively support the winning teams in attaining fast recognition for their services.

Moreover, the winning teams of challenge category 2. Comfort and accessibility and 3. Experiencing the Olympic Games will also receive 25.000 SEK for travelling to Rio de Janeiro prior to the Olympic Games.

Since services launched under the Cidade Olímpica brand will be available after the Olympic Games, we would like to put attention to contestants that some maintenance may be needed by contestants also after the Olympic Games. The objective of the contest is to promote services that improve transportation on a long-term basis.

## **Intellectual Property**

The Contestant(s) retains ownership of all intellectual property rights in and to its intellectual property used and/or incorporated in the developed App, including documentation, submitted to the Challenge. However, the organizers of the contest maintain the right to use Submissions for communicative purposes.

# Index

## A

- “And the winner is” communicating the contest outcome, [95](#)
- Automated evaluation, contribution evaluation method, [90](#)
- Autonomy, motivational factor, [74](#)

## B

- Barrier Management, [112](#)
- Beneficiary, key stakeholder, [43](#)
- Budget, [60](#)
- Business model, [117](#)
- Business value, design element, [58](#)

## C

- Catalyst, [118](#)
- Closed innovation, [5](#)
- Community functionality, design element, [57](#)
- Contest communication, contest support platform, [67](#)
- Contest format, [49](#)
- Contest period, design element, [53](#)
- Contest phase, [24](#)
- Contest support platform, [65](#)
- Coordination and evaluation, contest support platform, [68](#)
- Coupled goal, [31](#)
- Coupled innovation, [5](#)
- Crowd-sourcing evaluation, contribution evaluation method, [92](#)

## D

- Data, design element, [58](#)
- Degree of elaboration, design element, [55](#)
- Design approach, [23](#)
- Design element, [51](#)

- Developers’ platform, contest support platform, [66](#)
- Digital innovation, [7](#)
- Digital innovation contest measurement model, [124](#)
- Dredge, barrier management, [113](#)

## E

- Evaluation, design element, [57](#)
- Expert-jury evaluation, contribution evaluation method, [91](#)
- Extrinsic motivation, [73](#)

## F

- Finance, innovation barrier, [110](#)
- Fun and enjoyment, motivational factor, [74](#)

## G

- Goal, [29](#)

## I

- Inadequate use of available resources, problem in contest operation, [83](#)
- Ineffective communication, problem in contest operation, [84](#)
- Innovation barrier, [109](#)
- Innovation battle, [13](#)
- Innovation challenge, [13](#)
- Innovation cup, [13](#)
- Innovation intermediary, [14](#)
- Innovation jam, [13](#)
- Innovation novelty, design element, [59](#)
- Innovation partner, [119](#)
- Inside-out goal, [31](#)
- Inside-out innovation, [6](#)
- Intellectual challenge, motivational factor, [74](#)
- Intrinsic motivation, [73](#)

**K**

Key stakeholders, 37  
 Knowledge, innovation barrier, 110

**L**

Lack of innovation, problem in contest operation, 80  
 Lack of resources, problem in contest operation, 82  
 Lack of solution relevance, problem in contest operation, 80  
 Lack of team performance, problem in contest operation, 81  
 Learning and skills development, motivational factor, 74

**M**

Market, innovation barrier, 110  
 Mark up, barrier management, 113  
 Measurement model, 123  
 Media, design element, 55  
 Mixed-method evaluation, contribution evaluation method, 93  
 Money, motivational factor, 74  
 Motivational factor, 73

**N**

Non-innovation Goal, 31

**O**

Open data resource provider, 118  
 Open digital innovation contest, 11  
 Open innovation, 23  
 Organiser, design element, 54  
 Organization, innovation barrier, 110  
 Organizer-driven evaluation, contribution evaluation method, 90  
 Organizer, key stakeholder, 38  
 Outside-in goal, 30  
 Outside-in innovation, 6

**P**

Participant, key stakeholder, 40  
 Participation as, design element, 56  
 Peer-review evaluation, contribution evaluation method, 92  
 Pilot, barrier management, 113  
 Post-contest phase, 26  
 Post-contest support, design element, 57  
 Pre-contest phase, 24  
 Proactive measures, 80  
 Problems in contest operation, 80  
 Professional and personal identity, motivational factor, 74

**R**

Reactive measures, 80  
 Reciprocity, motivational factor, 74  
 Regulation, innovation barrier, 111  
 Resource provider, key stakeholder, 41  
 Reward and motivation, design element, 57  
 Rules, 59

**S**

Scouting, barrier management, 112  
 Service provider, 119  
 Signalling and career concerns, motivational factor, 74  
 Status and reputation, motivational factor, 74  
 Strategic choice, 101  
 Strategic option, 102

**T**

Target group, design element, 53  
 Task specificity, design element, 56  
 Technology, innovation barrier, 111  
 Type of goal, 30

**U**

User need, motivational factor, 74  
 User needs, design element, 58