

About the Authors

Christian Bickenbach, Dr. iur., is professor of administrative law at the University of Potsdam, Faculty of Law, Germany. He studied and made his academic qualifications at the Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz, which confers him the *venia legendi* for constitutional law, administrative law and constitutional history. His research interests are among others basic rights, legislative procedural law, administrative procedural law and environmental law. His main publications are *Das Bescheidungsurteil als Ergebnis einer Verpflichtungsklage* (2006) and *Die Einschätzungsprärogative des Gesetzgebers* (2014).

Christian Bumke, Dr. iur., holds the Commerzbank-Stiftung Chair of Foundations of Law at the Bucerius Law School in Hamburg. His publications include *Die Ausgestaltung der Grundrechte* (The Configuration of Fundamental Rights, 2009), *Die Konstitutionalisierung der Rechtsordnung* (The Constitutionalization of the Legal Order, 2000, co-authored with G.F. Schuppert) and *Casebook Verfassungsrecht* (2015, 7th ed., co-authored with A. Voßkuhle).

Bernd Grzeszick, Dr. iur., LL.M., is professor for public law, public international law and philosophy of law at the University of Heidelberg, and director at the Heidelberg Center for American Studies. He studied law at the universities of Bonn, Freiburg and Heidelberg, and Cambridge. Before being appointed at Heidelberg, he held chairs at the universities of Münster, Erlangen-Nuremberg, and Mainz. His publications cover public law, media law, European law, public international law, history of law, and philosophy of law.

Roland Ismer, Dr. iur., MSc. Econ (LSE) is a professor for Tax Law and Public Law at the Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Germany. He studied law and economics at the universities of Constance, Geneva, and Munich, as well as at the London School of Economics. He obtained his doctorate from and completed his habilitation at the Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich. His research focuses on tax law, legal aspects of climate change as well as law and economics.

Ulrich Karpen, Dr. iur., is professor of constitutional and administrative law at the University of Hamburg, and has also taught at the University of Sydney and the China-EU-School of Law in Beijing. He studied in Kiel and Cologne and was Fulbright-Hays-Scholar at the University of California in Berkeley. He was a deputy in the Hamburg-State Parliament (1991–2001), where he chaired the judicial committee, and is a member of the board of The Hamburg-Foundation for Politically Persecuted Persons. He is author of *Hochschulplanung und Grundgesetz* (1987, 2 vols.) and *Access to Higher Education in the Federal Republic of Germany* (1988), and editor of *The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Germany* (1988; Spanish version, 1992; French version, 1996); *Gesetzgebungslehre – neu evaluiert/Legistics – Freshly evaluated* (2008); and *Legislation and Legisprudence in Europe. A Comprehensive Guide for Scholars and Practitioners* (2016, with H. Xanthaki).

Gregor Kirchhof, Dr. iur., LL.M., is professor of public law, finance and tax law at the University of Augsburg. He studied in Freiburg, München and London, and has been scientific assistant of Prof. Dr. Udo Di Fabio at the universities of Munich (LMU) and Bonn, as well as deputy professor of state and administrative law at the LMU. His publications include *Die Allgemeinheit des Gesetzes* (Tübingen, 2009), *Grundrechte und Wirklichkeit* (Heidelberg, 2007), *Öffentliches Wettbewerbsrecht* (Heidelberg, 2014, co-edited with S. Korte and S. Magen) and *Was weiß Dogmatik? Was leistet und wie steuert die Dogmatik des Öffentlichen Rechts* (Tübingen, 2012, co-edited with S. Magen and K. Schneider).

Gertrude Lübbe-Wolff, Dr. iur., Dr. h.c., LL.M. (Harvard) is professor of public law at the University of Bielefeld. She obtained her doctorate in law at the University of Freiburg and her habilitation at the University of Bielefeld. Professor Lübbe-Wolff holds the Leibniz Research Prize (2000) and the Hegel Prize (2012), and was Justice of the Federal Constitutional Court of Germany (Second Senate) from 2002 to 2014. Currently, she is a Fellow at the Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin. Her publications include, among many others, *Die Grundrechte als Eingriffsabwehrrechte* (1988), *Recht und Moral im Umweltschutz* (1999), *Wie funktioniert das Bundesverfassungsgericht?* (2015), and *Die Rechtsprechung des Bundesverfassungsgerichts zum Strafvollzug und Untersuchungshaftvollzug* (2016).

Klaus Meßerschmidt, Dr. iur., is adjunct professor of public law at Humboldt University of Berlin and lecturer at the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg. He obtained his doctorate in law at the University of Trier (1986) and his habilitation at the University of Berlin (2000). His research concentrates on legisprudence, originating from his postdoctoral thesis on legislative discretion (*Gesetzgebungsermessen*), and environmental law. His teaching interests are in constitutional and European law. His recent publications include a textbook on European Environmental Law (*Europäisches Umweltrecht*, München, C. H. Beck, 2011).

A. Daniel Oliver-Lalana, Dr. iur., LL.M. (Genova), is currently a Ramón y Cajal Fellow at the University of Zaragoza's Law Faculty, where he lectures on jurisprudence. His works include a book on legal communication (*Legitimidad a través de*

la comunicación, 2011), a socio-legal study on data protection law (*Derecho y cultura de protección de datos*, 2012, with J.F. Muñoz) and *The Rationality and Justification of Legislation* (2013, co-edited with L. Wintgens).

Matthias Rossi, Dr. iur., holds a chair for Public Law, European Law and Legistics at the Law Faculty of University of Augsburg. He studied law at Trier and Nancy (France) and did his practical training in Berlin, Paris and Haifa. He received a Doctor Iuris from the Humboldt-University in Berlin (1997), where he also completed his Habilitation (2004). His principal fields of research are constitutional law and European law including national and European legislation. His work also covers information law and data protection.

Helmuth Schulze-Fielitz, Dr. iur., studied law and social sciences in Göttingen, Frankfurt am Main and Marburg, completed his doctorate at the University of Augsburg (1977) and his habilitation at the University of Bayreuth (1986). After his professorship at the Bundeswehr-University in Munich, he has held the chair for public law, environmental law and administration sciences at the University of Würzburg (1994–2012). His main publications include *Sozialplanung im Städtebaurecht* (1979), *Der informale Verfassungsstaat. Aktuelle Beobachtungen des Verfassungslebens der Bundesrepublik Deutschland im Lichte der Verfassungstheorie* (1984), *Theorie und Praxis parlamentarischer Gesetzgebung* (1988), and *Staatsrechtslehre als Mikrokosmos* (2013).

Jan Sieckmann, Dr. iur., is professor of public law at the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg. He studied law and philosophy and completed his doctorate at the University of Göttingen. After obtaining his habilitation at the University of Kiel (1997), he was appointed professor of public law at the University of Bamberg (1998–2008). He has also been DAAD-visiting professor at the University of Buenos Aires (2007–2012). His main fields of research are legal philosophy, argumentation theory, and human rights. He is the author of *The Logic of Autonomy* (Oxford, 2012) and *Recht als normatives System* (Baden-Baden, 2009).

Angelika Siehr, Dr. iur., LL.M. (Yale), is a professor for German public law (especially constitutional law), public international law and philosophy of law at the University of Bielefeld, Germany. She studied law (and political science) at the universities of Marburg, Lausanne and Kiel, as well as at the Yale Law School. She obtained her doctorate and did her habilitation at the Humboldt-University in Berlin. Her main publications are *Die Deutschenrechte des Grundgesetzes* (2001) and *Das Recht am öffentlichen Raum* (2016).

Christian Waldhoff, Dr. iur., is currently Dean of the Faculty of Law of Humboldt-University Berlin and holds the Chair for Public Law and the Law of Public Finance. His main research interests pertain to constitutional law and history, the law of public finance, law and religion, and the law of parliamentary procedure. He is a member of the Scientific Advisory Board of the Federal Ministry of Finance and has litigated before the Federal Constitutional Court for various public institutions.

Index

A

Accountability, 287, 324, 328, 383, 392
Administrative law, 100, 105, 129, 135, 145, 374, 389, 397
Administrative rule-making, 9, 129, 145, 146
Arbitrariness, 72, 90, 114, 164, 171, 173–174, 195, 196, 199, 202, 218, 222, 223, 225, 226, 237, 302, 304, 308, 310, 396
Asylum seekers judgment, 250, 273, 282, 285, 298, 310, 395, 398, 399

B

Balancing, 5, 14, 34, 68, 137, 223, 224, 272, 300, 303, 349, 350, 352–355, 357–359, 361–366, 371
 autonomous, 350–353, 359
 legislative, 13, 277, 334, 349, 366–371
 of normative arguments, 351–355, 358, 359, 364–366
Better legislation. *See* Better regulation
Better placed argument, 286, 397
Better regulation, 7, 35, 40, 46, 296, 305, 309, 378
Burden of justification, 69, 81, 82, 133, 204, 253, 304, 390
Bureaucracy, 38, 42, 47, 48, 52, 392

C

Chenery doctrine, 389, 390
Codetermination judgment
 (*Mitbestimmungsurteil*), 174, 251, 268, 273, 276–278, 374, 383
Coherence principle, 10, 82, 83, 192, 210, 212, 224, 340, 359, 362

Common good, 8, 34, 36, 89, 97, 98, 100, 110, 157, 199, 296, 302, 308, 333, 334
Comparative law, 266, 311, 374, 381, 385
Compensation, 73, 81, 253, 254
Compensation theory, 385, 386
Competition, 229, 304
Confidence in the law, 89, 97, 200
Conseil Constitutionnel, 22, 24, 26
Consistency principle, 10, 61, 65, 66, 69, 158, 189, 190, 192–207
Constitutionalism, 20, 138, 139, 382, 387, 388
Constitutional state, 64, 102, 155, 157, 170–172, 205, 300, 316, 333, 368
Consultation, 39–41, 43, 46, 47, 264, 392
Context of justification, 142
Counter-majoritarian difficulty, 23, 29
Court of Justice of the European Union, 2, 9, 25, 61, 63, 82, 83, 116, 143, 212, 229, 289, 389, 395

D

Defense rights, 272, 281
Deficit of legal certainty, 8
Delegated legislation, 129, 144–146
Deliberative democracy, 386
Democracy principle, 7, 11, 20, 65, 71, 72, 74–78, 80, 135, 139, 171, 194, 198, 235, 244–246, 285, 318, 320, 329, 332, 333, 337
Democratic rationality, 71–73, 78, 84
Determinacy, mandate of, 167, 272, 338, 341
Due post-legislative process, 258, 282, 292
Due process of lawmaking, 4, 373–375, 378, 379, 381, 383–384, 388

E

Economic rationality, 296, 297, 308, 309
 Effectiveness, 7, 12, 116, 161, 181, 284, 297, 304, 306–308, 316, 324, 341
 Efficacy, 12, 49, 53, 261, 289, 304, 305, 309, 370
 Efficiency, 3, 7, 12, 307, 384, 386, 393
 Electoral threshold, 269, 271
 Equality principle, 10, 67, 68, 98, 108, 114, 118, 196, 197, 199, 200, 202, 207, 209, 211–215, 220–222, 226–229, 263, 269, 338, 341, 364, 396
 new formula, 199, 203
 Equality rights, 10, 189, 190, 201–203
 Equal treatment, 27, 51, 114, 196, 207. *See also* Equality principle
 European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR), 2, 25, 26, 82, 269, 279, 290
 European Court of Justice (ECJ). *See* Court of Justice of the European Union
 Evidence-based lawmaking, 7
 Evidence-based review, 378
 Ex ante evaluation, 50, 243, 244, 309, 393, 395. *See also* Impact assessment
 Experimental legislation, 310, 398
 Experts, 40, 41, 43, 46–48, 52, 53, 112, 162, 172, 179, 249, 263, 279, 388
 Ex post evaluation, 11, 260, 261, 263, 276, 285, 286, 288, 290–292, 393, 394
 External legislative process, 243, 300, 319

F

Freedom rights, 10, 189, 190, 200–203

G

Generality of the law, 89, 92–100, 105, 108, 109, 112–114, 116–118, 316
 Globalization, 206, 304, 394
 Good governance, 297, 392

H

Hartz IV judgment, 3, 272, 277, 278, 282, 309, 310, 374, 383, 386, 388, 392, 397, 398
 Human dignity, 192, 238, 240, 250, 251, 262, 263, 265, 266, 281, 300, 309, 383
 Human rights, 21, 23, 24, 28, 92, 93, 95, 103, 112, 113, 388

I

Impact assessment, 37, 49–51, 53, 258, 274, 279, 307, 309–311, 391, 393

Impact review, 275, 279, 290, 292, 379
 Intense substantive control, 175, 395
 Intensity of review, 176, 180, 277
 Inter-branch dialogue, 394
 Interest group, 221
 Internal legislative process, 235, 243, 249, 319, 340

J

Judicial activism, 6, 11, 19, 25, 26, 28, 228, 235, 262, 287, 291
 Judicial self-restraint, 161, 375, 388, 397
 Justifiability, 71, 102, 356
 Justification of legislation, 2, 5, 7, 131, 243, 277, 278

L

Latent purposes or functions, 12, 116, 323–325, 331, 332, 341
 Legal certainty, 66, 74, 89, 97, 111, 112, 198, 248, 263, 275, 285, 338
 Legal duty, 147, 238, 329
 Legal sociology, 318, 319
 Legal State. *See* Rule of law
 Legal theory, 130, 196, 318, 319, 342, 380
 Legislative capture, 6, 221, 277, 392
 Legislative discretion, 78, 81, 311, 328
 Legislative drafting, 7, 33, 39, 53
 Legislative fact-finding, 3, 11, 235, 241, 242, 247, 273, 311
 Legislative facts, 3, 11, 258–260, 264, 272, 273, 275, 276, 280, 288, 383, 388
 Legislative leeway. *See* Legislative margin
 Legislative margin, 158, 174–176, 275
 Legislative proceedings, 242–244, 251
 Legislative purpose, 9, 303, 336, 389
 Legislative reasoning, 386, 390
 Legisprudence, 1, 4–6, 12, 14, 147, 270, 296, 312, 319, 340, 342, 374, 381
 Legistics, 48
 quality, 35, 38, 40, 44, 47, 51, 52
 Legitimation, 62, 73, 80, 298, 368
 Lobbies, 41, 42, 221

M

Majority rule, 318, 333
 Manifest error test, 175, 264, 277, 283, 304, 395, 397, 398
 Margin of appreciation, 147, 240, 248, 262, 278, 281
 Method of legislation, 2, 259, 291, 299, 384

Monitoring and revision duties, 258, 262, 275, 279, 281, 284, 285, 292
 Münchenhausen trilemma, 138

N

New Public Management, 131, 305

O

Omission

duty of, 238–242, 244, 249, 253
 legislative, 264, 272, 284

P

Pareto-optimality, 353–355, 358, 359
 Parliamentary debate, 51, 109, 145, 305
 Pharmacies judgment (*Apothekenurteil*), 133, 156, 303, 374
 Plausibility test, 253, 268, 274
 Pluralism, 245, 277, 334
 Policy cycle, 12, 286
 Political compromise, 29, 34, 40, 44, 81, 138, 145, 155, 173, 398, 399
 Political question doctrine, 11, 21, 80, 235, 249
 Politics, law and, 21, 37, 43, 64, 311, 316–318
 Preambles, 44, 132. *See also* Statement of reasons
 Predictability, 90, 168, 171, 198
 Prerogative of appreciation, 273–275, 278, 279, 281, 285
 Principle of coherence. *See* Coherence principle
 Principle of consistency. *See* Consistency principle
 Procedural review, 373–399. *See also* Process review
 Process review, 5, 13, 277, 278, 287, 374, 375, 378, 385, 387, 388
 Prognosis, legislative, 175, 176, 235, 241–243, 247, 251–253, 262, 267–269, 274, 275, 278, 288, 289, 305, 306, 310, 311, 392, 394, 399
 Proportionality, 1, 9, 13, 28, 66, 68, 74, 77, 108, 113, 114, 130, 136, 143, 144, 157, 162, 163, 174, 176, 180–182, 200–204, 215, 220, 221, 226, 239, 242, 247, 251, 262, 266, 272, 277, 289, 290, 303, 310, 329, 336, 341, 356, 357, 367, 368, 385, 387, 389, 394–396
 Proportionality in the strict sense, 200, 202, 356, 357
 Prospective evaluation. *See* Ex ante evaluation
 Protection rights, 267, 272

R

Rationality of legislation, 6, 7, 9, 28, 130, 309, 318
 Rationality review, 28, 156, 158
 Rational lawmaking, 1–8, 11–14, 19, 33, 163, 169, 170, 172, 181, 237, 243, 260, 262, 277, 377, 391, 393
Rechtsstaatsprinzip. *See* Rule of law
 Retroactivity, prohibition of, 66, 74
 Retrospective evaluation, 260
 Rule of law, 12, 62, 135, 163, 170–172, 174, 176, 190, 210, 211, 296, 302, 309, 311, 325, 328, 332, 337, 341

S

Self-regarding duties, 280, 390
 Semi-procedural review, 4, 277, 394
 Separation of powers, 10, 11, 76, 89, 90, 98, 101–103, 111, 136, 140, 189, 190, 205, 235, 236, 246, 252–254, 263, 272, 275, 279, 280, 310, 316, 329, 398
 Smoking ban judgment, 68, 69, 155, 158, 166, 167, 170, 191, 201, 203, 207
 Sovereignty
 parliamentary, 22, 24
 popular, 139, 335
 Statement of reasons, 71, 137, 139, 141, 142, 341. *See also* Justification of legislation
 Statutory reservation, 100, 107–109
 Subsidiarity principle, 1, 115, 116, 143, 144, 272, 303
 Subsistence minimum, 3, 250, 309, 383. *See also* *Hartz IV* judgment
 Substantive review, 2, 13, 79, 81, 291, 373, 375–380, 382, 385–389, 391, 392, 394, 395, 399
 Sunset clause, 288, 388
 Supreme Court, 21
 Swiss Constitution, 261, 297, 302, 303, 306, 308
 Symbolic legislation, 11, 12, 316, 318, 320, 322, 324, 325, 329, 331, 332, 334, 336–342
 Systemic rationality (*Systemgerechtigkeit*), 9, 63, 85, 210, 211, 218

T

Tax law, consistency and coherence in, 165, 166, 210
 Time pressure, 33, 39, 51, 310

Transparency, 91, 141, 243, 260, 277, 301,
303, 310, 312, 329, 381, 382, 392
Truthfulness of legal norms (*Normenwahrheit*),
12, 323, 329, 331, 337

U

Übermaßverbot, 137, 239–241, 243, 247
Untermaßverbot, 137, 265
US Supreme Court, 2, 390

V

Venire contra factum proprium
doctrine, 198

W

Watchdog, court as,
89–118, 392
Wednesbury test, 397
Wisdom rule, 102, 105, 299