

## REFERENCES

- Abedullah, Kouser, S., Qaim, M. (2015). Bt cotton, pesticide use and environmental efficiency in Pakistan. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 66, 66–86.
- Academics Review (2015). IARC glyphosate cancer review fails on multiple fronts. <http://academicsreview.org> (home page), date accessed July 28, 2015.
- Acquaah, G. (2012). *Principles of Plant Genetics and Breeding*. Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Aerni, P. (2014). The motivation and impact of organized public resistance against agricultural biotechnology. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 256–276.
- Alberts, B., Beachy, R., Baulcombe, D., Blobel, G., Datta, S., Fedoroff, N., Kennedy, D., Khush, G.S., Peacock, J., Rees, M., Sharp, P. (2013). Standing up for GMOs. *Science* 341, 1320.
- Ali, A., Abdulai, A. (2010). The adoption of genetically modified cotton and poverty reduction in Pakistan. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 61, 175–192.
- Alston, J.M., Kalaitzandonakes, N., Kruse, J. (2014). The size and distribution of the benefits from the adoption of biotech soybean varieties. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 728–751.
- Alston, J.M., Norton, G.W., Pardey, P.G. (1995). *Science under Scarcity: Principles and Practices of Agricultural Research Evaluation and Priority Setting*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.
- Ammann, K. (2014). Genomic misconception: a fresh look at the biosafety of transgenic and conventional crops. A plea for a process agnostic regulation. *New Biotechnology* 31, 1–17.
- Antoniou, M., Brack, P., Carrasco, A., Fagan, J., Habib, M., Kageyama, P., Leifert, C., Nodari, R.O., Pengue, W. (2010). *GM Soy: Sustainable? Responsible?* GLS Bank and ARGE Gentechnik-frei, Vienna.
- Apel, A. (2010). The costly benefits of opposing agricultural biotechnology. *New Biotechnology* 27, 635–640.
- Arber, W. (2010). Genetic engineering compared to natural genetic variations. *New Biotechnology* 27, 517–521.
- Areal, F.J., Riesgo, L., Rodríguez-Cerezo, E. (2013). Economic and agronomic impact of commercialized GM crops: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 151, 7–33.

- Arj6, G., Portero, M., Pinol, C., Vinas, J., Matias-Guiu, X., Capell, T., Bartholomaeus, A., Parrott, W., Christou, P. (2013). Plurality of opinion, scientific discourse and pseudoscience: an in depth analysis of the S6ralini et al. study claiming that Roundup Ready corn or the herbicide Roundup cause cancer in rats. *Transgenic Research* 22, 255–267.
- Bagla, P. (2012). Negative report on GM crops shakes government's food agenda. *Science* 337, 789.
- Barker, G. (2006). *The Agricultural Revolution in Prehistory: Why Did Foragers Become Farmers?* Oxford University Press, New York.
- Barrows, G., Sexton, S., Zilberman, D. (2014). Agricultural biotechnology: the promise and prospects of genetically modified crops. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 28, 99–120.
- Bartsch, D., Devos, Y., Hails, R., Kiss, J., Krogh, P.H., Mestdagh, S., Nuti, M., Sessitsch, A., Sweet, J., Gathmann, A. (2010). Environmental impact of genetically modified maize expressing cry1 proteins. In: Kempken, F., Jung, C., eds. *Genetic Modification of Plants: Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 575–614.
- Basu, A.K., Qaim, M. (2007). On the adoption of genetically modified seeds in developing countries and the optimal types of government intervention. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 89, 784–804.
- Baulcombe, D., Dunwell, J., Jones, J., Pickett, J., Puigdomenech, P. (2013). GM Science Update. A Report to the Council for Science and Technology, London.
- Becker, H. (2011). *Pflanzenz6chtung*. Second Edition, Ulmer, Stuttgart.
- Beckmann, V., Soregaroli, C., Wesseler, J. (2006). Coexistence rules and regulations in the European Union. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 88, 1193–1199.
- Bennett, R., Kambhampati, U., Morse, S., Ismael, Y. (2006). Farm-level economic performance of genetically modified cotton in Maharashtra, India. *Review of Agricultural Economics* 28, 59–71.
- Bennett, R., Morse, S., Ismael, Y. (2003). Bt cotton, pesticides, labour and health: a case study of smallholder farmers in the Makhathini Flats, Republic of South Africa. *Outlook on Agriculture* 32, 123–128.
- BfR (2015). EU-Wirkstoffpr6fung zu Glyphosat: Stand der Dinge und Ausblick. BfR Mitteilung 002/2015, Bundesinstitut f6r Riskobewertung (German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment), <http://www.bfr.bund.de> (homepage), date accessed July 28, 2015.
- Blumler, M., Byrne, R. (1991). The ecological genetics of domestication and the origins of agriculture. *Current Anthropology* 32, 23–54.
- Borlaug, N. (2007). Feeding a hungry world. *Science* 318, 359.
- Borlaug, N. (2000). The Green Revolution Revisited and the Road Ahead. Special 30th Anniversary Lecture, Norwegian Nobel Institute, Oslo.
- Brookes, G., Barfoot, P. (2014). Key global environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996–2012. *GM Crops & Food* 5(2), 149–160.
- Brookes, G., Barfoot, P. (2013). The global income and production effects of genetically modified (GM) crops 1996–2011. *GM Crops & Food* 4(1), 74–83.

- Brown, L. (2012). *Full Planet, Empty Plates: The New Geopolitics of Food Scarcity*. W.W. Norton & Company, New York.
- Brush, S.B., ed. (2000). *Genes in the Field: On-Farm Conservation of Crop Diversity*. Lewis Publishers, New York.
- Busch, R.J. (2010). Public perceptions of modern biotechnology and the necessity to improve communication. In: Kempken, F., Jung, C., eds. *Genetic Modification of Plants: Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 649–661.
- Carpenter, J.E. (2010). Peer-reviewed surveys indicate positive impact of commercialized GM crops. *Nature Biotechnology* 28, 319–321.
- Carter, C.A., Moschini, G., Sheldon, I., eds. (2011). *Genetically Modified Food and Global Welfare*. Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley, UK.
- Casassus, B. (2013). Study linking GM maize to rat tumours is retracted. *Nature*, doi: 10.1038/nature.2013.14268.
- CIMMYT (2015). Water Efficient Maize for Africa. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, <http://www.cimmyt.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Coalition for a GM-Free India (2012). 10 Years of Bt Cotton: False Hypes and False Promises: Cotton Farmers' Crisis Continues with Crop Failures and Suicides. Coalition for a GM-Free India, <http://indiagminfo.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Cohen, W., Levin, R. (1989). Empirical studies of innovation and market structure. In: Schmalensee, R., Willig, R., eds. *Handbook of Industrial Organization, Vol. 2*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 1059–1107.
- Cordell, D., Drangert, J.O., White S. (2009). The story of phosphorus: global food security and food for thought. *Global Environmental Change* 19, 292–305.
- Cordell, D., White, S. (2015). Tracking phosphorus security: indicators of phosphorus vulnerability in the global food system. *Food Security* 7, 337–350.
- Cossani, C.M., Reynolds, M.P. (2012). Physiological traits for improving heat tolerance in wheat. *Plant Physiology* 160, 1710–1718.
- Cressey, D. (2013). A new breed. *Nature* 497, 27–29.
- Crisp, A., Boschetti, C., Perry, M., Tunnacliffe, A., Micklem, G. (2015). Expression of multiple horizontally acquired genes is a hallmark of both vertebrate and invertebrate genomes. *Genome Biology* 15, 50.
- Crost, B., Shankar, B., Bennett, R., Morse, S. (2007). Bias from farmer self selection in genetically modified crop productivity estimates: evidence from Indian data. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 58, 24–36.
- Curtis, K.R., McCluskey, J.J., Swinnen, J.F.M. (2008). Differences in global risk perceptions of biotechnology and the political economy of the media. *International Journal of Global Environmental Issues* 8, 77–89.
- Darwin, C. (1876). *The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. Sixth Edition, John Murray, London.
- Davidson, S.N. (2008). Forbidden fruit: transgenic papaya in Thailand. *Plant Physiology* 147, 487–493.

- Davison, J. (2010). GM plants: science, politics and EC regulations. *Plant Science* 178, 94–98.
- De Steur, H., Blancquaert, D., Strobbe, S., Lambert, W., Gellynck, X., Van Der Straeten, D. (2015). Status and market potential of transgenic biofortified crops. *Nature Biotechnology* 33, 25–29.
- De Steur, H., Gellynck, X., Van Der Straeten, D., Lambert, W., Blancquaert, D., Qaim, M. (2012). Potential impact and cost-effectiveness of multi-biofortified rice in China. *New Biotechnology* 29, 432–442.
- DeFrancesco, L. (2013). How safe does transgenic food need to be? *Nature Biotechnology* 31, 794–802.
- Devos, Y., Dillen, K., Demont, M. (2014). How can flexibility be integrated into coexistence regulations? A review. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 94, 381–387.
- Devos, Y., Lheureux, K., Schiemann, J. (2010). Regulatory oversight and safety assessment of plants with novel traits. In: Kempken, F., Jung, C., eds. *Genetic Modification of Plants: Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 553–574.
- DFG (2010). *Grüne Gentechnik*. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim.
- Diamond, J. (1999). *Guns, Germs and Steel: The Fates of Human Society*. W.W. Norton & Company, New York.
- Dubock, A. (2014). The politics of Golden Rice. *GM Crops & Food* 5(3), 210–222.
- Duvick, D. (1986). Plant breeding: past achievements and expectations for the future. *Economic Botany* 40, 289–297.
- EASAC (2013). Planting the Future: Opportunities and Challenges for Using Crop Genetic Improvement Technologies for Sustainable Agriculture. EASAC Policy Report 21, European Academies Science Advisory Council, Halle.
- Ecker, O., Qaim, M. (2011). Analyzing nutritional impacts of policies: an empirical study for Malawi. *World Development* 39, 412–428.
- Eicher, C.K., Staatz, J., eds. (1998). *International Agricultural Development*. Third Edition, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Eisenstein, M. (2014). Against the grain. *Nature* 514, S55–S57.
- ENSSER (2015). European Network of Scientists for Social and Environmental Responsibility, <http://www.ensser.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- ENSA (2015). Engineering Nitrogen Symbiosis for Africa, <https://www.ensa.ac.uk>.
- European Commission (2010a). *Europeans and Biotechnology in 2010*. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, European Commission, Brussels.
- European Commission (2010b). *A Decade of EU-Funded GMO Research, 2001–2010*. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation, European Commission, Brussels.
- European Parliament (2015). Parliament backs GMO opt-out for EU member states. Press Release, January 13, 2015, Brussels.

- Evenson, R.E., Gollin D. (2003). Assessing the impact of the green revolution, 1960–2000. *Science* 300, 758–762.
- Evenson, R.E., Raney, T., eds. (2007). *The Political Economy of Genetically Modified Foods*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Fagerström, T., Dixelius, C., Magnusson, U., Sundström, J.F. (2012). Stop worrying; start growing. *EMBO Reports* 13, 493–497.
- Falck-Zepeda, J., Gruère, G., Sithole-Niang, I., eds. (2013). *Genetically Modified Crops in Africa: Economic and Policy Lessons from Countries South of the Sahara*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Falkner, R., ed. (2007). *The International Politics of Genetically Modified Food*. Palgrave Macmillan, New York.
- Fan, S., Chan-Kang, C., Qian, K., Krishnaiah, K. (2005). National and international agricultural research and rural poverty: the case of rice research in India and China. *Agricultural Economics* 33, 369–379.
- FAO (2015a). *The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (2015b). FAOSTAT Production Data. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, <http://faostat.fao.org/site/291/default.aspx>, date accessed April 20, 2015.
- FAO (2013). *Climate-Smart Agriculture Sourcebook*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (2011). *The State of Food Insecurity in the World: How Does International Price Volatility affect Domestic Economies and Food Security?* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO (2009). *Responding to the Challenges of a Changing World: The Role of New Plant Varieties and High Quality Seed in Agriculture*. Proceedings of the Second World Seed Conference, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Fernandez-Cornejo, J. (2004). *The Seed Industry in US Agriculture: An Exploration of Data and Information on Crop Seed Markets, Regulation, Industry Structure, and Research and Development*. Agricultural Information Bulletin 786, Economic Research Service, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- Fernandez-Cornejo, J., Hendricks, C., Mishra, A. (2005). Technology adoption and off-farm household income: the case of herbicide-tolerant soybeans. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics* 37, 549–563.
- Fernandez-Cornejo, J., Wechsler, J.J., Livingston, M., Mitchell, L. (2014). Genetically Engineered Crops in the United States. Economic Research Report ERR-162, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.
- FiBL, IFOAM (2014). *The World of Organic Agriculture 2014*. Research Institute of Organic Agriculture, International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements, Frick and Bonn.
- Finger, R., El Benni, N., Kaphengst, T., Evans, C., Herbert, S., Lehmann, B., Morse, S., Stupak, N. (2011). A meta-analysis on farm-level costs and benefits of GM crops. *Sustainability* 3, 743–762.

- Folcher, L., Delos, M., Marengue, E., Jarry, M., Weissenberger, A., Eychenne, N., Regnault-Roger, C. (2010). Lower mycotoxin levels in Bt maize grain. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 30, 711–719.
- Foresight (2011). *The Future of Food and Farming: Challenges and Choices for Global Sustainability*. The Government Office for Science, London.
- Frisvold, G.B., Reeves, J.M. (2014). Aggregate effects: adopters and non-adopters, investors and consumers. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 691–715.
- Gaj, T., Gersbach, C.A., Barbas, C.F. (2013). ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. *Trends in Biotechnology* 31, 397–405.
- General Court of the European Union (2013). The General Court has annulled the Commission's decisions concerning authorisation to place on the market the genetically modified potato Amflora. Press release, December 13, 2013, Luxembourg.
- Giddings, L.V., Matthew, S., Caine, M. (2013). *Feeding the Planet in a Warming World: Building Resilient Agriculture through Innovation*. London School of Economics and Political Science, London.
- Gilbert, N. (2013). A hard look at GM crops. *Nature* 497, 24–26.
- Glover D. (2010). Is Bt cotton a pro-poor technology? A review and critique of the empirical record. *Journal of Agrarian Change* 10, 482–509.
- GMO Compass (2015). GMO Database, <http://www.gmo-compass.org/eng/gmo/db>, date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Godfray, H.C.J. (2015). The debate over sustainable intensification. *Food Security* 7, 199–208.
- Godfray, H.C.J., Beddington, J.R., Crite, I.R., Haddad, L., Lawrence, D., Muir, J.F., Pretty, J., Robinson, S., Thomas, S.M., Toulmin, C. (2010). Food security: the challenge of feeding 9 billion people. *Science*, 327, 812–818.
- Gonsalves, C.V., Gonsalves, D. (2014). The Hawaii papaya story. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 642–660.
- González, C., Johnson, N., Qaim, M. (2009). Consumer acceptance of second-generation GM foods: the case of biofortified cassava in the north-east of Brazil. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 60, 604–624.
- Graff, G.D., Hochman, G., Zilberman D. (2009). The political economy of agricultural biotechnology policies. *AgBioForum* 12, 34–46.
- Graff, G.D., Phillips, D., Lei, Z., Oh, S., Nottenburg, C., Pardey, P.G. (2013). Not quite a myriad of gene patents. *Nature Biotechnology* 31, 404–410.
- Graham, S. (2002). Journal retracts support for claims of invasive GM corn. *Scientific American*, <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/journal-retracts-support>, date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Greenpeace (2015). How Greenpeace got China to say no to GE rice, <http://www.greenpeace.org/eastasia/specials/gpm01/greenpeace-china-no-gerice>, date accessed April 20, 2015.

- Greenpeace (2013). *Golden Illusion: The Broken Promises of "Golden" Rice*. Greenpeace International, Amsterdam.
- Gruère, G., Sengupta, D. (2011). Bt cotton and farmer suicides in India: an evidence-based assessment. *Journal of Development Studies* 47, 316–337.
- Hainzelin, E. (2013). *Cultivating Biodiversity to Transform Agriculture*. Springer, New York.
- Hareau, G., Norton, G.E., Mills, B.F., Peterson, E. (2005). Potential benefits of transgenic rice in Asia: a general equilibrium analysis. *Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture* 44, 229–246.
- Harlan, J. (1971). Agricultural origins: centers and noncenters. *Science* 174, 468–474.
- Harmon, A. (2013). A race to save the orange by altering its DNA. *New York Times*, July 28, 2013, p. A1.
- Hawkesford, M.J. (2012). Improving nutrient use in crops. *eLS*, doi: 10.1002/9780470015902.a0023734.
- Hazell, P., Ramasamy, C. (1991). *The Green Revolution Reconsidered: The Impact of High-Yielding Rice Varieties in South India*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Heisey, P., Schimmelpfennig, D. (2006). Regulation and the structure of biotechnology industries. In: Just, R.E., Alston, J.M., Zilberman, D., eds. *Regulating Agricultural Biotechnology: Economics and Policy*. Springer, New York, pp. 421–436.
- Herring, R.J. (2015). State science, risk and agricultural biotechnology: Bt cotton to Bt Brinjal in India. *Journal of Peasant Studies* 42, 159–186.
- Herring, R.J. (2010). Epistemic brokerage in the bio-property narrative: contributions to explaining opposition to transgenic technologies in agriculture. *New Biotechnology* 27, 614–622.
- Herring, R.J. (2007). Stealth seeds: bioproperty, biosafety, biopolitics. *Journal of Development Studies* 43, 130–157.
- Hertel, T. (2015). The challenges of sustainably feeding a growing planet. *Food Security* 7, 185–198.
- Hesselbarth, K. (2013). The deadly myth of Bt cotton. *AgriFuture*, 8–10.
- Hossain, F., Pray, C., Lu, Y., Huang, J., Fan, C., Hu, R. (2004). Genetically modified cotton and farmers' health in China. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health* 10, 296–303.
- Hotz, C., Loechl, C., de Brauw, A., Eozenou, P., Gilligan, D., Moursi, M., Munhau, B., van Jaarsveld, P., Carriquiry, A., Meenakshi, J.V. (2012). A large-scale intervention to introduce orange sweet potato in rural Mozambique increases vitamin A intakes among children and women. *British Journal of Nutrition* 108, 163–176.
- House of Commons (2015). *Advanced Genetic Techniques for Crop Improvement: Regulation, Risk and Precaution*. Fifth Report of Session 2014–15, Science and Technology Committee, House of Commons, UK Parliament, London.

- Huang, J., Hu, R., Rozelle, S., Pray, C. (2005). Insect-resistant GM rice in farmers' fields: assessing productivity and health effects in China. *Science* 308, 688–690.
- Huang, J., Hu, R., Qiao, F., Yin, Y., Liu, H., Huang, Z. (2015). Impact of insect-resistant GM rice on pesticide use and farmers' health in China. *Science China Life Sciences*, doi: 10.1007/s11427-014-4768-1.
- Huang, J., Pray, C., Rozelle, S. (2002). Enhancing the crops to feed the poor. *Nature* 418, 678–684.
- Huffman, W.E. (2011). Contributions of public and private R&D to biotechnology innovation. In: Carter, C.A., Moschini, G., Sheldon, I., eds. *Genetically Modified Food and Global Welfare*. Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley, UK, pp. 115–147.
- Huffman, W.E., McCluskey, J.J. (2014). Labeling of genetically modified foods. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 467–487.
- Hutchison, W.D., Burkness, E.C., Mitchell, P.D., Moon, R.D., Leslie, T.W., Fleischer, S.J., Abrahamson, M., Hamilton, K.L., Steffey, K.L., Gray, M.E., Hellmich, R.L., Kaster, L.V., Hunt, T.E., Wright, R.J., Pecinovsky, K., Rabaey, T.L., Flood, B.R. and Raun, E.S. (2010). Areawide suppression of European corn borer with Bt maize reaps savings to non-Bt maize growers. *Science* 330, 222–225.
- IAASTD (2009). *Synthesis Report*. International Assessment of Agricultural Science and Technology for Development, Washington, DC.
- IARC (2015). Evaluation of five organophosphate insecticides and herbicides. IARC Monographs 112, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon.
- IFPRI (2014). *Global Nutrition Report 2014*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- IFPRI (2010). *Food Security, Farming, and Climate Change to 2050: Scenarios, Results, Policy Options*, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- IRRI (2015). The C4 Rice Project. International Rice Research Institute, <http://irri.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- ISAAA (2015). GM Approval Database. International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-Biotech Applications, <http://www.isaaa.org/gmapprovaldatabase/default.asp>, date accessed April 20, 2015.
- ISAAA (2013). Stacked traits in biotech crops. *Pocket K* 42, International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-Biotech Applications, Ithaca, NY.
- IWYP (2015). International Wheat Yield Partnership, <http://iwyp.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- James, C. (2014). Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2014. *ISAAA Briefs* 49, International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-Biotech Applications, Ithaca, NY.
- James, C. (2013). Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2013. *ISAAA Briefs* 46, International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-Biotech Applications, Ithaca, NY.



- Juma, C. (2011). *The New Harvest: Agricultural Innovation in Africa*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Just, R.E., Alston, J.M., Zilberman, D., eds. (2006). *Regulating Agricultural Biotechnology: Economics and Policy*. Springer, New York.
- Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. Macmillan, New York.
- Kalaitzandonakes, N., Alston, J.M., Bradford, K.J. (2007). Compliance costs for regulatory approval of new biotech crops. *Nature Biotechnology* 25, 509–511.
- Kalaitzandonakes, N., Kaufman, J., Miller, D. (2014). Potential economic impacts of zero thresholds for unapproved GMOs: the EU case. *Food Policy* 45, 146–157.
- Kathage, J., Qaim, M. (2012). Economic impacts and impact dynamics of Bt (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) cotton in India. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 109, 11652–11656.
- Kempken, F., Jung, C., eds. (2010). *Genetic Modification of Plants: Agriculture, Horticulture and Forestry*. Springer, Berlin.
- Kerr, W.A. (2014). The trade system and biotechnology. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 217–229.
- Kikulwe, E.M., Fischer, E., Qaim, M. (2014). Mobile money, smallholder farmers, and household welfare in Kenya. *PLOS ONE* 9, e109804.
- Kloppenborg, J. (2004). *First the Seed: The Political Economy of Plant Biotechnology*. Second Edition. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison.
- Klümper, W., Qaim, M. (2014). A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops. *PLOS ONE* 9, e111629.
- Kostandini, G., Mills, B.F., Omamo, S.W., Wood, S. (2009). Ex ante analysis of the benefits of transgenic drought tolerance research on cereal crops in low-income countries. *Agricultural Economics* 40, 477–492.
- Kouser, S., Qaim, M. (2014). Bt cotton, damage control, and optimal levels of pesticide use in Pakistan. *Environment and Development Economics* 19, 704–723.
- Kouser, S., Qaim, M. (2013). Valuing financial, health, and environmental benefits of Bt cotton in Pakistan. *Agricultural Economics* 44, 323–335.
- Kouser, S., Qaim, M. (2011). Impact of Bt cotton on pesticide poisoning in smallholder agriculture: a panel data analysis. *Ecological Economics* 70, 2105–2113.
- Krishna, V.V., Qaim, M. (2012). Bt cotton and sustainability of pesticide reductions in India. *Agricultural Systems* 107, 47–55.
- Krishna, V.V., Qaim, M. (2008a). Potential impacts of Bt eggplant on economic surplus and farmers' health in India. *Agricultural Economics* 38, 167–180.
- Krishna, V.V., Qaim, M. (2008b). Consumer attitudes toward GM food and pesticide residues in India. *Review of Agricultural Economics* 30, 233–251.
- Krishna, V., Qaim, M., Zilberman, D. (2015). Transgenic crops, production risk, and agrobiodiversity. *European Review of Agricultural Economics*, doi: 10.1093/erae/jbv012.
- Krishnan, M. (2015). Harvesting controversy with GM crops in India, <http://www.dw.de/harvesting-controversy-with-gm-crops-in-india/a-18314484>.

- Kuntz, M. (2014). "Parallel science" of NGO advocacy groups: how post-modernism encourages pseudo-science. Genetic Literacy Project, <http://geneticliteracyproject.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Kuzma, J., Kokotovich, A. (2011). Renegotiating GM crop regulation: targeted gene-modification technology raises new issues for the oversight of genetically modified crops. *EMBO Reports* 12, 883–888.
- Kyndt, T., Quispe, D., Zhai, H., Jarret, R., Ghislain, M., Liu, Q., Gheysen, G., Kreuze, J.F. (2015). The genome of cultivated sweet potato contains *Agrobacterium* T-DNAs with expressed genes: an example of a naturally transgenic food crop. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1419685112.
- La Via Campesina (2015). International Peasant's Movement, <http://viacampesina.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Laursen, L. (2013). Greenpeace campaign prompts Philippine ban on Bt eggplant trials. *Nature Biotechnology* 31, 777–778.
- Lee, D. (2005). Agricultural sustainability and technology adoption: issues and policies for developing countries. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 87, 1325–1334.
- Leisinger, K.M. (1995). Sociopolitical effects of new biotechnologies in developing countries. *Food Agriculture, and the Environment Discussion Paper 2*, Vision 2020, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Lipton, M., Longhurst, R. (1989). *New Seeds and Poor People*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Loder, N. (1999). Royal Society: GM food hazard claim is "flawed." *Nature* 399, 188.
- Lomborg, B., ed. (2012). *How to Spend \$75 Billion to Make the World a Better Place*. Copenhagen Consensus Center, Copenhagen.
- Long, S.P., Marshall-Colon, A., Zhu, X.G. (2015). Meeting the global food demand of the future by engineering crop photosynthesis and yield potential. *Cell* 161, 56–66.
- Lu, Y., Wu, K., Jiang, Y., Xia, B., Li, P., Feng, H., Wyckhuys, K.A.G., Guo, Y. (2010). Mirid bug outbreaks in multiple crops correlated with wide-scale adoption of Bt cotton in China. *Science* 328, 1151–1154.
- Lusk, J.L. (2003). Effect of cheap talk on consumer willingness to pay for Golden Rice. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 85, 840–856.
- Lynch, D., Vogel, D. (2001). The regulation of GMOs in Europe and the United States: a case-study of contemporary European regulatory politics. Council on Foreign Relations, <http://www.cfr.org> (homepage), date accessed April 23, 2015.
- Lynas, M. (2013). Lecture to Oxford Farming Conference, 3 January 2013, <http://www.marklynas.org> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Mangrauthia, S.K., Revathi, P., Agarwal, P., Sing, A.K., Bhadana, V.P. (2014). Breeding and transgenic approaches for development of abiotic stress tolerance in rice. In: Ahmad, P., Wani, M.R., Azooz, M.M., Tran, L.S.P., eds. *Improvement of Crops in the Era of Climatic Changes*. Springer, New York, pp. 153–190.

- Mannion, A. (1995). *The Origins of Agriculture: An Appraisal*. University of Reading, Department of Geography, George Overs, London.
- March against Monsanto (2015). <http://www.march-against-monsanto.com> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Marvier, M., McCreedy, C., Regetz, J., Kareiva, P. (2007). A meta-analysis of effects of Bt cotton and maize on nontarget invertebrates. *Science* 316, 1475–1477.
- Mayr, E. (1982). *The Growth of Biological Thought: Diversity, Evolution, and Inheritance*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Moore, P. (2010). *Confessions of a Greenpeace Dropout: The Making of a Sensible Environmentalist*. Beatty Street Publishing, Vancouver.
- Moschini, G. (2008). Biotechnology and the development of food markets: retrospect and prospects. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* 35, 331–355.
- Moschini, G., Lapan, H. (1997). Intellectual property rights and the welfare effects of agricultural R&D. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 79, 1229–1242.
- Murphy, D. (2007a). *People, Plants and Genes: The Story of Crops and Humanity*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Murphy, D. (2007b). *Plant Breeding and Biotechnology: Societal Context and the Future of Agriculture*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Navdanya (2004). *Monsanto, the Gene Giant: Peddling Life Sciences or Death Sciences?*. RFSTE, Navdanya, Polaris Institute, New Delhi.
- Nisbet, M. (2013). Greenpeace Inc. The Breakthrough Institute, <http://the-breakthrough.org/index.php/voices/the-public-square/greenpeace-inc>, date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Noltze, M., Schwarze, S., Qaim, M. (2013). Impacts of natural resource management technologies on agricultural yield and household income: the system of rice intensification in Timor Leste. *Ecological Economics* 85, 59–68.
- Oerke, E.-C. (2006). Crop losses to pests. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 144, 31–43.
- Okeno, J.A., Wolt, J.D., Misra, M.K., Rodriguez, L. (2013). Africa's inevitable walk to genetically modified (GM) crops: opportunities and challenges for commercialization. *New Biotechnology* 30, 124–130.
- Oxfam (2011). *Who Will Feed the World: The Production Challenge*. Oxfam Research Report, Boston.
- Paarlberg, R. (2014). African non-adopters. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 166–175.
- Paarlberg, R.L. (2008). *Starved for Science: How Biotechnology Is Being Kept Out of Africa*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Paine, J., Shipton, C.A., Chaggar, S., Howells, R.M., Kennedy, M.J., Vernon, G., Wright, S.Y., Hinchliffe, E., Adams, J.L., Silverstone, A.L., Drake, R. (2005). Improving the nutritional value of Golden Rice through increased pro-vitamin A content. *Nature Biotechnology* 23, 482–487.
- Palma, G. (2011). Letter to the editor regarding the article by Paganelli et al. *Chemical Research in Toxicology* 24, 775–776.

- Palmgren, M.G., Edenbrandt, A.K., Vedel, S.E., Andersen, M.M., Landes, X., Østerberg, J.T., Falhof, J., Olsen, L.I., Christensen, S.B., Sandøe, P., Gamborg, C., Kappel, K., Thorsen, B.J., Pagh, P. (2015). Are we ready for back-to-nature crop breeding? *Trends in Plant Science* 20, 155–164.
- Panjabi, R.K.L. (1997). *The Earth Summit at Rio: Politics, Economics, and the Environment*. Northeastern University Press, Boston.
- Parrott, W. (2010). Genetically modified myths and realities. *New Biotechnology* 27, 545–551.
- Patel, V., Ramasundarahettige, C., Vijayakumar, L., Thakur, J.S., Gajalakshmi, V., Gururaj, G., Suraweera, W., Jha, P. (2012). Suicide mortality in India: a nationally representative survey. *The Lancet* 379, 2343–2351.
- Pearse, A. (1980). *Seeds of Plenty, Seeds of Want: Social and Economic Implications of the Green Revolution*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Pemsl, D.E., Gutierrez, A.P., Waibel, H. (2008). The economics of biotechnology under ecosystem disruption. *Ecological Economics* 66, 177–183.
- Potrykus, I. (2010). Lessons from the “Humanitarian Golden Rice Project”: regulation prevents development of public good genetically engineered crops. *New Biotechnology* 27, 467–472.
- Potrykus, I. (2001). Golden Rice and beyond. *Plant Physiology* 125, 1157–1161.
- Prahalad, C.K. (2004). *The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid: Eradicating Poverty through Profits*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Qaim, M. (2014). Agricultural biotechnology in India: impacts and controversies. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 126–137.
- Qaim, M. (2010). Benefits of genetically modified crops for the poor: household income, nutrition, and health. *New Biotechnology* 27, 552–557.
- Qaim, M. (2009). The economics of genetically modified crops. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* 1, 665–693.
- Qaim, M. (2005). Agricultural biotechnology adoption in developing countries. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 87, 1317–1324.
- Qaim, M., de Janvry, A. (2005). Bt cotton and pesticide use in Argentina: economic and environmental effects. *Environment and Development Economics* 10, 179–200.
- Qaim, M., de Janvry, A. (2003). Genetically modified crops, corporate pricing strategies, and farmers’ adoption: the case of Bt cotton in Argentina. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 85, 814–828.
- Qaim, M., Klümper, W. (2013). Landwirtschaft für die Hungerbekämpfung. *Chemie in unserer Zeit* 47, 318–326.
- Qaim, M., Kouser, S. (2013). Genetically modified crops and food security. *PLOS ONE* 8, e64879.
- Qaim, M., Krattiger, A.F., von Braun, J., eds. (2000). *Agricultural Biotechnology in Developing Countries: Towards Optimizing the Benefits for the Poor*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston and Dordrecht.
- Qaim, M., Stein, A.J., Meenakshi, J.V. (2007). Economics of biofortification. *Agricultural Economics* 37(s1), 119–133.

- Qaim, M., Subramanian, A., Naik, G., Zilberman, D. (2006). Adoption of Bt cotton and impact variability: insights from India. *Review of Agricultural Economics* 28, 48–58.
- Qaim, M., Subramanian, A., Sadashivappa, P. (2009). Commercialized GM crops and yield. *Nature Biotechnology* 27, 803–804.
- Qaim, M., Traxler, G. (2005). Roundup Ready soybeans in Argentina: farm level and aggregate welfare effects. *Agricultural Economics* 32, 73–86.
- Qaim, M., Zilberman, D. (2003). Yield effects of genetically modified crops in developing countries. *Science* 299, 900–902.
- Qiao, F. (2015). Fifteen years of Bt cotton in China: the economic impact and its dynamics. *World Development* 70, 177–185.
- Raney, T., Matuschke, I. (2011). Current and potential farm-level impacts of genetically modified crops in developing countries. In: Carter, C.A., Moschini, G., Sheldon, I., eds. *Genetically Modified Food and Global Welfare*. Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley, UK, pp. 55–82.
- Rao, N.C., Dev, M. (2010). *Biotechnology in Indian Agriculture: Potential, Performance and Concerns*. Center for Economic and Social Studies, Hyderabad.
- Raven, P.H. (2010). Does the use of transgenic plants diminish or promote biodiversity? *New Biotechnology* 27, 528–533.
- Reuters (2013). Field trials of new nitrogen use efficient rice show increased productivity, leading to increased food security and reduced fertilizer dependence. Press release, 10 September 2013, <http://www.reuters.com> (homepage), date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Reynolds, M., ed. (2010). *Climate Change and Crop Production*. CABI, Wallingford, UK.
- Ricroch, A., Hénard-Damave, M.C. (2015). Next biotech plants: new traits, crops, developers and technologies for addressing global challenges. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, doi: 10.3109/07388551.2015.1004521.
- Romeis, J., Meissle, M., Alvarez-Alfageme, F., Bigler, F., Bohan, D.A., Devos, Y., Malone, L.A., Pons, X., Rauschen, S. (2014). Potential use of an arthropod database to support the non-target risk assessment and monitoring of transgenic plants. *Transgenic Research* 23, 995–1013.
- Romeis, J., Shelton, A.S., Kennedy, G.G., eds. (2008). *Integration of Insect-Resistant Genetically Modified Crops within IPM Programs*. Springer, Berlin.
- Ronald, P.C., Adamchak, R.W. (2008). *Tomorrow's Table: Organic Farming, Genetics, and the Future of Food*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Rosegrant, M.W., Koo, J., Cenacchi, N., Ringler, C., Roberston, R., Fisher, M., Cox, C., Garrett, K., Perez, N.D., Sabbagh, P. (2014). *Food Security in a World of Natural Resource Scarcity: The Role of Agricultural Technologies*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Rosen, J.B., Schulkin, J. (1998). From normal fear to pathological anxiety. *Psychological Review* 105, 325–350.
- Royal Society (2009). *Reaping the Benefits: Science and the Sustainable Intensification of Global Agriculture*. The Royal Society, London.
- Ryan, C.D., McHughen, A. (2014). Tomatoes, potatoes and flax: exploring the cost of lost innovation. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D.,

- eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 841–852.
- Schroeder, J.I., Delhaize, E., Frommer, W.B., Guerinot, M.L., Harrison, M.J., Herrera-Estrella, L., Horie, T., Kochian, L.V., Munns, R., Nishizawa, N.K., Tsay, Y.F., Sanders, D. (2013). Using membrane transporters to improve crops for sustainable food production. *Nature* 497, 60–66.
- Schubert, D., Williams, D. (2006). “Cisgenic” as a product designation. *Nature Biotechnology* 24, 1327–1329.
- Sears, M.K., Hellmich, R.L., Stanley-Horn, D.E., Oberhauser, K.S., Pleasants, J.M., Mattila, H.R., Siegfried, B.D., Dively, G.P. (2001). Impact of Bt corn pollen on monarch butterfly populations: a risk assessment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 98, 11937–11942.
- Service, R.-F. (2007). A growing threat down on the farm. *Science* 316, 1114–1117.
- Sexton, S., Zilberman, D. (2012). Land for food and fuel production: the role of agricultural biotechnology. In: Zivin, J.S.G., Perloff, J.M., eds. *The Intended and Unintended Effects of US Agricultural and Biotechnology Policies*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp. 269–288.
- Shiva, V., Barker, D., Lockhart, C. (2011). *The GMO Emperor Has No Clothes*. Navdanya International, New Delhi.
- Siegrist, M., Earle, T., Gutscher, H., eds. (2007). *Trust in Cooperative Risk Management: Uncertainty and Skepticism in the Public Mind*. Earthscan, London.
- Smale, M., Zambrano, P., Gruère, G., Falck-Zepeda, J., Matuschke, I., Horna, D., Nagarajan, L., Yerramareddy, I., Jones, H. (2009). *Measuring the Economic Impacts of Transgenic Crops in Developing Agriculture during the First Decade: Approaches, Findings, and Future Directions*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. (2014). *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Snell, C., Bernheim, A., Bergé, J.-P., Kuntz, M., Pascal, G., Paris, A., Ricroch, A.E. (2012). Assessment of the health impact of GM plant diets in long-term and multigenerational animal feeding trials: a literature review. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 50, 1134–1148.
- Stein, A.J., Sachdev, H.P.S., Qaim, M. (2008). Genetic engineering for the poor: Golden Rice and public health in India. *World Development* 36, 144–158.
- Stein, A.J., Qaim, M. (2007). The human and economic cost of hidden hunger. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 28, 125–134.
- Stokstad, E. (2008). Dueling visions for a hungry world. *Science* 319, 1474–1476.
- Stone, G.D. (2012). Constructing facts: Bt cotton narratives in India. *Economic & Political Weekly XLVII* (38), 62–70.
- Stone, G.D. (2011). Field versus farm in Warangal: Bt cotton, higher yields, and larger questions. *World Development* 39, 387–398.
- Subramanian, A., Qaim, M. (2010). The impact of Bt cotton on poor households in rural India. *Journal of Development Studies* 46, 295–311.
- Tabashnik, B.E., Brévault, T., Carrière, Y. (2013). Insect resistance to Bt crops: lessons from the first billion acres. *Nature Biotechnology* 31, 510–521.

- Tabashnik, B.E., Gassmann, A.J., Crowder, D.W., Carrière, Y. (2008). Insect resistance to Bt crops: evidence versus theory. *Nature Biotechnology* 26, 199–202.
- Tan, S.Y., Evans, R.R., Dahmer, M.L., Singh, B.K., Shaner, D.L. (2005). Imidazolinone-tolerant crops: history, current status and future. *Pest Management Science* 61, 246–257.
- Tang, G., Hu, Y., Yin, S., Wang, Y., Dallal, G.E., Grusak, M.A., Russell, R.M. (2012). Beta-carotene in Golden Rice is as good as beta-carotene in oil at providing vitamin A to children. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 96, 658–664.
- Tang, G., Qin, J., Dolnikowski, G.G., Russell, R.M., Grusak, M.A. (2009). Golden Rice is an effective source of vitamin A. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 89, 1776–1783.
- Taverne, D. (2007). The real GM food scandal. *Prospect*, November 2007, 24–27.
- Tester, M., Langridge, P. (2010). Breeding technologies to increase crop production in a changing world. *Science* 327, 818–822.
- The Economist (2014). Genetically modified crops: field research. *The Economist*, November 8, 2014, 74.
- The Economist (2013). Genetically modified crops: food fight. *The Economist*, December 14, 2013, 53.54.
- Thirtle, C., Lin, L., Piesse, J. (2003). The impact of research-led agricultural productivity growth on poverty reduction in Africa, Asia and Latin America. *World Development* 31, 1959–1975.
- Thomson, J. (2014). Africa. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 99–111.
- Townsend, J.A., Wright, D.A., Winfrey, R.J., Fu, F., Maeder, M.L., Joung, J.K., Voytas, D.F. (2009). High-frequency modification of plant genes using engineered zinc-finger nucleases. *Nature* 459, 442–445.
- Tripp, R. (1996). Biodiversity and modern crop varieties: sharpening the debate. *Agriculture and Human Values* 13, 48–63.
- United Nations (2014). *The Millennium Development Goals Report*. United Nations, New York.
- Villoria, N.B., Byerlee, D., Stevenson, J. (2014). The effects of agricultural technological progress on deforestation: what do we really know? *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* 36, 211–237.
- Vitale, J., Vognan, G., Outtarra, M. (2014). Cotton. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 604–620.
- von Braun, J., Teklu, T., Webb, P. (1998). *Famine in Africa: Causes, Responses, and Prevention*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Wang, F., Ye, C., Zhu, L., Nie, L., Cui, K., Peng, S., Lin, Y., Huang, J. (2012). Yield differences between Bt transgenic rice lines and their non-Bt counterparts, and its possible mechanisms. *Field Crops Research* 126, 8–15.
- Wang, S., Just, D.R., Pinstrip-Anderson, P. (2008). Bt cotton and secondary pests. *International Journal of Biotechnology* 10, 113–121.

- Wesseler, J., Zilberman, D. (2014). The economic power of the Golden Rice opposition. *Environment and Development Economics* 19, 724–742.
- Wilson, W.W. (2014). Wheat: status, outlook and implications. In: Smyth, S.J., Phillips, P.W.B., Castle, D., eds. *Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK, pp. 752–769.
- WHO (2015). Vitamin and Mineral Nutrition Information System. World Health Organization, <http://www.who.int/vmnis/database/en>, date accessed April 20, 2015.
- Wolfenbarger, L.L.R., Naranjo, S.E., Lundgren, J.G., Bitzer, R.J., Watrud, L.S. (2008). Bt crop effects on functional guilds of non-target arthropods: a meta-analysis. *PLOS ONE* 3, e2118.
- World Bank (2013). *Implementing Agriculture for Development: World Bank Group Agriculture Action Plan 2013–2015*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- World Bank (2007). *World Development Report 2008: Agriculture for Development*. World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Wu, F. (2006). Bt corn's reduction of mycotoxins: regulatory decisions and public opinion. In: Just, R.E., Alston, J.M., Zilberman, D., eds. *Regulating Agricultural Biotechnology: Economics and Policy*. Springer, New York, pp. 179–200.
- Wu, K.M., Lu, Y.-H., Feng, H.-Q., Jiang, Y.-Y., Zhao, J.-Z. (2008). Suppression of cotton bollworm in multiple crops in China in areas with Bt toxin-containing cotton. *Science* 321, 1676–1678.
- Ye, X., Al-Babili, S., Klöti, A., Zhang, J., Lucca, P., Beyer, P., Potrykus, I. (2000). Engineering the provitamin A ( $\beta$ -carotene) biosynthetic pathway into (carotenoid-free) rice endosperm. *Science* 287, 303–305.
- Zilberman, D., Ameden, H., Qaim, M. (2007). The impact of agricultural biotechnology on yields, risks, and biodiversity in low-income countries. *Journal of Development Studies* 43, 63–78.
- Zimmermann, R., Qaim, M. (2004). Potential health benefits of Golden Rice: a Philippine case study. *Food Policy* 29, 147–168.



## INDEX

- 2,4-D (herbicide), 48, 55, 141
- AATF, 94, 97, 107
- abiotic stress, 7, 12, 42–4, 48, 52, 89–95
- acrylamide, 86
- adoption of GM crops, 6, 12, 57–9, 67, 80–4, 129–30, 167–8
- adventitious presence, 122, 177
- Aerni, Philipp, 150
- Africa, 4, 30, 92–7, 104–7, 119, 150–1, 174–6
- Africa Biofortified Sorghum (ABS), 99
- Africa Harvest Biotechnology Foundation International, 99
- agricultural land, 3–4, 20, 31, 55, 81, 166
- Agrobacterium*, 40–1, 133
- agrobiodiversity, 3, 31
- allele, 23
- allergen, 45, 49–50, 112, 120–1, 125
- aluminum toxicity, 44
- Amflora potato, 85, 116
- Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS), 111
- animal health, 55, 106, 114, 142
- animal welfare, 1, 120
- antibiotic resistance, 50–1, 101
- apple, 89
- Arcadia Biosciences, 96–7
- area-wide suppression of pests, 71, 75
- Argentina, 57–9, 63–5, 67, 90, 110, 123–4, 140
- armyworm, 70
- Asia, 5–6, 29–30, 68, 79, 91–6, 101–2, 104–5, 148, 168
- Asilomar Conference, 109
- asynchronous authorization, 125–6
- Australia, 67, 89–90, 104, 107, 110, 152
- Austria, 9, 116
- Bacillus thuringiensis*. See Bt
- backcrossing, 24–5, 65, 73, 131
- bacterial resistance, 89, 91–2, 107, 168
- banana, 48, 88–9, 99, 105, 107, 168
- Bangladesh, 68, 90, 94, 101, 131
- BASF, 9, 85, 87, 94, 116
- Bayer CropScience, 8, 131, 134, 136
- bean, 87, 89, 168
- beneficial insects, 53, 71, 119, 142
- Berg, Paul, 109
- beta-carotene, 12, 46, 89–90, 99–102, 149
- Beyer, Peter, 100–1
- Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, 94, 97
- biodiversity, 1, 3–4, 8, 32, 37, 52, 55, 81, 106, 142–3, 170
- bioenergy, 4, 36, 128, 154, 166
- biofortification, 12, 46, 91, 98–102, 131, 168
- biolistics, 40–1
- biopharmaceuticals, 46

- biopolymer, 46  
 biosafety  
   international agreement  
     (see Cartagena Protocol)  
   regulation in Africa, 94, 106–7,  
     119, 174  
   regulation in Asia, 101, 152  
   regulation in Europe, 111–13,  
     113–18  
   regulation in Latin America, 87,  
     113, 143  
   regulation in North America,  
     111–13  
 birth defects, 70, 138, 140–1  
 Black Sigatoka, 107  
 blindness, 98  
 Bolivia, 63  
 Bollgard, 73. *See also* Bt: cotton  
 bollworm, 47, 54, 59, 67, 70–1, 75  
 Bordeaux mixture, 20  
 Borlaug, Norman, 29, 31  
 Boserup, Ester, 18  
 Brazil, 57–9, 64–5, 87–90, 113  
 breeding objectives, 6, 30, 42–7, 96  
 BSE (mad cow disease), 112  
 Bt  
   cotton, 59, 66–78, 82–3, 106, 137,  
     145–8, 152  
   crops, 43, 53–4, 66–72, 81, 144  
   eggplant, 68–9, 87–8, 131, 152  
   maize, 51, 58, 67–71, 82, 94, 106,  
     112, 115, 119, 144  
   potato, 80  
   resistance (see resistance  
     development)  
   rice, 68–9  
 budworm, 70  
 Burkina Faso, 59, 67–8, 89,  
   105–6, 167  
  
 C3 and C4 plants, 44  
 cabbage, 26, 88–9  
 Camerarius, Rudolf, 22  
 Cameroon, 89, 107  
 Canada, 49, 57, 59, 63, 78, 89, 106,  
   110, 124, 130  
  
 cancer, 70, 119, 138–42, 150  
 canola, 7, 26, 59, 63, 80–1, 85, 89,  
   96–7, 112, 118  
 Carson, Rachel, 9  
 Cartagena Protocol, 110–11, 119,  
   123–4, 153, 170, 180  
 cassava, 48, 88–9, 99, 107, 119, 168  
 cell and tissue culture, 6, 32, 41  
 Center of Origin, 16  
 cereals, 96–7  
 CGIAR, 30, 99, 179  
 Chapela, Ignacio, 143  
 chickpea, 88–9  
 child mortality, 46, 98, 102, 165  
 China  
   agrochemical use, 3, 68  
   biotech research, 88–90, 153  
   Bt cotton, 67, 69, 71, 83  
   Bt rice, 68  
   GM crop adoption, 57, 59  
   Golden Rice, 149  
   labeling, 123  
 cholesterol, 45  
 chymosin, 40  
 CIAT, 97  
 CIMMYT, 29, 94, 106  
 cisgenic crops, 41, 114  
 citrus greening, 92  
 climate change, 4, 44, 81, 92–3, 104,  
   154–5, 166, 168  
 climate-smart agriculture, 5  
 Codex Alimentarius, 111, 126  
 coexistence rules, 53, 123  
 cold tolerance, 43, 92  
 coleopteran insects, 43, 53, 66, 70, 88  
 Colorado potato beetle, 80  
 commercialized GM crops, 57–9  
 conservation agriculture, 63, 66, 167  
 consumer preferences, 10, 24, 45, 80,  
   85–6, 99, 102, 112–13, 120–2,  
   137–8  
 consumer surplus, 81–2  
 Convention on Biological Diversity  
   (CBD), 110  
 conventional breeding, 5–6, 20–32,  
   40, 42, 47–8, 99, 114, 138, 171

- copper, 20, 87, 174  
 Cornell University, 78–9  
 corporate control of the food chain,  
   10, 56, 128, 135, 146  
 cotton. *See* Bt: cotton  
 cowpea, 88–9, 107  
 Crick, Francis, 39  
 CRIIGEN, 155–6  
 CRISPR, 41, 114  
 crop  
   damage, 43, 59–61, 66, 80, 87, 91  
   failure, 62, 77, 92, 145  
   rotation, 19–20, 55, 64–5, 143, 169  
   traits, 6, 21–5, 42–7  
 cropland. *See* agricultural land  
 crossbreeding, 5, 25, 30, 48  
 cross-pollinated crops, 24, 53  
 cross-section data, 12, 73  
 CSIRO, 107  
 cultivation bias, 61
- daffodil, 100–1  
 DALYs, 100, 102  
 Darwin, Charles, 25  
 de Candolle, Augustin, 16  
 diabetes, 40, 45  
 dicamba, 48, 55  
 dietary quality, 76, 175  
 disease resistance, 25, 30, 43, 48,  
   52, 91  
 DNA, 32, 39, 40–1, 53  
 domesticated crops, 7–8, 16, 21,  
   33–4, 52, 143  
 Donald Danforth Plant Research  
   Institute, 107  
 donation of technology, 87, 94, 97,  
   99, 177  
 Dow AgroSciences, 9, 131  
 drought, 93, 142, 145  
 drought tolerance, 7, 42–4, 52, 87–9,  
   93–5  
 DroughtGuard, 87, 94  
 drought-tolerant  
   maize, 44, 87, 94  
   rice, 92, 94  
   wheat, 92, 104
- ecosystem, 8, 51–2, 55, 142–3  
 Egypt, 17, 90, 107  
 einkorn, 16  
 EMBRAPA, 87  
 emmer, 16  
 employment effects, 31, 66, 76, 167  
 ENSSER, 155–6  
 environmental  
   benefits, 3, 7, 64–5, 69  
   conditions, 22, 24, 41  
   cost, 4, 55  
   damage, 13  
   footprint, 4–5  
   movement, 9, 160  
   problem, 3–4, 31, 37, 55, 64, 96,  
     142, 166  
   risk, 8, 48–9, 51–6, 110, 146,  
     160, 170  
 Environmental Protection Agency  
   (EPA), 111, 141  
 ethical code, 173, 178  
 ethical concerns, 7–8, 128  
 Ethiopia, 16, 19, 93  
 Europe  
   agricultural research, 19–20  
   biotech industry, 134, 154  
   GM crop adoption, 57  
   GM crop regulation, 111–26,  
     170, 174  
   labeling, 120–2  
   public perception, 9–10, 112–13  
 European Commission, 112, 114–17,  
   124–5, 154, 162  
 European Food Safety Authority  
   (EFSA), 114–18, 124–5, 139,  
   141, 152  
 evolution, 7, 22, 52, 143  
*ex ante* impact studies, 57, 92, 99–100,  
   102, 105  
*ex post* impact studies, 57, 99  
 exploitation of farmers, 8, 128–30,  
   161, 176
- famine, 18, 87, 93  
 FAO, 3, 4, 26, 110, 111, 141,  
   151–2, 179

- farm workers, 2, 69, 76, 167
- farmer dependency, 56, 61, 72, 74, 146
- farm-saved seeds, 27–8, 64, 83, 103, 127, 146
- Feed the Future Initiative, 97
- feeding studies, 50, 86, 101, 139
- Fertile Crescent, 16, 19, 33
- fertilizer, 3, 20, 30–1, 37, 44, 95–7, 175
- field trials, 61, 68, 79, 86, 88–91, 133, 152
- first-generation GM crops, 6, 42
- fitness advantage, 52–3, 143
- Flavr Savr tomato, 45, 85
- flood tolerance, 43, 92
- food
  - aid, 142, 151
  - availability, 4, 18, 35, 175
  - labeling, 9, 10, 120–3, 137
  - losses, 4, 19, 37, 45, 175
  - prices, 35, 37, 81, 166
  - processing, 8, 40, 80, 86, 121
  - safety, 49–51, 86, 101, 109–13, 118, 138–42
  - scandals, 113, 126
  - security, 1, 28–9, 35, 76, 81, 166–7, 174–5
  - sovereignty, 151
  - waste, 4, 175
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 111, 122, 125
- Fortuna potato, 116
- Frankenfoods, 106, 121, 136
- freedom-to-operate, 130, 172
- Friends of the Earth, 9, 135, 150, 152, 157, 162–3
- functional food, 46
- fungal resistance, 12, 43, 86–7, 89–91, 103–4, 107
- gene
  - construct, 47–8
  - gun, 40
  - transfer, 40–1
- general equilibrium models, 76, 82, 92
- genetic
  - contamination, 142, 148
  - variation, 23–5, 28, 32, 37, 42
- genome editing, 41, 114
- genotype, 23, 39–40
- Germany, 3, 9, 116–17, 145
- Ghana, 89–90, 97, 107
- Glover, Anne, 153–4
- glufosinate, 48, 55
- glyphosate, 43, 55, 62–5, 140–1
- glyphosate resistance. *See* resistance
  - development
- golden mosaic virus, 87
- Golden Rice, 12, 46, 99, 100–2, 126, 148–9, 162
- grain quality, 90
- Green Revolution, 29–32, 37, 96, 105, 145, 160, 168
- greenhouse gas emissions, 3–4, 55, 64, 81
- Greenpeace, 9, 79, 135, 148–53, 162–3
- groundnut, 89
- Haber-Bosch process, 20, 95
- Harlan, Jack R., 16
- HarvestPlus, 99
- Hawaii, 78–9
- health
  - benefits, 43, 51, 69, 100
  - risks, 49–51, 120–1, 138–40, 151–2, 161, 171
- heat tolerance, 43, 92, 104
- herbicide tolerance, 42–3, 58–66, 89–90, 103, 110–12, 136
- herbicide-tolerant (HT)
  - alfalfa, 59, 86
  - crops, 54, 60, 62–6
  - maize, 63
  - rice, 88
  - soybean, 58, 63–6, 80, 83
  - sugarbeet, 59, 86
  - wheat, 86, 103
- heterosis, 28
- heterozygote, 23
- heuristics, 157–8

- high-yielding varieties (HYVs), 2, 3, 5, 30–1, 96, 168
- Holocene, 16
- homozygote, 23
- horizontal gene transfer, 7, 50–1, 53
- hunger, 2, 4, 35, 37, 154, 165, 174–5
- hunter-gatherer, 16, 18
- hybrid
  - maize, 28–9, 133
  - seeds, 28, 45, 145, 151
  - vigor, 28, 45
- IAASTD, 153
- Ice Age, 16–17
- impact
  - dynamics, 74
  - variability, 67, 74
- inadvertent admixture, 125–6
- inbred lines, 24, 28, 45
- inbreeding, 24
- India
  - Bt cotton, 67, 69, 72–8, 83, 145
  - Bt eggplant, 68, 131, 152
  - field trials, 89–90
  - GM crop adoption, 57, 59
  - Golden Rice, 102
  - labeling, 123
- Indonesia, 87–90, 101
- industrial agriculture, 10
- industry
  - concentration, 13, 56, 119, 124–5, 130, 131–4, 176
  - funding of impact studies, 61, 148
- inequality, 8, 31, 171, 176
- infrastructure, 2, 30, 65, 96, 105, 168–9
- inheritance, 22–3
- Innate technology, 86
- innovation rent, 82–3
- input traits, 42–5
- insect resistance (IR), 42–3, 58–62, 88–90, 94. *See also* Bt
- insulin, 40
- integrated pest management (IPM), 72
- intellectual property rights (IPRs), 8, 27–9, 56, 64, 82–4, 127–31, 134, 172, 179
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 140–1
- International Wheat Yield Partnership (IWYP), 103–4
- Internet, 134, 136, 147, 148, 172, 178
- invasiveness, 112
- IRRI, 30, 94, 101, 149
- John Innes Center, 97
- Juncker, Jean-Claude, 154
- Kenya, 89–90, 93, 94, 99, 106–7, 142, 152
- Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI), 107
- Kuntz, Marcel, 156
- labeling, 9, 10, 120–3, 137
- ladybird, 53, 144
- land use change, 3, 4, 81
- landraces, 2, 3, 22, 25, 31, 33, 47, 143, 148
- land-saving effects of GM crops, 81
- late blight resistance, 41, 86–7, 91, 116, 118
- Latin America, 29–30, 58, 64, 79, 87, 91, 96, 150, 168
- legumes, 44, 54, 88, 97, 107
- lepidopteran insects, 43, 53, 66, 70, 88
- Levant, 16
- livestock, 36, 121
- living organisms, 8, 26, 48, 124
- living standards of households, 76, 81
- Losey, John, 144
- Lynas, Mark, 162–3
- Mahyco, 72–3, 131
- maize
  - drought tolerance (*see* drought-tolerant: maize)
  - herbicide tolerance, 63
  - insect resistance (*see* Bt: maize)
  - streak virus, 107
- Malawi, 89, 107
- male sterility, 45, 89

- Malthus, Thomas R., 18  
 marker genes, 32, 41, 50, 51, 101  
 marker-assisted selection (MAS), 32  
 MASIPAG, 150, 152  
 meat consumption, 36, 37, 166, 175  
 media role, 13, 77, 106, 119, 136,  
     139–40, 159, 178  
 medicine, 40, 51  
 Meikle, Andrew, 20  
 Mendel, Gregor, 22–3  
 mergers and acquisitions, 132–4  
 Mesopotamia, 16, 17  
 meta-analysis, 10, 59–62, 144, 155  
 metabolic engineering, 45–7, 51  
 Mexico, 29–30, 33, 59, 63, 66, 67,  
     143, 150  
 micronutrients, 4, 7, 12, 46, 76, 79,  
     91. *See also* biofortification  
 microorganism, 7, 8, 40, 46, 53,  
     63, 121  
 millet, 29, 119, 177  
 misconceptions, 11, 130, 178  
 mobile phones, 154, 176  
 molecular  
     markers (*see* marker genes)  
     tools, 32–3  
 monarch butterfly, 53, 144  
 monoclonal antibodies, 46  
 monocultures, 9, 55, 64, 111, 169, 171  
 monopoly, 8, 9, 128–30, 156, 172  
 Monsanto, 8, 43, 62–3, 65, 72–3, 80,  
     83, 86, 87, 94, 103, 125, 133,  
     136, 145–6, 161, 176  
 Moore, Patrick, 162  
 moratorium, 79, 115, 124–5,  
     151, 152  
 Mozambique, 94, 99  
 multinationals, 8, 9, 86, 113, 119, 124,  
     128, 131–4, 136, 151, 161, 172,  
     176–7  
 multiplier effects, 76–7, 167, 179  
 mutagenesis. *See* mutation breeding  
 mutation breeding, 25–6, 40,  
     50, 114  
 Myanmar, 59  
 mycotoxins, 51, 69–70, 142  
 Navdanya, 145, 146  
 nematode resistance, 89, 91  
 Neolithic Revolution, 16–17, 33  
 NERICA, 97  
 Nestle, Marion, 136  
 NEWEST, 97  
 NewLeaf potato, 80  
 NGO influence, 121, 142, 145–63,  
     172–4  
 Nigeria, 89–90, 97, 99, 107  
 Nile Valley, 16, 19  
 nitrogen fixation, 44, 97  
 nitrogen use efficiency, 89–90, 96–7,  
     104, 168  
 non-target organisms, 53, 112, 116,  
     117, 142, 144  
 North America, 20, 29, 64, 133. *See*  
     *also* United States  
 no-till practices, 63, 167  
 novel food, 49  
 nutrient use efficiency, 12, 92, 95–7,  
     155, 175. *See also* nitrogen use  
     efficiency  
 nutrition, 2, 31, 45–6, 51, 72, 76, 81,  
     98–100, 107, 165  
 omega-3, 89  
 open-pollinated varieties (OPVs), 28,  
     30, 72–3, 83  
 open-source technology, 177  
 opt-out clause in the EU, 117–18  
 organic  
     agriculture, 3, 49, 53, 87, 114, 122,  
     123, 141, 144, 156, 170–1  
     food industry, 137–8, 148, 158–9  
 orphan crops, 88, 177, 179  
 outcrossing, 52–3, 142, 146  
 output traits, 45–7  
 overregulation, 118–20, 170–2, 179  
 Oxfam, 162  
 Pakistan, 30, 47, 59, 66–9, 89  
 panel data, 12, 71, 73, 75  
 papaya ringspot virus, 78–9  
 Paraguay, 57, 64, 140  
 parallel science, 156, 159

- partial equilibrium models, 82–3
- patents. *See* intellectual property rights
- peasant farmers. *See* smallholder farmers
- peer-reviewed studies, 62, 147–8
- pest damage. *See* crop: damage
- pesticide
- industry, 9, 131, 137
  - poisoning, 69, 76
  - residues, 51, 69, 138
- pharmaceuticals, 6, 46–7, 51
- phenotype, 21, 23, 24, 26
- Philippine Rice Research Institute (PhilRice), 101
- Philippines, 30, 58, 63, 67–8, 89–90, 101–2, 149–50, 152
- phosphate
- reserves, 95
  - use efficiency, 44, 96
- photorespiration, 44
- photosynthetic efficiency, 44
- Phytophthora infestans*. *See* potato late blight
- pigeonpea, 88, 89
- Pioneer/DuPont, 8, 99, 115, 131, 133–4
- PIPRIA, 97, 131
- plant breeders' rights, 28, 127
- Plant Variety Protection (PVP), 127–8
- pleiotropic effects, 43
- Pleistocene, 16
- plough, 19
- political economy of GMO debate, 11
- politicians, 107, 137–8, 151, 159–60, 178, 180
- Pollan, Michael, 136
- pollen flow, 52
- polygenic, 23
- population growth, 1, 2, 17–18, 29, 35–6, 104
- post-harvest losses, 19, 37, 45. *See also* food: losses
- potato, 16, 24, 33, 41, 80, 85–7, 90, 116, 118, 139
- potato late blight, 41, 86–7, 91
- Potrykus, Ingo, 100–1
- poverty, 2, 4, 12, 31, 36, 69, 76, 104, 167
- precautionary principle, 110, 111–12, 124, 153, 170
- private sector, 28, 29, 37, 83, 85, 94, 103, 128, 176–7
- process-based regulation, 49, 111, 113–14, 117, 179
- producer surplus, 81–2
- product-based regulation, 49, 112, 134, 179
- productivity, 9–10, 15, 18–21, 29, 35, 37, 81, 104–5, 167, 174–5
- profit of farmers, 59–62, 63–4, 67, 74–6, 154
- protest industry, 135–7, 156, 162, 172
- protoplast fusion, 32, 40
- provitamin A. *See* beta-carotene
- public acceptance of GMOs, 7–10, 57, 85, 136, 179
- public sector, 9, 29, 37, 61, 87, 88–90, 101, 104, 118, 131, 165, 177
- publication bias, 62
- public-private partnerships (PPPs), 87, 88–90, 94, 104, 131, 177
- pulses, 16, 177
- Pusztai, Arpad, 139
- Quist, David, 143
- rapeseed. *See* canola
- recurrent selection, 24, 30, 33
- refuge area, 54, 70–1, 144
- refuge in the bag (RIB), 71
- regulatory
- approach, 8, 50, 85–6, 106, 109–20, 170
  - authority, 8, 73, 113, 120
  - compliance costs, 118–20, 172
  - dossier, 101, 114, 118, 124, 132
  - hurdles, 8, 42, 59, 74, 86, 115–17, 134, 172
- reputational risks, 126, 177

- resistance development  
 insect pests, 53–4, 70–1, 75,  
 144–5, 171  
 weeds, 54–5, 64–5, 169
- reverse breeding, 41
- rice  
 biofortification (*see* Golden Rice)  
 C4, 44  
 drought tolerance (*see*  
 drought-tolerant: rice)  
 herbicide tolerance, 88  
 insect resistance (*see* Bt: rice)  
 salt tolerance, 95, 97, 131  
 SRI, 66  
 yield, 30, 34–6, 105
- Rockefeller Foundation, 29
- Roundup. *See* glyphosate
- Roundup Ready, 43, 62, 65, 130, 133.  
*See also* herbicide-tolerant: crops
- Royal Society, 5, 139
- safeguard clause in the EU, 116–17, 119
- salt tolerance, 44, 90, 92, 95, 97,  
 131, 168
- saving seeds. *See* farm-saved seeds
- science academies, 49, 110, 155, 179
- secondary pests, 71, 75
- second-generation GM crops, 6, 42
- sedentary farming, 16, 18, 19
- seed  
 industry, 26–9, 131–4  
 prices, 56, 61, 64, 75, 83, 129–30
- segregation costs, 122, 125
- selection  
 bias, 73–4  
 pressure, 21, 55, 64, 70–1
- self-pollinated crops, 24, 28
- Séralini, Gilles-Eric, 139, 152, 155
- Shiva, Vandana, 145, 146, 148,  
 152, 156
- shoot and fruit borer, 68
- Silent Spring, 9
- Simplot Company, 86
- smallholder farmers, 8, 30–1, 35, 54,  
 60, 65–6, 68–9, 72–8, 91, 130,  
 145, 176–7
- SMART breeding, 32
- SmartStax, 48
- social  
 accounting matrix (SAM), 76  
 media, 147, 159, 172  
 risk, 56, 161, 171  
 trust, 157–9, 162
- soil  
 degradation, 3, 31, 37, 169  
 fertility, 20, 35  
 salinity, 12, 44, 95
- sorghum, 29, 46, 54, 66, 88, 90, 99,  
 105, 131, 168, 177
- South Africa, 47, 58–9, 67, 68, 69, 89,  
 94, 105–7
- South America. *See* Latin America
- South Asia. *See* Asia
- soybean  
 herbicide tolerance (*see*  
 herbicide-tolerant: soybean)  
 meal, 9, 121, 125  
 trade, 121, 123, 125
- Spain, 57, 67, 117
- stacked genes, 48, 54–5, 59, 65, 70,  
 89–90, 94, 97
- starch, 46, 49, 85, 116
- stem borer, 58, 68, 94, 106
- Striga* (witchweed), 66
- sub-Saharan Africa. *See* Africa
- sucking pests, 71
- Sudan, 59, 105–6
- sugarbeet, 33–4, 59, 63, 64, 86, 112,  
 118, 121
- sugarcane, 88, 90
- suicide of farmers, 72, 76–8, 145, 152
- superweeds, 136, 142
- survival of the fittest, 22
- sustainable  
 agriculture, 2–5, 92, 94, 96, 159,  
 169, 173–5  
 intensification, 5  
 sustainable development, 2–5, 13,  
 153, 166–7, 172
- sweetpotato, 99, 107, 119, 177
- Swiss Federal Institute of Technology  
 (ETH), 100, 148



- Syngenta, 8, 101, 103, 131, 133, 134, 136
- system of rice intensification (SRI), 66
- TagMo, 41
- TALEN, 41, 114
- Tanzania, 94
- technology  
   fee, 63, 82  
   stewardship, 177
- technology-transcending risk, 56, 171, 179
- teosinte, 33
- terminator technology, 136, 146
- Thailand, 79, 126, 152
- third-generation GM crops, 6, 42
- tissue culture. *See* cell and tissue culture
- tomato, 45, 59, 78, 85, 88, 90, 168
- toxicity classes of pesticides, 63, 140
- transformation  
   event, 41, 48, 115, 125–6, 131  
   protocol, 47
- transformative technology, 123, 134, 172, 179
- transgene, 41, 48, 53, 142–3, 160
- transgenic, 26, 32, 41, 99, 114
- tropics and subtropics, 60, 66, 91
- TTIP, 123
- Tufts University, 149
- Tull, Jethro, 20
- Uganda, 89–90, 93, 94, 97, 99, 107
- undernourished people, 2, 4, 104, 165
- United Nations Environment Program (UNEP), 119, 123
- United States  
   agricultural research, 28, 103, 107  
   biotech industry, 131–4  
   GM crop adoption, 57–9, 124  
   GM crop impact, 63–84  
   GM crop regulation, 111–13  
   labeling, 122, 137  
   new GM traits, 86–90, 92, 96, 103  
   patents, 128, 130  
   public perception, 10, 112–13, 174  
   UPOV, 28, 127  
   USAID, 97, 131
- vandalism of field trials, 79, 86, 101, 149, 152
- varietal diversity, 31, 47, 65, 74, 143.  
   *See also* agrobiodiversity
- Vavilov, Nikolai, 16
- vegetables, 45, 47, 64, 68, 69, 88, 133
- vertical gene transfer, 52
- Via Campesina, 150–1
- Vietnam, 79, 87, 89
- virus resistance, 43, 79, 87, 89–90, 104
- virus-resistant  
   beans, 87  
   papaya, 59, 78–9  
   potato, 80  
   squash, 59, 86  
   vegetables, 59
- vitamins, 4, 12, 45–6, 89–90, 98, 100, 165
- Watson, James, 39
- weed resistance. *See* resistance  
   development
- welfare effects, 74, 82
- WEMA, 87, 94
- Weston, Richard, 20
- wheat  
   drought tolerance (*see*  
     drought-tolerant: wheat)  
   fungal resistance, 90, 104  
   heat tolerance, 104  
   herbicide tolerance (*see*  
     herbicide-tolerant: wheat)  
   insect resistance, 90, 104  
   IWYP, 103–4  
   virus resistance, 104  
   yield, 30, 35–6, 105
- WHO, 63, 110, 111, 140, 141
- wide crosses, 25–6, 40
- wild relatives, 6, 8, 16, 21, 52, 99, 143

WTO, 123–4

WWF, 162

yield

effect of GM crops, 59–62, 63, 66,  
68, 75, 80, 91–2, 96, 104

gap, 105, 175

loss (*see* crop: damage)

potential, 43, 44, 66

stability, 7, 92

Zambia, 151

zero threshold, 47, 122, 125–6

zinc finger, 41