

Notes

Introduction: Resolvability Will Determine the Future of Banking

1. Dewatripont & Tirole (1994, pp. 31–32, 87–92).

1 “Too Big to Fail” Is Too Costly to Continue

1. For a discussion of the impact of financial crises on economic growth, see Huertas (2011a, pp. 1–2), Rogoff and Reinhart (2009), and BCBS (2010a).
2. On the consequences of Lehman’s failure, see Huertas (2011, pp. 82–83). For an analysis of Lehman’s itself, see Valukas (2010).
3. FSB (2013b) lists the 29 G-SIBs. For the criteria describing what makes a bank systemic, see BCBS (2013a). This builds on earlier work, including Tarashev et al. (2009).
4. On the importance of financial market infrastructures, see CPSS-IOSCO (2012).
5. For a discussion of these policy measures, see Huertas (2011, pp. 82–99).
6. Larry Summers used this phrase in his remarks at the IMF Economic Forum on 8 November 2013. See Kose and Portillo (2013).
7. Key factors determining the credit rating of a sovereign are the debt-to-GDP level, the trend in that level and the probability that an event may occur that will require massive additions to government expenditure. One such “event risk” is the possibility that a government might have to rescue one or more of its banks (see Moody’s Investor Services, 2013a).
8. Gros (2013) coined the term “doom loop”.
9. On forward guidance, see Bernanke (2012), BoE (2013a), Cœuré (2013), and Woodford (2013).
10. On quantitative easing, see Bernanke and Reinhart (2004), Bernanke (2012), and Joyce et al. (2011).
11. On outstanding level of Fed’s holdings of mortgage-backed securities, see Federal Reserve balance sheet week ended 8 January 2014 at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h41/current/h41.htm#h41tab3>.

12. For a discussion of ECB monetary policy, see ECB (2012).
13. There are some exceptions to this statement, e.g., the ECB is holding in reserve the actual implementation of its “outright monetary transactions” policy (the direct purchase of government bonds).
14. G-20 (2009).
15. Information on FSOC is available at <http://www.treasury.gov/Pages/default.aspx>; on the ESRB at <http://www.esrb.europa.eu/home/html/index.en.html>; and on the FPC at <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/financialstability/pages/fpc/default.aspx>.
16. See Tucker (2012) and Moody’s Investor Services (2013a).
17. On Eurozone, see also Merler and Pisani-Ferry (2012), and Huertas (2013a).
18. See Moody’s Investor Services (2013b).
19. Haldane (2010, p. 25) estimated the subsidy to global banks to be approximately \$40 billion in 2007 prior to the crisis, but \$250 billion in 2009. See also Schlich et al., 2014.
20. Cunliffe (2014). See also Powell (2013), and Lew (2013).

2 *Less Likely to Fail: Strengthening Regulation*

1. For full details, see BCBS (2011a, b). BCBS (2011c) provides a summary template and guidelines regarding disclosure.
2. In addition to the items discussed in the text, Basel III requires banks to deduct the following from CET1 capital amounts relating to (i) its cash flow hedge reserve, (ii) the shortfall of the stock of provisions to expected losses, (iii) the gain on sale related to securitisation transactions, (iv) investments in own shares, (v) cumulative gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk on fair valued financial liabilities and (vi) reciprocal cross-holdings in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities. The bank also had to take into account any unfunded pension fund liabilities on its balance sheet when calculating CET1 capital.
3. The rationale in each case was that such assets could not readily and unequivocally absorb loss. In the case of deferred tax assets, they could not be readily sold to third parties and their value hinges upon the bank actually earning a profit in the future. Consequently, banks have to deduct deferred tax assets in full from CET1 capital, but may put DTAs relating to timing differences into the sin bucket. In the case of mortgage servicing rights, they could be sold to third parties, but their value realised might deviate markedly from book value, especially if interest rates were to change and/or a large number of

institutions were to attempt to sell such rights at the same time. In the case of investments in other financial institutions, the intent was to restrict the extent to which capital could be double counted within the banking system as a whole. The actual limits depend on the degree of control that the investing bank might have over the investee financial institution, as measured by the share of the investing bank's interest in the common equity of the investee institution. For small interests (ownership interest less than 10 per cent), the investing bank is able to hold positions in aggregate of up to 10 per cent of its own CET1 capital. Any amount in excess of this threshold has to be deducted from CET1 capital in full. For significant ownership interests in unconsolidated financial institutions (ownership interest greater than 10 per cent) the investing bank has to deduct the entire amount of its holdings in the common stock of the investee bank (but this amount can be put into the sin bucket).

4. Regarding the capital conservation buffer, see BCBS (2011a, pp. 54–57).
5. On the systemic surcharge, see BCBS (2013a).
6. On the counter-cyclical capital buffer, see BCBS (2010c) and (2011a, pp. 5–7, 57–60). For an analysis, see Drehmann et al. (2010) and Repullo and Saurina (2011).
7. For the trading book, see BCBS (2011d). For the securitisation framework, see BCBS (2013c).
8. BCBS (2011a, pp. 3, 29–51).
9. BCBS (2013d).
10. For the banking book, see BCBS (2013e). For the trading book, see BCBS (2013f).
11. Basel III introduced the leverage ratio in concept in 2010 (see BCBS, 2011a, pp. 4–5, 60–64) and has progressively refined the approach (BCBS, 2013i). In January 2014 the committee reached a final decision on the definition of the ratio (BCBS, 2014a).
12. BoE (2013b, pp. 69–70).
13. BCBS (2014a).
14. *Ibid.*
15. BoE (2013b, pp. 69–70) also documents differences in the leverage ratio according to the measurement standard employed, as does UST et al. (2013).
16. PRA (2013a) gives details. The 3 per cent ratio corresponds to the definition in the EU Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR).
17. For a discussion of the Bank of England's views on leverage, see BoE (2013b, p. 69). In a letter to the Governor of the Bank of England the Chancellor of the Exchequer (HMT, 2013a) stresses that the PRA

already has the power to set leverage ratios for individual banks and for the system as a whole and that the FPC has the power to make recommendations to the PRA in this respect. The Chancellor also asked the Bank to consider whether the FPC should be granted the authority to set the leverage ratio directly rather than merely recommend to the PRA the steps that the PRA should take.

18. UST et al. (2013). The US proposal refers to the original Basel III agreement (BCBS, 2011a) with respect to leverage rather than the revised (BCBS, 2013i) or final (BCBS, 2014a) proposal. However, the US proposal indicates that the United States will adapt the proposal to conform to changes that the Basel Committee may make in the global approach.
19. FSB 2014a.
20. BCBS (2008a) details the principles for liquidity risk management and supervision. The original Basel III accord (BCBS, 2011a) introduced the concept of a global liquidity standard. Subsequent work has refined the components relating to the liquidity coverage ratio (BCBS, 2013b, 2014b) and its disclosure to supervisors and the public (BCBS, 2014c). The Committee has also further developed the concept of a net stable funding ratio (BCBS, 2014d).
21. This avoids what Goodhart (2010, p. 175) has called the “taxi-rank” problem – a requirement that one taxi always be waiting at the train station, with the result that it cannot be used until a second taxi arrives.
22. BCBS (2010b, pp. 25–31, 2014d).
23. This section draws on EY (2013a). FSB (2013a) states the overall policy and is the source of the quotes in this section.
24. For details, see BCBS (2013g).
25. On remuneration reform, see FSF (2009); and FSB (2009). FSB (2011b) provides an overview of how jurisdictions have implemented the principles. See also Huertas (2011, pp. 171–172).
26. FSB (2013c, pp. 26–28) provides a summary. For details on progress, see FSB (2013d).
27. For an assessment of benefits, see BIS (2013).
28. For a summary of authorities’ efforts to coordinate their approaches, see ODRG (2013)
29. In December 2013 the CFTC, the US agency responsible for the regulation of derivatives, outlined its view on the comparability of derivatives regimes in six foreign jurisdictions to US rules as part of its program of substituted compliance (with US rules). In other words, the CFTC view of coordination is the process by which others comply with the CFTC’s rules, not to the degree to which US and other regimes satisfy global standards. For details, see CFTC (2013).

30. For a summary of the swaps push out rule, see Nazareth (2013).
31. For UK legislation, see United Kingdom (2013). The paper introducing the draft bill (HMT/BIS 2013) contains the rationale for the legislation. This is largely based a report by the Independent Commission on Banking (2011) chaired by John Vickers. Note however that the original ICB report recognised that retail banking could be as risky as investment banking.
32. Liikanen (2012a). For the full report, see Liikanen (2012b). The French Law of 26 July 2013 is available at <http://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/affichTexte.do?cidTexte=JORFTEXT000027754539>. For the German law, see http://www.bundesrat.de/cln_330/SharedDocs/Drucksachen/2013/0301-400/378-13,templateId=raw,property=publicationFile.pdf/378-13.pdf.
33. The final Volcker Rule is a joint rule of the five US federal agencies responsible for regulation and supervision of financial institutions in the United States (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Securities and Exchange Commission and Commodities Futures Trading Corporation). The final text of the rule is available at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/bcreg/bcreg20131210a1.pdf>. For a summary and legal analysis, see S&S (2014).
34. The rule also applies to non-US banking organisations. Their US operations are subject to the rule in the same manner as US banking organisations, and their non-US operations are also subject to the rule unless such operations are “solely outside the United States.” Note that the rule classifies trading with a US person outside the United States as being “within the United States” so that foreign banking organisations that trade with US persons (such as US banks) in covered financial instruments will effectively become subject to very extensive US reporting and compliance requirements.
35. On balkanisation, see Goodhart (2013, pp. 254–255).
36. HMT (2012, pp. 7–8).
37. See FINMA (2012).
38. Tarullo (2012).
39. FRB (2012) and FRB 2014.
40. Barker and Braithwaite (2013).
41. For a general discussion of financial institution taxation in the wake of the crisis, see IMF (2010).
42. For an economic analysis of the FTT, see Matheson (2011).
43. A summary of developments with respect to the EU proposal for a FTT is available at http://ec.europa.eu/taxation_customs/taxation/other_taxes/financial_sector/index_En.htm.

44. See Perotti and Suarez (2011).

3 *Less Likely to Fail: Sharper Supervision*

1. On micro-supervision, see Sants (2009); Huertas (2011, pp. 184–192); and PRA (2013b).
2. For example, the German supervisor BAFIN rejected Deutsche Bank's first choice for chief risk officer. See Taylor and Hübner (2012).
3. See, e.g., PRA (2013b, p. 17).
4. Quote is from Sants (2009).
5. FSB 2014b.
6. BCBS (2013h).
7. The United Kingdom is one example. See PRA (2013b, pp. 30–31).
8. For the US rule on capital plans, see FRB (2011). Note that the supervisory review of the bank's capital plan will also have a macro-prudential dimension, especially in the case where the bank is systemically significant in the domestic economy. Although reductions in the growth or absolute level of assets is one way to improve a bank's capital ratio, the supervisor is hardly likely to approve such plans if they involve a reduction in credit to SMEs and households at the trough of the cycle.
9. PRA (2013b, p. 26).
10. The United States conducts an annual comprehensive capital assessment review (CCAR) (see FRB, 2011). The EU conducts a stress test periodically for all EU banks. This will be coordinated with the asset quality review to be conducted by the ECB for Eurozone banks. Individual jurisdictions within the EU also conduct stress tests (for the United Kingdom, see PRA, 2013b, p. 26; BoE, 2013d).
11. For details of the ECB asset quality review, see Constâncio (2013) and Huertas (2013a).
12. The principles are detailed in BCBS (2008a).
13. BCBS (2013j) details the behaviour under stress of various funding sources including the implications for unencumbered assets. It also provides a survey of the academic literature relating to these issues. See also BoE (2013d).
14. For details of central bank lending policies in the United States, see FRB (2010) and Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (2013); in the United Kingdom, see BoE (2013c); and in the Eurozone, see ECB (2011).
15. On recovery planning, see Huertas and Lastra (2011); PRA (2013c); EBA (2013).

16. For a discussion of supervisory intervention, see PRA (2013b, pp. 30–35).
17. For a summary of history of FSB, see <http://www.financialstability-board.org/about/history.htm>.
18. For an example of such a periodic report, see FSB (2013c) and FSB 2014a.
19. For background on the Financial Stability Oversight Council, see <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/about/Pages/default.aspx>.
20. For background on the ESRB, see <http://www.esrb.europa.eu/about/background/html/index.en.html>.
21. For background on the FPC, see <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/financialstability/pages/fpc/default.aspx>.
22. The so-called credit view of the monetary transmission mechanism contends that the availability and volume of bank credit has an independent effect on the aggregate economic variables such as consumption and investment over and above the impact from interest rates alone. Hence, if bank capital or bank liquidity constrains (facilitates) bank lending, movements in bank capital and/or liquidity will augment the cycle. See Bolton and Freixas (2006). Brunnermeier et al. (2009) document that movements in asset prices will have a pro-cyclical effect on capital (via market-to-market accounting) and on liquidity (via valuation of and advance rates on collateral used in repos and other secured borrowings). This creates a positive feedback loop, augmenting the upturn and deepening the downturn. See also Huertas (2011a, p. 41).
23. For details of the counter-cyclical capital buffer, see BCBS (2010c). On the effectiveness of the buffer in offsetting pro-cyclicality, see Repullo and Saurina (2011).
24. On debt overhang, see Admati et al. (2012).
25. For details of the AQR/BSA that the ECB proposes to conduct in connection with the introduction of banking union, see ECB (2013a) and Huertas (2013a).
26. See FSB (2013f). For a general discussion of shadow banking, see Poszar et al. (2013); Kodres (2013); Tarullo (2013); Jackson (2013); Huertas (2011a, pp. 206–210).
27. On “acquire to arbitrage,” see Huertas (2011a, pp. 35–38).
28. In the United States the FSOC (2012) made proposals to this effect in November 2012 as did the European Commission (2013) in September 2013.
29. For the FSB recommendation, see FSB (2013g).
30. For an analysis of re-hypothecation, see Singh and Aitken (2010).
31. On risks in the repo market and proposed mitigation, see FSB (2013g); Dudley (2013); FRBNY (2012); FSOC (2012, p. 133).

32. The over-reliance on intraday credit results from the decision of the two hub banks to act in effect as principal rather than agent in the tri-party market. They have tended to repay the lenders (repurchase the securities) at the start of business each day without demanding repayment from the borrower (e.g., a broker-dealer) in the expectation that the borrower would be able to find a new third-party lender by the close of the business day. During the day the hub bank acts as the lender to the borrower. This creates undue concentration risk:
- for major broker-dealers and other firms that rely on repo for the bulk of their short-term funding. If the hub bank decides to increase collateral demands (haircuts) or cut off credit entirely, this could push the broker-dealer into resolution – a step that could have system-wide repercussions.
 - or the system as a whole, if one or both of the hub banks were to fail, so might many of the borrowers, for they would be hard-pressed to make immediately alternative funding arrangements.
- This risk is being addressed. The hub banks are revising their clearing arrangements to reduce the intraday credit that they provide. By year end 2014 approximately 90 per cent of tri-party repo will be on an agency basis where the loan comes directly to the borrower from the lender without any intra-day credit from the hub bank. See Dudley (2013).
33. For example, lenders have set haircuts too low relative to the risk of the borrower and the price volatility and market liquidity of the collateral. Lenders have also taken as collateral securities that they would not be allowed to own outright.
34. Lenders generally do not have a mechanism in place to allow for gradual liquidation of collateral seized in the event a borrower defaults. Lenders therefore attempt to sell immediately the assets taken in lieu of repayment. In the event of a default by a major broker-dealer, dumping very large amounts of securities into an already troubled market will depress prices to “fire sale” levels and cause further mark-downs in capital and shortages in liquidity in various participants across the market as a whole.
35. In the United States, e.g., the Financial Stability Oversight Committee has formally designated nine financial market infrastructures as systemically important financial market utilities. See <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/2012%20Appendix%20A%20Designation%20of%20Systemically%20Important%20Market%20Utilities.pdf>.
36. See CPSS-IOSCO (2012).
37. For recovery plans, see CPSS-IOSCO (2013). For resolution plans for FMI, see FSB (2013e, pp. 15–26) and Huertas 2014a.

38. FSB (2013c, p. 16).
39. FSB (2013h). In the United States the FSOC has designated American International Group and Prudential Financial as systemically important financial institutions (see <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/designations/Pages/default.aspx>).
40. The exception to this statement is General Electric Capital Corporation, which the FSOC designated as systemic in July 2013 (FSOC, 2013a).
41. On Fannie and Freddie, see Acharya et al. (2011) and Huertas (2011a, pp. 209–210).
42. In addition, a number of banks “low-balled” their LIBOR submissions during the crisis in order to avoid giving the appearance that they were under liquidity pressure and had to pay up for funds. In at least one case (Barclays) it appeared that this was done with the knowledge and approval of the bank’s senior management. When this came to light, the senior management of the bank was replaced. Cf. CFTC (2012).
43. Wheatley (2012).
44. IOSCO (2013).
45. Griffin and Campbell (2013).
46. For an overview of securitisation, see Jackson (2013, pp. 383–392).
47. Huertas (2011a, pp. 29–33) and Jackson (2013).
48. On reform of credit rating agencies, see FSB (2013c, pp. 30–32).
49. For a list of prominent SEC enforcement cases with respect to insider trading, see <http://www.sec.gov/spotlight/insidertrading/cases.shtml>.
50. Financial Action Task Force (2012).
51. On initiatives with respect to consumer protection, see OECD (2013) and FSB (2011a).
52. For further information on the CFPB, see <http://www.consumerfinance.gov/>.
53. FCA (2013, pp. 10–24).
54. Indeed, prior to the crisis central banks and other authorities remained quite optimistic about the economy, stating that growth would continue and that there was little or no chance of a recession developing – all thanks to the “Great Moderation” that central bank monetary policy had helped bring about (Bernanke, 2004). Consequently, in normal times the economy is as or more likely to drive the supply of credit as credit supply is likely to drive the economy.

4 *Safe to Fail*

1. FSB (2011c).

2. Normal transactions would include payments and settlement of securities trades and various other “non-investment” transactions with both individual and institutional customers. In contrast, investment obligations would be subject to a stay (e.g., on the payment of interest and dividends or the repayment of capital instruments) as outlined later.
3. For a description of the key attributes of a resolution regime, see FSB (2011c). For an assessment of progress in implementation of these key attributes, see FSB (2013i, j).
4. For details, see Huertas (2014b) ; Huertas and Nieto (2014).
5. In some jurisdictions the central bank has a formal role in the trigger process. For example, in the United Kingdom under the Banking Act 2009 the Financial Services Authority (the supervisor at the time) had to seek the advice of the Bank of England before formally pulling the trigger (finding that the bank failed to meet threshold conditions).
6. However, the supervisor alone can exercise forbearance, if the bank finances itself solely through equity and insured deposits. This occurred in the United States in the 1980s in the case of savings and loan associations (thrifts). In many ways, the “prompt corrective action” provisions of FDICIA (1991) were designed to put a limit on such supervisory forbearance.
7. However, forbearance and the provision of emergency liquidity assistance does give unsecured creditors both the opportunity and incentive to run and get out whole (without loss) before the bank enters resolution. To keep the bank in operation, the central bank would have to extend increasing amounts of collateralised credit, further reducing the unencumbered assets that might serve to back the bank’s unsecured liabilities and furthering increasing the losses that such creditors would suffer, if the bank eventually did enter resolution.
8. Such disruption from closing an internationally active bank in the middle of the day is known as “Herstatt risk.” This refers principally to settlement risk – the risk that one side of a transaction will settle, whilst the other remains open. Although infrastructures and participants have taken various measures to reduce such risks, significant settlement risks remain. In addition, closing a G-SIB in the middle of a business day is likely to cause a significant number of trades to fail. This may have knock-on effects on the risk positions of major market participants. See Huertas (2014a).
9. See FSB (2013j) and FSB 2014a.
10. Conceptually, it is possible to set in advance the terms on which write-off or conversion would occur, if the bank went into resolution.

To the extent that investor obligations contain such clauses, the resolution authority should implement them immediately at or immediately before putting the bank into resolution. The converted instrument would then slot into the creditor hierarchy in its new capacity.

Conceptually, it is also possible to imagine that the resolution authority would set the terms of conversion at the resolution weekend, or that the terms could be negotiated among the parties during the weekend. The former is potentially arbitrary, so that it will be more difficult for the bank to place instruments with investors. The latter is utterly impractical, given the short time period available (36–48 hours), the diversity of investor interests and the inability to establish with precision the amount of loss that the bank must take.

11. Note that it may not be necessary to bail-in the senior debt, if the amount of Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital is sufficient to recapitalise the bank.
12. Note that the issuance of such proceeds notes greatly reduces the need to conduct an immediate valuation of the bank-in-resolution for the purpose of apportioning ultimate loss. Provided the authorities do not engage in forbearance (allow banks that fail to meet threshold conditions to continue in operation), losses should be less than the amount of the bank's primary loss-absorbing capacity (common equity plus instruments subject to mandatory bail-in). Consequently, the valuation immediately required at the point of resolution is
 - an assessment that the bank has reached the point of non-viability (so that the trigger to resolution is pulled);
 - an assessment that losses will not be greater than the total amount of investor capital (primary loss absorbing capacity); and
 - an assessment of the advance rate that the central bank is willing to make on the unencumbered assets that the bank-in-resolution will pledge to the central bank as collateral for the liquidity facility that the central bank provides to the bank-in-resolution.
13. IIF (2011). However, some resolution regimes (e.g., EU BRRD) leave open how such a claim could be satisfied.
14. On asset encumbrance, see CGFS (2013).
15. See Huertas (2014a).
16. For a discussion of the impact of QFCs on resolution, see Roe (2011).
17. Huertas (2013a).
18. See Bovenzi et al. (2013).
19. For example, Iceland changed its banking law in 2008 after the entry in resolution of Landsbanki and other Icelandic banks with foreign branches.

20. See, e.g., PRA (2014).
21. FDIC (2013).
22. In the United States, e.g., the FDIC may employ the Orderly Liquidation Authority (the basis for the SPE approach) if and only if it can demonstrate that resolution under normal bankruptcy procedures (as called for under Title I) would be harmful to financial stability in the United States and this decision has the prior approval of the FDIC itself (two-thirds of its board), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (with two-thirds majority) and the Secretary of the Treasury “in consultation with the President.”
23. FDIC (2013).
24. FRB (2014).
25. In particular, such a process shall make clear that the original parent holding company has no claim on the subsidiary bank-in-resolution, but mandate that the original parent holding company provide a warranty and indemnity to the restructured bank-in-resolution for liabilities relating to misconduct at the subsidiary bank-in-resolution prior to the entry of the subsidiary bank into resolution. Note that condition (2) (the restriction on the parent holding company paying dividends or making distributions) holds only so long as the parent owns the subsidiary. If the subsidiary enters resolution and the parent’s equity is written off, the parent is no longer responsible for assuring that the bank-in-resolution has adequate reserve capital.
26. For further discussion of such a liquidity facility see Huertas (2013a).
27. Merton and Perold (1993). See also Strongin (2013).

5 Setting Up for Success

1. For a discussion of supervisors as monitors and minders, see Huertas (2012b).
2. To a certain extent, investors can supplement their own analysis of the bank by “free-riding” on the supervisor’s monitoring and minding activities. The supervisor will generally have greater power than a private investor to obtain information from the bank. To the extent that such regulatory reports are made public in a timely manner, investors can get sight of the same data that the supervisor uses to judge the bank’s condition. This will aid investors in forming their own view of the bank’s condition.

The supervisor will also generally have more power to “persuade” the bank to take the measures necessary to arrest deterioration in its condition and/or to initiate its recovery plan. What investors will do

is form a view on effectiveness of the supervisor as a “minder”: can the supervisor identify problems promptly, can it induce the bank to take remedial measures as soon as possible and can it refrain from exercising forbearance? If so, this “minding” activity will reduce the probability that the bank will reach the point of non-viability/enter resolution as well as reduce the loss to investors, if the bank does enter resolution.

3. Low RoE-low risk equities can perform just as well or better than high RoE-high risk equities. In fact, total return to shareholders from holding utility stocks (a proxy for low return-low risk equities) has compared favourably with the total return realised by shareholders in financial institutions. See Huertas (2009).
4. This, of course, is just a restatement of textbook corporate finance, the so-called Modigliani-Miller theorem. Under too big to fail, banks have been largely exempt from the rule that higher risk means a higher cost of equity capital. Resolution reform will remove that exemption and make banks more similar to non-financial corporations. For further discussion see Huertas (2012b, 2013c).
5. Secondly, the bank may earn income from the instruments whilst they are in inventory.
6. At a minimum, the business model should include all the risks the bank will take. Had such an analysis been conducted prior to the crisis, banks might have seen that they were overlooking or underestimating liquidity risk as well as conduct and operational risks.
7. The business model should assure that the bank prices risk correctly. Under-charging customers for the risk of credit is particularly dangerous. Banks that follow such a policy will gain a disproportionate share of such credit and fail to earn enough income over time to provision adequately for the impairments that will arise.
8. For example, if a bank is to compete in offering credit cards to consumers, it has to be ready to offer customers limits that are in line with the income and spending habits of its target customer base.
9. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012).
10. Quote is from Sands (2013). In fact, finance has a long history of such moments – the negotiable CD, money market mutual funds, derivatives and so on; so it will pay banks to think through how markets may evolve and to be prepared to adopt and/or adapt innovations that may occur elsewhere.
11. Although regulation may currently provide some protection against competition from new entrants, banks should not derive too much comfort from this. Any benefit is likely to be temporary. The prospect of profit makes potential entrants quite inventive in devising ways to

access the most profitable parts of the revenue pool. And the prospect of lower prices and/or better service, along with the view that small firms do not pose a threat to financial stability, may persuade the authorities to tolerate or even welcome the additional competition that new entrants would pose.

12. There are already examples of banks moving in this direction. See Chassany and Goff (2014).
13. See Huertas (2011, pp. 35–38); Jackson (2013).
14. This should be documented in the bank’s risk appetite framework and risk appetite statement – each of which will be subject to supervisory review (see Chapter 2).
15. For a fuller description of the role of internal audit, see Chartered Institute of Internal Auditors (2013).
16. Banks purportedly pursued this strategy prior to the crisis. Actually, they didn’t. They failed to distribute. Assets got stuck on the bank’s balance sheet, so that the strategy in practice became “acquire to arbitrage” rather than originate to distribute. See Huertas (2011, pp. 35–38).
17. On acquire-to-arbitrage, see *ibid.*
18. In some cases, holding “idle” inventory may actually detract from the bank’s ability to win new business. For example, if a bank’s existing loans to a corporate client already approach its internal or legal lending limit, the bank will have little or no scope to respond to new credit demands from the client.
19. See McGrath (2013).
20. See Mayer-Schönberger and Cukier (2013); Davenport (2013); Davenport and Harris (2010).

Conclusion: Is Basel Best?

1. In addition, as outlined in Chapter 4, banks and authorities will have to develop a means to assure that qualified financial contracts do not disrupt the resolution process as well as a means to assure that financial market infrastructures remain robust.
2. It will certainly help, as outlined in Chapter 5, if banks can devise means to make work to meet regulatory requirements do “double duty” and meet business requirements as well.
3. See Powell (2013), for example.
4. In an extreme case, global banks would become little more than owners of various national entities, each independent of one another. This could hamper trade and growth, particularly if such national

entities could not readily book business with institutions or individuals resident outside the borders of the country in which the bank is incorporated.

5. Goodhart (2013, p. 15).

6. The US savings and loan (thrift) industry is a good example of the unintended adverse consequences of financial regulation. Regulation forced such banks to specialise in long-term, fixed rate mortgages financed by short-term insured deposits subject to a ceiling (Regulation Q) on the rate of interest that could be paid to depositors. When the Federal Reserve raised interest rates above the Reg Q ceiling in order to combat inflation, thrifts experienced significant deposit outflows, leading to the failure of over one thousand thrifts, the collapse of its deposit insurance fund and a bill to the taxpayer of over \$150 billion. For a summary, see White (1991).

Bibliography

- Acemoglu, D., and Robinson, J. (2012). *Why Nations Fail: The Origins of Power, Prosperity, and Poverty*. New York: Crown Publishers.
- Acharya, V. V., Richardson, M., van Nieuwerburgh, S., & White, L. (2011). *Guaranteed to Fail: Fannie Mac, Freddie Mac, and the Debacle of Mortgage Finance*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Admati, A. R., De Marzo, P. M., Hellwig, M. F., & Pfleiderer, P. (2012). *Debt Overhang and Capital Regulation*. Rock Center for Corporate Governance Working Paper 114. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2031204>.
- Bank of England. (BoE; 2013a). *Monetary Policy Trade-Offs and Forward Guidance*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/inflationreport/2013/ir13augforwardguidance.pdf>.
- (2013b). *Financial Stability Report*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/fsr/2013/fsr34sec5.pdf>.
- (2013c). *The Bank of England's Sterling Money Market Framework* ("Red Book"). Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/markets/Documents/money/publications/redbook.pdf>.
- (2013d). *A Framework for Stress Testing the UK Banking System*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/financialstability/fsc/Documents/discussionpaper1013.pdf>.
- Bank for International Settlements. (BIS; 2013). Macroeconomic assessment group on derivatives. *Macroeconomic Impact Assessment of OTC Derivatives Regulatory Reforms*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/othp20.pdf>.
- Barker, A., & Braithwaite, T. (2013, April 22). *EU Warns US on "Bank Protectionism."* Retrieved December 2013, from: http://web.xrh.unipi.gr/attachments/370_EU%20warns%20US%20on%20bank.pdf.
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (BCBS; 2008a). Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. *Principles for Sound Liquidity Risk Management and Supervision*. Retrieved from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs144.pdf>.
- (2008b). Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. *External Audit Quality and Banking Supervision*. Retrieved from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs146.pdf>.

- (2010a). *Basel III: International Framework for Liquidity Risk Measurement, Standards and Monitoring*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs188.pdf>.
- (2010b). *An Assessment of the Long-Term Impact of Stronger Capital and Liquidity Requirements*. Retrieved from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs173.pdf>.
- (2010c). *Guidance for National Authorities Operating the Countercyclical Capital Buffer*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs187.pdf>.
- (2011a). *Basel III: A Global Regulatory Framework for More Resilient Banks and Banking Systems*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs211.pdf>.
- (2011b). *Basel III Definition of Capital – Frequently Asked Questions*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs211.pdf>.
- (2011c). *Definition of Capital Disclosure Requirements*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs212.pdf>.
- (2011d). *Revisions to the Basel II Market Risk Framework*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs193.pdf>.
- (2013a). *Global Systemically Important Banks: Updated Assessment Methodology and the Higher Loss Absorbency Requirement*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs255.pdf>.
- (2013b). *Basel III: The Liquidity Coverage Ratio and Liquidity Risk Monitoring Tools*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs238.pdf>.
- (2013c). *Revisions to the Securitisation Framework: Consultative Document*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <https://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs269.pdf>.
- (2013d). *Fundamental Review of the Trading Book: A Revised Market Risk Framework*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <https://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs265.pdf>.
- (2013e). *Regulatory Consistency Assessment Programme (RCAP) Analysis of Risk-Weighted Assets for Credit Risk in the Banking Book*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs256.pdf>.
- (2013f). *Regulatory Consistency Assessment Programme (RCAP) Analysis of Risk-Weighted Assets for Market Risk*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs240.pdf>.
- (2013g). *Principles for Effective Risk Data Aggregation and Risk Reporting*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs239.pdf>.
- (2013h). *External Audits of Banks. Consultative Document*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs244.pdf>.

- (2013i). *Revised Basel III Leverage Ratio Framework and Disclosure Requirements. Consultation Document*. Retrieved from: <https://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs251.pdf>.
- (2013j). *Liquidity Stress Testing: A Survey of Theory, Empirics and Current Industry and Supervisory Practices*. Retrieved December 2013, from Working Paper 24: http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs_wp24.pdf.
- (2014a). *Basel III Leverage Ratio Framework and Disclosure Requirements*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <https://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs270.pdf>.
- (2014b). *Revisions to Basel III: The Liquidity Coverage Ratio and Liquidity Risk Monitoring Tools (January 2013)*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs274.pdf>.
- (2014c). *Liquidity Coverage Ratio Disclosure Standards*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs272.pdf>.
- (2014d). *Basel III: The Net Stable Funding Ratio: Consultative Document*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs271.pdf>.
- Bernanke, B. S. (2004). *The Great Moderation*. Remarks before the Eastern Economic Association February 20, 2004. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.federalreserve.gov/Boarddocs/Speeches/2004/20040220/>.
- . (2012). *Monetary Policy since the Onset of the Crisis*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/bernanke20120831a.pdf>.
- Bernanke, B. S., & Reinhart, V. (2004). Conducting Monetary Policy at Very Low Short-Term Interest Rates. *American Economic Review*, 94(2), 85–90.
- Bolton, P., & Freixas, X. (2006). Corporate Finance and the Monetary Transmission Mechanism. *Review of Financial Studies*, 19, 829–870.
- Bovenzi, J., Guynn, R. D., & Jackson, T. H. (2013, May). *Too Big to Fail: The Path to a Solution. A Report of the Failure Resolution Task Force of the Financial Regulatory Reform Initiative of the Bipartisan Policy Center*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://bipartisanpolicy.org/sites/default/files/TooBigToFail.pdf>.
- Brunnermeier, M., Crockett, A., Goodhart, C., Persaud, A. D., & Shin, H. (2009). *The Fundamental Principles of Financial Regulation. Geneva Reports on the World Economy 11*. Geneva: International Center for Monetary and Banking Studies.
- Chartered Institute of Internal Auditors. (2013). *Effective Internal Audit in the Financial Services Sector: Recommendations from the Committee on Internal Audit Guidance for the Financial Sector*. Retrieved January 2014, from: http://www.iaa.org.uk/media/354788/0758_Effective_internal_audit_financial_webfinal.pdf.

- Chassany, A.-S., & Goff, S. (2014). Barclays Joins Forces with BlueBay to Bolster Riskier Lending. *Financial Times*, 9 January 2014. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/445b5f56-7884-11e3-831c-00144feabdc0.html#axzz2qZfWGmTi>.
- Čihák, M., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Feyen, E., & Levine, R. (2013). Financial Development in 205 Economies, 1960 to 2010. *Journal of Financial Perspectives*, 1(2), 17–35.
- Cœuré, B. (2013, September). *The Usefulness of Forward Guidance*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.ecb.europa.eu/press/key/date/2013/html/sp130926_1.en.html.
- Committee on the Global Financial System. (CGFS; 2013). *Asset Encumbrance, Financial Reform and the Demand for Collateral Assets*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/cgfs49.pdf>.
- Committee on Payment and Settlement Systems and Technical Committee of the International Organisation of Securities Commissions. (2012, April). *Principles for Financial Market Infrastructures*. Retrieved 16 July 2012, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss101a.pdf>.
- Committee on Payments and Securities Settlement Systems and Board of the International Organisation of Securities Commissions. (2013, August). *Recovery of Financial Market Infrastructures*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bis.org/publ/cpss109.pdf>.
- Commodities Futures Trading Corporation. (2012, June 27). *US CFTC Order against Barclays PLC, Barclays Bank PLC and Barclays Capital Inc*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.cftc.gov/ucm/groups/public/@Irenforcementactions/documents/legalpleading/enfbarclay-sorder062712.pdf>.
- . (2013, December 20). *CFTC Approves Comparability Determinations for Six Jurisdictions for Substituted Compliance Purposes*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.cftc.gov/PressRoom/PressReleases/pr6802-13>.
- Constâncio, V. (2013). *Banking Union and the Future of Banking*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <https://www.bis.org/review/r131203b.pdf>.
- Cunliffe, J. (2014). *Is the World Financial System Safer Now?* Retrieved from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/speeches/2014/speech714.pdf>.
- Davenport, T. H. (2013). Analytics 3.0. *Harvard Business Review* (December), 65–72.
- Davenport, T., & Harris, J. (2010). *Analytics at Work: Smarter Decisions, Better Results*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business Press.
- Dewatripont, M., & Tirole, J. (1994). *The Prudential Regulation of Banks*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Drehmann, M., Borio, C., Gambacorta, L., Jimenez, G., & Trucharte, C. (2010, July). *Countercyclical Capital Buffers: Exploring Options*. Retrieved December 2013, from BIS Working Papers 317: <http://www.bis.org/publ/work317.pdf>.
- Dudley, W. C. (2013, October 4). *Introductory Remarks at Workshop on "Fire Sales" as a Driver of Systemic Risk in Tri-Party Repo and Other Secured Funding Markets*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.newyorkfed.org/newsevents/speeches/2013/dud131004.html>.
- Ernst & Young. (2013). *GRN Executive Brief: Controlling Risk Appetite*. Retrieved April 2014, from: [http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLU-Assets/EY_Building_Effective_risk_appetite_frameworks/\\$FILE/EY-Global-Regulatory-Network-Controlling-Risk-Appetite.pdf](http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLU-Assets/EY_Building_Effective_risk_appetite_frameworks/$FILE/EY-Global-Regulatory-Network-Controlling-Risk-Appetite.pdf).
- European Banking Authority. (2013). *On the Content of Recovery Plans under the Draft Directive Establishing a Framework for the Recovery and Resolution of Credit Institutions and Investment Firms (EBA/CP/2013/01)*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.eba.europa.eu/documents/10180/40272/EBA-CP-2013-01-CP-on-draft-RTS-on-Content-of-Recovery-Plans.pdf>.
- European Central Bank. (2011). *The Monetary Policy of the ECB*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/other/monetarypolicy2011en.pdf>.
- . (2012). *Annual Report 2012*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/annrep/ar2012en.pdf>.
- . (2013, October 23). *Note Comprehensive Assessment*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/other/notecomprehensiveassessment201310en.pdf>.
- European Commission. (2013). *Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Money Market Funds COM(2013) 615 Final*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2013:0615:FIN:EN:PDF>.
- European Union. Council. (2013). *Proposal for a Directive establishing a framework for the recovery and resolution of credit institutions and investment firms (BRRD) final compromise text Interinstitutional File: 2012/0150 (COD)*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.plesner.com/resources/934.pdf>.
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. (FDIC; 2013). *Resolution of Systemically Important Financial Institutions: The Single Point of Entry Strategy*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-12-18/pdf/2013-30057.pdf>.
- Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Task Force on Tri-Party Repo Infrastructure Payments Risk Committee. (2012). *Final Report*. Retrieved

- December 2013, from: http://www.newyorkfed.org/tripartyrepo/pdf/report_120215.pdf.
- Federal Reserve System. (2011). *Capital Plans*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2011-12-01/pdf/2011-30665.pdf>.
- Federal Reserve System Board of Governors. (2010). *Federal Reserve Discount Window*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.frbdiscountwindow.org/discountwindowbook_pf.pdf.
- . (2012, December). *Enhanced Prudential Standards and Early Remediation Requirements for Foreign Banking Organizations and Foreign Nonbank Financial Companies: Proposed Rule*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-12-28/pdf/2012-30734.pdf>.
- . (2013). *Federal Reserve Collateral Guidelines*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.frbdiscountwindow.org/FRcollguidelines.pdf?hdrID=21&dtlID=81>.
- . (2014). *Enhanced Prudential Standards for Bank Holding Companies and Foreign Banking Organizations*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/bcreg/bcreg20140218a1.pdf>.
- Financial Action Task Force. (2012). *International Standards on Combating Money Laundering and the Financing of Terrorism and Proliferation: The FATF Recommendations*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/recommendations/pdfs/FATF_Recommendations.pdf.
- Financial Conduct Authority. (2013, July). *The FCA's Approach to Advancing Its Objectives*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.fca.org.uk/static/documents/fca-approach-advancing-objectives.pdf>.
- Financial Stability Board. (FSB; 2009). *FSB Principles for Sound Compensation Practices: Implementation Standards*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_090925c.pdf.
- . (2011a). *Consumer Finance Protection with Particular Focus on Credit*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_111026a.pdf.
- . (2011b). *2011 Thematic Review on Compensation: Peer Review Report*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_111011a.pdf.
- . (2011c). *Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions*. Retrieved May 31, 2012, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_111104cc.pdf.
- . (2013a). *Principles for an Effective Risk Appetite Framework*. Retrieved January 2014, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_131118.pdf.

- . (2013b). *2013 Update of Group of Global Systemically Important Banks*. Retrieved November 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_131111.pdf.
- . (2013c). *Overview of Progress in the Implementation of the G20 Recommendations for Strengthening*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130905c.pdf.
- . (2013d). *OTC Derivatives Market Reforms: Sixth Progress Report on Implementation*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130902b.pdf.
- . (2013e). *Application of the Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes to Non-Bank Financial Institutions*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130812a.pdf.
- . (2013f). *Strengthening Oversight and Regulation of Shadow Banking: An Overview of Policy Recommendations*. Retrieved January 2014, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130829a.pdf.
- . (2013g). *Strengthening Oversight and Regulation of Shadow Banking: Policy Framework for Addressing Shadow Banking Risks in Securities Lending and Repos*. Retrieved January 2014, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130829b.pdf.
- . (2013h). *Global Systemically Important Insurers (G-SIIs) and the Policy Measures That Will Apply to Them*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130718.pdf.
- . (2013i). *Thematic Review of Resolution Regimes: Peer Review Report*. Retrieved January 2014, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130411a.pdf.
- . (2013j). *Progress and Next Steps Towards Ending Too Big to Fail: Report of the Financial Stability Board to the G-20*. Retrieved January 2014, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130902.pdf.
- . (2013k). *Thematic Review on Risk Governance: Peer Review Report*. Retrieved May 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_130212.pdf.
- . (2014a). *Financial Reform – Update on Progress*. Retrieved April 2014, from: https://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_140411.pdf.
- . (2014b). *Guidance on Supervisory Interaction with Financial Institutions on Risk Culture: A Framework for Assessing Risk Culture*. Retrieved April 2014 from: <https://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/140407.pdf>.
- Financial Stability Forum. (2009). *FSF Principles for Sound Compensation Practices*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/publications/r_0904b.pdf.
- Financial Stability Oversight Council. (2012). *Proposed Recommendations regarding Money Market Mutual Fund Reform*. Retrieved December

- 2013, from: <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/Proposed%20Recommendations%20Regarding%20Money%20Market%20Mutual%20Fund%20Reform%20-%20November%2013,%202012.pdf>.
- . (n.d.). *Annual Report 2012*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/2012%20Potential%20Emerging%20Threats.pdf>.
- . (2013a). *Basis of the Financial Stability Oversight Council's Final Determination Regarding General Electric Capital Corporation, Inc.* Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/designations/Documents/Basis%20of%20Final%20Determination%20Regarding%20General%20Electric%20Capital%20Corporation,%20Inc.pdf>.
- . (2013b). *Annual Report 2013*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/FSOC%202013%20Annual%20Report.pdf>.
- G-20. (2009). *Declaration on Strengthening the Financial System*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2009/2009ifi.html>.
- Goodhart, C. (2010). How Should We Regulate Bank Capital and Financial Products? What Role for “Living Wills”? In A. Turner et al., *The Future of Finance: The LSE Report* (pp. 165–186). London School of Economics and Political Science. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://harr123et.files.wordpress.com/2010/07/futureoffinance-chapter51.pdf>.
- Goodhart, C. A. (2013). From national toward global/European regulation. In M. B. Gnan (ed.), *50 Years of Money and Finance: Lessons and Challenges* (pp. 229–261). Paris: Larcier for SUERF.
- Griffin, D., & Campbell, D. (2013). *U.S. Bank Legal Bills Exceed \$100 Billion*. Retrieved December 2013, from Bloomberg News: <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2013-08-28/u-s-bank-legal-bills-exceed-100-billion.html>.
- Gros, D. (2013). *EZ Banking Union with a Sovereign Virus*. Retrieved December 2013, from Vox Europa: <http://www.voxeu.org/article/ez-banking-union-sovereign-virus>.
- Haldane, A. G. (2010). *The \$100 Billion Question*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/speeches/2010/speech433.pdf>.
- HMT 2012. United Kingdom Her Majesty's Treasury. *Sound Banking: Delivering Reform*. Retrieved December 2013, from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/211866/icb_banking_reform_bill.pdf.
- HMT 2013. United Kingdom. HM Treasury Chancellor of the Exchequer. *FPC Leverage Review. Letter from George Osborne, Chancellor to Mark Carney*,

- Governor, Bank of England. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/news/2013/chancellorletter261113.pdf>.
- HMT/BIS 2013. United Kingdom. Her Majesty's Treasury and Department for Business, Innovation & Skills. *Banking Reform: A New Structure for Stability and Growth*. Retrieved April 2014 from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/228995/8545.pdf.
- Huertas, T. F. (2009). "The Outlook for Banking and Banking Regulation." An Address before the ICFR Inaugural Summit, "The Regulatory Response of the G-20 to the Financial Crisis," London. Retrieved January 2014, from: http://www.fsa.gov.uk/pages/Library/Communication/Speeches/2009/0401_th.shtml.
- . (2011). *Crisis: Cause, Containment and Cure* (2nd ed.). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- . (2012a). Resolution Requires Reform. In Patrick S. Kenadjian (ed.), *Too Big to Fail – Brauchen Wir ein Sonderinsolvenzrecht für Banken?* (pp. 63–84). Berlin: de Gruyter.
- . (2012b). *A Race to the Top?* LSE Financial Markets Group Special Paper 208: Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.lse.ac.uk/fmg/workingPapers/specialPapers/PDF/SP208.pdf>.
- . (2013a). Safe to Fail. *Journal of Financial Perspectives*. Available at: http://gfsi.ey.com/the-journal-of-financial-perspectives/volume/1/issue/3/safe-to-fail_54.
- . (2013b). Banking Union. *Bank of Spain Financial Stability Review*, 31–44. Available at: <http://www.bde.es/f/webbde/GAP/Secciones/Publicaciones/InformesBoletinesRevistas/RevistaEstabilidadFinanciera/13/Mayo/Fic/ref2013242.pdf>.
- . (2013c). The Case for Bail-ins. In Patrick Kenadjian (ed.), *The Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive: Europe's Solution for "Too Big to Fail"* (pp. 167–188). Berlin: de Gruyter.
- . (2014a). Financial Market Infrastructures: Their Critical Role during Recovery and Resolution. *Banking Perspective: The Quarterly Journal of the Clearing House*. 2(1), 68–75.
- . (2014b). *What's a Fund For? The Case of Resolution Funds*. Forthcoming.
- . (2014c). *A Resolvable Bank*. LSE Financial Markets Group Special Paper 230. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.lse.ac.uk/fmg/workingPapers/specialPapers/PDF/SP230-final.pdf>.
- Huertas, T. F., & Lastra, R. (2011). Living Wills. *Banco de España Revista de Estabilidad Financiera*, November 2011, pp. 23–39.
- Huertas, T. F., & Nieto, M. J. (2014). *How Much Is Enough? The Case of the Resolution Fund in Europe*. Vox Europa. Retrieved April 2014, from:

- <http://www.voxeu.org/article/ensuring-european-resolution-fund-large-enough>.
- Independent Commission on Banking. (2011). *Final Report Recommendations*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <https://hmt-sanctions.s3.amazonaws.com/ICB%20final%20report/ICB%2520Final%2520Report%5B1%5D.pdf>.
- Institute of International Finance. (2011). *Addressing Priority Issues in Cross-Border Resolution*. Retrieved May 2013, from: [http://www.iif.com/IIF-CrossBorder-May2011\[1\].pdf](http://www.iif.com/IIF-CrossBorder-May2011[1].pdf).
- International Monetary Fund. (2010). *A Fair and Substantial Contribution by the Financial Sector: Final Report for the G-20*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.imf.org/external/np/g20/pdf/062710b.pdf>.
- International Organisation of Securities Commissions. (2013). *Principles for Financial Benchmarks: Final Report*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.iosco.org/library/pubdocs/pdf/IOSCOPD415.pdf>.
- Jackson, P. (2013). Shadow Banking and New Lending Channels: Past and Future. In M. Balling & E. Gnan (eds.), *50 years of Money and Finance: Lessons and Challenges*. (Paris: Larcier), 377–414.
- Joyce, M., Tong, M., & Woods, R. (2011). The United Kingdom's Quantitative Easing Policy: Design, Operation and Impact. *Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin*, (3), 200–212.
- Kodres, L. E. (2013). What Is Shadow Banking? *Finance and Development*, pp. 42–43.
- Kose, M., & Portillo, R. (2013). IMF Brings Together Top Economic Thinkers to Debate Crises. *IMF Survey Magazine: IMF Research*. 20 November 2013. Retrieved January 2014 from: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/survey/so/2013/RES112113A.htm>.
- Lew, J. J. (2013). *Remarks at Pew Charitable Trusts*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/j12232.aspx>.
- Liikanen, E. (2012a). *The Case for Structural Reforms of Banking after the Crisis*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.suomenpankki.fi/en/suomen_pankki/ajankohtaista/puheet/Pages/puhe_El_hleg.aspx.
- . (2012b) High-Level Expert Group on reforming the structure of the EU banking sector. *Final Report*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://ec.europa.eu/internal_market/bank/docs/high-level_Expert_group/report_En.pdf.
- Matheson, T. (2011). *Taxing Financial Transactions: Issues and Evidence*. Retrieved December 2013, from IMF Working Paper 11/54: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2011/wp1154.pdf>.
- Mayer-Schönberger, V., & Cukier, K. (2013). *Big Data: A Revolution That Will Transform How We Live, Work, and Think*. New York: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

- McGrath, R. G. (2013). *The End of Competitive Advantage: How to Keep Your Strategy Moving as Fast as Your Business*. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.
- Merler, S., & Pisani-Ferry, J. (2012). *Hazardous Tango: Sovereign-Bank Interdependence and Financial Stability in the Euro Area*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bruegel.org/publications/publication-detail/publication/725-hazardous-tango-sovereign-bank-interdependence-and-financial-stability-in-the-euro-area/>.
- Merton, Robert C., and Perold, A. (1993). Theory of Risk Capital in Financial Firms. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 6(3): 16–32. Reprinted in Donald H. Chew, ed., *Corporate Risk Management*. New York: Columbia Business School Publishing, 2008: pp. 131–161.
- Moody's Investor Service. (2013a). *Rating Methodology: Sovereign Bond Ratings*. Retrieved January 2014, from: https://www.moody.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_157547.
- . (2013b). *Rating Methodology: Global Banks*. Retrieved January 2014, from: https://www.moody.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_154255.
- Nazareth, A. (2013). *Transition Period for Swaps Push Out Rule*. Retrieved December 2013, from The Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance and Financial Regulation: <http://blogs.law.harvard.edu/corpgov/2013/01/31/transition-period-for-swaps-pushout-rule/>.
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. (2013). *Update Report on the Work to Support the Implementation of the G20 High-Level Principles on Financial Consumer Protection*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.oecd.org/daf/fin/financial-education/G20EffectiveApproachesFCP.pdf>.
- OTC Derivatives Regulators Group. (2013). *Report on Agreed Understandings to Resolving Cross-Border Conflicts, Inconsistencies, Gaps and Duplicative Requirements*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.cftc.gov/ucm/groups/public/@newsroom/documents/file/odrgreport.pdf>.
- Perotti, E., & Suarez, J. (2011). *A Pigovian Approach to Liquidity Regulation*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.imf.org/external/np/res/seminars/2011/arc/pdf/epjs.pdf>.
- Poszar, Z., Adrian, T., Ashcroft, A., & Boesky, H. (2013). “Shadow Banking” *FRBNY Economic Policy Review*, pp. 1–16. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.newyorkfed.org/research/epr/2013/0713adri.pdf>.
- Powell, J. H. (2013). *Ending “Too Big to Fail.”* Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/powell20130304a.pdf>.
- PRA. (2013a). Bank of England Prudential Regulation Authority. *Capital and Leverage Ratios for Major UK Banks and Building Societies. Supervisory*

- Statement SS3/13*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/pra/Documents/publications/policy/2013/capitalleverage3-13.pdf>.
- . (2013b). Bank of England Prudential Regulation Authority. *The Prudential Regulation Authority's Approach to Banking Supervision*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/praapproach/bankingappr1304.pdf>.
- . (2013c). Bank of England Prudential Regulation Authority. *Recovery Planning: Supervisory Statement SS 18/13*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/pra/Documents/publications/policy/2013/recoveryplanning1813.pdf>.
- . (2014). Bank of England Prudential Regulation Authority. *Supervising International Banks: The Prudential Regulation Authority's Approach to Branch Supervision*. Consultation Paper CP4/14. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/pra/Documents/publications/policy/2014/branchsupcp4-14.pdf>.
- Reinhardt, C. M., and Rogoff, K. S. (2009). *This Time Is Different: Eight Centuries of Financial Folly*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Repullo, R., & Saurina, J. (2011, March). *The Countercyclical Capital Buffer of Basel III: A Critical Assessment*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <ftp://ftp.cemfi.es/wp/11/1102formerversion.pdf>.
- Roe, M. J. (2011). The Derivatives Market's Payment Priorities as Crisis Accelerator. *Stanford Law Review*, 59(3), 539–590.
- Sands, P. (2013). Banking Is Heading towards Its Spotify Moment. *Financial Times* June 30. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/e1ae654a-c791-11e2-9c52-00144feab7de.html#axzz2qZfWGmTi>.
- Sants, H. (2009). *Intensive Supervision: Delivering the Best Outcomes*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.fsa.gov.uk/pages/Library/Communication/Speeches/2009/1109_hs.shtml.
- Schlich, S., Bijlsma, M., & Mocking, R. (2014). Improving the Monitoring of the Value of Implicit Guarantees for Bank Debt. *OECD Journal: Financial Market Trends* 106. Retrieved April 2014, from: http://www.oecd.org/daf/fin/financial-markets/Improving_monitoring_guarantees_bank_debt.pdf.
- Shearman and Sterling. (2014). *Volcker Unbound*. Retrieved April 2014, from: <http://www.shearman.com/en/newsinsights/publications/2014/01/volcker-unbound>.
- Singh, M., & Aitken, J. (2010). *The (Sizable) Role of Rehypothecation in the Shadow Banking System*. Retrieved December 2013, from IMF Working Papers 172: <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/wp/2010/wp10172.pdf>.

- Strongin, S. H. (2013) “Does Being More Resolvable Make a Firm More Resilient? – It Depends!” Presentation at Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond Conference on Resolution, Washington, D.C. 18 October 2013 available at: https://www.richmondfed.org/conferences_and_Events/banking/2013/pdf/resolution_conf_panel_5_strongin_does-beingmore-resolvable.pdf.
- Switzerland. Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). (2012). *Ordinance of the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority on the Insolvency of Banks and Securities Dealers (Banking Insolvency Ordinance, BIO-FINMA)*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.admin.ch/ch/e/rs/9/952.05.en.pdf>.
- . (2013). *Resolution of Global Systemically Important Banks: FINMA Position Paper on Resolution of G-SIBs*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.finma.ch/e/finma/publikationen/Documents/pos-sani-erung-abwicklung-20130807-e.pdf>.
- Tarashev, N., Borio, C., & Tsatsaronis, K. (2009). The Systemic Importance of Financial Institutions. *BIS Quarterly Review*, September, 75–87.
- Tarullo, D. K. (2012, November 28). *Regulation of Foreign Banking Organizations*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/tarullo20121128a.pdf>.
- . (2013). *Shadow Banking and Systemic Risk Regulation*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/speech/tarullo20131122a.pdf>.
- Taylor, E., & Hübner, A. (2012). *German Regulator Vetoes Key Deutsche Bank Appointment*. Retrieved January 2014, from: <http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/03/16/germany-deutschebank-idUSL5E8EG19H20120316>.
- Tucker, P. (2012). *Resolution: A Progress Report*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.bankofengland.co.uk/publications/Documents/speeches/2012/speech568.pdf>.
- United Kingdom. (2013). *Financial Services (Banking Reform) Act 2013*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2013/33/pdfs/ukpga_20130033_En.pdf.
- United States. Department of the Treasury, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency; Federal Reserve System and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. UST et al. (2013). *Regulatory Capital Rules: Regulatory Capital, Enhanced Supplementary Leverage Ratio Standards for Certain Bank Holding Companies and Their Subsidiary Insured Depository Institutions*. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-08-20/pdf/2013-20143.pdf>.
- Valukas, A. (2010). *United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York In re Lehman Brothers. Report of Anton Valukas, Examiner.*

- Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://jenner.com/lehman/lehman/VOLUME%201.pdf>.
- Wheatley, M. (2012, September). *The Wheatley Review of LIBOR: Final Report*. Retrieved December 2013, from: http://cdn.hm-treasury.gov.uk/wheatley_review_libor_finalreport_280912.pdf.
- White, L. J. (1991). *The S&L Debacle: Public Policy Lessons for Bank and Thrift Regulation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Woodford, M. (2013, May). *Forward Guidance by Inflation-Targeting Central Banks*. Paper prepared for the Sveriges Riksbank conference: Two Decades of Inflation Targeting: Main Lessons and Remaining Challenges, June 2013. Retrieved December 2013, from: <http://www.columbia.edu/~mw2230/RiksbankIT.pdf>.

Index

- Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2
 - capital, 29, 95–7, 113
- analytics and technology, 170
- anti-money laundering, 74–5
- asset efficiency, 163–5
 - under activity approach, 163
 - business promotion with clients, 163–4
 - and transfer pricing regime, 164–5
- asset managers, 70, 144, 149
- asset quality review (AQR), 56, 64

- bail-in implementation, 91, 112, 135
 - as bankruptcy procedures, 96–8
 - bank with foreign branches, 118, 120
 - of capital instruments, 95
 - CET1 capital requirements, 96
 - conditions for, 93
 - and creditor hierarchy, 95
 - of investor instruments, 96, 98
 - statutory authority for, 94
 - via stay on investor capital, 97
- balance sheet, 115
- bank debt, 26
 - investor's risk in, 17
 - PD and LGD, 133
 - and repo market, 69
- bank efficiency, 162
 - with respect to cost, 165–6
 - in use of assets, 163–4
- bank failure, 40, 44, 120, *see also*
 - bank-in-resolution
 - causes of, 29
 - cost of, 1, 3, 82, 135, 173, 175
 - and financial crisis, 4, 11
 - and FMIs, 70–71
- banking
 - activity-based view of, 144
 - disaggregation and technology, 142, 144, 151
 - structure, resolvable, 114
- Banking Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD), 84
- bank-in-resolution
 - and authorities,
 - communication between, 105
 - derivative contracts, 111
 - investment requirement, 114–15
 - liquidity provision in, 88, 131–32
 - under margining agreements, 111
- bank-in-resolution, restructuring of
 - liquidation of assets, 106
 - need for, 105–6
 - rights of creditors during, 106–9
- bank-in-resolution, stabilisation of, 91, 105
 - access to FMIs, 103
 - authorisation, 102–3
 - bank with foreign branches, 118
 - effective communication, 103–5
 - liquidity provision for, 98–102
 - obstacles for, 92
 - recapitalisation of failed bank, 92–8

- bank levies, 48
- Bank of England's Prudential Regulatory Authority (PRA)
 - on leverage requirement, 28
 - as supervisor of G-SIBs, 8, 9
- bank regulation, 3, 132, 173–4, *see also* conduct regulation and supervision
 - aims of, 19–20
 - bank levies, 48
 - Basel III, *see* Basel III
 - capital requirements, *see* capital requirements, reforming
 - compliance with, 134
 - derivatives market reform, *see* derivatives market reform
 - financial transaction taxes, 47
 - investment and commercial banking, 41–4
 - liquidity regulation, *see* liquidity regulation
 - proprietary trading, prohibition on, 44–5
 - reforms, 5
 - remuneration, 37–8
 - remuneration reform, 37–8
 - resolution funds, 48
 - risk governance, *see* risk governance
 - and subsidiarisation, 45–6
 - taxation, 46–7
- bank regulation, challenge
 - associated with capital requirements, 138–9
 - management of, *see* risk and return targets, sustainable
- bank regulation, framework
 - established by business practice guidelines, 135, 136–7
 - risk boundary, 134–5
- banks, 175
- activity view of, 142–4
 - as adviser, 145
 - asset view of, 142, 144
 - Basel III challenges for, 175
 - becoming “safe to fail,” 3, 6, 16–17, 19
 - as broker, 145
 - capital conservation buffer of, 24
 - capital requirements, *see* capital regimes
 - collateral budget, 31
 - conduct regulation and supervision, *see* conduct regulation and supervision
 - contractual schedule of, 30–1
 - definition of, 152
 - disclosure practices, 154
 - execution-only role of, 150
 - financial services revenue pool, *see* financial services revenue pool
 - fostering flexibility of, 166
 - future of, 1
 - and governments, interdependence between, 17–18
 - individual capital guidance, 56
 - insulated from risky trading activities, 39
 - as intermediaries, 3, 143, 145–6
 - investor obligations, *see* investor obligations
 - leverage ratio, *see* leverage ratio
 - as market-maker, 145–6
 - market shares, *see* market shares
 - in “non-traditional” activities, 176–7
 - originate to distribute strategy, 153, 164
 - pricing pressure on, 143

- rating of, 18
- ratings pickup, 135–6
- resiliency of, 162
- risk governance, *see* risk governance
 - as risk-transformer, 146
- strategic challenge faced by, 139
- structure of, 39
- subsidiarisation of, 45–6
- supervisory classification of, 60
- targets for risk and return, 140–42
- transition challenge faced by, 172
- transparency, 64
- banks, building key capabilities of, 166
 - consideration for, 167
 - talent development, 167–9
 - technology, 169–71
- banks, controls for, 154
 - business management, 160
 - compliance, 161
 - internal audit, 161–62
 - risk appetite control, 155–9
 - risk management, 160–62
- bank subsidiaries, 85, 112, 114–17, 124, 128–30, 140, 171
 - and parent holding company, *see* parent holding company
 - recapitalisation of, 131–333
 - reserve capital of, 131
 - resolution of, *see* bank with foreign branches, resolution of
 - write-down of parent's equity in, 116
- bank supervision, 3, *see also* conduct regulation and supervision
 - aims of, 19–20
 - compliance with, 134
 - conduct supervision, 2
 - derivatives market reform, *see* derivatives market reform
 - investment and commercial banking, 41–4
 - macro-prudential, *see* macro-prudential supervision
 - micro-prudential, *see* micro-prudential supervision
 - prudential supervision, 2
 - reforms, 5
 - remuneration, 37–8
 - sharpening, 2
- bank supervision, challenge associated with
 - capital requirements, 138–9
 - management of, *see* risk and return targets, sustainable
- bank supervision, framework established by
 - business practice guidelines, 135, 136–7
 - risk boundary, 135–6
 - value addition, 137–8
- bank with foreign branches, resolution of, 83, 117
- bank with foreign branches, 117–22
 - hybrid approach to, 129–31
 - MPE approach to, 123–4, 126–7
 - SPE approach to, 122–6
 - territorial approach to, 119–21
 - unitary approach to, 118–19
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision
 - leverage ratio, *see* leverage ratio
 - minimum requirement of exposure, 28
 - risk-weighted regime tightening, 25

- Basel II core Tier 1 capital, 22–3
 - goodwill under, 23
 - minimum equity capital requirement under, 24
 - tangible common equity under, 23
- Basel III, 2, 38, 56, 95, 173
 - accelerating adherence to, 55–6
 - Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital, 29
 - alternatives to, 175–7
 - challenges for banks, 175
 - definition of capital, 23
 - financial stability with, 173–4
 - liquidity regulation, *see* liquidity regulation
 - raised capital requirements, 22, 24–5
 - risk-weighted regime tightening, 25
- benchmarks, manipulation of, 71–2
- bonus award determination, 37–8
- borrowers' earnings, 78
- bridge bank, 43, 86, 112, 120
- British Banking Association, 71
- business management, 160
- business models, 134
 - of OpCo, 171
 - supervisor's review of, 52
- business models, banks' review of
 - intermediation and services, 142–6
 - risk analysis, 147
 - "stay and/or go" decision, 147
- business practice guidelines, 135, 136–7
- Canada, government debt to GDP
 - of, 12
- capital
 - definition of, 22, 26
 - loss absorbency of, 29
 - as tangible common equity, 21
 - capital conservation buffer, 24
 - capital flow, 6
 - capital markets, 11
 - banks' activities in, 45, 141, 148–9, 175
 - growth in, 148
 - capital planning, 55–6
 - capital planning buffer, 56
 - capital raising, due diligence exercise for, 64, 65
 - capital regimes, 21, 25
 - capital requirements, 21
 - CET1 standard, 22–3
 - "gone concern," 29
 - capital requirements, reforming, 22
 - Basel III, *see* Basel III
 - definition of capital, 23
 - cash outflows and inflows, 98
 - buffer of liquid assets for, 30–1
 - contractual schedule of, 30–1
 - central banks, 131–32, 186n54
 - cash deposits at, 32–3
 - and CFPs, 57–8
 - credit to bank-in-resolution, 101–102
 - Federal Reserve, *see* Federal Reserve
 - monetary policy, 13–14
 - role in trigger process, 90, 187n5
- central counterparties (CCPs), 9, 11–13, 23–4, 27–9, 31–2, 38, 40, 64, 108, 114–15
- collateral, 14, 69, 113, 184n22, 185n33
 - borrower's securities as, 68–9
 - liquidation of, 77, 109–11
 - as liquidity facility, 99–101

- liquidity to bank-in-resolution, 99–101, 174
- collateral budget, 31, 57, 162
- commercial banking and investment banking, separation of, 39, 41–4
- commercial real estate lending, 44
- Commodities Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), 63
- common equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital, 23–4, 105–6, 129, 131
 - for bank-in-resolution, 96, 114–15
 - sin bucket, 23
 - tangible common equity under, 23
 - vs.* core Tier 1 capital standard, 22
- competition
 - distortion, 16–17
 - between issuers, 6
- conduct regulation and supervision, 2
 - authorities, 150
 - importance of, 71–2
 - retail markets and consumer protection, 77–8, 150
 - in wholesale markets, 72–7
- conduct risk, score for, 158–9
- constructive certainty, 123, 128–32
- consumer protection, 75–6, 150
- contingency funding plan (CFP), 57, 162
- core Tier 1 capital standard, 22–4
- cost efficiency, 165–6
- counter cyclical bank capital measures, 79
- counter-cyclical buffer, 24
- counter-party risk, 25, 40
- counter-party valuation adjustment (CVA), 27
- credit market
 - distortions in, 79
 - in downswing, 80–
 - and economic cycle, link between, 78–9
 - and loan risk, 78–9
 - in upswing, 79
- creditor hierarchy and bail-in implementation, 95–6
- creditors, 15, 17, 98, 116, 119–21, 126
 - overall rating of, 18
 - as owners of bank-in-resolution, 106–7
 - rights during resolution process, 89–92, 107–9
 - safeguards, 85–6
- credit rating agencies
 - rating of bank, 18
 - reform of, 74
- customer instruments, bail-in of, 96
- customer obligations, 95
- data management, 170
- debt-deflation cycle, 79
- “debt overhang,” 66
- debt providers, 17
- deferred tax assets and Basel II, 23
- deposit guarantee scheme (DGS)
 - resolution authority
 - coordination with, 88
- deposits, 133
- derivative contracts, 110–12
- derivatives, 27
 - operational risk associated with, 39–40
 - role in financial crisis, 39

- derivatives market reform
 - counterparty risk regime, 40
 - risks associated with, 40–1
 - Swaps Push Out rule, 41
- developed economies, growth of, 148
- disruptive innovation, 152
- Dodd-Frank Act, 41, 44
- doom loop, 15
- due diligence exercise, 64

- economic cycle and credit, link between, 79–81
- economy-wide supervision, *see* macro-prudential supervision
- emergency liquidity assistance, 58, 90, 187n7
- emerging markets, financial services growth in, 148–9
- European Central Bank (ECB)
 - quantitative easing, 14
 - stress test of EU banks, 183n10
 - supervision of G-SIBs, 7–9
- European Commission, FTT proposal of, 47
- European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB), 14, 63
- European Union (EU), 46
 - Banking Recovery and Resolution Directive, 84
 - bonus limit, 38
 - on MMMF business, 67
 - proposal for ring fence, 43–4
- failed bank, recapitalisation of, 92–8
 - bail-in implementation, *see* bail-in implementation
 - investor resources for, 92
- Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), 62, 128, 189n22
- Federal Reserve, 63, 68, 128, 192n6
 - on FBOs' US subsidiaries, 46, 128
 - quantitative easing, 14
 - supervision of G-SIBs, 7, 9
- Financial Action Task Force (FATF), 76
- financial crisis, *see also* Great Recession
 - and bank failure, 11
 - impact on global GDP, 4–5
- financial deepening, 148–9
- financial firms, *see also* banks;
 - global systemically important banks (G-SIBs);
 - global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs)
 - cost of capital, 20
 - making “safe to fail,” 6
 - reorganisation and restructuring of, 5
 - risks assumed by, 6
- financial market infrastructures (FMIs), 40
 - bank-in-resolution access to, 103
 - failure of, 11
 - G-SIBs participation in, 10
 - prudential supervision of, 70–71
 - recovery plan, 70
 - robustness of, 70
- financial markets, 109, 111
 - benchmarks, 73
 - disruption to, 4, 5, 8, 11, 15, 19, 92
 - fragmentation of, 21, 39–41, 88, 175
 - G-SIBs' share of activity in, 9–10

- Financial Policy Committee (FPC), 28, 64
- financial services revenue pool, 145
 - capital markets, 148, 150
 - changes in, 150
 - developed markets, 148, 150
 - emerging markets, 148–9
 - and profit potential, 151–52
 - wholesale markets, 150
- financial stability, 173–6
- Financial Stability Board (FSB), 122
 - management’s roles and RAF, 36
 - proposal for haircuts on securities, 68
 - reform agenda, 63
 - on re-hypothecation, 69
 - standards for resolution regime, 84–5
- Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC), 14, 63
- financial system
 - contributions to growth and development, 6
 - support to, 11, 12
- financial transaction taxes (FTT), 47
- fiscal flexibility and stimulus packages, 11–12
- “fit and proper” status, 51, 103
- forbearance, 62, 65, 86, 90, 187n6, 187n7
- foreign banking organisations (FBOs), 128
 - US operations of, 46
- foreign jurisdiction
 - territorial approach of, 119–21
 - unitary approach of, 118–19
- “forward guidance,” 13
- France, government debt to GDP of, 12
- funding costs, 138–9
- FX market, G-SIBs’ share of activity in, 9
- Germany, government debt to GDP of, 12
- global custody, 151
- global GDP, financial crisis impact on, 4–5
- global systemically important banks (G-SIBs), 7–8, 90
 - “acquire to arbitrage” strategy, 153
 - failure of, 10, 11
 - government bail out of, 19
 - leverage ratio for, 28–9
 - participation in FMI, 10
 - resolution of, *see* bank with foreign branches, resolution of
 - share of activity in financial markets, 9
 - supervision of, 8–9
 - systemic surcharge applied to, 24
- global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs)
 - competitive advantage to, 16–17
 - failure and financial crisis, 4–5
 - as systemic risk, 15
- good governance, review of, 51
 - business models, 52
 - “fit and proper” executives, 51
 - internal audit, 53–4
 - line management, 53
 - risk appetite framework, 51
 - risk management and compliance, 53
- government debt relative to GDP, 11–12

- governments and banks,
 - interdependence between, 17–18
- government security, 32
- Great Recession
 - downturn following, 4–5
 - sluggish recovery following, 4–5, 13
- Great Recession, policy measures
 - for containing
 - government debt, 11–13
 - monetary policy, 13–14
 - support for financial institutions, 11, 12
- Greece, 15
- G-SIB stabilisation, 92

- hedge funds, 76
- high-grade corporate bonds, 32
- home country resolution
 - authority, 118–19
 - MPE approach of, 123
 - SPE approach of, 122
 - territorial approach of, 119–21
 - unitary approach of, 118–21
- host country resolution authority, 121, 125, 130
- hybrid approach to resolution, 129–30

- IFRS, leverage ratio under, 26–7
- implied sovereign support, 135
 - bank’s credit rating of, 17
 - and overall rating, 18, 136
- individual capital guidance, 55–6
- individual firm supervision, *see*
 - micro-prudential supervision
- insider trading, 76
- institutional investors, 150
- insurance companies, prudential supervision of, 70

- interest rates, 13
- intermediate holding company
 - UK requirement for, 45
 - US requirement for, 46
- internal audit (IA), 54, 161–62
- investment, 79, 145, 148
 - CET1 capital, 114–15, 126
 - and Dodd-Frank Act, 44
 - in financial institutions and Basel II, 23
 - in money market mutual funds, 66–7
 - role in growth and development, 6
 - in securitisations, 73
 - in technology, 169–71
- investment banking and
 - commercial banking, separation of, 39, 41–4
- investment strategies, 150–52, 165–6
- investor and customer
 - obligations, separation of, 112–13
 - parent holding company
 - structure, 114–15
 - structure of liabilities, 113
- investor instruments, bail-in of, 93, 96, 18
- investor obligations, 86, 95, 97, 98, 102, 107, 110, 123
- investor’s risk
 - in bank debt, 17
 - and resolution regime, 16–17
 - and supervisory regimes, 137–8
- Ireland, 15
- Italy, government debt to GDP of, 12

- “know your customer” (KYC), 76–7

- “know your customer’s customer” (KYCC), 77
- LCR buffer, high-quality liquid assets eligible for, 33
- lenders’ expectations, 80–82
- lending platforms, *see* loan platforms
- leverage ratio, 25
 - asset estimation, 26–7
 - concept of, 26
 - disclosure to investors, 28
 - reporting to supervisors, 28
 - and risk-weighted ratio, 25–6
 - and total Tier 1 capital, 26
 - of UK banks, 28
 - under US GAAP and IFRS, 26–7
- liability structure and bail-in, 95
- LIBOR reforms, 74
- LIBOR violations, 73–4
- line management, 53
- liquidity buffer, 31–3
- liquidity provision in bank-in-resolution, 96–100, 129–30, *see also* liquidity regulation
 - cash inflows and outflows, 98
 - collateral, 99–9
 - framework agreement of lenders, 101–102
 - framework for, 99
 - unencumbered assets, 100–102
- liquidity regulation, 29, 57–8
 - CFPs, 57
 - goals of, 56
 - liquidity coverage ratio, 31–3
 - liquidity risk measurement, 30–1
 - net cash outflows under stress, 31
 - net stable funding ratio (NSFR), 33–4
- loan participations, 152
- loan platforms
 - challenges related to
 - interfacing with, 152–3
 - peer-to-peer, 152
 - strategic questions related to, 152–3
 - strategy for, 153
- loans, 12, 42, 44, 68, 75, 131, 143–5, 154, 164, 177
 - disclosure rules, 152, 154
 - long-term, 146
 - risk of, 78
 - and securities, separation between, 153
- loan syndication, 9–10
- macro-prudential supervision, 50, 65, 79
 - architecture of, 63
 - BSA/AQR, 64
 - credit expansion and extension, 63
 - and “debt overhang,” 64
 - FMI, robustness of, 69
 - objectives of, 79
 - prudential supervision of SIFIs, 69–70
 - rationale for, 63
 - resolvability of SIFIs, 71
 - shadow banking control, *see* shadow banking control
- market discipline, 1, 17, 109, 135
- market liquidity for investors, 6
- market-maker
 - income earned by, 146
 - inventory, 146
 - value for customers, 145–6
- market shares
 - of G-SIBs in key products, 9
 - strategic question, 150–52
 - technology impact on, 151

- maturity transformation, 6
- micro-prudential supervision, 50
- micro-supervision program, 50
 - capital planning, 54–6
 - “fit and proper” status, 51
 - good governance, 51–4
 - liquidity planning, 57–8
 - recovery planning, 57–8
 - supervisory intervention, *see* supervisory intervention
- monetary policy, 11, 13–14
- Monetary Policy Committee (MPC), 64
- Money market mutual funds (MMMFs) with fixed NAVs
 - systemic risk posed by, 65–6
 - US and EU authorities proposals for, 66
- mortgage-backed securities (MBS)
 - purchased by Federal Reserve, 14
 - residential, 32–3
 - sales of, 74–5
- mortgage servicing rights and Basel II, 23
- multiple point of entry (MPE)
 - approach, resolution under, 123, 126–8
- “mutually assured fragmentation,” 121
- net cash outflows
 - estimation of, 30–1
 - resources for, 31
- net stable funding ratio (NSFR), 33–4
- netting agreements, 111
- new equity, issuing
 - due diligence exercise for, 64
 - economic benefit of issuing, 63–4
- non-bank financial institutions
 - prudential supervision of, 69–70
- non-defaulting counterparty (NDC), 110
- off-balance sheet vehicles,
 - consolidation of, 65
- OpCo, 171
- operating risk, 158–9
- Orderly Liquidation Authority, 87
- OTC derivative contracts, 40
- parent company debt, 133
- parent holding company
 - bankruptcy of, 116–17
 - hybrid approach to resolution of, 129–130
 - SPE approach to resolution of, 124–6
 - structure, 114–15
- payment systems (PS), 10
- peer-to-peer lending platforms, 152
- peripheral Eurozone countries, 15
- primary loss absorbing capacity, 115, 188n12
- pro-cyclical business, reducing or reversing, 63–4
- profit potential assessment, 151–52
- proprietary trading, prohibition on, 44–5
- prudential regulation, 137
- prudential supervision, 2
- public finances, G-SIFI impact on, 15
- qualified financial contracts
 - as barrier to resolution, 109–110

- derivative contracts, 110, 111
- liquidation of collateral, 109–11
- repurchase agreements, 110–11
- solutions to, 111–12
- quantitative easing, 13–14
- recovery planning, 57–8
- reforming resolution, *see* resolution reform
- re-hypothecation, 69–8
- remuneration reform, 37–8
- repo market
 - haircuts on securities taken as collateral, 67, 110–12
 - re-hypothecation, 68–9
 - systemic risk to, 70
 - tri-party basis, 69
 - working mechanism of, 68
- repurchase agreements, 110–12
- reserve capital, 96, 105, 114–15, 119, 126, 129–32
- resolution authority, 81–7, *see also*
 - home country resolution authority; host country resolution authority
 - bail-in implementation, *see* bail-in implementation
 - bank-in-resolution
 - restructuring, *see* bank-in-resolution, restructuring of
 - coordination with DGS, 88
 - coordination with supervisory authorities, 88–9
 - preparation for resolution, 87
 - presumptive path followed by, 123–4
 - stabilisation and restructuring responsibility, 86
- resolution funds, 48, 89
- resolution initiation
 - and creditors, 90–1
 - timing for, 91
- resolution, overcoming barriers to
 - constructive certainty, 128–32
 - cross-border issues, 117–28
 - investor and customer obligations, 112–17
 - qualified financial contracts, 109–11
- resolution plan, 61–2
- resolution process, 97
 - prompt initiation of, 90–1
 - risks posed by delaying, 90
 - through sale to third party, 93–4
- resolution reform
 - aims of, 82–3
 - supervisory discipline with, 135
- resolution regimes, 16–17, 82
 - advance planning for, 84
 - basis for resolution fund, 89
 - challenge associated with, 138–9
 - coordinated with FMI, 103
 - corrective action for non-viability, 86
 - financial stability maintenance, 87–8
 - framework, 83
 - FSB key attributes, 84–5
 - “intervention target” of, 87
 - judicial review of, 89
 - legal basis for, 84
 - liquidity provision, 88
 - prior approval requirements, 89
 - and resolution authority, *see* resolution authority
 - resolution tools options, 86–7
 - stay on rights of termination, 110–12
 - time frame, 89

- resolution statutes, 86
- resolution, triggering
 - central bank role in, 90
 - conditions for, 89
 - and forbearance, 90
- resolvability, 1, 3, 20, 29, 82
 - conditions for, 83
 - of ring-fenced bank, 43
 - and structure of liabilities, 112–13
- retail markets and consumer protection, 76–7
- return on assets (RoA), 138–9
- return on equity (RoE), 136–7, 139–40
- ring-fenced bank
 - capital requirements, 43
 - EU proposal for, 43–4
 - resolvability of, 43
 - risk of assets in, 42
- risk and return targets, sustainable
 - combination of, 141
 - consistent with regulatory environment, 140
 - RoE and shareholder value, 141–42
- risk appetite
 - allocation among line businesses, 159
 - controlling, 158
 - guidelines for setting, 156, 158
 - within risk capacity, 155
- risk appetite framework (RAF)
 - controlling, 52–3
 - establishing, 35–6
- risk appetite statements (RAS), 35
- risk capacity
 - calculation of, 155–6
 - definition of, 155
 - and risk appetite, buffer between, 156–8, 162
- risk culture, 53
- risk governance, 6, 34, 133–4
 - ratings pickup elimination, 135–6
 - risk appetite framework, 35–6
 - risk data, 36–7
 - supervisory strategy for, 135–6
- risk management and compliance, 53, 160
- risk premium and firm's debt capacity, 17
- risk-taking behaviour, 19
- risk transformation, 146
- risk weighted assets (RWAs)
 - minimum equity capital requirement for, 24
 - tightening, 25, 40
- rump bank, 120–21
- “secular stagnation,” 13
- secured borrowing, 68
- securities and loans, separation between, 153
- Securities Exchange Commission (SEC), 63
- securitisation, 153–4
- senior unsecured debt, 11
 - as “mezzanine” layer of capital, 11, 95
 - subject to bail-in, 97–8
- service subsidiary, 171
- shadow banking control
 - limits on large exposures, 65
 - money market mutual funds, 65–6
 - off-balance sheet vehicles, 65
 - repo market control, 66–9
 - restrictions on banks, 65
- shareholder value and return on equity (RoE), 141–42
- short-term interest rates, 13
- sin bucket, 23

- single point of entry (SPE)
 - approach, resolution under, 87, 122–6
- Single Resolution Mechanism (SRM), 84
- sovereign guaranteed obligations, 32
- sovereign wealth funds, 150
- Spain
 - government debt to GDP, 2007–2013, 12
- stability and growth.
 - balance between, 5
- stable funding ratio, 33–4
- stand-alone rating, 18–19, 134, 139, 140
- statutory bail-in regime, 84, 94
- stock brokerage, 145
- stress testing, 56, 64, 183n10
- structural reforms, 3
 - aims of, 19
 - bank regulation, *see* bank regulation
 - bank supervision, *see* bank supervision
 - impact of, 2
- structural subordination, 133
- subordinated debt, 29, 38, 95–6, 105, 114–17
- subsidiarisation, 45–6
- supervisors
 - duties of, 6–7, 86
 - of G-SIBs, 7–8
 - and macro-prudential supervision, *see* macro-prudential supervision
 - and micro-prudential supervision, *see* micro-prudential supervision
 - monitoring and minding activities, 137–8
- supervisory authority, 88
- supervisory intervention, 59
 - bank classification, 59–60
 - resolution plan, 61–2
 - risk mitigation program, 61
 - skilled-person reviews, 61
- Swaps Push Out rule, 41
- Swiss government, structural reform of, 45–6
- systemically important financial institutions (SIFIs)
 - prudential supervision of, 70–1
 - resolvability of, 72
- systemic risk identification, 14–15
- tangible common equity, 23
- taxation, 46–7
- taxpayer bailout of banks, 16–17
- technology
 - capital investments in, 169
 - data management/analytics, 170
 - definition of, 169
 - management of, 170
 - and OpCo, 170
- technology and competition, 142
- territorial approach, resolution under, 119–21
- “too big to fail” problem
 - competition distortion, 16–17
 - credit standing of banks, 18–19
 - impact on public finance, 15
 - market discipline issues, 17–18
 - risks associated with, 1, 14
 - risk-taking, 18–19
 - root cause of, 15
 - steps towards ending, 1–2, 14–15
- total Tier 1 capital, 26
- transfer pricing regime and asset efficiency, 164–5

- UK banks, leverage requirement of, 28
- UK Treasury banking reform bill, 45
- unitary approach, resolution under, 118–19
- United Kingdom, 38
 - Financial Policy Committee (FPC), 14, 64
 - government debt to GDP, 2007–2013, 12
 - Monetary Policy Committee (MPC), 64
 - quantitative easing, 13
 - ring-fenced bank, 42–3
 - support for financial institutions, 11, 12
- United States, 38, 121
 - Financial Stability Oversight Council, 63
 - fragmentation of global markets, 40–1
 - government debt to GDP, 2007–2013, 12
 - as home and host, 128
 - leverage ratio for G-SIBs, 28–9
 - SPE approach, 128
 - support for financial institutions, 11, 12
 - triple-key process, 87
- US banking organisation, 42, 46, 182n34
 - Basel III leverage ratio for, 28–9
 - proprietary trading activities, 44–5
 - resolution of, 87
- US GAAP, leverage ratio under, 26–8
- US savings and loan (thrift) industry, 192n6
- Volcker Rule, 44–5
- wholesale markets, 150
 - and anti-money laundering, 76–7
 - and credit rating agencies, 75
 - and insider trading, 75
 - manipulation of benchmarks, 73–4
 - mortgage-backed securities, 74–5