

# Index

## A

- Adaptation, with social axioms, 18
- Aesthetic man (*homo aestheticus*), 124
- Affective commitment, 39
- African American culture, 83–84
- Allport–Vernon–Lindzey value instrument, 111
- Attitudes, 220–221

## B

- Behavioral domains and social axioms, study
  - aims, 219–220
  - context, 221–223
  - discussion, 234–236
  - measures, 224–225
  - participants, 223–224
  - procedure, 225–226
  - relationship with theory of planned behavior, 229–233
  - validity of the BI-SAS, 226–229
- Behavioral influence, of social axioms, study
  - hypotheses, 138
  - implications, 138
  - participants and procedure, 137–138
  - results, 138
- Belief, 320
  - in the behavioral sciences, 322–324
  - development of, 326–327
  - elusiveness of, 322–324
  - essentiality of, 324–326
  - localness of, 329–330
  - role in human functioning, 320–322
  - usefulness of, 327–328
- Beliefs, as a type of cognition, 2
- Belief system, 198

## C

- California Psychological Inventory (CPI), 149
- Chinese cultural heritage, 1

- Chinese Culture Connection, 1
- Chinese values, modern, 97
- Christianity and coping styles, study
  - design of study, 183–184
  - discussion, 189–192
  - hypotheses, 183
  - limitations of study, 192
  - measures, 184
  - participants, 184–185
  - result analysis, 185–188
- Collective citizenship behavior (CCB), 36–37
- Collective emotions, 41
- Conflict and conflict management, in organization
  - individual-level social axioms, 44–45
  - preferences for conflict management styles, 45
  - social–cultural context, 46
- Confucian work dynamism, 1, 82
- Construct bias, 53
- Continuance commitment, 39
- Coping
  - defined, 178
  - and religion, 180–181
  - types, 178
- Coping styles across faiths, study
  - comparison of Muslims and Christians on social axioms, 185–188
  - design of study, 183–184
  - discussion, 189–192
  - hypotheses, 183
  - limitations of study, 192
  - measures, 184
  - participants, 184–185
- Coping Style Scale, 277–278
- Cross-cultural psychology, 244–266
- Cultural dimensions, in social beliefs. *See* Ethnic group and geographical variations, in social beliefs
- Cynicism. *See* Social cynicism

**D**

Developmental psychology, of adolescence, 113

**E**

Economic man (*homo oeconomicus*), 124

Emotion-focused coping, 178

Epistemological beliefs

and age differences, 165

defined, 164

relationship with social axioms, study

discussion, 170–173

hypotheses, 166–167

instruments, 167–168

participants and procedure, 167

results, 168–170

Ethnic group and geographical variations,

in social beliefs

regional variations in cultural dimensions, 84

study analysis

discussion, 90–92

ethnic differences, 88–89

instruments, 85

participants, 84–85

procedure, 85–86

regional differences, 89

results, 86–89

sex differences, 86–88

structure of social axioms, 86

in USA, 83–84

Euro Americans, 83–84

**F**

Familial transmission, of social axioms, study

existence of a social climate, 135–136

hypotheses, 132–133, 135

implications, 136–137

intergenerational changes, 131–134

intrafamily transmission, 134–135

participants and variables, 131–132

Fate control, 3, 115, 335–336. *See also*  
specific studies

and coping behavior, 185–188, 190

correlation with external locus of control, 24

correlation with well-being, 25

defined, 24

demographic differences in Sabahan  
society, 104

and epistemological beliefs, 169–170

in Greece, 201–202, 206–211, 213

in India, 288

and interpersonal relationships, 157

in Italy, 276, 279

Polish culture, 249

relation with *Eros* and *Mania*, 251

Spanish culture, 249

vs. personal belief, 24

vs. religiosity, 24–25

Feather's expectancy–value model, 23

Freiburger Persönlichkeitsinventar  
(FPI), 149

Future-oriented proactive coping, 178

**G**

*Geisteswissenschaftliche Psychologie*, 110, 124

Gender differences, in intergenerational  
transmission, 119–120

Generalized expectancies, 2, 221

Generalized social beliefs, 45

German humanistic psychology, 110–111

God concept, 180

Greece and social axioms, study

discussion, 211–213

exploratory factor analysis, 204–205,  
208–210

outcomes, 202–203

study 1

aim of, 201

study 2

aim of, 203

outcomes, 206–211

variables, 203

variables, 201–202

**H**

Higher-level social axioms

and conflict/conflict management, 44–45

Higher-level social axioms and organizational  
commitment, 41–42

Hofstede's, examination of effect of social  
culture on organizational  
commitment, 43

Hofstede's, on organizational cultures, 37

Hofstede's societal-level values of  
individualism and collectivism, 35

Hofstede's work-related values, 1, 82

Horizontal individualism, 45

**I**

India, cultural dimensions, study

discussion, 290–291

Hofstede's index for individualism, 284

measures, 286

participants, 285–286

- results
    - association of demographic variables
      - with social axioms, 288–290
      - predictive power of social axioms, 288
      - social axioms and individualistic–collectivist orientations, 287–288
    - Schwartz’s theory of values, 285
    - Triandis’s individualist cultural pattern, 284
  - Individualism–collectivism (IC)
    - dimension, 82
  - Individual-level social axioms, 32–33
    - and conflict/conflict management, 44–45
    - and organizational citizenship behaviors, 35–36
    - and organizational commitment, 40–41
  - Individuation, 295
  - Individuation, in cultural contexts, study
    - Chinese and Canadian setting
      - gender and cultural differences in individuation, 305–308
      - individual values, 303
      - individuation across individualistic and collectivist cultures, 308–309
      - individuation within cultures, 308
      - instruments, 304–305
      - participants, 304
      - procedure, 305
      - results and discussion, 305–309
      - social axioms, 303–304
    - Chinese settings
      - independent and interdependent context, 297
      - instruments, 298–299
      - modernity and traditionality, 296–297
      - participants, 297–298
      - procedure, 299
      - results and discussion, 299–302
      - self-esteem and self-efficacy, 297
    - general conclusions
      - contribution to social axioms, 311
      - cultural differences in individuation, 312
      - gender differences in individuation, 311–312
      - relation to values, 310–311
  - Indonesia, social axioms in. *See* Behavioral domains and social axioms, study
  - Indonesia’s multiculturalism, 219
  - Intergenerational similarity, 113
    - analysis, 115–116
  - Intergenerational transmission, of social axioms and intergenerational similarity, 113
    - analysis, 115–116
    - literature review, 112
    - reference to positional stability, 114
    - analysis, 116–118
  - study
    - behavioral influence, 137–138
    - degree of intergenerational level similarity, analysis, 118–119
    - degree of parental agreement on values, 120–121
    - within the family context, 131–137
    - instruments, 115–116
    - reference to positional stability, 115–116
    - results, 122–123
    - role of gender differences, 119–120
    - sample, 115
    - structural similarity between generations, 115–116
    - structure of social axioms, 114–115
    - zeitgeist* influence, 121–122
  - Intraclass Correlation Coefficients, 34
  - Islam and coping styles, study
    - design of study, 183–184
    - discussion, 189–192
    - hypotheses, 183
    - limitations of study, 192
    - measures, 184
    - participants, 184–185
    - result analysis, 185–188
  - Italian culture, social axioms in, study
    - discussion, 280–281
    - emic meaning in Italian culture
      - coping behavior, 279
      - instruments, 277–279
      - moral judgment development, 279–280
      - participants and procedure, 276
  - Italian version of the Social Axioms Survey (SAS-I), dimensionality
    - assumptions, 271
    - confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), 272–276
    - participants, 271
    - principal components factor analysis, 271–272
    - reliability of the SAS-I dimensions, 276
    - results of the Procrustes rotation, 276
  - Item bias, 53
- L**
- Level similarity, between parents and offspring, 118–119
  - Locus of control, 83
  - Love and social cynicism, study
    - comparison of Polish and Spanish culture, 247–248
    - love styles, 246–247

- Love and social cynicism, study (*cont.*)
  - method, 248
  - research problems, 246–247
  - results, 248–251
- Love Attitude Scale of Hendrick and Hendrick, 250

## M

- Menschenbild*, 110
- Method bias, 53
- Muslims and coping behavior. *See* Islam and coping styles, study

## N

- Normative commitment, 39, 42
- Normative compliance, 140

## O

- Organizational citizenship behavior (OCB)
  - conceptualization of
    - higher level social axioms, 36–37
    - individual level social axioms, 35–36
    - societal-level social axioms, 37
  - conflict and conflict management, 43–47
  - extra-role behaviors *vs.* in-role behaviors, study, 36
  - at the individual level of theory, 34–35
  - of influence, 31–32
  - multilevel issues, 32
  - and organizational commitment, 39–43
  - role of culture, 35–36
- Organizational commitment
  - components of, 39
  - effect of social culture on, 43
  - employee level of, 42
  - national culture and, 42
  - social axioms and, 39–43

## P

- Padua Moral Judgment Development Scale, 278
- Pan-cultural dimensionality, 82
- Political man (*homo politicus*), 124
- Politics and social cynicism, study
  - Polish–Russian relations, 255–256
  - method, 256–257
  - research tools, 257–258
  - results
    - bilateral relations, 258–260
    - interaction effect of discourse type, 260

- propagandist and experienced versions of social reality, 261–262
- Positional similarity, between parents and offspring, 116–118
- Principal components analysis (PCA), of social axioms, 33
- Proactive Coping Inventory, 184
- Problem-focused coping, 178

## R

- Referent-shift consensus model, 34
- Religion
  - and coping, 180–181
  - definitions, 179
  - Durkheim's distinction, 179
  - Geertz's distinction, 179
  - Taylor's distinction, 179
- Religiosity, 3, 115, 337–338. *See also* specific studies
  - among Muslims, 182
  - and commitment, 40
  - and coping behavior, 185–189
  - correlation with mental health, 26
  - defined, 25
  - and epistemological beliefs, 169–170
  - in Greece, 206–211
  - in India, 288
  - and interpersonal relationships, 152, 157–158
  - in Italy, 276, 279
  - Polish culture, 249
  - positive psychological outcomes of, 26
  - Spanish culture, 249
- Religious man (*homo religiosus*), 124
- Reward for application, 3, 115, 334–335. *See also* specific studies
  - and coping behavior, 185–188, 191–192
  - empirical findings, 23
  - and epistemological beliefs, 169–170
  - in Greece, 202, 206–211
  - in India, 288
  - and interpersonal relationships, 155–157
  - in Italy, 276, 279
  - Polish culture, 249
  - Spanish culture, 249
  - utility of, 18
  - vs.* social cynicism, 18
  - well-being and, 23
- Romanian culture, 147–148

## S

- Sabah, cartography and demography, 96–97
- Sabahan society, study of social axioms

- differences on demographic variables, 102–103
  - discussion, 103–105
  - ethnic differences, 100–102
  - exploratory factor analysis, 99–100
  - gender differences, 100
  - instruments, 98
  - participants, 97–98
  - procedure, 98
  - results, 98–103
- Schwartz's ten value types, 241
- Schwartz Value Survey (SVS), 199, 304
- “Side bet” theory, 41
- Social axioms, 218. *See also* specific studies and anger/anxiety expression, 154 and attitude, 96 as behavioral predictors, 199–201 defined, 2 effects on organizational citizenship. *See* Organizational citizenship behavior (OCB)
- five-factor structure, 4–5, 114–115, 130–131, 181, 332–338
- future directions for research, 26–27, 33–34
- as guidelines for actions, 5–6
- at higher-levels, 33–34
- implications on self-worth and well-being, 18–19
- and indicators of life satisfaction, 152–153
- at individual level, 32–33
- individual vs. cultural beliefs, 244
- in Indonesia, 219–220
- integration with theory of planned behavior (TPB), 220–221
- as knowledge source, 18
- literature review, 19–26
- and organizational commitment, 39–43
- prototypicality of gender categories, 244
- psychological functions of
  - fate control, 24–25
  - religiosity, 25–26
  - reward for application, 23
  - social complexity, 23–24
  - social cynicism, 19–23
- survey. *See* Social Axioms Survey, study of universal dimensions, 3–5
- vs. personality, 14–16
- vs. values, 16–17, 110, 130–131
- Social Axioms Survey, study of, 83
  - analysis of bias
    - atheist countries, 66
    - comparison of pooled factors with country factors, 61–63
    - conclusion of, 75
    - correlation with GDP, 70–71
    - correlation with religious denomination of a country, 66, 72–74
    - differential item functioning, 66–75
    - discussion, 75–79
    - examination of structural equivalence, 55–56
    - factor analysis of the combined data set, 57–61
    - item bias analysis, 56
    - pairwise comparison of country factors, 63–66
    - sample data, 54
    - statistical analysis, 55–56
    - structural equivalence analysis, 61–66
    - subscales, 54
    - weaknesses in, 77–78
  - issued compared across the 41 countries, 52
  - items at individual level, 52, 83
  - methodological framework, 53
  - theoretical framework, 53
  - validation of BI version, 226–229
    - development, 224–225
    - variants of, in the analysis of love relationships, 242–244
- Social Axioms Survey (SAS) inventory, 34, 40, 305
- Social behavior, by axioms, study
  - discussions, 154–158
  - measures, 149–150
  - method, 148
  - participants, 148–149
  - results, 150–154
  - Romanian cultural context, 147–148
- Social behavior, in cultural contexts, 294
- Social beliefs. *See* Ethnic group and geographical variations, in social beliefs
- Social complexity, 3, 115, 333–334. *See also* specific studies and coping behavior, 185–189 defined, 23 empirical findings, 23–24 and epistemological beliefs, 169–170 as a facilitator, 23 in Greece, 206–211 and interpersonal relationships, 157 in Italy, 276, 279 Polish culture, 249 Spanish culture, 249

- Social cynicism, 3, 37, 114, 332–333. *See also* specific studies  
 assessment of friendly *vs.* cynical dialogues between Polish and Russian actors in the political arena, 246  
 and commitment, 40  
 compared with *Pragma*, 251  
 contributions to psychological outcomes, 22  
 and coping behavior, 22, 185–190  
 correlation with social behavior, 152, 154–155  
 defined, 19  
 empirical findings, 19  
 and epistemological beliefs, 169–170  
 gender differences in Sabahan society, 103  
 in Greece, 202, 206  
 in India, 287  
 in love, 240–241. *See also* Love and social cynicism  
 mortality-related issues, 22  
 in politics. *See* Politics and social cynicism  
 view of the social world, 21–22  
*vs.* reward for application, 18  
 Social man (*homo socialis*), 124  
 Societal cynicism, 33  
 Spirituality, 3, 180  
 Spranger, Eduard, 124–125  
 State-Trait Anger Expression Inventory, 149–150  
 State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, 149  
 Subjective norms, 221  
 Suicidal ideation and social cynicism, 22  
 Survey of Work Styles (SWS), 149
- T**  
 Theoretical man (*homo theoreticus*), 124  
 Theory of planned behavior (TPB), 220  
 TPB questionnaires, development  
   donating-related belief measures, 225  
   praying-related belief measures, 225  
   studying-related belief measures, 224–225  
 Trustworthiness, 83  
 Tucker's phi, 56, 63, 202
- V**  
 Value-based dimensions of cultural variation, 1  
 Values  
   as behavioral predictors, 199–201  
   influence of, 1  
   *vs.* axioms, 2, 16–17, 110, 130–131
- W**  
*Weltanschauung*, 110–111  
 Work-related values, 1
- Z**  
*Zeitgeist* influence, in intergenerational transmission, 121–122