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SURVEY DATA

The authors used polling data from the following sources for their analysis:

Gallup
National Election Pool (2008 exit polls, conducted by Edison/Mitofsky; 2012 exit polls, conducted by Edison Media Research)

NBC News / Wall Street Journal
Pew Foundation
Public Policy Polling
Quinnipiac University Poll
Suffolk University Political Research Center
Voter News Service (2000 exit polls)

Datasets from all exit polls, and several Gallup and Pew polls, were accessed via the Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, RoperExpress [distributor], Storrs, CT. Information from other polls was publicly accessible.
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