

Bibliography

- [Abr85] P.C. Abrami. Dimensions of effective college teaching. *Review of Higher Education*, 8:211–228, 1985.
- [Ad90] P.C. Abrami and S. d'Apollonia. The dimensionality of student ratings and their use in personnel decisions. In M. Theall and J. Franklin, editors, *Student Ratings of Instruction: Issues for Improving Practice.*, volume 43 of *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, pages 97–111. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1990.
- [Ad91] P.C. Abrami and S. d'Apollonia. Multidimensional students' evaluations of teaching effectiveness—generalizability of “ $n = 1$ ” research: Comment on Marsh (1991). *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 83:411–415, 1991.
- [AdR97] P.C. Abrami, S. d'Apollonia, and S. Rosenfield. The dimensionality of student ratings of instruction: What we know and what we do not know. *Higher Education: Handbook of Theory and Research*, 11:213–264, 1997.
- [ALP82] P.C. Abrami, L. Leventhal, and R.P. Perry. Educational seduction. *Review of Educational Research*, 52:446–464, 1982.
- [Ani53] A.M. Anikeef. Factors affecting student evaluation of faculty members. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 37:458–460, 1953.
- [AR93] N. Ambady and R. Rosenthal. Half a minute: Predicting teacher evaluations from thin slices of nonverbal behavior and physical attractiveness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 64:431–441, 1993.

- [AS73] L.M. Aleamoni and R.E. Spencer. The Illinois course evaluation questionnaire: a description of its development and a report of some of its results. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 33:669–684, 1973.
- [Ben53] A.W. Bendig. Relation of level of course achievement of students, instructors, and course ratings in introductory psychology. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 13:487–488, 1953.
- [Bil95] D. Bilimoria. Modernism, postmodernism, and contemporary grading practices. *Journal of Management Education*, 19:440–458, 1995.
- [Bla74] T. Blass. Measurement of objectivity–subjectivity: effects of tolerance for imbalance and grades on evaluations of teachers. *Psychological Reports*, 34:1199–1213, 1974.
- [Blu36] M.L. Blum. An investigation of the relation existing between students' grades and their ratings of their instructors ability to teach. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 27:217–221, 1936.
- [Blu91] A. Blunt. The effects of anonymity and manipulated grades on student ratings of instructors. *Community College Review*, 18:48–54, 1991.
- [BM72] R.B. Bausell and J. Magoon. Expected grade in a course, grade point average, and student ratings of the courses and the instructor. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 32:1013–1023, 1972.
- [Bro76] D.L. Brown. Faculty ratings and student grades: a university-wide multiple regression analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 68:573–578, 1976.
- [BS76] R.P. Barnoski and A.L. Sockloff. A validation study of the faculty and course evaluation (face) instrument. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 36:391–400, 1976.
- [BSB77] D.C. Brandenburg, J.A. Slinde, and E.E. Batiste. Student ratings of instruction: validity and normative interpretations. *Research in Higher Education*, 7:67–78, 1977.

- [Caf69] B. Caffrey. Lack of bias in student evaluations of teachers. *Proceedings of the 77th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association*, 4:641–642, 1969.
- [Cen75] J.A. Centra. Colleagues as raters of classroom instruction. *Journal of Higher Education*, 46:327–337, 1975.
- [Cen77] J.A. Centra. Student ratings of instruction and their relationship to student learning. *American Educational Research Journal*, 14:17–24, 1977.
- [Cen79] J.A. Centra. *Determining Faculty Effectiveness*. Jossey-Bass, 1979.
- [CGM71] F. Costin, W.T. Greenough, and R.J. Menges. Student ratings of college teaching: reliability, validity, and usefulness. *Review of Educational Research*, 41(5):511–535, 1971.
- [Cha83] T.I. Chacko. Student ratings of instruction: A function of grading standards. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 8(2):19–25, 1983.
- [CL73] J.A. Centra and R.L. Linn. Student points of view in ratings of college instruction. Technical Report RB-73-60, Educational Testing Service, 1973.
- [CLW96] J. Caulkin, P. Larkey, and J. Wei. Adjusting GPA to reflect course difficulty. Technical report, Heinz School of Public Policy and Management, Carnegie Mellon University, 1996.
- [CM81] J. Coleman and W.J. McKeachie. Effects of instructor/course evaluations on student course selection. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 73:224–226, 1981.
- [CN75] K.S. Crittenden and J.L. Norr. Some remarks on student ratings: The validity problem. *American Educational Research Journal*, 12:429–433, 1975.
- [Coh80] P.A. Cohen. Effectiveness of student-rating feedback for improving college instruction. *Research in Higher Education*, 13:321–341, 1980.
- [Coh81] P.A. Cohen. Student ratings of instruction and student achievement. *Review of Educational Research*, 51:281–309, 1981.

- [Coh87] P.A. Cohen. A critical analysis and reanalysis of the multisection validity analysis. In *1987 Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 283 876)*, 1987.
- [Col93] W. Cole. The perils of grade inflation. *Chronicle of Higher Education*, pages B1–B2, January 6, 1993.
- [Com99] A. Comarow. Grades are up, standards are down. *U.S. News and World Report America's Best Colleges*, 1999.
- [Dom71] G. Domino. Interactive effects of achievement orientation and teaching style on academic achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 62:427–431, 1971.
- [Doy75] K.O. Doyle. *Student Evaluation of Instruction*. Heath, Lexington, MA, 1975.
- [EDP76] G.T. Endo and G. Della-Piana. A validation study of course evaluation ratings. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 24:84–86, 1976.
- [ES88] R. Elliot and A.C. Strenta. Effects of improving the reliability of the GPA on prediction generally and on comparative predictions for gender and race particularly. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 25:333–347, 1988.
- [Fel76] K.A. Feldman. Grades and college students' evaluations of their courses and teachers. *Research in Higher Education*, 4:69–111, 1976.
- [Fel88] K.A. Feldman. Effective college teaching from the students' and faculty's view: Matched or mismatched priorities? *Research in Higher Education*, 28:291–344, 1988.
- [FLB75] P.W. Frey, D.W. Leonard, and W.W. Beatty. Student ratings of instruction: Validation research. *American Educational Research Journal*, 12:435–444, 1975.
- [Fre73] P. Frey. Student ratings of teaching: validity of several rating factors. *Science*, 182:83–85, 1973.

- [Fre76] P. Frey. Validity of student instructional ratings as a function of their timing. *Journal of Higher Education*, 47:327–338, 1976.
- [GB90] R.J. Gigliotti and F.S. Buchtel. Attributional bias and course evaluations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82:341–351, 1990.
- [GC62] C.M. Garverick and H.D. Carter. Instructor ratings and expected grades. *California Journal of Educational Research*, 13:218–221, 1962.
- [Ges73] P.K. Gessner. Evaluation of instruction. *Science*, 180:566–569, 1973.
- [GG97] A.G. Greenwald and G.M. Gillmore. Grading leniency is a removable constraint. *American Psychologist*, 52:1209–1217, 1997.
- [GHRPS90] A.E. Gelfand, S.E. Hills, A. Racine-Poon, and A.F.M. Smith. Illustration of Bayesian inference in normal data models using Gibbs sampling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 85:398–409, 1990.
- [GP73] K.L. Granzin and J.J. Painter. A new explanation for students' course evaluation tendencies. *American Educational Research Journal*, 8:435–445, 1973.
- [Gre97] A.G. Greenwald. Validity concerns and usefulness of student ratings of instruction. *American Psychologist*, 52:1182–1186, November 1997.
- [GSHF74] R.D. Goldman, D.E. Schmidt, B.N. Hewitt, and R. Fisher. Grading practices in different major fields. *American Education Research Journal*, 11:343–357, 1974.
- [GW76] R.D. Goldman and M.H. Widawski. A within-subjects technique for comparing college grading standards: implications in the validity of the evaluation of college achievement. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 36:381–390, 1976.
- [Hel47] H. Helson. Adaptation-level as frame of reference for prediction of psychophysical data. *American Journal of Psychology*, 60:1–29, 1947.

- [Hel48] H. Helson. Adaptation-level as a basis for quantitative theory of frame of reference. *Psychological Review*, pages 297–313, 1948.
- [HM80] G.S. Howard and S.E. Maxwell. Correlation between student satisfaction and grades: a case of mistaken causation? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 72:810–820, 1980.
- [Hoc76] J.M. Hocking. College students' evaluations of faculty are directly related to course interest and grade expectation. *College Student Journal*, pages 312–316, 1976.
- [Hol71] D.S. Holmes. The relationship between expected grades and students' evaluations of their instructor. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 31:951–957, 1971.
- [Hol72] D.S. Holmes. Effects of grades and disconfirmed grade expectancies on students' evaluation of their instructor. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 63:130–133, 1972.
- [Hud51] E. Hudelson. The validity of student rating of instructors. *School and Society*, 73:265–266, 1951.
- [Joh97] V.E. Johnson. An alternative to traditional GPA for evaluating student performance. *Statistical Science*, 12:257–278, 1997.
- [Joh99] V.E. Johnson and J. Albert. *Ordinal Data Modeling*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1999.
- [Joh02] V.E. Johnson. Teacher course evaluations and student grades: An academic tango. *Chance*, 15(3):9–16, 2002.
- [Kap74] D.E. Kapel. Assessment of a conceptually based instructor evaluation form. *Research in Higher Education*, 2:1–24, 1974.
- [Kel72] A. Kelley. Uses and abuses of course evaluations as measures of educational output. *Journal of Economic Education*, pages 13–18, 1972.
- [Ken75] W.R. Kennedy. Grades expected and grades received—their relationship to students' evaluations of faculty performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 67:109–115, 1975.

- [KK76] R. Kovaks and D.E. Kapel. Personality correlates of faculty and course evaluations. *Research in Higher Education*, 5:335–344, 1976.
- [KM75] J.A. Kulik and W.J. McKeachie. The evaluation of teachers in higher education. *Review of Research in Education*, 3:210–240, 1975.
- [KM95] J. Koon and H. Murray. Using multiple outcomes to validate student ratings of overall teacher effectiveness. *Journal of Higher Education*, 66:61–81, 1995.
- [Koo68] E.W. Kooker. The relationship of known college grades to course ratings on student selected items. *Journal of Psychology*, 69:209–215, 1968.
- [KS99] A.C. Krautmann and W. Sander. Grades and student evaluations of teachers. *Economics of Education Review*, 18:59–63, 1999.
- [Lan99] R.E. Landrum. Student expectations of grade inflation. *Journal of Research and Development in Education*, 32:124–128, 1999.
- [LC92] P. Larkey and J. Caulkin. Incentives to fail. Technical Report 92-51, Heinz School of Public Policy and Management, Carnegie Mellon University, 1992.
- [Man01] H. Mansfield. Grade inflation: It's time to face the facts. *Chronicle of Higher Education*, page B24, April 6, 2001.
- [Mar80] J.U. Marsh, H.W. Marsh. Overall. Validity of students' evaluations of teaching effectiveness: Cognitive and affective criteria. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 72:468–475, 1980.
- [Mar82] H.W. Marsh. SEEQ: A reliable, valid, and useful instrument for collecting students' evaluations of university teaching. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 52:77–95, 1982.
- [Mar83] H.W. Marsh. Multidimensional ratings of teaching effectiveness by students from different academic settings and their relation to student/course/instructor characteristics. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75:150–166, 1983.

- [Mar84] H.W. Marsh. Students' evaluations of university teaching: dimensionality, reliability, validity, potential biases, and utility. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76:707–754, 1984.
- [MBS56] J.E. Morsh, G.G. Burgess, and P.N. Smith. Student achievement as a measure of instructor effectiveness. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 47:79–88, 1956.
- [McC80] P. McCullagh. Regression models for ordinal data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 42:109–142, 1980.
- [McK79] W.J. McKeachie. Student ratings of faculty. *Academe*, 65:384–397, 1979.
- [McK97] W.J. McKeachie. Student ratings: Validity of use. *American Psychologist*, 52:1218–1225, November 1997.
- [MD92] H.W. Marsh and M.J. Dunkin. Students' evaluations of university teaching: A multidimensional perspective. *Higher Education: Handbook of Theory and Research*, 8:142–233, 1992.
- [MF79] R.S. Meier and J.F. Feldhusen. Another look at Dr. Fox: Effect of stated purpose for evaluation, lecturer expressiveness, and density of lecture content on student ratings. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71:339–345, 1979.
- [Mil72] R.I. Miller. *Evaluating Faculty Performance*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1972.
- [Mir73] R. Mirus. Some implications of student evaluation of teachers. *Journal of Economic Education*, 5:35–37, 1973.
- [MR93] H.W. Marsh and L.A. Roche. The use of student evaluations and an individually structured intervention to enhance university teaching effectiveness. *American Educational Research Journal*, 30:217–251, 1993.
- [Nea96] I. Neath. How to improve your teaching evaluations without improving your teaching. *Psychological Reports*, 78:1363–1372, 1996.
- [NL84] J.P. Nelson and K.A. Lynch. Grade inflation, real income, simultaneity, and teaching evaluations. *Journal of Economic Education*, 21–37, 1984.

- [NWD73] D.H. Naftulin, J.E. Ware, and F.A. Donnelly. The Doctor Fox lecture: A paradigm of educational seduction. *Journal of Medical Education*, 48:630–635, 1973.
- [Owi85] I. Owie. Incongruence between expected and obtained grades and students' rating of the instructor. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 12:196–199, 1985.
- [Pas79] P.J. Pascale. Knowledge of final grade and effect on student evaluation of instruction. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 4(2):52–57, 1979.
- [PC80] C. Peterson and S. Cooper. Teacher evaluation by graded and ungraded students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 72:682–685, 1980.
- [Per98] N. Perrin. How students at Dartmouth came to deserve better grades. *Chronicle of Higher Education*, page A68, October 9, 1998.
- [Poh75] J.T. Pohlmann. A multivariate analysis of selected class characteristic and student ratings of instruction. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 10:81–92, 1975.
- [PP76] M. Pratt and T.A.E.C. Pratt. A study of student–teacher grading interaction process. *Improving College and University Teaching*, 24:73–81, 1976.
- [Rao73] C.R. Rao. *Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications, 2nd Edition*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1973.
- [Ray68] N.F. Rayder. College student ratings of instructors. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 37:76–81, 1968.
- [RB53] H.E. Russell and A.W. Bendig. An investigation of the relation of student ratings of psychology instructors to their course achievement when academic aptitude is controlled. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 13:626–635, 1953.
- [RCF73] B. Rosenshine, A. Cohen, and N. Furst. Correlates of student preference ratings. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 14:269–272, 1973.

- [Rem28] H.H. Remmers. The relationship between students' marks and student attitude toward instructors. *School and Society*, 759–760, 1928.
- [Rem30] H.H. Remmers. To what extent do grades influence student ratings of instructors? *Journal of Educational Research*, 21:314–316, 1930.
- [RH02] H. Rosovsky and M. Hartley. Evaluation and the academy: Are we doing the right thing? American Academy of Arts and Sciences, 136 Irving Street, Cambridge, MA 02138-1996, 2002.
- [RM70] J. Rubenstein and H. Mitchell. Feeling free, student involvement, and appreciation. *Proceedings of the 78th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association*, 5:623–624, 1970.
- [RME49] H.H. Remmers, F.D. Martin, and D.N. Elliot. Are students' ratings of instructors related to their grades? *Purdue University Studies in Higher Education*, 66:17–26, 1949.
- [Rod75] M. Rodin. Student evaluation. *Science*, 187:555–557, 1975.
- [RR72] M. Rodin and B. Rodin. Student evaluations of teachers. *Science*, 177:1164–1166, 1972.
- [RRL50] J.W. Riley, B.F. Ryan, and M. Lifschitz. *The Student Looks at His Teacher: An Inquiry into the Implications of Student Ratings at the College Level*. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, N.J., 1950.
- [Sav45] B.M. Savage. Undergraduate ratings of courses in Harvard College. *Harvard Educational Review*, 15:168–172, 1945.
- [SC73] A.J. Schuh and M.A. Crivelli. Animadversion error in student evaluations of faculty teaching effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 58:259–260, 1973.
- [Sch75] D.P. Schwab. Course and student characteristic correlates of the course evaluation instrument. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60(6):742–747, 1975.
- [SD65] R.E. Spencer and W. Dick. Course evaluation questionnaire: manual of interpretation. Technical Report 200, Measurement

and Research Division, Office of Instructional Resources, University of Illinois, Urbana, 1965.

- [SE87] A.C. Strenta and R. Elliot. Differential grading standards revisited. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 24:281–291, 1987.
- [Sei83] D.A. Seiver. Evaluations and grades: a simultaneous framework. *Journal of Economic Education*, 32–38, 1983.
- [SF79] S.A. Stumpf and R.D. Freedman. Expected grade covariation with student ratings of instruction: individual versus class effects. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71:293–302, 1979.
- [SGD83] V. Scheurich, B. Graham, and M. Drolette. Expected grades versus specific evaluations of the teacher as predictors of students' overall evaluation of the teacher. *Research in Higher Education*, 19:159–173, 1983.
- [Sha90] E.G. Shapiro. Effect of instructor and class characteristics on students' class evaluations. *Research in Higher Education*, 31:135–148, 1990.
- [SM66] C.T. Stewart and L.F. Malpass. Estimates of achievement and ratings of instructors. *Journal of Educational Research*, 59:347–350, 1966.
- [Spe68] R.E. Spencer. The Illinois course evaluation questionnaire: Manual of interpretation. Technical Report 270, Office of Instructional Resources, University of Illinois, Champaign, IL., 1968.
- [SS74] A.M. Sullivan and G.R. Skanes. Validity of student evaluation of teaching and the characteristics of successful instructors. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 66:584–590, 1974.
- [Sta34] J.A. Starrak. Student rating of instruction. *Journal of Higher Education*, 5:88–90, 1934.
- [SWL91] R. Sabot and J. Wakeman-Linn. Grade inflation and course choice. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 5:159–171, 1991.
- [TF70] D.J. Treffinger and J.F. Feldhusen. Predicting students' ratings of instruction. In *Proceedings of the 78th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association*, 621–622, 1970.

- [VF60] V.W. Voeks and G.M. French. Are student ratings of teachers affected by grades? *Journal of Higher Education*, 31:330–334, 1960.
- [VS79] R. Vasta and R.F. Sarmiento. Liberal grading improves evaluations but not performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71:207–211, 1979.
- [War73] W.G. Warrington. Student evaluation of instruction at Michigan State University. In A.L. Sockloff, editor, *Proceedings: The First Invitational Conference on Faculty Effectiveness as Evaluated by Students*, 1973.
- [WC97] W.M. Williams and S.J. Ceci. How'm I doing? *Change*, September:13–23, 1997.
- [Wea60] C.H. Weaver. Instructor rating by college students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 51:21–25, 1960.
- [Wil99] B.P. Wilson. The phenomenon of grade inflation in higher education. *National Forum*, 79:38–49, 1999.
- [Win77] J.L. Winsor. A's, B's, but not C's?: A comment. *Contemporary Education*, 48(2):82–84, 1977.
- [WW75] J.E. Ware and R.G. Williams. The Dr. Fox effect: A study of lecturer effectiveness and ratings of instruction. *Journal of Medical Education*, 50:149–156, 1975.
- [WW76] R.G. Williams and J.E. Ware. Validity of student ratings of instruction under different incentive conditions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 68:48–56, 1976.
- [WW77] R.G. Williams and J.E. Ware. An extended visit with Dr. Fox: Validity of student satisfaction with instruction ratings after repeated exposures to a lecturer. *American Educational Research Journal*, 14:449–457, 1977.
- [WW79] A.G. Worthington and P.T. Wong. Effects of earned and assigned grades on student evaluations of an instructor. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71:764–775, 1979.

Index

- Abrami, P.C., 75, 139ff, 145, 147, 150, 153, 165
achievement index, 2, 3, 218ff, 226ff
adaptation theory, 199
additive grade adjustments, 211ff
Albert, J., 103
Aleamoni, L.M., 65
Ambady, N., 137
Anikeef, A.M., 65
attribution theory, 61, 71, 83, 98, 110, 162
- Barnoski, R.P., 54
Batiste, E.E., 65
Bausell, R.B., 65
Beatty, W.W., 66, 73, 141
Bendig, A.W., 58, 65
Bilimoria, D., 8, 236
Blass, T., 54
Blum, M.L., 54
Blunt, A., 79ff
Bonferroni adjustments, 123
Brandenburg, D.C., 65
Brown, D.L., 66
Buchtel, F.S., 56, 61
Burgess, G.G., 76, 146
- Caffrey, B., 54, 76
Carnegie Mellon University, 211
Carter, H.D., 56
Caulkin, J., 209ff
- causal relation between grades and SETs, 103ff
Ceci, S.J., 148ff, 165
Centra, J.A., 54, 55, 146
Chacko, T.I., 81
choice model, 175
class mean grades and SETs, 89ff
Cohen, A., 58
Cohen, P.A., 76, 144, 145
Cole, W., 3, 4
Coleman, J., 170
collinearity of regression coefficients, 160
Columbia University, 243
Comarow, A., 6
construct validity, 141
convergent validity, 141, 145ff
Cooper, S., 57
correlation coefficient, 59
Costin, F., 51, 76
course selection and student grades, 167ff
Crittenden, K.S., 136, 140
Crivelli, M.A., 58
- d'Apollonia, S., 139ff, 145, 147, 150, 153, 165
Dartmouth College, 2, 5, 6, 204, 243
Della-Piana, G., 55
Dick, W., 59
dimensions of effective teaching,

- 147
 disconfirmed grade expectancy, 78
 Domino, G., 55
 Donnelly, F.A., 134ff, 165
 Doyle, K.O., 73
 Drolette, M., 58, 69
 DUET:
 description, 11ff, 17ff
 faculty opposition to, 27ff
 ethnicity response bias, 33ff
 gender response bias, 33ff
 GPA response bias, 39ff
 response rates, 29
 response summaries, 30-31,
 34-37, 40-43, 46-47
 sources of nonresponse, 26ff, 32ff
 survey items, 21ff
 timeline, 20
 Dunkin, M.J., 144, 145
- Eastern Kentucky University, 243
 educational seduction, 136, 148, 165
 Elliot, D.N., 61, 63, 69, 73
 Elliot, R., 203, 237ff
 Endo, G.T., 55
 experimental studies of grades and
 SETs, 75ff
- factor analysis, 142ff, 152
 factor structure of DUET items, 91
 Feldhusen, J.F., 59, 138ff
 Feldman, K.A., 51, 53
 Fisher, R., 197ff, 224
 Fox effect, 134ff, 148
 Freedman, R.D., 54
 French, G.M., 51, 59
 Frey, P., 55, 66, 73, 141
 Furst, N., 58
- Garverick, C.M., 56
 Gauthier, D., 18
 Gelfand, A.E., 122
 generalized least squares, 121
 Gessner, P.K., 66, 73
 Gigliotti, R.J., 56, 61
 Gillmore, G.M., 51, 60, 62, 66
- Goldman, R.D., 197ff, 201ff, 224,
 237
 grade adjustment methods, 209ff
 grading theories:
 disconfirmed grade expectancy,
 78
 grade attribution, 61, 71, 83, 98,
 110, 162
 grade leniency, 10, 51, 60, 64, 83,
 98, 110, 162
 grade satisfaction, 51, 83
 intervening factors, 62, 71, 83
 teacher effectiveness, 10, 50-51,
 62, 64, 96, 162, 234
- Graham, B., 58, 69
 Graham, D., 3
 Granzin, K.L., 56
 Greenough, W.T., 51, 76
 Greenwald, A.G., 11, 51, 60, 62, 66
 gut courses, 169
- Hartley, M., 7, 240ff
 Harvard University, 3
 Helson, H., 199, 205
 Hewitt, B.N., 197ff, 224
 Hills, S.E., 122
 Hocking, J.M., 56
 Holmes, D.S., 56, 77ff
 Horowitz, J., 18
 Howard, G.S., 62, 67
 Hudelson, E., 56
- Indiana University, 243
 intervening factors between grades
 and SETs, 62, 71, 83, 87ff
- Johnson, V.E., 103, 218ff
- Kapel, D.E., 56, 57
 Kelley, A., 56
 Kennedy, B., 18
 Kennedy, W.R., 67
 Kooker, E.W., 67
 Koon, J., 145
 Kovaks, R., 57
 Krautmann, A.C., 67
 Kulik, J.A., 147

- Landrum, R.E., 68
 Larkey, P., 209ff
 lecture content and SETs, 136ff
 Leonard, D.W., 66, 73, 141
 leniency theory, 10, 51, 60, 64, 83, 98, 110, 162
 Leventhal, L., 139ff
 linear regression analysis, 88, 211
 Linn, R.L., 55
 Lifschitz, M., 69
 Lynch, K.A., 68
- Magoon, J., 65
 Malpass, L.F., 70
 Mansfield, H., 3, 4, 6, 7
 Marsh, H.W., 62ff, 68, 76, 140, 141, 144, 145, 146, 151, 162
 Martin, F.D., 61, 63, 69, 73
 Maxwell, S.E., 62, 67
 McCullagh, P., 106
 McKeachie, W.J., 147, 152, 165, 170
 mean grades on transcripts, 2, 243
 Meier, R.S., 138ff
 Menges, R.J., 51, 76
 Miller, R., 57
 Mirus, R., 68
 Mitchell, H., 69
 Morsh, J.E., 76, 146
 multiple dimensions of teaching, 141ff
 multisection validity study, 74, 143ff
 Murray, H., 145
- Naftulin, D.H., 134ff, 165
 Neath, I., 165
 Nelson, J.P., 68
 Nijhout, M., 18
 nonresponse, 15, 29ff, 122ff
 Norr, J.L., 136, 140
- observational studies of grades and SETs, 53ff
 odds, 104, 176
 odds of course selection by grading expectations, 178
 odds ratios, 104
 ordinal regression, 103, 156
- Overall, H.W., 144
 Owie, I., 57
- Painter, J.J., 56
 pairwise grade differences, 196, 201ff, 227
 Pascale, P.J., 57
 peer ratings of instruction, 146
 Perrin, N., 5
 Perry, R.P., 139ff
 Peterson, C., 57
 Pohlmann, J.T., 68
 postmodern view of grading, 8ff
 Pratt, M., 57
 Pratt, T.A.E.C., 57
 Princeton University, 2
 prior student interest and SETs, 89ff, 97
 product measures of student achievement, 151ff
- Racine-Poon, A., 122
 random effects, 121
 Rao, C.R., 121
 Rayder, N.F., 51, 69
 Reed College, 6
 reform strategies, 239ff
 regression analysis, 88
 Remmers, H.H., 51, 53, 58, 61, 63, 69, 73, 83
 Richards, J., 18
 Riley, J.W., 69
 Roche, L.A., 151
 Rodin, B., 51, 69, 72ff
 Rodin, M., 51, 69, 72ff
 Rosenfield, S., 145, 147, 150, 153
 Rosenshine, B., 58
 Rosenthal, R., 137
 Rosovsky, H., 7, 240ff
 Rubenstein, J., 69
 Russell, H.E., 58
 Ryan, B.F., 69
- Sabot, R., 12, 171ff
 Sander, W., 67
 Sarmiento, R.F., 80, 96
 satisfaction theory, 51, 83

- Savage, B.W., 69
 Scheurich, V., 58, 69
 Schmidt, D.E., 197*ff*, 224
 Schuh, A.J., 58
 Schwab, D.P., 51, 58
 SEEQ, 63, 141*ff*, 145
 Seiver, D.A., 58
 selection set analyses, 174*ff*
 self-evaluations of teaching, 146
 SET development, 140*ff*
 Shapiro, E.G., 70
 Slinde, J.A., 65
 Smith, A.F.M., 122
 Smith, P.N.,
 Sockloff, A.L., 54
 Spencer, R.E., 59, 65, 76
 Starrak, J.A., 59
 Strenta, A.C., 203, 237*ff*
 Strohbehn, J., 3
 Stewart, C.T., 70
 Stumpf, S.A., 54
 Sullivan, A.M., 70, 73
 Skanes, G.R., 70, 73
 standardized variables, 90
 standardization of variables, 120*ff*
 student course selections, 167*ff*
 student evaluations of teaching, 49*ff*
 student prior subject interest and
 SETs, 89*ff*, 97
 Swarthmore College, 6

 teaching dimensions, 141
 teacher effectiveness theory, 10,
 50*ff*, 62, 64, 96, 162, 234
 Thompson, R., 18, 19, 27
 Treffinger, D.J., 59

 University of the South, 6
 University of California, Los
 Angeles, 142
 University of California, Riverside,
 198, 201

 validity of SETs, 134*ff*
 Vasta, R., 80, 96
 views of grading:
 traditional, 3*ff*
 postmodern, 8*ff*
 Voeks, V., 51, 59

 Wakeman-Linn, J., 12, 171*ff*
 Ware, J.E., 134*ff*, 165
 Warrington, W.G., 141
 Weaver, C.H., 70
 Wei, J., 209*ff*
 Widawski, M.H., 201*ff*, 237
 Williams College, 171
 Williams, R.G., 136*ff*
 Williams W.M., 148*ff*, 165
 Wilson, B., 2
 Wilson, B.P., 234
 Winsor, J.L., 51, 77
 Worthington, A.G., 79
 Wong, P.T., 79